

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT
PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): December 17, 2025

Sable Offshore Corp.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

845 Texas Avenue, Suite 2920
Houston, TX
(Address of principal executive offices)

001-40111
(Commission File Number)

85-3514078
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

77002
(Zip code)

(713) 579-6161
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

- ☐ Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
- ☐ Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
- ☐ Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
- ☐ Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Trading Symbol	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common stock, par value \$0.0001	SOC	New York Stock Exchange

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an emerging growth company as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933 (§230.405 of this chapter) or Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (§240.12b-2 of this chapter).

Emerging growth company ☒

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. ☐

Item 7.01 Regulation FD Disclosure.

On December 17, 2025, the U.S. Department of Transportation’s Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“**PHMSA**”) notified Sable Offshore Corp. (the “**Company**”) that it confirms the Company’s November 26, 2025 determination that the Company’s pipeline connecting the Santa Ynez Unit to the Pentland Station terminal in Kern County, California constitutes an interstate pipeline facility under the Pipeline Safety Act, pursuant to which PHMSA is vested with exclusive regulatory authority over interstate pipelines. In its notification, PHMSA additionally states that it considers the Company’s pipeline system connecting the Santa Ynez Unit to the Pentland Station terminal in Kern County, California to be an “active” pipeline according to PHMSA regulations. The letter from PHMSA to the Company is attached as Exhibit 99.1.

The information furnished pursuant to this Item 7.01, including Exhibit 99.1, shall not be deemed “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “**Exchange Act**”), or otherwise subject to the liabilities under that Section and shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference in any filing made by the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “**Securities Act**”), or the Exchange Act.

Item 9.01 Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(d) Exhibits:

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibits
99.1	PHMSA Determination of Interstate Classification, dated December 17, 2025
104	Cover Page Interactive Data File (embedded within the Inline XBRL document)

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Sable Offshore Corp.

Date: December 17, 2025

By: /s/ Gregory D. Patrinely

Name: Gregory D. Patrinely

Title: Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer



U.S. Department
of Transportation

1200 New Jersey Avenue SE
Washington, D.C. 20590

**Pipeline and Hazardous
Materials Safety
Administration**

December 17, 2025

Via Electronic Mail to: cflores@sableoffshore.com

J. Caldwell Flores
President and Chief Operating Officer
Sable Offshore Corp.
845 Texas Ave. Ste 2920
Houston, TX 77002

Re: Determination of Interstate Classification

Dear Mr. Flores:

This responds to your letter of November 26, 2025 regarding the Las Flores Pipeline owned and operated by Sable Offshore Corp. (Sable). Your letter notifies the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) that Sable has determined the pipeline to be an interstate pipeline facility under the Pipeline Safety Act (PSA) and requests PHMSA transition regulatory oversight from the California Office of the State Fire Marshal (OSFM) to PHMSA.

As noted in your letter, portions of the Las Flores Pipeline (previously known as Lines 901 and 903) have been considered intrastate since 2016 and subject to regulatory oversight by OSFM pursuant to its certification with PHMSA under 49 U.S.C. § 60105(a). Prior to 2016, Lines 901 and 903 were considered interstate and regulated by PHMSA. The classification change in 2016 corresponded to the pipelines' previous owner cancelling tariffs with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). In 2024, Sable acquired Lines 901 and 903 and other assets comprising the Las Flores Pipeline, including offshore pipelines transporting crude oil from the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) and an onshore processing facility at Las Flores Canyon. Sable operates the Las Flores Pipeline assets as a single pipeline system transporting crude oil from the OCS to the Pentland Station terminal in Kern County, California.

Upon receipt of your letter, PHMSA initiated a review of the Las Flores Pipeline. This review included an on-site inspection on December 9 through December 11, 2025. OSFM representatives accompanied PHMSA on the inspection. PHMSA also reviewed Sable's written procedures and records and conducted field observations of the Las Flores facility, the pump stations at Gaviota and Sisquoc, the control room in Santa Maria, and the offshore Harmony platform. In addition, PHMSA reviewed the 2025 program inspections conducted by OSFM. For

the following reasons, PHMSA agrees with your determination that the Las Flores Pipeline is an interstate pipeline.

The PSA authorizes PHMSA to prescribe and enforce minimum safety standards for pipeline transportation and for pipeline facilities.¹ The PSA vests with PHMSA exclusive regulatory authority over interstate pipelines and preempts States from adopting or continuing in force safety standards for interstate pipelines.² With respect to intrastate pipelines, the PSA provides a State authority may regulate the intrastate pipelines within its borders upon submission to PHMSA of an annual certification.³ Both the PSA and the Federal pipeline safety regulations define interstate and intrastate pipelines.⁴ An interstate pipeline is a pipeline or part of a pipeline used to transport hazardous liquids in interstate or foreign commerce; an intrastate pipeline is a hazardous liquid pipeline that is not an interstate pipeline.

