

---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20549**

---

**FORM 10-K/A**  
**(Amendment No. 1)**

---

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012**

**333-166225**  
**(Commission File Number)**

---



**(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

---

**Pennsylvania**  
**(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)**

**27-2290659**  
**(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)**

**1015 Penn Avenue  
Suite 103  
Wyomissing PA 19610**  
**(Address of principal executive offices)**

**(610) 933-2000**  
**(Registrants telephone number, including area code)**

**N/A**

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None**

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None**

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☒

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

The aggregate market value of common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$121,890,576 as of June 29, 2012, based upon the closing price quoted on the OTC Pink Sheets for such date. Shares of common stock held by each executive officer and director have been excluded because such persons may under certain circumstances be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of executive officer or affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

On March 1, 2013, 13,791,016 shares of Voting Common Stock and 4,691,897 shares of Class B Non-Voting Common Stock were issued and outstanding.

#### **DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of the registrant's definitive Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus to be delivered to shareholders in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 29, 2013 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report.

---

---

## Explanatory Note

Customers Bancorp, Inc. is filing this Amendment on Form 10-K/A (the “Amendment”) to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, as originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) on March 18, 2013 (the “Original Filing”).

Unless we state otherwise or the context otherwise requires, references in this Amendment to “Customers Bancorp” refer to Customers Bancorp, Inc., a Pennsylvania corporation, and references to “we,” “our,” “us” and the “Bancorp” refer to Customers Bancorp and its consolidated subsidiaries for all periods on or after September 17, 2011 and Customers Bank for all periods before September 17, 2011. References in this Amendment to “Customers Bank” or the “Bank” refer to Customers Bank, a Pennsylvania state chartered bank and wholly owned subsidiary of Customers Bancorp.

This Amendment is being filed to correct certain typographical and calculation errors included in the Original Filing. These corrections do not alter or change previously reported revenue, net income or earnings per share. The corrections comprise:

- in Item 1. Business – Consumer Lending, to correct consumer loans outstanding as of December 31, 2011 to reflect the accurate amount of \$78.1 million;
- in Item 6. Selected Financial Data, to correct investment securities at December 31, 2008, loans receivable not covered by Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC at December 31, 2009, and each of non-performing loans, non-accrual loans to total non-covered loans (called non-performing loans to total non-covered loans in the Original Filing), non-performing assets, non-performing non-covered assets to total non-covered assets, and allowance for loan losses to non-performing non-covered loans at each of December 31, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012; and
- in Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations: (i) – 2013 Economic Outlook, to correct the ratio of our warehouse loan mix to assets at December 31, 2012 to reflect the accurate ratio of 45%; (ii) – Income Taxes – For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, to correct the year stated in relation to a reversal to the valuation allowance to reflect to accurate year of 2010; (iii) – Other Borrowings, to correct certain amounts and percentages related to FHLB advances and Federal funds purchased as of December 31, 2010 in the chart summarizing the Bancorp’s short-term borrowings; (iv) – Credit Risk, to correct loan charge-offs related to construction and consumer and other loans, and loan recoveries related to construction, commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, and consumer and other loans; (v) – Asset Quality - Asset Quality at December 31, 2012, to correct commercial non-accrual/NPLs, NPAs, and NPLs, and legacy NPLs; and (vi) – Asset Quality – Acquired Loans – Loans Not Covered Under Loss Sharing Arrangements, to (a) correct non-accrual loans at December 31, 2010 and 2011, (b) remove restructured loans in compliance with modified terms as they are not considered non-performing, (c) remove loans 90+ days delinquent still accruing from the calculation of total non-performing loans as those particular loans are not without recourse, and (d) make corresponding changes based on the changes discussed in (a), (b) and (c) above to the related ratio table and types of non-covered non-performing loans table.

In accordance with Rule 12b-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the complete text of each of Item 1 - “Business,” Item 6 – “Selected Financial Data and Item 7 - “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” have been amended and restated in its entirety in this Amendment. Except as described herein, this Amendment does not change any previously reported financial results or modify or update the disclosures to the Original Filing. The disclosures in this Amendment continue to speak as of the date of the Original Filing and do not reflect events occurring after the filing of the Original Filing. The Amendment should be read in conjunction with the Original Filing and our other filings made with the SEC subsequent to the filing of the Original Report, including any amendments to those filings. The filing of the Amendment shall not be deemed to be an admission that the Original Filing, when made, included any untrue statement of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary to make a statement not misleading.

The Bancorp has updated the Signature Page, the certifications set forth as Exhibits 31.1, 31.2, 32.1 and 32.2, and the Consent of ParenteBeard LLC attached as Exhibit 23.2 to reflect this amended filing.

---

## **CUSTOMERS BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

### **PART I**

#### **Item 1. Business**

Unless we state otherwise or the context otherwise requires, references in this Form 10-K to “Customers Bancorp” refer to Customers Bancorp, Inc., a Pennsylvania corporation, and references to “we,” “our,” “us” and the “Bancorp” refer to Customers Bancorp and its consolidated subsidiaries for all periods on or after September 17, 2011 and Customers Bank for all periods before September 17, 2011. References in this Form 10-K to “Customers Bank” or the “Bank” refer to Customers Bank, a Pennsylvania state chartered bank and wholly owned subsidiary of Customers Bancorp. All share and per share information have been retrospectively restated to reflect the Reorganization (as defined below), including the three for one consideration (i.e., each three shares of Customers Bank was exchanged for one share of Customers Bancorp) used in the reorganization.

#### **Business Summary**

Customers Bancorp, Inc. (“Customers Bancorp”), through our wholly-owned subsidiary Customers Bank, provides financial products and services to small businesses, not-for-profits and consumers through its fourteen branches in Southeastern Pennsylvania (Bucks, Berks, Chester and Delaware Counties), Rye, New York (Westchester County) and Hamilton, New Jersey (Mercer County). Customers Bank also provides liquidity to the mortgage market nationwide through the operation of its mortgage warehouse business. At December 31, 2012, Customers Bancorp had total assets of \$3.2 billion, including net loans (including held-for-sale loans) of \$2.7 billion, total deposits of \$2.4 billion, and shareholders’ equity of \$269.5 million.

Our strategic plan is to become a leading regional bank holding company through organic growth and value-added acquisitions. We differentiate ourselves from our competitors through our focus on exceptional customer service supported by state of the art technology. Our primary customers are privately held businesses, professional customers and not-for-profits. We also focus on certain low-cost, low-risk specialty lending segments such as multi-family/commercial real estate lending and warehouse lending. Our lending is funded by deposits from our branch model, which seeks higher deposit levels per branch than a typical bank, combined with lower branch operating expenses, without sacrificing exceptional customer service. We also create franchise value through our disciplined approach to acquisitions, both in terms of identifying targets and structuring transactions. Superior enterprise risk management is an important part of the strategies we initiate.

Our management team consists of experienced banking executives led by our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Jay Sidhu, who joined Customers Bank in June 2009. Mr. Sidhu brings 38 years of banking experience, including 20 years as the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Sovereign Bancorp. In addition to Mr. Sidhu, most of the members of our current management team joined us following Mr. Sidhu’s arrival in 2009 and have extensive experience working together at Sovereign with Mr. Sidhu. This team has significant experience in building a banking organization, completing and integrating mergers and acquisitions, and developing valuable community and business relationships in our core markets.

#### **Background and History**

Customers Bancorp was incorporated in Pennsylvania in April 2010 to facilitate a reorganization into a bank holding company structure pursuant to which Customers Bank became a wholly owned subsidiary of Customers Bancorp (the “Reorganization”) on September 17, 2011. Pursuant to the Reorganization, all of the issued and outstanding shares of Voting Common Stock and Class B Non-Voting Common Stock of Customers Bank were exchanged on a three-to-one basis for shares of Voting Common Stock and Class B Non-Voting Common Stock, respectively, of Customers Bancorp. Customers Bancorp’s corporate headquarters are located at 1015 Penn Avenue, Wyomissing, Pennsylvania 19610. The main telephone number is (610) 933-2000.

## CUSTOMERS BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

In December 2010, Customers Bank changed its name from New Century Bank. New Century Bank was incorporated in 1994 and is a Pennsylvania state chartered bank and a member of the Federal Reserve System. New Century Bank commenced operations in 1997. Customers Bank's deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Customers Bank's corporate headquarters are located at 99 Bridge Street, Phoenixville, Pennsylvania 19460. The main telephone number is (610) 933-2000.

### Executive Summary

#### Our Markets

##### Market Criteria

We look to grow organically as well as through selective acquisitions in our current and prospective markets. We believe there is significant opportunity to both enhance our presence in our current markets and enter new complementary markets that meet our objectives. We focus on markets that we believe are characterized by some or all of the following:

- Population density;
- Concentration of business activity;
- Attractive deposit bases;
- Large market share held by large banks;
- Advantageous competitive landscape that provides opportunity to achieve meaningful market presence;
- Lack of consolidation in the banking sector and corresponding opportunities for add-on transactions;
- Potential for economic growth over time;
- Management experience in the applicable markets.

##### Current Markets

Our current markets are broadly defined as the greater Philadelphia region and Berks County in Pennsylvania, Mercer County, New Jersey and Southeastern New York. The table below describes certain key statistics regarding our presence in these markets as of June 30, 2012:

Market	Deposit Market Share	Offices	Deposits (in millions)	Deposit Market Share
	Rank			
Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington, PA, NJ, DE,	26	8	\$ 995.8	0.22%
Berks County, PA	6	4	466.5	5.42
Mercer County, NJ	17	1	214.4	1.68
Westchester County, NY	22	1	253.3	0.51

Source: FDIC Website as of June 30, 2012

We believe that these markets have highly attractive demographic, economic and competitive dynamics that are consistent with our objectives and favorable to executing our organic growth and acquisition strategy.

---

## ***Prospective Markets***

Our organic growth strategy focuses on expanding market share in our existing and contiguous markets by generating deposits, loan and fee based services through high touch personalized service supported by state of the art technology for our commercial, consumer, not for profit and specialized lending markets. Our acquisition strategy primarily focuses on undervalued and troubled community banks in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York, Maryland, Virginia and New England, where such acquisitions further our objectives and meet our critical success factors. As we evaluate potential acquisition opportunities, we believe there are many banking institutions that continue to face credit challenges, capital constraints and liquidity issues and that lack the scale and management expertise to manage the increasing regulatory burden.

## ***Our Competitive Strengths***

- *Experienced and respected management team.* An integral element of our business strategy is to capitalize on and leverage the prior experience of our executive management team. The management team is led by our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Jay Sidhu, who is the former Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Sovereign Bancorp. In addition to Mr. Sidhu, most of the members of our current management team have extensive experience working together at Sovereign with Mr. Sidhu, including Richard Ehst, President and Chief Operating Officer of Customers Bank, Warren Taylor, President of Community Banking for Customers Bank, and James D. Hogan, Chief Financial Officer of Customers Bancorp. During their tenure at Sovereign, the team established a track record of producing strong financial results, integrating acquisitions, managing risk, working with regulators and achieving organic growth and expense control. In addition, our warehouse lending group is led by Glenn Hedde, who brings more than 23 years of experience in this sector. This team has significant experience in successfully building a banking organization as well as existing valuable community and business relationships in our core markets.
- *Unique Asset Generation Strategy.* We focus on local market lending combined with relatively low-risk specialty lending segments. Our local market asset generation provides various types of business lending products and consumer lending products, such as mortgage loans and home equity loans. We have also established a multi-family and commercial real estate product line that is focused on the Mid-Atlantic region. The strategy is to focus on refinancing existing loans with conservative underwriting and to keep costs low. Through the multi-family and commercial real estate product, we earn interest income, fee income and generate commercial deposits. We also maintain a specialty lending business, warehousing lending, which is a national business where we provide liquidity to non-depository mortgage companies to fund their mortgage pipelines. Through the warehouse lending business, we earn interest income, and generate core deposits, and earn fees.
- *Attractive risk profile.* We have sought to maintain high asset quality and moderate credit risk by using conservative underwriting standards and early identification of potential problem assets. We have also formed a special assets department to both manage our covered assets portfolio and to review our other classified and non-performing assets. As at December 31, 2012, approximately 12.2% of our loans (by dollar amount) were acquired loans and all of those loans were adjusted to their estimated fair values. Additionally, 4.0% of our loans and 50.6% of our other real estate owned (“OREO”) (each by dollar amount) are covered by a loss sharing arrangement with the FDIC in which the FDIC will reimburse us for 80% of our losses on these assets.

Please refer to the Asset Quality tables regarding legacy and acquired loans appearing on page 59 in the Management Discussion and Analysis section.

- *Superior Community Banking Model.* We expect to drive organic growth by employing our “concierge banking” strategy, which provides specific relationship managers or private bankers for all customers, delivering an appointment banking approach available 12 hours a day, seven days a week. This allows us to provide services in a personalized, convenient and expeditious manner. This approach, coupled with superior technology, including remote account opening, remote deposit capture and mobile banking, results in a competitive advantage over larger institutions, which we believe contributes to the profitability of our franchise and allows us to generate core deposits. Our “high tech, high touch,” model requires less staff and smaller branch locations to operate, thereby significantly reducing our operating costs.
- *Acquisition Expertise.* The depth of our management team and their experience working together and successfully completing acquisitions provides us with unique insight in identifying and analyzing potential markets and acquisition targets. Our team’s experience, which includes the acquisition and integration of over 30 institutions, as well as numerous branch acquisitions, provide us a substantial advantage in pursuing and consummating future acquisitions. Additionally, we believe our strengths in structuring transactions to limit our risk, our experience in the financial reporting and regulatory process related to troubled bank acquisitions, and our ongoing risk management expertise, particularly in problem loan workouts, collectively enable

us to capitalize on the potential of the franchises we acquire. With our depth of operational experience in connection with completing merger and acquisition transactions, we expect to be able to integrate and reposition acquired franchises cost-efficiently and with a minimum disruption to customer relationships.

We believe our ability to operate efficiently is enhanced by our centralized risk management structure, our access to attractive labor and real estate costs in our markets, and an infrastructure that is unencumbered by legacy systems. Furthermore, we anticipate additional expense synergies from the integration of our recent acquisitions, which we believe will enhance our financial performance.

### ***Acquisitions***

Since July 2010, we have completed three acquisitions, two of which were FDIC-assisted transactions, and have entered into two agreements for acquisitions that are currently expected to take place during 2013. We believe we have structured acquisitions that limit our credit risk, which has positioned us for attractive risk-adjusted returns. The consummation of the expected acquisitions is contingent upon a number of conditions including, but not limited to, receipt of various regulatory approvals. A summary of these acquisitions appears below.

### **2010 Acquisitions**

#### ***FDIC-Assisted Transaction: USA Bank Acquisition***

On July 9, 2010, Customers Bank acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed all of the non-brokered deposits and substantially all other liabilities of USA Bank from the FDIC, as receiver. The transaction consisted of assets with a fair value of \$221.1 million, including \$124.7 million of loans (with a corresponding unpaid principal balance ("UPB"), of \$153.6 million), a \$22.7 million FDIC loss sharing receivable and \$3.4 million of foreclosed assets. Liabilities with a fair value of \$202.1 million were also assumed, including \$179.3 million of non-brokered deposits. Customers Bank also received cash consideration from the FDIC of \$25.6 million. Furthermore, Customers Bank recognized a bargain purchase gain before taxes of \$28.2 million, which represented 12.2% of the fair value of the total assets acquired.

Concurrently with the acquisition of USA Bank, the FDIC agreed to absorb a portion of all future credit losses and workout expenses through loss sharing agreements that cover certain legacy assets, including the entire loan portfolio and other real estate owned. At July 9, 2010, the covered assets consisted of assets with a book value of \$126.7 million. The total UPB of the covered assets at July 9, 2010 was \$159.2 million. Customers Bank acquired other USA Bank assets that are not covered by the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC including cash and certain investment securities purchased at fair market value. The loss sharing agreements do not apply to subsequently acquired, purchased or originated assets. Customers Bank entered into this transaction to expand its franchise into a lucrative new market, accrete its book value per share and add significant capital.

Pursuant to the terms of the loss sharing agreements, the FDIC will reimburse Customers Bank for 80% of losses, calculated, in each case, based on UPB plus certain interest and expenses. Customers Bank will reimburse the FDIC for its share of recoveries with respect to losses for which the FDIC has paid Customers Bank in reimbursement under the loss sharing agreements.

Customers Bank has received an aggregate of \$18.8 million from the FDIC in reimbursements under the loss sharing agreements for claims filed for losses incurred through December 31, 2012.

#### ***FDIC-Assisted Transaction: ISN Bank Acquisition***

On September 17, 2010, Customers Bank acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed all of the non-brokered deposits and substantially all other liabilities of ISN Bank from the FDIC, as receiver. The transaction consisted of assets with a fair value of \$83.9 million, including \$51.3 million of loans (with a corresponding UPB of \$58.2 million), a \$5.6 million FDIC loss sharing receivable and \$1.2 million of foreclosed assets. Liabilities with a fair value of \$75.8 million were also assumed, including \$71.9 million of non-brokered deposits. Customers Bank received cash consideration from the FDIC of \$5.9 million. Furthermore, Customers Bank recognized a bargain purchase gain before taxes of \$12.1 million, which represented 14.4% of the fair value of the total assets acquired.

Concurrently with the acquisition of ISN Bank, the FDIC agreed to absorb a portion of all future credit losses and workout expenses through loss sharing agreements that cover certain legacy assets, including the entire loan portfolio and other real estate owned. At September 17, 2010, the covered assets consisted of assets with a book value of \$52.6 million. The total UPB of the covered assets at September 17, 2010 was \$58.2 million. Customers Bank acquired other ISN Bank assets that are not covered by the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC including cash, certain investment securities purchased at fair market value and other tangible assets. The loss sharing agreements do not apply to subsequently acquired, purchased or originated assets. Customers Bank entered into this transaction to enhance book value per share, add capital and enter the New Jersey market in a more efficient manner than de novo expansion. Pursuant to the terms of the loss sharing agreements, the FDIC will reimburse Customers Bank for 80% of losses, calculated, in each case, based on UPB plus certain interest and expenses. Customers Bank will reimburse the FDIC for its share of recoveries with respect to losses for which the FDIC has paid Customers Bank in reimbursement under the loss sharing agreements.