Determining whether a hazardous liquid pipeline is an interstate or intrastate pipeline requires a factual inquiry.⁵ To assist in that determination, PHMSA adopted Appendix A to 49 CFR Part 195 providing a statement of agency policy and interpretation on the delineation between Federal and State jurisdiction.⁶ In short, “if there is a tariff or concurrence filed with FERC governing the transportation of hazardous liquids over a pipeline facility . . . then [PHMSA] will, as a general rule, consider the facility to be an interstate pipeline facility within the meaning of the [PSA].” The absence of a FERC tariff generally means a pipeline is intrastate; however, in certain situations, PHMSA will consider a pipeline to be interstate despite the lack of a filing with FERC. Several examples of this are listed in Appendix A. As it relates to the Las Flores Pipeline, one example provides that a pipeline originating on the OCS will be considered an interstate pipeline even if the pipeline does not have a tariff with FERC.⁷

PHMSA’s evaluation of the Las Flores Pipeline confirms that it transports crude oil from the OCS to an onshore processing facility at Las Flores Canyon and continues the transportation of crude oil from Las Flores Canyon to Pentland, California. Consistent with Appendix A, the Las

¹ 49 U.S.C. § 60101 et seq.

² 49 U.S.C. § 60104(c). *See* Olympic Pipe Line Co. v. City of Seattle, 437 F.3d 872, 878 (9th Cir. 2006) (discussing how the “PSA differentiates between the regulation of interstate and intrastate hazardous liquid pipelines.”)

³ 49 U.S.C. § 60105(a). OSFM has a certification with PHMSA to regulate intrastate hazardous liquid pipelines in California.

⁴ 49 U.S.C. § 60101(a)(7), (a)(8)(B), (a)(10); 49 CFR § 195.2. *See* S. Pac. Pipe Lines Inc. v. DOT, 796 F.2d 539 (D.C. Cir. 1986) (finding PHMSA’s definition of interstate and intrastate pipelines reasonable under the PSA).

⁵ Transportation of Liquids by Pipeline, 46 Fed. Reg. 38,357, 38,359 (Jul. 27, 1981) (PHMSA’s predecessor agency (hereafter PHMSA) explained that it had “reviewed examples of what it believes are the most frequent and likely configurations of liquid pipelines and pipeline facilities and considered various ways of cataloging or classifying them as either interstate or intrastate.”); *see also* Shell Oil Co. v. City of Santa Monica, 830 F.2d 1052, 1064 (9th Cir. 1987) (noting that whether the pipeline was interstate or intrastate turned on a disputed issue of fact).

⁶ Transportation of Hazardous Liquids by Pipeline; Regulation of Intrastate Pipelines, 50 Fed. Reg. 15,895, 15,897 (Apr. 23, 1985).

⁷ 49 CFR Part 195, App. A. “Example 7. Pipeline Company P operates a pipeline that originates on the Outer Continental Shelf. P does not file any tariff for that line with FERC. [PHMSA] will consider the pipeline to be an interstate pipeline facility.”

Flores Pipeline is an interstate pipeline.⁸ As portions of the Las Flores Pipeline were previously considered to be intrastate and regulated by OSFM, PHMSA is notifying OSFM that the Las Flores Pipeline is subject to the regulatory oversight of PHMSA. Please direct further correspondence on this matter to Dustin Hubbard, Director, Western Region, Office of Pipeline Safety, PHMSA, at (720) 963-3183.

Sincerely,

LINDA GAIL DAUGHERTY

Digitally signed by LINDA
GAIL DAUGHERTY
Date: 2025.12.17
07:56:55 -05'00'

Linda Daugherty
Acting Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety

cc: James Hosler, Chief, Pipeline Safety Division, OSFM
Varun Shekhar, Shareholder, Babst Calland Clements & Zomnir, PC

⁸ PHMSA regulations consider the Las Flores Pipeline to be an “active” pipeline. *See* Pipeline Safety: Clarification of Terms Relating to Pipeline Operational Status, 81 Fed. Reg. 54,512 (Aug. 12, 2016) (“The regulations consider pipelines to be either active and fully subject to all relevant parts of the safety regulations or abandoned.”)