---

Customers Bank has received an aggregate of \$6.4 million from the FDIC in reimbursements under the ISN loss sharing agreements for claims filed for losses incurred through December 31, 2012.

In accordance with the guidance provided in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin Topic 1.K, Financial Statements of Acquired Troubled Financial Institutions (“SAB 1: K”) and a request for relief granted by the SEC, historical financial information of USA Bank and ISN Bank has been omitted from this Form 10-K. Relief is provided under certain circumstances, including transactions such as the acquisitions of USA Bank and ISN Bank in which an institution engages in an acquisition of a troubled financial institution for which audited financial statements are not reasonably available and in which federal assistance is an essential and significant part of the transaction.

## **2011 Acquisition**

### *Berkshire Bancorp Acquisition*

On September 17, 2011, Customers Bancorp acquired Berkshire Bancorp, Inc. and its subsidiary Berkshire Bank. Berkshire Bancorp served Berks County, Pennsylvania through five branches. On the closing date, Berkshire Bancorp had total assets of approximately \$132.5 million, including total loans of \$98.4 million, and total liabilities of approximately \$122.8 million, including total deposits of \$121.9 million. Under the terms of the merger agreement, each outstanding share of Berkshire Bancorp common stock was exchanged for 0.1534 shares of Customers Bancorp’s Voting Common Stock, resulting in the issuance of 623,686 shares of Customers Bancorp’s Voting Common Stock. The total purchase price was approximately \$11.3 million, representing a price to tangible book value of Berkshire Bancorp common stock of 1.25%. This transaction was immediately accretive to earnings.

In addition, as part of the transaction, Customers Bancorp exchanged shares of its preferred stock for the preferred stock that was issued by Berkshire Bancorp as part of the U.S. Treasury’s Troubled Asset Relief Program. Those shares were subsequently redeemed. In addition, warrants to purchase shares of Berkshire Bancorp common stock were converted into warrants to purchase shares of Customers Bancorp’s Voting Common Stock.

Berkshire Bancorp’s operating results are included in our financial results from the date of acquisition.

## **Acquisition of Manufactured Housing Loans**

During the years 2010, 2011, and 2012, we purchased manufacturing housing loans from Tammac Holding Corporation (“Tammac”). These purchases were opportunistic purchases and may not be indicative of future strategies or purchases.

On August 6, 2010, we purchased from Tammac Holding Corporation (“Tammac”) a \$105.8 million manufactured housing loan portfolio for a purchase price of \$105.8 million. These loans were supported by a cash reserve balance of \$10.5 million at date of purchase, that covers all current losses and delinquent interest, and is maintained in a demand deposit account at the Bank.

On September 30, 2011, we purchased from Tammac \$19.3 million of manufactured housing loans and a 1.50% interest only strip security with an estimated value of \$3 million secured by a pool of \$70 million of loans originated by Tammac for a total purchase price of \$13 million.

On July 24, 2012, the Bancorp paid \$63.2 million to acquire manufactured housing loans from Vanderbilt Mortgage and Finance Inc. at par. These loans were originated by Tammac Holding Corporation, and secure the interest only strip security that was purchased in September 2011. The loans carry an 11.3% coupon rate, where Tammac earns a 2.0% servicing fee and also retains the rights to a 2.0% IO Strip in relation to this pool of loans. The full recourse for losses on the July 2012 loan purchase resides with Tammac.

## **2013 Acquisition Agreements**

Consummation of the following transactions is contingent upon a number of conditions including, but not limited to, receipt of various regulatory approvals.

### *Acacia Federal Savings Bank Acquisition*

On June 21, 2012, the Bancorp announced the entry into a definitive agreement to acquire Acacia Federal Savings Bank (Acacia) located in Falls Church, Virginia from two subsidiaries of Ameritas Mutual Holding Company (Ameritas). Acacia serves the metro Washington, D.C. market. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, the Bancorp will acquire 100% of the stock of Acacia from Ameritas Mutual Holding Company for a total purchase price of \$65.0 million to be paid in Cash of \$10.3 million and Voting Common Stock (resulting in a 4.9% voting ownership interest in the Bancorp), Class B Non-Voting Common Stock (resulting in up to 14.9% total common ownership interest (voting and non-voting, taking into account outstanding securities convertible into common stock) in the Bancorp), and Perpetual Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series C (with an aggregate liquidation value of \$65.0 million minus the value of the Common Stock and Class B Non-Voting Common Stock to be issued in the acquisition). The Bancorp expects to issue its Voting Common Stock and Class B Non-Voting Common Stock at 115% of



GAAP book value at the time of closing. It is likely that the regulatory authorities will require a change in the composition of the consideration in the Acacia transaction, including the elimination of the Series C Preferred Stock, and a reduction in the level of Voting Common Stock to be issued to the sellers.

The Bancorp will not be acquiring any non-performing loans, other real estate owned or other assets that it deems to possess higher risk. In addition, the Bancorp will not be responsible for any severance obligations, charges associated with the early termination of the O.S.I. technology contract or lease termination charges on Acacia's corporate headquarters beyond one year. The closing is currently expected to take place during the first half of 2013.

#### *CMS Bancorp Acquisition*

On August 10, 2012, Customers Bancorp Inc. announced the entry into a definitive agreement to acquire via merger CMS Bancorp (CMS Bancorp) located in White Plains, New York and ultimately CMS Bank. CMS Bank, with five branches, serves Westchester County, New York, and the surrounding areas. The total transaction value is approximately \$20.8 million, and the agreement provides for CMS Bancorp stockholders to receive shares of Customers Bancorp voting common stock based upon an exchange ratio to be determined as the quotient of (i) the CMS Valuation, divided by (ii) the Customers Valuation, with fractional shares to be cashed out. The "CMS Valuation" will be calculated as 95% of CMS Bancorp's common stockholders' equity as of the month end prior to the closing, while the "Customers Valuation" will be calculated as 125% of Customer Bancorp's modified stockholder equity as of the month end prior to closing. Modified stockholders' equity is defined as June 30, 2012 book value plus additions to retained earnings through the month-end prior to closing. Shares issued by Customers Bancorp in capital raises and purchase accounting adjustments from any other acquisitions will not be included in calculating modified stockholders' equity. By way of example, based on the March 31, 2012 book value per share of CMS Bancorp and the June 30, 2012 modified stockholders' equity of Customers Bancorp, \$11.75 and \$13.99, respectively, the exchange ratio would be 0.6383. The foregoing calculation is provided as an example only, and does not purport to be the actual exchange ratio. The actual exchange ratio will likely be different at closing.

The acquisition of CMS will enhance the Bancorp's New York franchise. Closing of the CMS Bancorp merger, which is subject to regulatory approval, customary closing conditions and the approval of CMS Bancorp's stockholders, is currently expected to occur in 2013.

#### *New England Commercial Lending Loan Purchase*

On February 6, 2013, we announced the entry into a definitive agreement to acquire certain commercial loans from Michigan based Flagstar Bank. Under the terms of the agreement, Customers Bank will acquire \$187.6 million in commercial loan commitments, of which \$150.9 million is currently outstanding. As part of the agreement, and subject to receipt of customary third-party consents, Customers Bank will also assume the leases for two of Flagstar's commercial lending offices, one in Boston, MA and one in Providence, RI. The purchase price is 98.7% of loans outstanding and the transaction is expected to close within the first quarter of 2013.

#### *Segments*

Customers Bancorp has one reportable segment, "Community Banking." All of the Company's activities are interrelated, and each activity is dependent and assessed based on how each of the activities of the Company supports the others. For example, lending is dependent upon the ability of the Company to fund itself with deposits and borrowings while managing the interest rate and credit risk. Accordingly, all significant operating decisions are based upon analysis of the Bank as one segment or unit.

#### *Products*

We offer a broad range of traditional banking products and financial services to our commercial and consumer customers in Philadelphia and the Suburban Philadelphia Counties, Berks County, Pennsylvania, Central New Jersey and Southeastern New York. Subsequent to the close of our acquisitions of Acacia FSB, CMS and the New England commercial loan portfolio, our business will also cover the areas of Northern Virginia, Westchester NY and Boston, Massachusetts. We offer an array of lending products to cater to our customers' needs, including small business loans, mortgage warehouse loans, multi-family and commercial real estate loans, residential mortgage loans and consumer loans. We also offer traditional depository products, including commercial and consumer checking accounts, non-interest-bearing demand accounts, money market deposit accounts, savings accounts and time deposit accounts and cash management services. With our purchase of Acacia FSB in 2013, we expect to increase our origination of mortgage loans, sell them into the secondary market, and then provide servicing of these loans. We estimate that approximately 90 percent of our total loan originations will be eligible for sale through U.S. government-sponsored entities (a term generally used to refer collectively or singularly to Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and Ginnie Mae).

---

## ***Lending Activities***

We focus our lending efforts to the following lending areas:

- Commercial Lending – Includes Business Banking commercial and industrial, Small Business, including small business administration (SBA) loans, and Multi-family Commercial Real Estate lending;
- Specialty Lending – Warehouse Lending;
- Consumer Lending – Local market mortgage lending and home equity lending; and
- Mortgage banking – Origination, servicing and packaging of mortgage loans for sale to investors.

### ***Commercial Lending***

The Bank's commercial lending is divided into three distinct groups: Multi-family/Commercial real estate, Business Banking and Small Business Banking. This division is designed to allow for greater resource deployment, higher standards of risk management, strong asset quality, lower interest rate risk and higher productivity levels.

The Business Banking lending group focuses on companies with annual revenues ranging from \$5.0 million to \$50.0 million, which typically have credit requirements between \$0.5 million and \$10.0 million. This division is serviced by very experienced local relationship managers or private bankers who are supported by a centralized credit function.

The Small Business Banking platform originates loans, including Small Business Administration loans, through the branch network sales force and a team of dedicated Small Business relationship managers. The support administration of the platform for this segment is centralized including risk management, product management, marketing, performance tracking and overall strategy. Credit and sales training has been established for our sales force, ensuring we have small business experts in place providing appropriate financial solutions to the small business owners in our communities. A division approach focuses on industries that offer us high asset quality and are deposit rich to drive profitability.

The goal of our multi-family lending group is to build a portfolio of high quality multi-family and commercial real estate loans within our covered markets, while cross selling our other products and services. This business line primarily focuses on refinancing existing loans, using conservative underwriting. The primary collateral for these loans is a first lien mortgage on the multi-family property, plus an assignment of all leases related to such property. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we originated and closed \$291.9 million of multi-family loan commitments, or \$542.6 million including the multi-family loan commitments above.

As of December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, we had \$1,023.3 million, \$535.7 million, and \$330.6 million, respectively, in commercial loans outstanding, composing approximately 37.0%, 35.3%, and 37.6%, respectively, of our total loan portfolio, which includes loans held for sale. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we originated and closed \$250.7 million of commercial loans and commitments.

### ***Specialty Lending***

In 2009, we established a warehouse lending business, which provides financing to mortgage bankers for residential mortgage originations from loan closing until sale in the secondary market. Many providers of liquidity in this segment exited the business in 2007-2008 during the period of excessive market turmoil.

The goal of the warehouse group is to provide liquidity to mortgage companies. These facilities are used by mortgage companies to fund their pipelines from closing of individual mortgage loans until their sale into the secondary market. Most of the loans are insured or guaranteed by the U.S. government through one of their programs such as FHA, VA, or are conventional loans eligible for sale to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

As of December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, outstanding loans in our warehouse lending portfolio totaled \$1.4 billion, \$794 million, and \$386.1 million, respectively, composing approximately 52.4%, 52.3%, and 44.0%, respectively, of our total loan portfolio, which includes loans held for sale. During the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, we funded \$19.5 billion of mortgage loans under warehouse facilities.

---

### ***Consumer Lending***

We plan to expand our product offerings in real estate secured consumer lending. We do not offer indirect automobile loans, unsecured loans, student loans or credit cards. Initially, we provide home equity and residential mortgage loans to customers. Underwriting standards for home equity lending are conservative, focusing on FICO scores 720 and higher, and lending is offered to solidify customer relationships and grow relationship revenues in the long term. This lending is important in our efforts to grow total relationship revenues for our consumer households. These areas also support our commitment to lower and moderate income families in our market area.

As of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we had \$131.4 million, \$78.1 million, and \$54.4 million respectively, in consumer loans outstanding, composing 4.8%, 5.1%, and 6.2%, respectively, of our total loan portfolio, which includes loans held for sale. During the year ended in December 31, 2012, we originated and closed \$67.3 million of consumer loans.

### ***Mortgage Banking***

The bank has aggressively recruited new leadership in the mortgage banking group which was formed in 2012. This leadership is committed to building a state of the art Mortgage Banking platform using best in class practices. These will include a paperless origination system coupled with an unparalleled product offering. This will enable the Bank to give a level of service to our customers that is not only unique, but creates value for our customers as well as the Bank. We are committed to providing value to our shareholders through enhanced technology solutions while serving our community.

Customers Bank expects to open multiple loan production offices to support the growth of the mortgage banking platform. These offices will allow the bank to penetrate markets that were underserved by the Bank's current branch locations. The implementation of our secondary marketing group, coupled with the Bank's increased loan production is expected to result in significant growth in earnings in the group.

We do not have a program for originating subprime loan products, or reverse mortgages. We estimate that approximately 90% of our mortgage banking loan originations will be eligible for sale through U.S. government-sponsored entities.

### ***Private Banking***

Beginning in 2013, Customers Bank will introduce a Private Banking model for our commercial clients in the major markets within our geographical footprint. This unique model will provide unparalleled service to our customers through our in-market team of experienced private bankers. Acting as a single-point-of-contact for all the banking needs of our commercial clients, these private bankers will deliver the whole bank – not only to our clients, but to their families, their management teams, and their employees, as well. With a world-class suite of sophisticated cash management products, these private bankers will deliver on our “high tech, high touch” strategy and provide real value to our mid-market Commercial clients.

We expect to open our first private banking office in Manhattan in the second quarter of 2013, eventually, all of our markets will be served by private bankers.

### ***Deposit Products and Other Funding Sources***

We offer a variety of deposit products to our customers, including checking accounts, savings accounts, money market accounts and other deposit accounts, including fixed-rate, fixed-maturity retail time deposits ranging in terms from 30 days to five years, individual retirement accounts, and non-retail time deposits consisting of jumbo certificates greater than or equal to \$100,000. Using our high touch supported by high tech model, we have experienced significantly higher above average growth in core deposits in all of our markets.

### ***Financial Products and Services***

In addition to traditional banking activities, we provide other financial services to our customers, including: internet banking, wire transfers, electronic bill payment, lock box services, remote deposit capture services, courier services, merchant processing services, cash vault, controlled disbursements, positive pay and cash management services (including account reconciliation, collections and sweep accounts).

### ***Competition***

Customers Bank competes with other financial institutions for deposit and loan business. Competitors include other commercial banks, savings banks, savings and loan associations, insurance companies, securities brokerage firms, credit unions, finance companies, mutual funds, money market funds, and certain government agencies. Financial institutions compete principally on the quality of the services rendered, interest rates offered on deposit products, interest rates charged on loans, fees and service charges, the convenience of banking office locations and hours of operation, and in the consideration of larger commercial borrowers, lending limits.

---

Many competitors are significantly larger than Customers Bank, and have significantly greater financial resources, personnel and locations from which to conduct business. In addition, Customers Bank is subject to regulation, while certain of its competitors are not. Non-regulated companies face relatively few barriers to entry into the financial services industry. Customers Bank's larger competitors enjoy greater name recognition and greater resources to finance wide ranging advertising campaigns. Customers Bank competes for business principally on the basis of high quality, personal service to customers, customer access to Customers Bank's decision makers, and competitive interest and fee structure. Customers Bank also strives to provide maximum convenience of access to services by employing innovative delivery vehicles such as internet banking, and the convenience of concierge banking.

Customers Bank's current market is primarily served by large national and regional banks, with a few larger institutions capturing more than 50% of the deposit market share. Customers Bank's large competitors utilize expensive, branch-based models to sell products to consumers and small businesses, which requires our larger competitors to price their products with wider margins and charge more fees to justify their higher expense base. While maintaining physical branch locations remains an important component of Customers Bank's strategy, Customers Bank utilizes an operating model with fewer and less expensive locations, thereby lowering overhead costs and allowing for greater pricing flexibility.

### ***Geographic Information***

The geographic information required by Item 101(d) of Regulation S-K under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is impracticable for the Bancorp to calculate; however, the Bancorp does not believe that a material amount of revenues in any of the last three years was attributable to customers outside of the United States, nor does it believe that a material amount of its long-lived assets, in any of the past three years, was located outside of the United States.

### ***Employees***

As of December 31, 2012, Customers Bank had 242 full-time and 13 part-time employees.

### ***Available Information***

Our internet website is at [www.customersbank.com](http://www.customersbank.com). Information on our website is not part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Investors can obtain copies of our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act on our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we have filed such materials with, or furnished them to, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). We will also furnish a paper copy of such filings free of charge upon request. Investors can also read and copy any materials filed by us with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room which is located at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. Information about the operation of the Public Reference Room can be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Our filings can also be accessed at the SEC's internet website: [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

---

## SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

### GENERAL

We are subject to extensive regulation, examination and supervision by the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities and, as a member of the Federal Reserve System, by the Federal Reserve Board. Federal and state banking laws and regulations govern, among other things, the scope of a bank's business, the investments a bank may make, the reserves against deposits a bank must maintain, terms of deposit accounts, loans a bank makes, the interest rates it charges and collateral it takes, the activities of a bank with respect to mergers and consolidations and the establishment of branches.

### PENNSYLVANIA BANKING LAWS

Pennsylvania banks that are Federal Reserve members may establish new offices only after approval by the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve Board"). Approval by these regulators can be subject to a variety of factors, including the convenience and needs of the community, whether the institution is sufficiently capitalized and well managed, issues of safety and soundness, the institution's record of meeting the credit needs of its community, whether there are significant supervisory concerns with respect to the institution or affiliated organizations, and whether any financial or other business arrangement, direct or indirect, involving the proposed branch and bank "insiders" (directors, officers, employees and 10%-or-greater shareholders) which involves terms and conditions more favorable to the insiders than would be available in a comparable transaction with unrelated parties.

Under the Pennsylvania Banking Code, we are permitted to branch throughout Pennsylvania. Pennsylvania law also provides Pennsylvania state chartered institutions elective parity with the power of national banks, federal thrifts, and state-chartered institutions in other states as authorized by the FDIC, subject to a required notice to the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities. The Pennsylvania Banking Code also imposes restrictions on payment of dividends, as well as minimum capital requirements.

On October 24, 2012, Pennsylvania enacted three new laws known as the "Banking Law Modernization Package," all of which became effective on December 24, 2012. The intended goal of the new law, which applies to our bank subsidiary, is to modernize Pennsylvania's banking laws and to reduce regulatory burden at the state level where possible, given the increased regulatory demands at the federal level as described below.

The new law also permits banks to disclose formal enforcement actions initiated by the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities, clarifies that the Department has examination and enforcement authority over subsidiaries as well as affiliates of regulated banks and bolsters the Department's enforcement authority over its regulated institutions by clarifying its ability to remove directors, officers and employees from institutions for violations of laws or orders or for any unsafe or unsound practice or breach of fiduciary duty. Changes to existing law also allow the Department to assess civil money penalties of up to \$25,000 per violation.

The new law also sets a new standard of care for bank officers and directors, applying the same standard that exists for non-banking corporations in Pennsylvania. The standard is one of performing duties in good faith, in a manner reasonably believed to be in the best interests of the institutions and with such care, including reasonable inquiry, skill and diligence, as a person of ordinary prudence would use under similar circumstances. Directors may rely in good faith on information, opinions and reports provided by officers, employees, attorneys, accountants, or committees of the board, and an officer may not be held liable simply because he or she served as an officer of the institution.

*Interstate Branching* . Federal law allows the Federal Reserve and FDIC, and the Pennsylvania Banking Code allows the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities, to approve an application by a state banking institution to acquire interstate branches. For more information on federal law, see the discussion under "Federal Banking Laws – Interstate Branching" that follows.

Pennsylvania banking laws authorize banks in Pennsylvania to acquire existing branches or branch de novo in other states, and also permits out-of-state banks to acquire existing branches or branch de novo in Pennsylvania.

In April 2008, Banking Regulators in the States of New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (the "Interstate MOU") to clarify their respective roles, as home and host state regulators, regarding interstate branching activity on a regional basis pursuant to the Riegle-Neal Amendments Act of 1997. The Interstate MOU establishes the regulatory responsibilities of the respective state banking regulators regarding bank regulatory examinations and is intended to reduce the regulatory burden on state chartered banks branching within the region by eliminating duplicative host state compliance exams.

Under the Interstate MOU, the activities of branches we established in New Jersey or New York would be governed by Pennsylvania state law to the same extent that federal law governs the activities of the branch of an out-of-state national bank in such host states. Issues regarding whether a particular host state law is preempted are to be determined in the first instance by the

Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities. In the event that the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities and the applicable host state regulator disagree regarding whether a particular host state law is pre-empted, the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities and the applicable host state regulator would use their reasonable best efforts to consider all points of view and to resolve the disagreement.

## FEDERAL BANKING LAWS

*Interstate Branching.* The Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 (called the “Interstate Act”), among other things, permits bank holding companies to acquire banks in any state. A bank may also merge with a bank in another state. Interstate acquisitions and mergers are subject, in general, to certain concentration limits and state entry rules relating to the age of the Bank. Under the Interstate Act, the responsible federal regulatory agency is permitted to approve the acquisition of less than all of the branches of an insured bank by an out-of-state bank or bank holding company without the acquisition of an entire bank, only if the law of the state in which the branch is located permits. Under the Interstate Act, branches of state-chartered banks that operate in other states are covered by the laws of the chartering state, rather than the host state. The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (“Dodd-Frank Act”) created a more permissive interstate branching regime by permitting banks to establish branches de novo in any state if a bank chartered by such state would have been permitted to establish the branch. For more information on interstate branching under Pennsylvania law, see “Pennsylvania Banking Laws – Interstate Branching” above.

*Prompt Corrective Action.* Federal banking law mandates certain “prompt corrective actions,” which Federal banking agencies are required to take, and certain actions which they have discretion to take, based upon the capital category into which a Federally regulated depository institution falls. Regulations have been adopted by the Federal bank regulatory agencies setting forth detailed procedures and criteria for implementing prompt corrective action in the case of any institution that is not adequately capitalized. Under the rules, an institution will be deemed to be “adequately capitalized” or better if it exceeds the minimum Federal regulatory capital requirements. However, it will be deemed “undercapitalized” if it fails to meet the minimum capital requirements, “significantly undercapitalized” if it has a total risk-based capital ratio that is less than 6.0%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio that is less than 3.0%, or a leverage ratio that is less than 3.0%, and “critically undercapitalized” if the institution has a ratio of tangible equity to total assets that is equal to or less than 2.0%. The rules require an undercapitalized institution to file a written capital restoration plan, along with a performance guaranty by its holding company or a third party. In addition, an undercapitalized institution becomes subject to certain automatic restrictions including a prohibition on the payment of dividends, a limitation on asset growth and expansion, and in certain cases, a limitation on the payment of bonuses or raises to senior executive officers, and a prohibition on the payment of certain “management fees” to any “controlling person.” Institutions that are classified as undercapitalized are also subject to certain additional supervisory actions, including increased reporting burdens and regulatory monitoring, a limitation on the institution’s ability to make acquisitions, open new branch offices, or engage in new lines of business, obligations to raise additional capital, restrictions on transactions with affiliates, and restrictions on interest rates paid by the institution on deposits. In certain cases, bank regulatory agencies may require replacement of senior executive officers or directors, or sale of the institution to a willing purchaser. If an institution is deemed to be “critically undercapitalized” and continues in that category for four quarters, the statute requires, with certain narrowly limited exceptions, that the institution be placed in receivership.

*Safety and Soundness; Regulation of Bank Management.* The Federal Reserve Board possesses the power to prohibit us from engaging in any activity that would be an unsafe and unsound banking practice and in violation of the law. Moreover, Federal law enactments have expanded the circumstances under which officers or directors of a bank may be removed by the institution’s Federal supervisory agency; restricted and further regulated lending by a bank to its executive officers, directors, principal shareholders or related interests thereof; restricted management personnel of a bank from serving as directors or in other management positions with certain depository institutions whose assets exceed a specified amount or which have an office within a specified geographic area; and restricted management personnel from borrowing from another institution that has a correspondent relationship with the bank for which they work.

*Capital Rules.* Federal banking agencies have issued certain “risk-based capital” guidelines, which supplemented existing capital requirements. In addition, the Federal Reserve Board imposes certain “leverage” requirements on member banks such as us. Banking regulators have authority to require higher minimum capital ratios for an individual bank or bank holding company in view of its circumstances.

The risk-based guidelines require all banks and bank holding companies to maintain two “risk-weighted assets” ratios. The first is a minimum ratio of total capital (“Tier 1” and “Tier 2” capital) to risk-weighted assets equal to 8.0%; the second is a minimum ratio of “Tier 1” capital to risk-weighted assets equal to 4.0%. Assets are assigned to five risk categories, with higher levels of capital being required for the categories perceived as representing greater risk. In making the calculation, certain intangible assets must be deducted from the capital base. The risk-based capital rules are designed to make regulatory capital requirements more sensitive to differences in risk profiles among banks and bank holding companies and to minimize disincentives for holding liquid assets.

The risk-based capital rules also account for interest rate risk. Institutions with interest rate risk exposure above a normal level would be required to hold extra capital in proportion to that risk. A bank’s exposure to declines in the economic value of its

capital due to changes in interest rates is a factor that banking agencies will consider in evaluating a bank's capital adequacy. The rule does not codify an explicit minimum capital charge for interest rate risk. We currently monitor and manage our assets and liabilities for interest rate risk, and management believes that the interest rate risk rules which have been implemented and proposed will not materially adversely affect our operations.

The Federal Reserve Board's "leverage" ratio rules require member banks which are rated the highest in the composite areas of capital, asset quality, management, earnings and liquidity to maintain a ratio of "Tier 1" capital to "adjusted total assets" of not less than 3.0%. For banks which are not the most highly rated, the minimum "leverage" ratio will range from 4.0% to 5.0%, or higher at the discretion of the Federal Reserve Board, and is required to be at a level commensurate with the nature of the level of risk of Customers Bancorp, Inc.'s condition and activities.

For purposes of the capital requirements, "Tier 1" or "core" capital is defined to include common shareholders' equity and certain noncumulative perpetual preferred stock and related surplus. "Tier 2" or "qualifying supplementary" capital is defined to include a bank's allowance for loan losses up to 1.25% of risk-weighted assets, plus certain types of preferred stock and related surplus, certain "hybrid capital instruments" and certain term subordinated debt instruments.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, management believes that the Bank and Bancorp meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject. For additional information on the Company's regulatory ratios, refer to "NOTE 17 – REGULATORY MATTERS" in this Form 10-K.

#### *New Proposed Capital Rules*

In September 2010, the oversight body of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision announced minimum capital ratios and transition periods providing: (i) the minimum requirement for the Tier 1 common equity ratio will be increased from the current 2.0% level to 4.5% (to be phased in by January 1, 2015); (ii) the minimum requirement for the Tier 1 capital ratio will be increased from the current 4.0% to 6.0% (to be phased in by January 1, 2015); (iii) an additional 2.5% of Tier 1 common equity to total risk-weighted assets (to be phased in between January 1, 2016 and January 1, 2019; and (iv) a minimum leverage ratio of 3.0% (to be tested starting January 1, 2013). The proposals also narrow the definition of capital, excluding instruments that no longer qualify as Tier 1 common equity as of January 1, 2013, and phasing out other instruments over several years. It is unclear how U.S. banking regulators will define "well-capitalized" in their implementation of Basel III.

The liquidity proposals under Basel III include: (i) a liquidity coverage ratio (to become effective January 1, 2015); (ii) a net stable funding ratio (to become effective January 1, 2018); and (iii) a set of monitoring tools for banks to report minimum types of information to their regulatory supervisors.

In November 2012, the Federal Reserve delayed the January 2013 effective date of Basel III's proposed bank capital buffer rules, and did not provide a substitute date for effectiveness. This U.S. Regulator approved proposal would substantially amend the regulatory risk-based capital rules applicable to the Bancorp and the Bank. The proposed rules implement the "Basel III" regulatory capital reforms and changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act.

Many of the details of the new framework related to minimum capital levels and minimum liquidity requirements in the Basel Committee's proposals will remain uncertain until the final release is issued. Implementation of the final provisions of Basel III will require implementing regulations and guidelines by U.S. banking regulators. Implementation of these new capital and liquidity requirements has created significant uncertainty with respect to the future liquidity and capital requirements for financial institutions. Therefore, we are not able to predict at this time the content of liquidity and capital guidelines or regulations that may be adopted by regulatory agencies or the impact that any changes in regulation may have on us.

*Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.* The Dodd-Frank bill was enacted by Congress on July 15, 2010, and was signed into law by President Obama on July 21, 2010. Among many other provisions, the legislation:

- established the Financial Stability Oversight Council, a federal agency acting as the financial system's systemic risk regulator with the authority to review the activities of significant bank holding companies and non-bank financial firms, to make recommendations and impose standards regarding capital, leverage, conflicts and other requirements for financial firms and to impose regulatory standards on certain financial firms deemed to pose a systemic threat to the financial health of the U.S. economy;
- created a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau within the U.S. Federal Reserve, which has substantive rule-making authority over a wide variety of consumer financial services and products, including the power to regulate unfair, deceptive, or abusive acts or practices;
- permitted state attorney generals and other state enforcement authorities broader power to enforce consumer protection laws against banks;

- authorized federal regulatory agencies to ban compensation arrangements at financial institutions that give employees incentives to engage in conduct that could pose risks to the nation's financial system;
- granted the U.S. government resolution authority to liquidate or take emergency measures with regard to troubled financial institutions, such as bank holding companies, that fall outside the existing resolution authority of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation;
- gave the FDIC substantial new authority and flexibility in assessing deposit insurance premiums, which may result in increased deposit insurance premiums for us in the future;
- increases the deposit insurance coverage limit for insurable deposits to \$250,000 generally, and removes the limit entirely for transaction accounts;
- permitted banks to pay interest on business demand deposit accounts;
- extended the national bank lending (or loans-to-one-borrower) limits to other institutions like us;
- prohibited banks subject to enforcement action such as a memorandum of understanding from changing their charter without the approval of both their existing charter regulator and their proposed new charter regulator; and
- imposed new limits on asset purchase and sale transactions between banks and their insiders.

Many of these provisions are subject to further rule making and to the discretion of regulatory bodies, including our primary federal banking regulator, the Federal Reserve. It is not possible to predict at this time the extent to which regulations authorized or mandated by the Dodd-Frank Act will impose requirements or restrictions on us in addition to or different from the provisions summarized above.

*Deposit Insurance Assessments* . Our deposits are insured by the FDIC up to the limits set forth under applicable law and are subject to deposit insurance premium assessments. The FDIC imposes a risk-based deposit premium assessment system, which was amended pursuant to the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005 (the "Act"). Under this system, the amount of FDIC assessments paid by an individual insured depository institution, like us, is based on the level of risk incurred in its activities. The FDIC places a depository institution in one of four risk categories determined by reference to its capital levels and supervisory ratings. In addition, in the case of those institutions in the lowest risk category, the FDIC further determines its assessment rates based on certain specified financial ratios.

On February 7, 2011, the FDIC adopted a final rule modifying the risk-based assessment system and setting initial base assessment rates beginning April 1, 2011, ranging from 2.5 to 45 basis points of Tier I capital.

As of December 31, 2012, Customers Bank's initial base assessment rate was 14.96 basis points based upon being adequately capitalized and other factors.

In addition to deposit insurance assessments, banks are subject to assessments to pay the interest on Financing Corporation bonds. The Financing Corporation was created by Congress to issue bonds to finance the resolution of failed thrift institutions. The FDIC sets the Financing Corporation assessment rate every quarter.

*Community Reinvestment Act* . Under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 ("CRA"), the record of a bank holding company and its subsidiary banks must be considered by the appropriate Federal banking agencies, including the Federal Reserve Board, in reviewing and approving or disapproving a variety of regulatory applications including approval of a branch or other deposit facility, office relocation, a merger and certain acquisitions of bank shares. Federal banking agencies have recently demonstrated an increased readiness to deny applications based on unsatisfactory CRA performance. The Federal Reserve Board is required to assess our record to determine if we are meeting the credit needs of the community (including low and moderate neighborhoods) that we serve. The Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989 amended the CRA to require, among other things, that the Federal Reserve Board make publicly available an evaluation of our record of meeting the credit needs of our entire community including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods. This evaluation includes a descriptive rating (outstanding, satisfactory, needs to improve, or substantial noncompliance) and a statement describing the basis for the rating.

*Consumer Protection Laws* . We are subject to a variety of consumer protection laws, including the Truth in Lending Act, the Truth in Savings Act adopted as part of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 ("FDICIA"), the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, the Electronic Funds Transfer Act, the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act and the regulations adopted thereunder. In the aggregate, compliance with these consumer protection laws and regulations involves substantial expense and administrative time on our part.



---

## **Memorandum of Understanding**

As a result of a March 31, 2009 regulatory examination prior to the arrival of new management, Customers Bank entered into an August 2009 Memorandum of Understanding (“MOU”) with our regulators that called for a back-up Bank Secrecy Act officer and employee training, and precluded us from declaring or paying dividends that would cause our capital ratios to fall below the higher of the minimum levels for a “well capitalized” classification under Prompt Corrective Action standards or the internal ratios set in our capital plan, or redeeming our stock or issuing debt with maturity greater than one year without prior regulatory approval. The MOU required us to update plans relating to earnings and capital improvement, management and board oversight, credit risk management and liquidity risk, to enhance pre-purchase analysis of investment securities, and to revise to our allowance for loan and lease losses methodology by November 15, 2009. Based on full compliance with the MOU, notice that the MOU was terminated was provided on June 27, 2012.

## **Bank Holding Company Regulation**

As a bank holding company, we are also subject to additional regulation.

The Bank Holding Company Act requires us to secure the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board before we own or control, directly or indirectly, more than five percent (5%) of the voting shares or substantially all of the assets of any bank. It also prohibits acquisition by the Company of more than five percent (5%) of the voting shares of, or interest in, or all or substantially all of the assets of, any bank located outside of the state in which a current bank subsidiary is located unless such acquisition is specifically authorized by laws of the state in which such bank is located. A bank holding company is prohibited from engaging in or acquiring direct or indirect control of more than five percent (5%) of the voting shares of any company engaged in non-banking activities unless the Federal Reserve Board, by order or regulation, has found such activities to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto. In making this determination, the Federal Reserve Board considers whether the performance of these activities by a bank holding company would offer benefits to the public that outweigh possible adverse effects. Applications under the Bank Holding Company Act and the Change in Control Act are subject to review, based upon the record of compliance of the applicant with the CRA.

We are required to file an annual report with the Federal Reserve Board and any additional information that the Federal Reserve Board may require pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act. Further, under Section 106 of the 1970 amendments to the Bank Holding Company Act and the Federal Reserve Board’s regulations, a bank holding company and its subsidiaries are prohibited from engaging in certain tie-in arrangements in connection with any extension of credit or provision of credit or provision of any property or services. The so-called “anti-tie-in” provisions state generally that a bank may not extend credit, lease, sell property or furnish any service to a customer on the condition that the customer provide additional credit or service to us, to Customers Bank or to any other subsidiary or on the condition that the customer not obtain other credit or service from a competitor of us, Customers Bank, or any other subsidiary.

The Federal Reserve Board permits bank holding companies to engage in non-banking activities so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto. A number of activities are authorized by Federal Reserve Board regulation, while other activities require prior Federal Reserve Board approval. The types of permissible activities are subject to change by the Federal Reserve Board.

**Item 6. Selected Financial Data****Customers Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

The following table presents Customers Bancorp's summary consolidated financial data. We derived our balance sheet and income statement data for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008 from our audited financial statements. The summary consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in their entirety by, our financial statements and the accompanying notes and the other information included elsewhere in this Annual Report. Certain amounts reported in this table have been reclassified to conform to the 2012 presentation. These reclassifications did not significantly impact Bancorp's financial position or results of operations.

	2012	2011 (1)	2010 (2)	2009	2008
	(dollars in thousands, except per share information)				
For the Year ended December 31,					
Interest income	\$ 93,543	\$ 61,245	\$ 30,907	\$ 13,486	\$ 15,502
Interest expense	21,761	22,464	11,546	6,336	8,138
Net interest income	71,782	38,781	19,361	7,150	7,364
Provision for loan losses	16,271	9,450	10,397	11,778	611
Bargain purchase gains on acquisitions	0	0	40,254	0	0
Total non-interest income (loss), excluding bargain purchase gains	31,230	13,424	5,416	1,043	(350)
Total non-interest expense	50,651	36,886	26,168	9,650	7,654
Income (loss) before taxes	36,090	5,869	28,466	(13,235)	(1,251)
Income tax expense (benefit)	12,272	1,835	4,731	0	(426)
Net income (loss)	23,818	4,034	23,735	(13,235)	(825)
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	23,818	3,990	23,735	(13,235)	(825)
Basic earnings (loss) per share (3)	1.78	0.40	3.78	(10.98)	(1.23)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share (3)	1.73	0.39	3.69	(10.98)	(1.23)
At Period End					
Total assets	\$ 3,201,234	\$ 2,077,532	\$1,374,407	\$ 349,760	\$274,038
Cash and cash equivalents	186,016	73,570	238,724	68,807	6,295
Investment securities (4)	129,093	398,684	205,828	44,588	32,503
Loans held for sale (6)	1,439,889	174,999	199,970	0	0
Loans receivable not covered by Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC (5)	1,216,941	1,215,117	514,087	230,298	223,752
Allowance for loan losses	25,837	15,032	15,129	10,032	2,876
Loans receivable covered by Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC (5)	107,526	126,276	164,885	0	0
FDIC loss sharing receivable (5)	12,343	13,077	16,702	0	0
Deposits	2,440,818	1,583,189	1,245,690	313,927	237,842
Borrowings	471,000	331,000	11,000	0	0
Shareholders' equity	269,475	147,748	105,140	21,503	16,849
Tangible common equity (8)	265,786	144,043	105,140	21,503	15,869
Selected Ratios and Share Data					
Return on average assets	1.02%	0.24%	3.40%	(4.69)%	(0.30)%
Return on average equity	12.69%	3.06%	41.29%	(65.35)%	(4.98)%
Book value per share (3)	\$ 14.60	\$ 13.02	\$ 12.52	\$ 11.68	\$ 25.00
Tangible book value per common share (3)(8)	\$ 14.40	\$ 12.69	\$ 12.52	\$ 11.68	\$ 23.54
Common shares outstanding (3)	18,459,502	11,347,683	8,398,014	1,840,902	673,693
Net interest margin	3.26%	2.47%	2.76%	2.62%	2.82%
Equity to assets	8.42%	7.11%	7.65%	6.14%	6.15%
Tangible common equity to tangible assets (8)	8.31%	6.95%	7.65%	6.14%	5.79%
Tier 1 leverage ratio – Customers Bank	7.74%	7.11%	8.67%	6.68%	6.21%
Tier 1 leverage ratio – Customers Bancorp	9.30%	7.37%	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio – Customers Bank	8.50%	9.66%	19.65%	9.76%	7.87%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio – Customers Bancorp	10.23%	10.01%	n/a	n/a	n/a
Total risk-based capital ratio – Customers Bank	9.53%	10.78%	21.14%	11.77%	10.50%
Total risk-based capital ratio – Customers Bancorp	11.26%	11.13%	n/a	n/a	n/a
Asset Quality – Non-covered Assets (5)					
Non-performing loans	\$ 22,347	\$ 29,633	\$ 22,242	\$ 10,341	\$ 4,387
Non-performing loans to total non-covered loans	1.84%	2.44%	4.33%	4.49%	1.96%
Other real estate owned	\$ 4,005	\$ 7,316	\$ 1,906	\$ 1,155	\$ 1,519
Non-performing assets	26,352	36,949	24,148	11,496	5,906
Non-performing non-covered assets to total non-covered assets	2.16%	3.02%	4.68%	4.97%	2.62%
Allowance for loan losses to total non-covered loans (7)	1.20%	1.24%	2.94%	4.36%	1.29%
Allowance for loan losses to non-performing non-covered loans (7)	65.26%	50.73%	68.02%	97.01%	65.56%
Net charge-offs	\$ 5,466	\$ 9,547	\$ 5,250	\$ 4,622	\$ 195

Net charge-offs to average non-covered loans		0.45%		1.10%		1.41%		2.04%		0.09%
<b>Asset Quality – Covered Assets (5)</b>										
Non-performing loans	\$	10,504	\$	6,993	\$	8,084	\$	0	\$	0
Non-performing loans to total covered loans		22.69%		16.72%		9.18%		0.00%		0.00%
Other real estate owned	\$	4,109	\$	6,166	\$	5,342	\$	0	\$	0
Non-performing assets		14,613		13,159		13,426		0		0
Non-performing assets to total covered assets		13.09%		9.94%		7.89%		0.00%		0.00%

- (1) On September 17, 2011, we completed our acquisition of Berkshire Bancorp, Inc. All transactions since the acquisition date are included in our consolidated financial statements.

- (2) During the third quarter of 2010, we acquired two banks in FDIC assisted transactions. All transactions since the acquisition dates are included in our consolidated financial statements.
- (3) Effective September 17, 2011, Customers Bank reorganized into the holding company structure pursuant to which all of the issued and outstanding common stock of the Bank was exchanged on a three to one basis for common stock of Customers Bancorp. All share and per share information for periods prior to the reorganization has been restated retrospectively to reflect the reorganization.
- (4) Includes available-for-sale and held-to-maturity investment securities.
- (5) Certain loans and other real estate owned (described as “covered”) acquired in the two FDIC assisted transactions in 2010 are subject to loss sharing agreements between Customers Bank and the FDIC. If certain provisions within the loss sharing agreements are maintained, the FDIC will reimburse Customers Bank for 80% of the unpaid principal balance and certain expenses. A loss sharing receivable was recorded based upon the credit evaluation of the acquired loan portfolio and the estimated periods for repayments. Loans receivable and assets that are not subject to the loss sharing agreement are described as “non-covered”.
- (6) In 2012, loans held for sale includes \$1,248,935 of mortgage warehouse loans at fair value.
- (7) Allowance for loan losses used for this calculation excludes the portion related to purchased credit-impaired (“PCI”) loans of \$11.3 million in 2012.
- (8) Our selected financial data contains non-GAAP financial measures calculated using non-GAAP amounts. These measures include tangible common equity and tangible book value per common share and tangible common equity to tangible assets. Management uses these non-GAAP measures to present historical periods comparable to the current period presentation. In addition, we believe the use of these non-GAAP measures provides additional clarity when assessing our financial results and use of equity. These disclosures should not be viewed as substitutes for results determined to be in accordance with GAAP, nor are they necessarily comparable to non-GAAP performance measures that may be presented by other entities. We calculate tangible common equity by excluding preferred stock and goodwill from total shareholders’ equity. Tangible book value per common share equals tangible common equity divided by common shares outstanding.

A reconciliation of shareholders’ equity to tangible common equity is set forth below.

	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(dollars in thousands, except per share data)				
Shareholders’ equity	\$ 269,475	\$ 147,748	\$ 105,140	\$ 21,503	\$ 16,849
Less:					
Preferred stock	0	0	0	0	(980)
Intangible assets	(3,689)	(3,705)	0	0	0
Tangible common equity	<u>\$ 265,786</u>	<u>\$ 144,043</u>	<u>\$ 105,140</u>	<u>\$ 21,503</u>	<u>\$ 15,869</u>
Shares outstanding	18,460	11,348	8,398	1,841	674
Book value per share	\$ 14.60	\$ 13.02	\$ 12.52	\$ 11.68	\$ 25.01
Less: effect of excluding intangible assets and preferred stock	(.20)	(0.33)	0	0	(1.45)
Tangible book value per share	<u>\$ 14.40</u>	<u>\$ 12.69</u>	<u>\$ 12.52</u>	<u>\$ 11.68</u>	<u>\$ 23.56</u>
Total assets	\$3,201,234	\$2,077,532	\$1,374,407	\$349,760	\$274,038
Less: intangible assets	(3,689)	(3,705)	0	0	0
Total tangible assets	<u>\$3,197,545</u>	<u>\$2,073,827</u>	<u>\$1,374,407</u>	<u>\$349,760</u>	<u>\$274,038</u>
Equity to assets	8.42%	7.11%	7.65%	6.15%	6.15%
Less: effect of excluding intangible assets and preferred stock	(0.11)	(0.16)	0	0	(0.36)
Tangible common equity to tangible assets	<u>8.31%</u>	<u>6.95%</u>	<u>7.65%</u>	<u>6.15%</u>	<u>5.79%</u>

---

**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

*You should read this Management Discussion and Analysis in conjunction with "Business – Executive Summary" and our consolidated financial statements and related notes for the year ended December 31, 2012. Certain amounts reported in the 2011 and 2010 financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2012 presentation. These reclassifications did not significantly impact Bancorp's financial position or results of operations.*

**Critical Accounting Policies**

We have adopted various accounting policies that govern the application of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (US GAAP) and that are consistent with general practices within the banking industry in the preparation of our financial statements. Our significant accounting policies are described in NOTE 3 "SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES" to our audited financial statements.

Certain accounting policies involve significant judgments and assumptions by us that have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities. We consider these accounting policies to be critical accounting policies. The judgment and assumptions used are based on historical experience and other factors, which are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Because of the nature of the judgments and assumptions management makes, actual results could differ from these judgments and estimates, which could have a material impact on the carrying values of our assets and liabilities and our results of operations.

The following is a summary of the policies we recognize as involving critical accounting estimates: Allowance for Loan Losses, Stock-Based Compensation, Unrealized Gains and Losses on Available for Sale Securities, Fair Value, Accounting for Purchase Credit Impaired (PCI) Loans, FDIC Receivable for Loss, and Deferred Income Taxes.

*Allowance for Loan Losses.* We maintain an allowance for loan losses at a level management believes is sufficient to absorb estimated probable credit losses. Management's determination of the adequacy of the allowance is based on periodic evaluations of the loan portfolio and other relevant factors. However, this evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires significant estimates by management. Consideration is given to a variety of factors in establishing these estimates including historical losses, current and anticipated economic conditions, the size and composition of the loan portfolio, delinquency statistics, criticized and classified assets and impaired loans, results of internal loan reviews, borrowers' perceived financial and management strengths, the adequacy of underlying collateral, the dependence on collateral, or the strength of the present value of future cash flows and other relevant factors. These factors may be susceptible to significant change. To the extent actual outcomes differ from management estimates, additional provisions for loan losses may be required which may adversely affect our results of operations in the future.

Substantially all of the Bank's acquired loans are PCI loans. Estimates of cash flows expected to be collected for PCI loans are updated each reporting period. If the Bank has probable decreases in expected cash flows to be collected after acquisition, the Bank charges the provision for loan losses and establishes an allowance for loan losses.

*Stock-Based Compensation.* We recognize compensation expense for share-based awards in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 718 *Compensation – Stock Compensation*. Expense related to stock option awards is based on the fair value of the option at the grant date, with compensation expense recognized over the service period, which is usually the vesting period. We utilize the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of each option on the date of grant. The Black-Scholes model takes into consideration the exercise price of the option, the expected life of the option, the current price of the underlying stock and its expected volatility, expected dividends on our stock, and the current risk-free interest rate for the expected life of the option. Our estimate of the fair value of a stock option is based on expectations derived from our limited historical experience and may not necessarily equate to our market value when fully vested.

*Unrealized Gains and Losses on Securities Available for Sale.* We receive estimated fair values of debt securities from independent valuation services and brokers. In developing these fair values, the valuation services and brokers use estimates of cash flows based on historical performance of similar instruments in similar rate environments. Debt securities available for sale are mostly comprised of mortgage backed securities and U.S. government agency securities. We use various indicators in determining whether a security is other-than-temporarily impaired, including for equity securities, if the market value is below its cost for an extended period of time with low expectation of recovery or, for debt securities, when it is probable that the contractual interest and principal will not be collected. The debt securities are monitored for changes in credit ratings because adverse changes in credit ratings could indicate a change in the estimated cash flows of the underlying collateral or issuer. The unrealized losses associated with securities that management does not intend to sell, and more likely than not that we will be required to sell prior to maturity or market price recovery, are not considered to be other than temporary as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, because the unrealized losses are related to changes in interest rates and do not affect the expected cash flows of the underlying collateral or issuer.

*Fair Value.* The fair value of a financial instrument is defined as the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. Management estimates the fair value of a financial instrument using a variety of valuation methods. Where financial instruments are actively traded and have quoted market

prices, quoted market prices are used for fair value. When the financial instruments are not actively traded, other observable market inputs, such as quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, may be used, if available, to determine fair value. When observable market prices do not exist, we estimate fair value. The valuation methods and inputs consider factors such as types of underlying assets or liabilities, rates of estimated credit losses, interest rate or discount rate and collateral. The best estimate of fair value involves assumptions including, but not limited to, various performance indicators, such as historical and projected default and recovery rates, credit ratings, current delinquency rates, loan-to-value ratios and the possibility of obligor refinancing. US GAAP requires the use of fair values in determining the carrying values of certain assets and liabilities, as well as for specific disclosures. The most significant uses of fair values include impaired loans and foreclosed property and the net assets acquired in business combinations.

#### *Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans*

Purchased credit-impaired (“PCI”) loans are loans that were purchased in business combinations with evidence of credit deterioration since origination and for which it is probable that all contractually required payments will not be collected are considered to be credit impaired. For certain acquired loans that have experienced a deterioration of credit quality, we follow the guidance contained in ASC 310-30, *Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*. Evidence of credit quality deterioration as of purchase dates may include information such as past-due and non-accrual status, borrower credit scores and recent loan to value percentages. Loans acquired through business combinations that do not meet the specific criteria of ASC 310-30, but for which a discount is attributable at least in part to credit quality, are also accounted for under this guidance unless the loan type is excluded from its scope.

The fair value of loans with evidence of credit deterioration are recorded net of a nonaccretable difference and, if appropriate, an accretable yield. The difference between contractually required payments at acquisition and the cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition is the nonaccretable difference, which is not included in the carrying amount of acquired loans. Subsequent decreases to the expected cash flows will generally result in a provision for loan losses. Subsequent to acquisition, estimates of cash flows expected to be collected are updated each reporting period based on updated assumptions regarding default rates, loss severities, and other factors that are reflective of current market conditions. Subsequent increases in cash flows result in a reversal of the provision for loan losses to the extent of prior charges, or a reclassification of the difference from nonaccretable to accretable with a positive impact on accretion of interest income in future periods. Further, any excess of cash flows expected at acquisition over the estimated fair value is referred to as the accretable yield and is recognized in interest income over the remaining life of the loan when there is a reasonable expectation about the amount and timing of those cash flows.

PCI loans acquired in the same fiscal quarter may be aggregated into one or more pools, provided that the loans have common risk characteristics. A pool is then accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows. On a quarterly basis, the Company re-estimates the total cash flows (both principal and interest) expected to be collected over the remaining life of each pool. These estimates incorporate assumptions regarding default rates, loss severities, the amounts and timing of prepayments and other factors that reflect then-current market conditions. If the timing and/or amounts of expected cash flows on PCI loans were determined not to be reasonably estimable, no interest would be accreted and the loans would be reported as non-accrual loans; however, when the timing and amounts of expected cash flows for PCI loans are reasonably estimable, interest is being accreted and the loans are being reported as performing loans. Charge-offs are not recorded on PCI loans until actual losses exceed the estimated losses that were recorded as purchase accounting adjustments at acquisition date.

*FDIC Receivable for Loss Share Agreements.* The majority of the loans and other real estate assets acquired in an FDIC-assisted acquisition are covered under loss share agreements with the FDIC in which the FDIC has agreed to reimburse us for 80% of all losses incurred in connection with those assets. We estimated the amount that we will receive from the FDIC under the loss share agreements that will result from losses incurred as we dispose of covered loans and other real estate assets, and we recorded the estimate as a receivable from the FDIC.

The FDIC loss sharing receivable is measured separately from the related covered assets because it is not contractually embedded in the assets and is not transferable if we sell the assets. We estimated the fair value of the FDIC loss sharing receivable using the present value of cash flows related to the loss share agreements based on the expected reimbursements for losses and the applicable loss share percentages. We review and update the fair value of the FDIC receivable prospectively as loss estimates related to covered loans and other real estate owned change. The ultimate realization of the FDIC loss sharing receivable depends on the performance of the underlying covered assets, the passage of time and claims paid by the FDIC.

*Deferred Income Taxes.* The Bancorp provides for deferred income taxes on the liability method whereby tax assets are recognized for deductible temporary differences and deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities in the financial statements and their tax basis. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment.

---

## Accounting Changes

### *The Fair Value Option*

We elected the fair value option for warehouse lending transactions documented under a Master Repurchase Agreement originated after July 1, 2012 in order to more accurately represent the short term nature of the transaction and its inherent credit risk. This adoption is in accordance with the parameters established by Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 825-10-25, *Financial Instruments-Overall-Recognition: The Fair Value Option*. As a result of this election, new warehouse lending transactions are classified as “Loans held for sale” on the balance sheet. The interest income from the warehouse lending transactions is classified in “Interest Income – Loans receivable, taxable, including fees” on the income statement. An allowance for loan losses is not recorded for the warehouse lending transactions when measured at fair value since under ASC 825, the exit price (the repurchase price) for warehouse lending transactions considers the effect of expected credit losses.

### *Change in Accounting Estimates*

Estimates of cash flows from purchased credit-impaired (“PCI”) loans were revised during the third quarter of 2012 due to conversion to a more sophisticated and precise loan valuation system. In accordance with the guidance in ASC 310-30, interest income is based on an acquired loan’s expected cash flows. Complex models are needed to calculate loan-level and/or pool level expected cash flows in accordance with ASC 310-30. The loan data analysis provided by the new software is a more precise quantification of future cash flows than the analysis that was previously calculated manually. Upon conversion to the new software, acquisition date loan values were loaded into the system and the new software calculated their fair values using its complex valuation model. Conversion to the new system was completed in September 2012. To adjust the acquisition date loan balances recorded on Customers Bank’s books to the amounts calculated by the new software, approximately \$4.4 million was recognized in other non-interest income in the third quarter of 2012. The revised valuation for the PCI acquisition date loan balances due to the conversion to the new software is accounted for prospectively as a change in accounting estimate.

When converting to the new software system, we were required to calculate the estimated cash flows from the various acquisition dates of the PCI loans through the date the software was implemented as it was impracticable to perform these calculations on a monthly or quarterly basis. In the third quarter of 2012, approximately \$4.5 million was recognized in interest income related to this change. The impact of the revised valuation of cash flows for the PCI loan activity due to the conversion to the new software is accounted for prospectively as a change in accounting estimate.

Also during the third quarter of 2012, we re-estimated the cash flows for the PCI loans using current data. The re-estimated expected cash flows decreased from prior estimated cash flows. Consistent with ASC 310-10’s fundamental premise that a decrease in expected cash flows results in accrual of a loss contingency and should not result in a change in yield, we evaluated the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses for PCI loans and determined that an additional provision for loan losses of \$7.5 million was appropriate. In the future, we will re-estimate the cash flows on the PCI loans on a quarterly basis, and adjustments, if any, are not expected to have a material impact on future earnings.

As a result of the changes in estimates, net income for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased by \$900,000, net of tax, and basic and diluted earnings increased by \$0.07 per share.

## Background and Reorganization

Customers Bancorp was formed in April 2010 to facilitate a reorganization into a bank holding company structure pursuant to which Customers Bank became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Customers Bancorp (the “Reorganization”) on September 17, 2011. Pursuant to the Reorganization, all of the issued and outstanding shares of Voting Common Stock and Class B Non-Voting Common Stock of Customers Bank were exchanged on a three-to-one basis for shares of Voting Common Stock and Class B Non-Voting Common Stock, respectively, of Customers Bancorp. The Bancorp is authorized to issue up to 100,000,000 shares of Voting Common Stock, 100,000,000 shares of Class B Non-Voting Common Stock and 100,000,000 shares of preferred stock. All share and per share information for periods prior to the reorganization has been restated to reflect the Reorganization, including the three-for-one consideration used in the Reorganization.

In the Reorganization, the Bank’s issued and outstanding shares of Voting Common Stock of 22,525,825 shares and Class B Non-Voting Common Stock of 6,834,895 shares converted into 7,508,473 shares of the Bancorp’s Voting Common Stock and 2,278,294 shares of the Bancorp’s Class B Non-Voting Common Stock. Cash was paid in lieu of fractional shares. Outstanding warrants to purchase 1,410,732 shares of the Bank’s Voting Common Stock with a weighted-average exercise price of \$3.55 per share and 243,102 shares of the Bank’s Class B Non-Voting Common Stock with a weighted-average exercise price of \$3.50 per share were converted into warrants to purchase 470,260 shares of the Bancorp’s Voting Common Stock with a weighted-average exercise price of \$10.64 per share and warrants to purchase 81,036 shares of the Bancorp’s Class B Non-Voting Common Stock with a weighted-average exercise price of \$10.50 per share. Outstanding stock options to purchase 2,572,404 shares of the Bank’s Voting Common Stock with a weighted-average exercise price of \$3.50 per share and stock options to purchase 231,500 shares of the Bank’s Class B Non-Voting Common Stock with a weighted-average exercise price of \$4.00 per share were converted into

stock options to purchase 855,774 shares of the Bancorp's Voting Common Stock with a weighted-average exercise price of \$10.49 per share and stock options to purchase 77,166 shares of the Bancorp's Class B Non-Voting Common Stock with a weighted-average exercise price of \$12.00 per share.

Accordingly, descriptions of balance sheet and income statement items prior to September 17, 2011 represent those of Customers Bank, and descriptions of balance sheet and income statement items after September 17, 2011 represent the consolidated results of Customers Bancorp. The consolidated results of operations and financial condition presented for those periods after the Reorganization Date, September 17, 2011, include combined results for Customers Bancorp and Customers Bank. All share and per share information has been retrospectively restated to reflect the Reorganization, including the three-for-one consideration used in the Reorganization.

## **Overview**

The following discussion and analysis presents material factors affecting our financial condition as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and results of operations for the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our financial statements, notes thereto and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Like most financial institutions, we derive the majority of our income from interest we receive on our interest-earning assets, such as loans and investments. Our primary source of funds for making these loans and investments is our deposits, on which we pay interest. Consequently, one of the key measures of our success is our amount of net interest income, or the difference between the income on our interest-earning assets and the expense on our interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. Another key measure is the spread between the yield we earn on these interest-earning assets and the rate it pays on our interest-bearing liabilities, which is called our net interest spread.

There are risks inherent in all loans, so we maintain an allowance for loan losses to absorb probable losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible. We maintain this allowance by charging a provision for loan losses against our operating earnings. We have included a detailed discussion of this process, as well as several tables describing our allowance for loan losses.

## **2013 Economic Outlook**

The general consensus amongst economists is one of mild optimism regarding economic prospects for 2013. While most economists predict world-wide growth rates similar to those in 2012, the modestly improved performance of the global economy in the latter half of 2012, coupled with increased stability in the Euro-zone and continued growth in emerging economies, give many analysts reason to believe that a return to global recession can be averted.

According to the International Monetary Fund 2013 World Economic Update, "economic conditions improved modestly in the third quarter of 2012, with global growth increasing to about 3 percent. The main sources of acceleration were emerging market economies, where activity picked up broadly as expected, and the United States, where growth surprised on the upside. Financial conditions stabilized. Bond spreads in the euro area periphery declined, while prices for many risky assets, notably equities, rose globally. Capital flows to emerging markets remained strong. Global financial conditions improved further in the fourth quarter of 2012. However, a broad set of indicators for global industrial production and trade suggests that global growth did not strengthen further. Indeed, the third-quarter uptick in global growth was partly due to temporary factors, including increased inventory accumulation (mainly in the United States). It also masked old and new areas of weakness. Activity in the euro area periphery was even softer than expected, with some signs of stronger spillovers of that weakness to the euro area core. In Japan, output contracted further in the third quarter."

Management believes the outlook for growth in 2013 for the United States remains positive at about 2% growth in GDP, due in large measure to a significant improvement in the housing market, expected surge in consumer demand due to improved household balance sheets, and the stabilizing effect of quantitative easing.

In the Bancorp's market area we see continued modest growth in 2013. The housing market appears to have stabilized with modest increases in value in selected areas. Unemployment should remain at current rates or slightly improve during the year. We are seeing improvement in loan demand in our commercial and industrial, multi-family and commercial real estate loan portfolios and expect to significantly improve our mortgage lending in the coming year. There continues to be uncertainty in the political and external environments in 2013 and it is likely that these challenging conditions will continue in the next few years. Overall we are optimistic that 2013 will show a continuation of the improving environment we have seen in 2012.

Through our mortgage warehouse group, we provide financing to mortgage companies from the time of the home purchase or refinancing of a mortgage loan through the sale of the loan by the mortgage originator into the secondary market. Most of the loans are FHA/VA loans or conforming loans which are sold through Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The strategy is to stay focused on providing the financing in the lowest risk segments in this business. Most of the revenue is derived from the interest income earned on the mortgage warehouse loans, but the business also generates fee income and deposits. We expect moderate growth in commitments in this business in 2013. Funded balances



---

as a percentage of commitments were unusually high in late 2012; contributing to the increase in warehouse loans outstanding which increased by \$655.2 million to \$1,449.5 million at December 31, 2012, from 2011. Over the long-term, we expect continued growth in this business but anticipate a reduction in the proportion of warehouse assets to the total assets, due to faster growth in other loan portfolios. We expect warehouse mix will make up about 25% of assets over time which is down from 45% at December 31, 2012.

During 2012, we began executing on a plan to improve earnings, deploy excess cash on the balance sheet and match future loan growth with deposit growth. We enhanced our loan origination platform, expanded our small business lending team, built a new consumer lending platform, expanded our warehouse lending platform and multifamily lending business, and started to expand our mortgage banking business. We believe these efforts were successful as deposit growth is now aligned with loan originations. We believe there are significant opportunities for growth in both lending and deposit generation in 2013 and beyond. However, if there is a material change in the external environment or our management cannot successfully execute our plans, growth may be more difficult than expected.

---

## Results of Operations

The following discussion of our consolidated results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements, including the accompanying notes. Also see “CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES” under NOTE 3 “SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES” for information concerning certain significant accounting policies and estimates applied in determining our reported results of operations.

### *For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011*

Net income available to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased by 496.9% to \$23.8 million, compared to \$4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Net interest income increased by 85.1%, or \$33.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$71.8 million compared to \$38.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Provision for loan losses was \$16.3 million for the year of 2012 compared to \$9.5 million in 2011, an increase of 72.2%. Non-interest income increased \$17.8 million to \$31.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$13.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Non-interest expense increased \$13.7 million to \$50.7 million. On a diluted per share basis, the net income was \$1.73 per share for 2012 compared to a net income of \$0.39 per share for 2011. Our return on average assets was 1.02% in 2012. Our return on average equity was 12.69% in 2012.

### *For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010*

We had net income available to common shareholders of \$4.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$23.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Net interest income increased \$19.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 to \$38.8 million compared to \$19.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Non-interest expense increased \$10.7 million to \$36.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$26.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Non-interest income increased \$8.0 million to \$13.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$5.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, excluding the bargain purchase gains on bank acquisitions of \$40.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. On a diluted per share basis, the net income was \$0.39 per share for 2011 compared to a net income of \$3.69 per share for 2010. Our return on average assets was 0.24% in 2011. Our return on average equity was 3.06% in 2011.

## NET INTEREST INCOME

Net interest income (the difference between the interest earned on loans, investments and interest-earning deposits with banks, and interest paid on deposits, borrowed funds and subordinated debt) is the primary source of Customers Bancorp's earnings. The following table summarizes the Bancorp's net interest income and related spread and margin for the periods indicated.

	For the year ended December 31,								
	2012			2011			2010		
	Average balance	Interest income or expense	Average yield or cost	Average balance	Interest income or expense	Average yield or cost	Average balance	Interest income or expense	Average yield or cost
(dollars in thousands)									
<b>Assets</b>									
Interest-earning deposits	\$ 138,655	\$ 352	0.25%	\$ 164,376	\$ 416	0.25%	\$128,133	\$ 303	0.24%
Federal funds sold	0	0	0.00	2,285	3	0.15	11,176	20	0.18
Investment securities, taxable (A)	224,075	6,663	2.97	481,319	14,063	2.92	49,569	1,382	2.79
Investment securities, non-taxable (A)	1,642	68	4.16	2,080	86	4.15	2,516	110	4.37
Loans, taxable (B)	1,853,086	86,284	4.66	936,507	46,614	4.98	523,753	29,021	5.54
Loans, non-taxable (B)	7,596	176	2.31	1,304	63	4.86	0	0	0.00
Allowance for loan losses	(17,865)			(15,316)			(13,310)		
<b>Total interest-earning assets</b>	<b>2,207,189</b>	<b>93,543</b>	<b>4.24</b>	<b>1,572,555</b>	<b>61,245</b>	<b>3.89</b>	<b>701,837</b>	<b>30,836</b>	<b>4.39</b>
Non-interest-earning assets	118,114			83,017			160,247		
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$2,325,303</b>			<b>\$1,655,572</b>			<b>\$862,084</b>		
<b>Liabilities</b>									
Interest checking	\$ 36,701	193	0.52	\$ 20,364	95	0.46	12,009	68	0.57
Money market	856,338	7,423	0.87	505,269	6,705	1.33	190,972	3,609	1.89
Other savings	20,267	112	0.56	13,542	92	0.68	11,222	76	0.68
Certificates of deposit	935,207	13,348	1.43	808,637	14,969	1.85	369,757	7,359	1.99
Total interest-bearing deposits	1,848,513	21,076	1.14	1,347,812	21,861	1.62	583,960	11,112	1.90
Other borrowings	100,484	685	0.68	68,553	603	0.88	13,582	434	3.20
<b>Total interest-bearing liabilities</b>	<b>1,948,997</b>	<b>21,761</b>	<b>1.12</b>	<b>1,416,365</b>	<b>22,464</b>	<b>1.59</b>	<b>597,542</b>	<b>11,546</b>	<b>1.93</b>
Non-interest-bearing deposits	180,719			94,768			50,708		
Total deposits and borrowings	2,129,716		1.02	1,511,133		1.49	648,250		1.78
Other non-interest-bearing liabilities	7,952			12,423			144,820		
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>2,137,668</b>			<b>1,523,556</b>			<b>793,070</b>		
<b>Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>187,635</b>			<b>132,016</b>			<b>69,014</b>		
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>	<b>\$2,325,303</b>			<b>\$1,655,572</b>			<b>\$862,084</b>		
Net interest earnings		71,782			38,781			19,290	
Tax-equivalent adjustment (C)		131			81			57	
Net interest earnings		<u>\$71,913</u>			<u>\$38,862</u>			<u>\$19,347</u>	
<b>Interest spread</b>			<b>3.22</b>			<b>2.41</b>			<b>2.61</b>
<b>Net interest margin (D)</b>			<b>3.25</b>			<b>2.47</b>			<b>2.75</b>
<b>Net interest margin tax equivalent (C)(D)</b>			<b>3.26%</b>			<b>2.47%</b>			<b>2.76%</b>

- (A) For presentation in this table, balances and the corresponding average rates for investment securities are based upon historical cost, adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts.
- (B) Includes non-accrual loans, the effect of which is to reduce the yield earned on loans, and deferred loan fees.
- (C) Full tax equivalent basis, using a 35% statutory tax rate to approximate interest income as a taxable asset.
- (D) Excluding the adjustment to interest income for the change in accounting estimate on PCI loans of \$4.5 million, net interest margin and net interest margin tax equivalent are 3.05% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012.
- (E) Certain amounts reported in the 2011 and 2010 financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2012 presentation. These reclassifications did not significantly impact Bancorp's financial position or results of operations.

The following table presents the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense for the major categories of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Information is provided for each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities with respect to (i) changes attributable to volume (i.e., changes in average balances multiplied by the prior-period average rate) and (ii) changes attributable to rate (i.e., changes in average rate multiplied by prior-period average balances). For purposes of this table, changes attributable to both rate and volume, which cannot be segregated, have been allocated proportionately to the change due to volume and the change due to rate.

	2012 vs. 2011			2011 vs. 2010		
	Increase (decrease) due to change in			Increase (decrease) due to change in		
	Rate	Volume	Total	Rate	Volume	Total
	(dollars in thousands)					
<b>Interest income:</b>						
Interest earning deposits	\$ 0	\$ (64)	\$ (64)	\$ 13	\$ 100	\$ 113
Federal funds sold	(3)	0	(3)	(3)	(14)	(17)
Investment securities, taxable	241	(7,641)	(7,400)	64	12,617	12,681
Investment securities, non-taxable	0	(18)	(18)	(6)	(18)	(24)
Loans	(2,997)	42,667	39,670	(2,933)	20,526	17,593
Loans, non-taxable	(33)	146	113	0	63	63
<b>Total interest income</b>	<b>(2,792)</b>	<b>35,090</b>	<b>32,298</b>	<b>(2,865)</b>	<b>33,274</b>	<b>30,409</b>
<b>Interest expense:</b>						
Interest checking	12	86	98	(13)	40	27
Money market	(2,324)	3,042	718	(1,069)	4,165	3,096
Savings	(16)	36	20	0	16	16
Certificates of deposit	(3,396)	1,775	(1,621)	(518)	8,128	7,610
Total interest bearing deposits	(5,724)	4,939	(785)	(1,600)	12,349	10,749
Borrowings	(137)	219	82	(315)	484	169
<b>Total interest expense</b>	<b>(5,861)</b>	<b>5,158</b>	<b>(703)</b>	<b>(1,915)</b>	<b>12,833</b>	<b>10,918</b>
<b>Net interest income</b>	<b>\$ 3,069</b>	<b>\$ 29,932</b>	<b>\$33,001</b>	<b>\$ (950)</b>	<b>\$20,441</b>	<b>\$19,491</b>

*For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011*

Net interest income was \$71.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$39.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, an increase of \$32.8 million or 84.1%. This net increase was attributable to increases of \$634.6 million in average volume of average interest-earning assets, offset by an increase of \$532.6 million in average interest-bearing liabilities. The primary driver of the increase in net interest income was from higher loan volume from the following:

- \$271.5 million increase in average mortgage warehouse loans due to growth of the mortgage warehouse lending business;
- \$113.7 million increase in average commercial loans due to growth of the commercial loan portfolio; and
- \$126.8 million increase in average multi-family loans due to growth of the multi-family lending business.

The key measure of our net interest income is net interest margin. Our net interest margin increased to 3.25% for 2012 from 2.47% for 2011. The changes in yields were secondary to the changes in loan volume.

*For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010*

Net interest income was \$39.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$19.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, an increase of \$19.6 million or 101%. This net increase was attributable to increases in average volume of average interest-earning assets, offset by an increase in average interest-bearing liabilities, as a result of:

- the inclusion of the acquired USA Bank and ISN Bank loans and deposits, for all of 2011, as opposed to less than six months in 2010;
- the acquisition of Berkshire Bancorp in September 2011;

- the purchase of a \$105.8 million manufactured housing loan portfolio in August 2010;
- a \$172.3 million increase in average mortgage warehouse loans due to our strategy to grow the mortgage warehouse lending business; and
- significant increases in average money market accounts and average certificates of deposit due to our efforts to obtain new business and the related increase in average investment securities as a result of the deployment of the cash flow generated by these deposit accounts; and increases in interest-bearing deposits due to the USA Bank, ISN Bank, and Berkshire Bank acquisitions.

Our net interest margin decreased to 2.47% for 2011 from 2.75% for 2010. This decrease was primarily the result of a decrease in the yield of average loans of 56 basis points as well as a decrease of 60 basis points in restricted stock. This was offset by a decrease in the total interest bearing deposits of 28 basis points, primarily driven by a decrease in the cost of funds of money market accounts which dropped 56 basis points. These decreases in yields were attributable to the continuing difficulties within the economy.

## **PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES**

*For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011*

We establish an allowance for loan losses through a provision for loan losses charged as an expense on the statement of income. The loan portfolio is reviewed and evaluated on a quarterly basis to evaluate our outstanding loans and to measure both the performance of the portfolio and the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Future adjustments may be necessary to the provision for loan losses, and consequently the allowance for loan losses, if economic conditions or loan quality differ substantially from the assumptions management used in the evaluation of the level of the allowance for loan losses as compared to outstanding loans.

During 2012, the provision for loan losses was \$16.3 million, an increase of \$6.8 million from \$9.5 million in 2011. The year over year increase is primarily related to the increase in the loan portfolio for commercial and residential real estate as well as a \$7.5 million adjustment that is attributable to the change in accounting estimate for the PCI loans. Since July 2012, the majority of our warehouse loans are accounted for at fair value and accordingly no allowance for loan losses was recognized for these loans in 2012 compared to a provision of \$929,000 in 2011. Net charge-offs were \$5.5 million and \$9.5 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

As a result of significant changes to the Bank's underwriting guidelines in 2009, all new loans originated subsequent to 2009 have been grouped separately and are called the Post 2009 portfolio. New originations in this portfolio continue to perform very well with almost no delinquencies, however, due to growth in loan volume for construction and commercial real estate, the allowance related to the Post 2009 portfolio increased by \$3.5 million. This increase was offset by a decrease in the Legacy, or pre-2009, originated portfolio of \$1.6 million due to run off of this portfolio.

For the acquired portfolio, the level of delinquent, non-performing, and impaired loans increased in 2012 compared to 2011. We recorded a provision for loan losses of \$4.1 million for Berkshire in 2012, and increased the provision for the FDIC covered loans by \$3.2 million. These increases were due in part to an adjustment of \$7.5 million that was recorded to the provision for loan losses during the third quarter of 2012 that was related to a change in accounting estimate for the PCI loans. For additional information about the change in accounting estimate refer to "NOTE 3 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – *Change in Accounting Estimates*" in this Form 10-K.

On July 24, 2012, the Bank purchased \$62.4 million in manufactured housing loans with a recourse provision, whereby the Bank is reimbursed by the originator for any incurred losses on them, and therefore there are no anticipated losses requiring an allowance for loan losses reserve for these loans.

Other than the concentrations in construction and commercial real estate, we have no large exposures in other risky industries such as restaurants, home heating oil businesses or other industries that are typically viewed as high risk loans. The majority of our borrowers are small, local businesses and individuals with investments in residential or commercial real estate. The typical borrower provides self-prepared or accountant assisted financial statements and tax returns that are not audited and therefore are less reliable than information that would be obtained from more sophisticated borrowers. The absence of objectively verified financial information is a challenge to all community banks and represents a layer of risk that must be considered in judging the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses.

*For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010*

During 2011, the provision for loan losses was \$9.5 million, a decrease of \$947,000 from \$10.4 million in 2010. This decrease was primarily due to the shift in reserve allocations from specific reserves to general reserves based on impaired loan valuations and charge-off activity. The charge-offs incurred in 2011 decreased the specific reserves by \$2 million and provided a cushion for additional provision required in general reserve. The level of delinquent, non-performing, and impaired loans have continued to increase throughout 2011 due the continued decline in the economy and commercial real estate market.

As is typical with community banks, we have a high concentration (89.4%) of our loans secured by real estate. Construction and commercial real estate represent 25.3% of the total loan portfolio, a slight decrease from 2010. It is in the construction and commercial secured portion of the loan portfolio that we are experiencing the most difficulty with delinquent and non-accrual loans. Although we believe that we have identified and appropriately allocated reserves against the riskiest of our loans in the construction and commercial real estate portfolio, the possibility of further deterioration before the real estate market turns presents the need for potential increased allocations of the allowance for loan losses in that area in the future.

Net charge-offs were \$9.5 million and \$5.3 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

See Credit Risk and Asset Quality sections for further information regarding our provision for loan losses, allowance for loan losses and net charge-offs generally, and additional discussion of our non-performing loans.

### NON-INTEREST INCOME

The below chart shows our results in the various components of non-interest income for each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(dollars in thousands)		
Deposit fees	\$ 481	\$ 436	\$ 375
Mortgage warehouse transaction fees	12,289	5,581	2,631
Bank owned life insurance	1,332	1,404	228
Net gain on sales of investment securities	9,017	2,731	1,114
Gains on sales of SBA loans	357	329	98
Bargain purchase gain on bank acquisitions	—	—	40,254
Accretion of FDIC loss sharing receivable	2,001	1,955	—
Other	5,753	988	970
<b>Total non-interest income</b>	<b>\$31,230</b>	<b>\$13,424</b>	<b>\$45,670</b>

*For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011*

Non-interest income was \$31.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, an increase of \$17.8 million from non-interest income of \$13.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. This increase primarily was due to an increase of \$6.7 million in mortgage warehouse transaction fees due to increased volume during the year. A net gain on the sale of investment securities of \$9.0 was recognized in 2012 primarily due to our sale of \$257.6 million in available-for-sale securities in the second quarter of 2012, which was executed to provide funding for loan growth. Additionally, an adjustment of \$4.4 million was recorded to other non-interest income that was related to a change in accounting estimate for the PCI loans. For additional information about the change in accounting estimate refer to “NOTE 3 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – *Change in Accounting Estimates*” in this Form 10-K.

*For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010*

Non-interest income was \$13.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, a decrease of \$32.2 million from non-interest income of \$45.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. This net decrease primarily was due to \$40.3 million in bargain purchase gains on bank acquisitions recognized in 2010. In addition, mortgage warehouse transaction fees for 2011 increased \$3.0 million, when compared to 2010. The increase in mortgage warehouse transactional fees for 2011 was the result of increased volume in 2011. Furthermore, during 2011, we recognized increased income on bank-owned life insurance of \$1.2 million, due to the purchase of an additional \$20 million of Bank-Owned Life Insurance (“BOLI”) in December 2010 and the acquisition of \$2.5 million in BOLI relating to Berkshire Bancorp, Inc. The increase in net gains on sales of investment securities of \$1.6 million was the result of our sales of \$180.0 million of investment securities for net gains of \$2.7 million. In 2010, we sold \$153.2 million of investment securities for net gains of \$1.1 million. Net adjustments of \$2.0 million related to our FDIC loss sharing receivable was the result of additional adjustments to the loss sharing assets, charge-offs of covered loans, and impairments of covered OREO.

## NON-INTEREST EXPENSE

The below chart shows our results in the various components of non-interest expense for each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(dollars in thousands)		
Salaries and employee benefits	\$23,846	\$16,602	\$14,031
Occupancy	6,816	4,286	1,897
Technology, communication and bank operations	2,805	1,797	2,431
Advertising and promotion	1,219	994	1,007
Professional services	3,468	5,124	2,833
FDIC assessments, taxes, and regulatory fees	3,037	2,366	1,613
Other real estate owned	(85)	809	702
Loan workout	2,243	1,429	682
Merger related expenses	90	531	0
Stock offering expenses	1,437	0	0
Other	5,775	2,948	972
Total non-interest expenses	<u>\$50,651</u>	<u>\$36,886</u>	<u>\$26,168</u>

The below chart shows our results in the various components of other non-interest expense for each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(dollars in thousands)		
Loan insurance expense	\$1,344	\$ 928	\$198
Loan origination and servicing fees	905	137	27
Customer related and office supplies	345	317	246
Other	3,181	1,566	501
Total other non-interest expenses	<u>\$5,775</u>	<u>\$2,948</u>	<u>\$972</u>

### *For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011*

Non-interest expense was \$50.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, which was an increase of \$13.8 million over non-interest expense of \$36.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The following contributed to the higher 2012 non-interest expense: Salaries and employee benefits, which represent the largest component of non-interest expense increased by \$7.2 million; occupancy expense increased by \$2.5 million; and technology, communications, and bank operations expense increased by \$1.0 million. The increase in these expenses related primarily to hiring of additional employees to support Company growth, the Berkshire acquisition which added three additional branches, and opening of additional branches. The stock offering expenses of \$1.4 million were recorded in 2012 as a result of the postponement of our public offering of Voting Common Stock in May 2012. The increase of \$671,000 in FDIC assessments, taxes, and regulatory fees was attributed to increases in FDIC premiums primarily as a result of the growth of our assessment base (average consolidated total assets minus average tangible equity) and additional Pennsylvania shares tax expense due to our increased asset size. Offsetting the increased expenses were decreases in professional services expense of \$1.7 million. This decrease was primarily attributable to legal and consulting expenses incurred in 2011 related to regulatory filings and the Berkshire acquisition. Loan insurance and loan origination and servicing fees increased due to higher loan volume.

### *For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010*

Non-interest expense was \$36.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, an increase of \$10.8 million when compared to \$26.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Salaries and employee benefits, which represented the largest component of non-interest expense, increased \$2.6 million; occupancy expense increased \$2.4 million; technology, communications, and bank operations expense increased \$634,000; professional services expense increased \$2.3 million; FDIC assessments, taxes, and regulatory fees increased \$753,000; loan workout and other real estate owned expenses increased \$854,000 million; and other expenses increased \$1.9 million. The increase in salaries and employee benefits expense primarily was due to an increase in the total number of employees from 133 full-time equivalents at December 31, 2010 to 205 full-time equivalents at December 31, 2011. This increase in our workforce was the result of the Berkshire acquisition and the need for additional employees to support our growth strategy. In addition, share-based compensation expense decreased \$1.3

million from December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2011 because there were one-time share-based awards in 2010 that vested immediately. The increase in occupancy and technology, communications, and bank operations expense was the result of the Berkshire acquisition which added three additional branches and the opening of additional branches to support our growth strategy. The increase in professional services expense was primarily attributable to legal expenses related to regulatory filings and ongoing litigation with a vendor from one of the acquired banks. The increase in FDIC assessments, taxes, and regulatory fees was attributed to increases in FDIC premiums primarily as a result of the growth of our assessment base (average consolidated total assets minus average tangible equity) and additional Pennsylvania shares tax expense due to our increased asset size. The increase in loan workout and other real estate owned expense was due to increased volume of non-covered, non-performing assets. The increase in other expenses generally can be attributed to the Berkshire acquisition in 2011 and the USA Bank and ISN Bank acquisitions in 2010 as well as general growth of the infrastructure.

## **INCOME TAXES**

### *For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011*

The income tax expense and effective tax rate include both federal and state income taxes. In 2012, income tax expense was \$12.3 million with an effective tax rate of 34%, compared to an expense of \$1.8 million and a rate of 31.27% for 2011. Income tax expense was driven primarily by net income before taxes of \$36.1 million and \$5.9 million, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively. In 2012, income tax expense was offset by a tax benefit from bank owned life insurance of \$466,000, or a 1.29% tax rate reduction. In 2011, income tax expense was offset by a tax benefit from bank owned life insurance of \$490,000, or an 8.35% tax rate reduction.

### *For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010*

The income tax provision was \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, with an effective tax rate of 31.27%, compared to \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, with an effective tax rate of 16.83%. The decrease in the income tax expense was primarily due to the decrease in net income before taxes of approximately \$22.6 million. In addition, the tax benefit from bank owned life insurance increased by approximately \$423,000 due to the purchase of approximately \$20.0 million of bank owned life insurance at the end of 2010. In 2010, we recorded a reversal to the valuation allowance of \$6.6 million which reduced the year's effective tax rate by 23.51%.

For additional information regarding our income taxes, refer to "NOTE 14 – INCOME TAXES" in the consolidated financial statements appearing in Part II, Item 8.

## **FINANCIAL CONDITION**

### **GENERAL**

Our total assets were \$3.201 billion at December 31, 2012. This represents a \$1.123 billion, or 54.0% increase from \$2.078 billion at December 31, 2011. The main component of this change was a significant increase in loan volume. Our total liabilities were \$2.932 billion at December 31, 2012, up 51.9% from \$1.930 billion at December 31, 2011.

The main driver of the increase in assets is primarily from our expansion of mortgage warehouse lending, which increased by 82.5%, or \$655.2 million to \$1.449 billion at December 31, 2012 from \$794.3 million at December 31, 2011. Additionally, multi-family loans increased by \$291.1 million, commercial loans increased by \$177.9 million and consumer loans increased by \$99.5 million. These loan increases were offset by a decrease in investment securities of \$269.6 million primarily due to the sale of \$257.6 million of securities in the second quarter of 2012 that was used to fund the loan growth.

The increase in total liabilities is due to a higher level of deposits in 2012, compared to 2011. Total deposits grew by 54.2% or \$857.6 million, to \$2.441 billion at December 31, 2012 from \$1.583 billion at December 31, 2011. Deposits are obtained primarily from within our geographic service area and through wholesale and broker networks. These networks provide low cost funding alternatives to retail deposits and provide diversity to our sources of funds. The increase in bank deposits is primarily due to the use of brokered and listing service deposits in 2012. The growth in retail deposits was primarily due to exceptional sales behaviors, despite lower interest rates in 2012. Additionally, the Berkshire Bank acquisition added three bank branches to the Company.



The following table sets forth certain key condensed balance sheet data:

	December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(dollars in thousands)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 186,016	\$ 73,570
Investment securities, available for sale	129,093	79,137
Investment securities, held-to-maturity	0	319,547
Loans held for sale (including \$1,248,935 of mortgage warehouse loans at fair value in 2012 (a))	1,439,889	174,999
Loans receivable not covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements	1,216,941	1,215,117
Loans receivable covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements	107,526	126,276
Total loans receivable, net of the allowance for loan losses	1,298,630	1,326,361
Total assets	3,201,234	2,077,532
Total deposits	2,440,818	1,583,189
Federal funds purchased	5,000	5,000
Total other borrowings	471,000	331,000
Subordinated debt	2,000	2,000
Total liabilities	2,931,759	1,929,784
Total shareholders' equity	269,475	147,748
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	3,201,234	2,077,532

- (a) During the third quarter of 2012, we elected the fair value option for certain warehouse lending transactions originated after July 1, 2012. As a result of this election, all qualified warehouse lending transactions on our balance sheet at December 31, 2012, were accounted for at fair value and classified as held for sale. Warehouse lending transactions on our balance sheet at December 31, 2011 were classified as loans receivable not covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements.

### CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS

Cash and due from banks consists mainly of vault cash and cash items in the process of collection. These balances totaled \$12.9 million at December 31, 2012. This represents a \$5.1 million increase from \$7.8 million at December 31, 2011. These balances vary from day to day, primarily due to variations in customers' deposits with us.

### INTEREST-EARNING DEPOSITS WITH BANKS

Our interest earning deposits consist mainly of deposits at the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh. These deposits totaled \$173.1 million at December 31, 2012, which is a \$107.3 million increase from \$65.8 million at December 31, 2011. This balance varies from day to day, depending on several factors, such as variations in customers' deposits with us and the payment of checks drawn on customers' accounts.

### INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Our investment securities portfolio is an important source of interest income and liquidity. It consists of U.S. Treasury, government agency and mortgage-backed securities (guaranteed by an agency of the United States government and non-agency guaranteed), municipal securities, domestic corporate debt, and asset-backed securities. In addition to generating revenue, we maintain the investment portfolio to manage interest rate risk, provide liquidity, provide collateral for other borrowings and diversify the credit risk of earning assets. The portfolio is structured to maximize net interest income, given changes in the economic environment, liquidity position and balance sheet mix.

At December 31, 2012, our investment securities were \$129.1 million compared to \$398.7 million in 2011. The decrease is primarily the result of our sale in May 2012 of \$257.6 million of investment securities that realized a pre-tax gain of \$8.8 million. Of the \$398.7 million in investment securities at December 31, 2011, \$319.5 million were classified as held to maturity. Due to its strong outlook for loan growth, falling interest rates, and its recent decision to postpone its initial public offering of stock, the Bancorp decided to reclassify these securities to available for sale and subsequently sold \$257.6 million of these securities to provide liquidity. In accordance with regulatory and accounting requirements, the Bancorp is prohibited from classifying security purchases as held to maturity for a period of two years.

Unrealized gains and losses on AFS securities, although excluded from the results of operations, are reported as a separate component of shareholders' equity, net of the related tax effect.

The following table sets forth the amortized cost of the investment securities at the last three fiscal year ends:

	December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(dollars in thousands)		
<b>Available for Sale:</b>			
U.S. Treasury and government agencies	\$ 0	\$ 1,002	\$ 1,711
Mortgage-backed securities	102,449	55,818	204,182
Asset-backed securities	0	622	719
Municipal securities	0	2,071	2,088

Corporate notes	25,000	20,000	0
Equity securities	6	0	0
	<u>\$127,455</u>	<u>\$ 79,513</u>	<u>\$208,700</u>
<b>Held to Maturity:</b>			
Mortgage-backed securities	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$319,547</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>

For financial reporting purposes, available for sale securities are carried at fair value.

The following table sets forth information about the maturities and weighted average yield on our securities portfolio. Yields are not reported on a tax-equivalent basis.

	December 31, 2012						Fair Value
	Amortized Cost						
	< 1yr	1 -5 years	5 -10 years	After 10 years	No Specific Maturity	Total	Total
(dollars in thousands)							
Available for Sale							
Mortgage-backed securities	—	—	—	—	\$102,449	\$102,449	\$104,135
Yield	—	—	—	—	1.86%	1.86%	—
Corporate notes	—	\$25,000	—	—	—	25,000	24,952
Yield	—	3.85%	—	—	—	3.85%	—
Equity securities	—	—	—	—	6	6	6
Yield	—	—	—	—	0.00%	0.00%	—
Total	—	\$25,000	—	—	\$102,455	\$127,455	\$129,093
Weighted Average Yield	— %	3.85%	— %	— %	1.86%	2.25%	

The mortgage-backed securities in our portfolio were issued by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and Ginnie Mae and contain guarantees for the collection of principal and interest on the underlying mortgages. The corporate notes in the portfolio are high-quality investments in financial service industry companies.

## LOANS

Our existing lending relationships are primarily with small businesses and individual consumers in Bucks, Berks, Montgomery, Chester and Delaware Counties, Pennsylvania, southeast New York and central New Jersey, and to a lesser extent in surrounding markets. Our loan portfolio is primarily comprised of commercial real estate, construction and development, and commercial and industrial loans. We continue to focus on small business loans, to realign our commercial lending efforts, to establish a specialty lending business and expand our consumer lending products, as outlined below:

### Commercial Lending

The Bank's commercial lending is divided into three distinct groups: Business Banking, Small Business Banking, and Multi-family/commercial real estate. This division is designed to allow for greater resource deployment, higher standards of risk management, strong asset quality, lower interest rate risk and higher productivity levels.

The Business Banking lending group focuses on companies with annual revenues ranging from \$5 million to \$50 million, which typically have credit requirements between \$0.5 million and \$10 million.

The Small Business Banking platform originates loans, including Small Business Administration loans, through the branch network sales force and a team of dedicated Small Business relationship managers. The support administration of the platform for this segment is centralized including risk management, product management, marketing, performance tracking and overall strategy. Credit and sales training has been established for our sales force, ensuring we have small business experts in place providing appropriate financial solutions to the small business owners in our communities. A division approach focuses on industries that offer us high asset quality and are deposit rich to drive profitability.

As of December 31, 2012, we had \$1,023.3 million in commercial loans outstanding, composing approximately 37.0% of our total loan portfolio, which includes loans held for sale.

### Specialty Lending

In 2009, we established the mortgage warehouse lending business which provides financing to mortgage bankers for residential mortgage originations from loan closing until sale in the secondary market. Many providers of liquidity in this segment exited the business in 2009 during the period of excessive market turmoil. There is an opportunity to provide liquidity to this business at attractive spreads. There is also opportunity to attract escrow deposits and to generate fee income in this business.

The goal of the warehouse group is to provide liquidity to mortgage companies. These lines are used by mortgage companies to fund their pipelines from closing of individual mortgage loans until their sale into the secondary market. Most of the loans are guaranteed by the U.S. government through one of their programs such as FHA, VA, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. As of December 31, 2012, loans in our warehouse lending portfolio totaled \$1.449 billion outstanding, composing approximately 52.4% of our total loan portfolio, which includes loans held for sale.

The goal of our multi-family lending group is to build a portfolio of high quality multi-family and commercial real estate loans within our covered markets, while cross selling our other products and services. This business line primarily focuses on refinancing existing loans, using conservative underwriting. The primary collateral for these loans is a first lien mortgage on the multi-family property, plus an assignment of all leases related to such property. As of December 31, 2012, we had multi-family loans of \$358.9 million outstanding, composing approximately 12.9% of our total loan portfolio, which includes loans held for sale.

### Consumer Lending

We plan to expand our product offerings in real estate secured consumer lending. We will not offer indirect automobile loans, unsecured loans or credit cards. We provide home equity and residential mortgage loans to customers. Underwriting standards for home equity lending are conservative, focusing on FICO scores 720 and higher, and lending is offered to solidify customer relationships and grow relationship revenues in the long term. This lending is important in our efforts to grow total relationship revenues for our consumer households. As of December 31, 2012, we had \$131.4 million in consumer loans outstanding, composing 4.8% of our total loan portfolio, which includes loans held for sale.

During 2012, certain types of loans were reclassified due to their purpose and overall risk characteristics. Therefore certain loan balances as of December 31, 2011 were reclassified to conform to the 2012 presentation.

The composition of net loans receivable is as follows:

	December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(dollars in thousands)				
<b>Covered Loans:</b>					
Construction	\$ 27,792	\$ 37,926	\$ 38,280	\$ —	\$ —
Business Banking:					
Commercial real estate	44,901	48,789	75,245	—	—
Commercial and industrial	11,153	13,084	22,876	—	—
Residential real estate	19,952	22,465	23,822	—	—
Manufactured housing	3,728	4,012	4,662	—	—
Total loan receivable covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements (a)	107,526	126,276	164,885	—	—
<b>Non-Covered Loans:</b>					
Construction	28,897	15,271	13,387	21,742	—
Business Banking:					
Commercial real estate	835,488	350,929	144,849	133,433	—
Commercial and industrial	75,118	69,736	35,942	25,290	188,192
Mortgage warehouse (b)	9,565	619,318	186,113	16,435	—
Manufactured housing	154,703	104,565	102,924	—	—
Residential real estate	109,430	53,476	28,964	27,422	8,592
Consumer	2,061	2,211	1,581	5,524	26,448
Unearned origination (fees) costs, net	1,679	(389)	327	452	520
Total loan receivable not covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements	1,216,941	1,215,117	514,087	230,298	223,752
Total loans receivable	1,324,467	1,341,393	678,972	230,298	223,752
Allowance for loan losses	(25,837)	(15,032)	(15,129)	(10,032)	(2,876)
Loans receivable, net	\$1,298,630	\$1,326,361	\$663,843	\$220,266	\$220,876

- (a) Covered loans receivable acquired from the former USA Bank and ISN Bank are covered under the FDIC loss sharing agreements over a five to ten year period, depending upon the type of loan.
- (b) During the third quarter of 2012, we elected the fair value option for certain warehouse lending transactions originated after July 1, 2012. As a result of this election, all qualified warehouse lending transactions on our balance sheet at December 31, 2012, were accounted for at fair value and classified as held for sale. Warehouse lending transactions on our balance sheet at December 31, 2011 were classified as loans receivable not covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements.

During 2012, loans held for sale increased by \$1.265 billion while loans receivable, net decreased by \$27.7 million. The variance in these loan

categories is due to the classification of qualifying mortgage warehouse loans in “Loans held for sale” at December 31, 2012, compared to their classification in “Loans Receivable, net” at December 31, 2011. The change in classification is due to our election of the fair value option, for qualifying mortgage warehouse lending transactions originated after July 1, 2012. At December 31, 2011, mortgage warehouse loans classified in loans receivable, net that would have qualified for the fair value option were \$606.1 million; excluded from loans receivable, net, the year over year loans receivable, net balance increased by \$578.0 million from 2011 to 2012.

The primary drivers of this increase were multi-family and commercial real estate that contributed \$358.9 million and \$476.6 million, respectively to the December 31, 2012 balance, compared to their combined balance of \$350.9 million at December 31, 2011. Our strategic expansion of our multi-family and commercial real estate product lines was the basis for this growth. In addition, during 2012, we acquired a manufactured housing portfolio of \$63.2 million contributed to the 2012 growth.

Total loans increased by \$1.248 billion to \$2.764 billion at December 31, 2012 from \$1.516 billion at December 31, 2011. During 2012, loans held for sale increased by \$1.265 billion while loans receivable, net decreased by \$27.7 million. At December 31, 2012, mortgage warehouse loans were included in loans held for sale due to our election to the fair value option, compared to their inclusion in loans receivable, net at December 31, 2011. Excluding from loans receivable, net, the December 31, 2011 type of mortgage warehouse loans that are accounted for by the fair value option in 2012, of \$606.1 million, there was an increase of \$578.0 million from the year of 2011 to the year of 2012. The primary drivers of this increase were multi-family and commercial real estate that contributed \$358.9 million and \$476.6 million, respectively to the December 31, 2012 balance, compared to their combined balance of \$350.9 million at December 31, 2011. Our strategic expansion of our multi-family and commercial real estate product lines was the basis for this growth. In addition, during 2012, we acquired a manufactured housing portfolio of \$63.2 million contributed to the 2012 growth.

The following table sets forth certain categories of loans\* as of December 31, 2012, in terms of contractual maturity date:

	<u>Within one year</u>	<u>After one but within five years</u>	<u>After five years</u>	<u>Total</u>
	(dollars in thousands)			
<b>Types of Loans:</b>				
Construction	\$31,634	\$ 3,446	\$ 21,609	\$ 56,689
Commercial real estate	53,983	244,965	581,441	880,389
Commercial and industrial	7,443	12,388	66,440	86,271
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$93,060</b>	<b>\$260,799</b>	<b>\$669,490</b>	<b>\$1,023,349</b>
<b>Amount of such loans with:</b>				
Predetermined rates	\$68,546	\$240,335	\$503,153	\$ 812,034
Floating or adjustable rates	24,514	20,464	166,337	211,315
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$93,060</b>	<b>\$260,799</b>	<b>\$669,490</b>	<b>\$1,023,349</b>

\* Includes covered and non-covered loans.

### CREDIT RISK

We manage credit risk by maintaining diversification in our loan portfolio, by establishing and enforcing rigorous underwriting standards, by intensive collection efforts, and by establishing and performing periodic loan classification reviews. Management also attempts to anticipate and allow for credit risks by maintaining an adequate allowance for loan losses, to which credit losses are charged as they are incurred, and to which provisions are added periodically as Management and the board of directors deem appropriate.

The provision for loan losses was \$16.3 million, \$9.5 million, and \$10.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The allowance for loan losses was \$25.8 million, or 2.1% of total non-covered loans, at December 31, 2012, and \$15.0 million, or 1.2% of total non-covered loans, at December 31, 2011. Net charge-offs were \$5.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, a decrease of \$4.0 million compared to the \$9.5 million for the year ending December 31, 2011. In addition, we have approximately \$107.5 million in loans that are covered under loss share arrangements with the FDIC as of December 31, 2012 compared to \$126.3 million as of December 31, 2011.

The chart below depicts the Bancorp allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated.

	December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(dollars in thousands)				
Balance of the allowance at the beginning of the year	\$15,032	\$15,129	\$10,032	\$ 2,876	\$2,460
<b>Loan charge-offs (3)</b>					
Construction	2,507	1,179	1,214	920	100
Commercial real estate	2,462	5,775	964	2,597	79
Commercial and industrial	522	2,543	1,699	1,080	—
Residential real estate	649	109	1,366	—	1
Consumer and other	26	55	22	33	15
Total Charge-offs (2)	6,166	9,661	5,265	4,630	195
<b>Loan recoveries</b>					
Construction	4	2	—	—	—
Commercial real estate	63	94	—	—	—
Commercial and industrial	514	11	6	8	—
Residential real estate	5	—	9	—	—
Consumer and other	114	7	—	—	—
Total Recoveries	700	114	15	8	—
<b>Total net charge-offs</b>	5,466	9,547	5,250	4,622	195
<b>Provision for loan losses</b>	16,271	9,450	10,397	11,778	611
<b>Transfer (1)</b>	—	—	(50)	—	—
<b>Balance of the allowance for loan losses at the end of the year</b>	<b>\$25,837</b>	<b>\$15,032</b>	<b>\$15,129</b>	<b>\$10,032</b>	<b>\$2,876</b>
<b>Net charge-offs as a percentage of average non-covered loans</b>	<b>0.43%</b>	<b>1.13%</b>	<b>1.00%</b>	<b>2.05%</b>	<b>0.09%</b>

- (1) In 2010, we had a reserve of \$50,000 for unfunded commitments previously included in the allowance for loan losses. The reserve for unfunded loan commitments was reclassified to other liabilities.
- (2) The large charge-offs in 2011 were related to loans acquired in the 2010 FDIC assisted transactions and the Legacy portfolio.
- (3) PCI loans that are pooled do not have charge-offs until the pool matures.

The allowance for loan losses is based on a periodic evaluation of the loan portfolio and is maintained at a level that management considers adequate to absorb potential losses. All loans are assigned risk ratings, based on an assessment of the borrower, the structure of the transaction and the available collateral and/or guarantees. All loans are monitored regularly and the risk ratings are adjusted when appropriate. This process allows us to take corrective actions on a timely basis. Management considers a variety of factors, and recognizes the inherent risk of loss that always exists in the lending process. Management uses a disciplined methodology to estimate the appropriate level of allowance for loan losses. Management reviewed various factors to develop an aggregate reserve under ASC 450-10 *Contingencies* (general reserve). See “Asset Quality” beginning on page 59 in this Form 10-K.

This methodology includes an evaluation of loss potential from individual problem credits, as well as anticipated specific and general economic factors that may adversely affect collectability. This assessment includes a review of changes in the composition and volume of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality and past loss experience, review of specific problem loans, current economic conditions that may affect borrowers’ ability to repay, and other factors that may warrant current recognition. In addition, our internal and external auditors, loan review auditors and various regulatory agencies periodically review the adequacy of the allowance as an integral part of their examination process. Such agencies may require us to recognize additions or reductions to the allowance based on their judgments of information available at the time of their examination.

In our covered loan table, many of our commercial and industrial loans have been classified as covered real estate. Approximately 75-80% of our commercial real estate, commercial and residential construction, consumer residential and commercial and industrial loan types have real estate as collateral (collectively, “the real estate portfolio”). Our lien position on the real estate collateral will vary on a loan by loan basis. Current appraisals are received when our credit group determines that the facts and circumstances have significantly changed since the date of the last appraisal, including that real estate values have deteriorated. The credit committee and loan officers review loans that are fifteen or more days delinquent and all non-accrual loans on a periodic basis. In addition, loans where the loan officers have identified a “borrower of interest” are discussed to determine if additional analysis is necessary to apply the risk rating criteria properly. The risk ratings for the real estate loan portfolio are determined based upon the current information available, including but not limited to discussions with the borrower, updated financial information, economic conditions within the geographic area and other factors that may affect the cash flow of the loan. On a quarterly basis, if necessary, the collateral values or discounted cash flow models are used to determine the

estimated fair value of the underlying collateral for the quantification of a specific reserve for impaired loans. Appraisals used within this evaluation process do not typically age more than two years before a new appraisal is obtained. For loans where real estate is not the primary source of collateral, updated financial information is obtained, including accounts receivable and inventory aging reports and relevant supplemental financial data to determine the fair value of the underlying collateral.

An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

These evaluations, however, are inherently subjective as they require material estimates, including, among others, the amounts and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses in the loan portfolio, and general amounts for historical loss experience, economic conditions, uncertainties in estimating losses and inherent risks in the various credit portfolios, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. Pursuant to ASC 450 *Contingencies* and ASC 310-40 *Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors*, impaired loans, consisting of non-accrual and restructured loans, are considered in the methodology for determining the allowance for credit losses. Impaired loans are generally evaluated based on the expected future cash flows or the fair value of the underlying collateral (less estimated costs to sell) if principal repayment is expected to come from the sale or operation of such collateral.

The following table shows how the allowance for loan losses is allocated among the various loan portfolios that are outstanding. This allocation is based on management's specific review of the credit risk of the outstanding loan portfolios in each category as well as historical trends.

	December 31,					
	2012		2011		2010	
	Allowance	Percent of Loans	Allowance	Percent of Loans in each category to	Allowance	Percent of Loans in each category to
		in each category		category to		category to
		to total loans (a)		total loans (a)		total loans (a)
	for loan losses		for loan losses	total loans (a)	for loan losses	total loans (a)
			(dollars in thousands)			
Construction	\$ 3,991	4.3%	\$ 4,656	4.0%	\$ 2,126	9.5%
Commercial real estate	15,439	66.5%	7,030	29.8%	6,280	32.0%
Commercial and industrial	1,477	6.5%	1,441	6.2%	1,663	7.2%
Residential real estate	3,233	9.8%	844	5.6%	3,988	7.8%
Consumer and other	154	0.2%	77	0.1%	11	0.2%
Mortgage warehouse	71	0.7%	929	46.2%	465	27.4%
Manufactured housing	750	12.0%	1	8.1%	—	15.9%
Unallocated	722	—	54	—	596	—
	<u>\$25,837</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$15,032</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$15,129</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

	December 31,			
	2009		2008	
	Allowance	Percent of Loans in each category to	Allowance	Percent of Loans in each category to
		category to		category to
		total loans		total loans
	for loan losses	(dollars in thousands)	for loan losses	total loans
Construction	\$ 2,349	9.5%	\$ 608	15.9%
Commercial real estate	4,874	58.0%	856	53.3%
Commercial and industrial	1,350	11.0%	532	15.1%
Residential real estate	1,284	11.9%	696	3.9%
Consumer and other	75	2.4%	32	11.8%
Mortgage warehouse	—	7.2%	—	—
Manufactured housing	—	—	—	—
Unallocated	100	—	152	—
	<u>\$10,032</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 2,876</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

(a) Total loans include covered and non-covered loans in 2012, 2011, and 2010. No covered loans were held prior to 2010.



## ASSET QUALITY

We had impaired loans totaling \$56.0 million at December 31, 2012, compared to \$57.8 million at December 31, 2011. Non-accrual non-covered loans totaled \$22.3 million at December 31, 2012, down from \$29.6 million at December 31, 2011. We had charge-offs of \$6.2 million in 2012, compared with \$9.7 million in 2011. We had recoveries of \$700,000 in 2012, compared with \$114,000 in 2011. There was \$8.1 million and \$11.8 million of other real estate owned as a result of foreclosure or voluntary transfer to us at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

To better understand our asset quality and related reserve adequacy, we categorize our loan portfolio into two categories: loans that we “Originated” and loans that were “Acquired”. Loans that are acquired are accounted for in accordance with ASC 310-30. For additional information on accounting for PCI loans and our policy with respect to placing loans on non-accrual status, see Acquired loans below and “NOTE 3 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES”. We further categorize our portfolio into “Covered Loans” which are loans that were acquired in the FDIC assisted transactions, and “Non-Covered Loans”. Management believes that this additional information will allow investors to better understand the risk in our portfolio and the various types of credit reserves that are available to support loan losses in the future.

Asset Quality at December 31, 2012

Loan Type	Total Loans	PCI Loans	Current	30-90 Days	Greater than 90 Days and Accruing	Non-accrual/ NPLs (a)	OREO (b)	NPAs (a)+(b)	NPLs to Total Loan Type (%)	NPAs to Loans + OREO (%)
(dollars in thousands)										
<b>Originated Loans</b>										
Legacy (prior to 9/09)	\$ 104,666	\$ 0	\$ 87,398	\$ 1,836	\$ 0	\$15,432	\$2,245	\$17,677	14.74	16.53
Manufactured	3,113	0	3,101	12	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00
Warehouse – Repo	9,565	0	9,565	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00
Warehouse – HFS	1,439,889	0	1,439,889	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00
Multi-family	358,871	0	358,871	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00
Commercial	429,511	0	428,614	0	0	897	0	897	0.21	0.21
Consumer/Mortgage	76,559	0	76,559	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00
TDRs	4,303	0	604	0	0	3,699	0	3,699	85.96	85.96
<b>Total Originated Loans</b>	<b>2,426,477</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,404,601</b>	<b>1,848</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20,028</b>	<b>2,245</b>	<b>22,273</b>	<b>0.83</b>	<b>0.92</b>
<b>Acquired Loans</b>										
Berkshire	14,738	0	12,716	20	0	2,002	1,315	3,317	13.58	20.66
FDIC – Covered	63,653	0	52,882	268	0	10,503	4,109	14,612	16.50	21.57
FDIC – Non-covered	20	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00
Manufactured Housing 2010	83,570	0	79,000	4,570	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00
Manufactured Housing 2011	0	0	0	0	0	0	445	445	0.00	100.00
Manufactured Housing 2012	59,474	0	52,895	4,613	1,966	0	0	0	0.00	0.00
TDRs	1,845	0	1,546	39	0	260	0	260	14.09	14.09
<b>Total Acquired Loans</b>	<b>223,300</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>199,059</b>	<b>9,510</b>	<b>1,966</b>	<b>12,765</b>	<b>5,869</b>	<b>18,634</b>	<b>5.72</b>	<b>8.13</b>
<b>Acquired PCI Loans</b>										
Berkshire	60,668	60,668	0	0	0	0	0	0		
FDIC – Covered	45,573	45,573	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Manufactured Housing 2011	12,321	12,321	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<b>Total Acquired PCI Loans</b>	<b>118,562</b>	<b>118,562</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>		
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>2,768,339</b>	<b>118,562</b>	<b>2,603,660</b>	<b>11,358</b>	<b>1,966</b>	<b>32,793</b>	<b>8,114</b>	<b>40,907</b>		
Fair Value/Credit Marks/Deferred										
Fees and Expenses	(3,983)	(6,053)	2,012	0	0	57	0	57		
<b>Total Portfolio</b>	<b>\$2,764,356</b>	<b>\$112,510</b>	<b>\$2,605,672</b>	<b>\$11,358</b>	<b>\$ 1,966</b>	<b>\$32,850</b>	<b>\$8,114</b>	<b>\$40,964</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>1.48</b>

**Asset Quality at December 31, 2012 (continued)**

Asset Quality at December 31, 2012 (continued)							Reserves	
Loan Type	Total Loans	NPLs	ALL (dollars in thousands)	Credit Marks	Cash Reserve	Total Credit Reserves	to Loans	Reserves
							(%)	to NPLs (%)
Originated Loans								
Legacy (prior to 9/09)	\$ 104,666	\$15,432	\$ 4,389	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 4,389	4.19	28.89
Manufactured	3,113	0	63	0	0	63	2.02	n/a
Warehouse – Repo	9,565	0	71	0	0	71	0.74	n/a
Warehouse – HFS	1,439,889	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	n/a
Multi-family	358,871	0	1,794	0	0	1,794	0.50	n/a
Commercial	429,511	897	4,109	0	0	4,109	0.96	362.03
Consumer/Mortgage	76,559	0	537	0	0	537	0.70	n/a
TDRs	4,303	3,699	0	0	0	0	0.00	n/a
Total Originated Loans	2,426,477	20,028	10,963	0	0	10,963	0.45	54.74
Acquired Loans								
Berkshire	14,738	2,002	359	0	0	359	2.44	17.93
FDIC – Covered	63,653	10,504	2,539	0	0	2,539	3.99	24.17
FDIC – Non-covered	20	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	n/a
Manufactured Housing 2010	83,570	0	0	0	3,486	3,486	4.17	n/a
Manufactured Housing 2011	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a
Manufactured Housing 2012	59,474	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	n/a
TDRs	1,845	260	0	0	0	0	0.00	n/a
Total Acquired Loans	223,300	12,766	2,898	0	3,486	6,384	2.86	50.01
Acquired PCI Loans								
Berkshire	60,668	0	4,111	(1,539)	0	2,572	4.24	n/a
FDIC – Covered	45,573	0	6,457	2,319	0	8,776	19.26	n/a
Manufactured Housing 2011	12,321	0	686	4,882	0	5,568	45.19	n/a
Total Acquired PCI Loans	118,562	0	11,254	5,662	0	16,916	14.27	n/a
Subtotal	2,768,339	32,794	25,115	5,662	3,486	34,263	1.24	104.48
Fair Value/Credit Marks/Deferred Fees and Expenses	(3,983)	57	0	0	0	0		
Unallocated	0	0	722	0	0	722		
Total Portfolio	\$2,764,356	\$32,851	\$25,837	\$ 5,662	\$3,486	\$34,985	1.27	106.50

**Originated Loans**

The outstanding balance of loans that the Bank has originated totaled \$2.4 billion as of December 31, 2012 or about 87.7% of total loans. Of these, \$108.7 million were loans originated prior to September 2009 (“Legacy Loans”), when the new management team lead by Jay Sidhu introduced new underwriting standards that management believes are more conservative. The loans originated prior to September 2009 have \$21.1 million of non-performing assets (“NPAs”) or 94.9% of total NPAs for originated loans. Loans originated after September 2009 which total approximately \$2.3 billion, have only \$1.1 million of NPAs.

The high level of non-performing loans (“NPLs”) in the Legacy Loan portfolio, are supported with a \$4.4 million allowance for loan losses, or about 4.0% of total Legacy Loans. The newly originated portfolio includes \$1.4 billion of warehouse loans held for sale. Held for sale loans are carried on our balance sheet at fair value so an allowance for loan losses is not needed. Commercial loans and multifamily loans totaled \$788.6 million, which are supported with \$5.9 million allowance for loan losses. Consumer and mortgage loans totaled \$76.6 million, which are supported with a \$537,000 allowance for loan losses.

**Acquired Loans**

As of December 31, 2012, we carried \$337.9 million of acquired loans which is 12.2% of total loans. Acquired loans include purchased portfolios, and FDIC assisted transactions and the unassisted transactions. As of December 31, 2012, (i) 22.1% of acquired loans are from the Berkshire Bancorp acquisition, (ii) 32.1% of acquired loans are from FDIC assisted transactions, which have loss share protection and 80% of credit losses are covered by the FDIC, and (iii) 45.8% of acquired loans are manufactured housing loans that were purchased from Tammac Holding Corporation, a consumer finance company. Of the \$156.5 million in loans purchased from Tammac, \$84.7 million of the loans are supported by a \$3.5 million cash reserve that covers all current losses and delinquent interest, and is maintained in a demand deposit account at the Bank. For an addition \$59.5 million of these loans, Tammac Holding Corporation has an obligation to pay us the full payoff amount of a defaulted loan once the borrower vacates the property, which includes any principal, unpaid interest, or advances on the loans.

We acquired certain loans with evidence of credit quality deterioration subsequent to their origination and for which it was probable, at acquisition, that we would be unable to collect all contractually required payments receivable. We elected to account for all loans acquired after 2010 within the scope of the ASC 310-30 accounting guidance using the same methodology. Certain loans acquired in the FDIC assisted transactions (primarily lines of credit) were not accounted for by this accounting guidance since they are not within its scope. The fair value of loans with evidence of credit deterioration are recorded net of a nonaccretable difference and, if appropriate, an accretable yield. The difference

between contractually required payments at acquisition and the cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition is the nonaccretable difference, which is not included in the carrying amount of acquired loans.

PCI loans acquired in the same fiscal quarter may be aggregated into one or more pools, provided that the loans have common risk characteristics. A pool is then accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows. On a quarterly basis, the Company re-estimates the total cash flows (both principal and interest) expected to be collected over the remaining life of each pool. These estimates incorporate assumptions regarding default rates, loss severities, the amounts and timing of prepayments and other factors that reflect then-current market conditions. If the timing and/or amounts of expected cash flows on PCI loans were determined not to be reasonably estimable, no interest would be accreted and the loans would be reported as non-accrual loans; however, when the timing and amounts of expected cash flows for PCI loans are reasonably estimable, interest is being accreted and the loans are being reported as performing loans. Charge-offs are not recorded on PCI loans until actual losses exceed the estimated losses that were recorded as purchase accounting adjustments at acquisition date. The PCI loans in the loan portfolio of \$118.6 million at December 31, 2012, were supported with an \$11.3 million allowance for loan losses.

As a result of loans accounted for under this accounting guidance, certain asset quality ratios are not meaningful when comparing a portfolio that includes PCI loans against one that does not, or to compare ratios across years. As such, the tables below are presented excluding the impact of PCI loans. Certain loans acquired in the 2010 FDIC assisted transactions (primarily lines of credit) were not within the scope of this accounting guidance and are presented as covered loans in the tables below.

#### *Loans not covered under loss sharing arrangements*

The tables below set forth non-covered non-performing loans and non-performing assets and asset quality ratios:

	December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(dollars in thousands)				
Loans 90+ days delinquent still accruing	\$ 1,966	\$ 0	\$ 5	\$ 4,119	\$1,585
Non-accrual loans	\$22,347	\$29,633	\$22,242	\$10,341	\$4,387
OREO	4,005	7,316	1,906	1,155	1,519
Non-performing non-covered assets	\$26,352	\$36,949	\$24,148	\$11,496	\$5,906

	December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Non-accrual non-covered loans to total non-covered loans	1.84%	2.44%	4.33%	4.49%	1.96%
Non-performing non-covered assets to total non-covered assets	2.16%	3.02%	4.68%	4.97%	2.62%
Non-accrual non-covered loans and 90+ days delinquent to total non-covered assets	1.99%	2.42%	4.31%	6.25%	2.65%
Allowance for loan losses to (1):					
Total non-covered loans	1.20%	1.24%	2.94%	4.36%	1.29%
Non-performing non-covered loans	65.26%	50.73%	68.02%	97.01%	65.56%
Non-performing non-covered assets	55.34%	40.68%	62.65%	87.27%	48.70%

- (1) This table excludes the impact of PCI loans and their related allowance for loan losses of \$11.3 million for 2012, and \$0 for 2011 and 2010. There were no PCI loans in the portfolio before 2010.

The table below sets forth types of non-covered loans that were non-performing at December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

	December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(dollars in thousands)				
Construction	\$ 2,423	\$ 5,630	\$ 4,673	\$ 2,838	\$1,443
Residential real estate	1,669	1,643	1,125	390	0
Commercial real estate	17,770	19,535	15,739	6,177	2,794
Commercial and industrial	288	2,785	705	721	150
Manufactured housing	141	0	0	0	0
Consumer and other	56	40	0	215	0
Total non-performing loans	\$22,347	\$29,633	\$22,242	\$10,341	\$4,387

---

We seek to manage credit risk through the diversification of the loan portfolio and the application of policies and procedures designed to foster sound credit standards and monitoring practices. While various degrees of credit risk are associated with substantially all investing activities, the lending function carries the greatest degree of potential loss.

Asset quality assurance activities include careful monitoring of borrower payment status and a review of borrower current financial information to ensure financial strength and viability. We have established credit policies and procedures, seek the consistent application of those policies and procedures across the organization, and adjust policies as appropriate for changes in market conditions and applicable regulations. The risk elements, which comprise asset quality, include loans past due, non-accrual loans, renegotiated loans, other real estate owned, and loan concentrations.

#### *Potential Problem Loans*

To facilitate the monitoring of credit quality within the commercial and industrial, commercial real estate, construction portfolio and residential real estate segments, and for purposes of analyzing historical loss rates used in the determination of the allowance for loan losses for the respective portfolio segment, the Bank utilizes the following categories of risk ratings: pass, special mention, substandard, doubtful or loss. The risk rating categories, which are derived from standard regulatory rating definitions, are assigned upon initial approval of credit to borrowers and updated periodically thereafter. Pass ratings, which are assigned to those borrowers that do not have identified potential or well defined weaknesses and for which there is a high likelihood of orderly repayment, are updated periodically based on the size and credit characteristics of the borrower. All other categories are updated on a quarterly basis during the month preceding the end of the calendar quarter. While assigning risk ratings involves judgment, the risk rating process allows management to identify riskier credits in a timely manner and allocate the appropriate resources to managing the loans.

The Bank assigns a special mention rating to loans that have potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may, at some future date, result in the deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan and the Bank's credit position. At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, special mention loans were \$28.8 million and \$25.5 million, respectively.

Risk ratings are not established for home equity loans, consumer loans, and installment loans, mainly because these portfolios consist of a larger number of homogenous loans with smaller balances. Instead, these portfolios are evaluated for risk mainly based on aggregate payment history, through the monitoring of delinquency levels and trends.

A regular reporting and review process is in place to provide for proper portfolio oversight and control, and to monitor those loans identified as problem credits by management. This process is designed to assess our progress in working toward a solution, and to assist in determining an appropriate specific allowance for possible losses. All loan work out situations involve the active participation of management, and are reported regularly to the Board.

Loan charge-offs are determined on a case-by-case basis. Loans are generally charged off when principal is likely to be unrecoverable and after appropriate collection steps have been taken.

Loan policies and procedures are reviewed internally for possible revisions and changes on a regular basis. In addition, these policies and procedures, together with the loan portfolio, are reviewed on a periodic basis by various regulatory agencies and by our internal, external and loan review auditors, as part of their examination and audit procedures.

#### *Troubled Debt Restructurings (TDRs)*

At December 31, 2012, there were \$6.1 million in loans categorized as troubled debt restructurings ("TDR"), and at December 31, 2011, there were \$9.9 million in loans categorized as troubled debt restructurings. All TDRs are considered impaired loans in the calendar year of their restructuring. In subsequent years, a TDR may cease being classified as impaired if the loan was modified at a market rate and has performed according to the modified terms for at least six months. A loan that has been modified at a below market rate will return to performing status if it satisfies the six-month performance requirement; however, it will remain classified as impaired.

Modification of PCI loans that are accounted for within loan pools in accordance with the accounting standards for PCI loans do not result in the removal of these loans from the pool even if modifications would otherwise be considered a TDR. Accordingly, as each pool is accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an expectation of cash flows, modifications of loans within such pools are not TDRs.

TDR modifications primarily involve interest rate concessions, extensions of term, deferrals of principal, and other modifications. Other modifications typically reflect other nonstandard terms which the Bancorp would not offer in non-troubled situations. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, loans aggregating \$1.4 million and \$6.1 million were modified in troubled debt restructurings. TDR modifications of loans within the commercial and industrial category were primarily interest rate concessions, deferrals of principal and other modifications; modifications of commercial real estate loans were primarily deferrals of principal, extensions of term and other modifications; and modifications of residential real estate loans were primarily interest rate concessions and deferrals of principal. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, there were no commitments to lend additional funds to debtors whose terms have been modified in troubled debt structuring.

There were no valuation losses at the time of the transfer and had no impact on the allowance for loan losses. During the twelve month periods ending December 31, 2012, three TDR loans defaulted with a total recorded investment of \$283,000. During the twelve month periods ending December 31, 2011, one TDR loans defaulted with a total recorded investment of \$710,000. Since these TDR loans were included in the loans portfolio that is subject to the cash reserve, they were subsequently removed from the loan portfolio; accordingly, there were no defaulted TDR

loans at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

All loans modified in troubled debt restructurings are evaluated for impairment. The nature and extent of impairment of TDRs, including those which have experienced a subsequent default, is considered in the determination of an appropriate level of allowance for credit losses. There was \$120,000 and \$352,000 in specific reserves resulting from the addition of TDR modifications during the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

*Non-performing loans and assets covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements*

The tables below set forth non-accrual covered loans and non-performing covered assets covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements excluding PCI loans.

	December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(dollars in thousands)		
Non-accrual covered loans	<b>\$10,504</b>	\$ 6,993	\$ 8,084
Covered other real estate owned	<b>4,109</b>	6,166	5,342
Total non-performing covered assets	<b><u>\$14,613</u></b>	<b><u>\$13,159</u></b>	<b><u>\$13,426</u></b>

The table below sets forth the types of covered loans that were non-performing excluding PCI loans.

	December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(dollars in thousands)		
Construction	<b>\$ 5,244</b>	\$2,584	\$4,590
Residential real estate	<b>1,358</b>	1,074	373
Commercial real estate	<b>3,712</b>	3,185	2,647
Commercial and industrial	<b>100</b>	72	283
Manufactured housing	<b>90</b>	78	191
Total non-performing covered loans	<b><u>\$10,504</u></b>	<b><u>\$6,993</u></b>	<b><u>\$8,084</u></b>

**FDIC LOSS SHARING RECEIVABLE**

As of December 31, 2012, 4.0% of the outstanding principal balance of loans receivable and 50.6% of our other real estate assets were covered under loss share agreements with the FDIC in which the FDIC has agreed to reimburse us for 80% of all losses incurred in connection with those assets. We estimated the FDIC reimbursement that will result from losses or expenses incurred as we dispose of covered loans and other real estate assets, and we recorded the estimate as a receivable from the FDIC. The FDIC loss sharing receivable was approximately \$12.3 and \$13.1 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

## PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT AND OTHER ASSETS

Our premises and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation, was \$9.7 million and \$8.4 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Leasehold improvements and furniture and equipment purchases from relocation of a bank branch and back office contributed \$690,000 to the increase. Technology equipment contributed \$558,000 to the increase due to the addition of two technology facilities.

Our restricted stock holdings at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were \$30.2 million and \$21.8 million, respectively. These consist of stock of the Federal Reserve Bank, Federal Home Loan Bank and Atlantic Central Bankers Bank, and are required as part of our relationship with these banks.

BOLI purchases of \$25.5 million during 2012 contributed to the increase in our BOLI cash surrender value of \$54.7 million at December 31, 2012 from \$28.4 million at December 31, 2011. BOLI is used by the Bank as tax-free funding for employee benefits. Included in BOLI on the balance sheet is the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP") balance of \$1.5 million and \$910,000 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Accrued interest receivable and other assets increased to \$27.4 million at December 31, 2012 from \$15.8 million at December 31, 2011. This increase primarily was the result of higher deferred and prepaid taxes of \$3.7 million and \$4.3 million, respectively. The deferred taxes increase of \$3.7 million is a timing difference related to the increased allowance for loan losses, and the higher prepaid taxes are the result of a projected overpayment of federal income taxes. Additionally, capitalized software increased by \$760,000 due to infrastructure improvements, and technology development in 2012.

## DEPOSITS

We offer a variety of deposit accounts, including checking, savings, money market and time deposits. Deposits are obtained primarily from our geographic service area. Total deposits grew to \$2.441 billion at December 31, 2012, an increase of \$857.6 million, or 54.2%, from \$1.583 billion at December 31, 2011. We experienced growth in retail deposits due to exceptional sales behaviors, despite lower interest rates in 2012.

The components of deposits were as follows at the dates indicated:

	2012	December 31, 2011	2010
		(dollars in thousands)	
Demand, non-interest bearing	\$ 219,687	\$ 114,044	\$ 72,268
Demand, interest bearing	1,020,946	739,463	387,013
Savings	20,299	16,922	17,649
Time, \$100,000 and over	708,487	408,853	434,453
Time, other	471,399	303,907	334,307
Total deposits	<u>\$2,440,818</u>	<u>\$1,583,189</u>	<u>\$1,245,690</u>

Total time deposits increased \$467.1 million, or 65.5%, to \$1.180 billion at December 31, 2012 compared to \$712.8 million at December 31, 2011. Time deposits of \$100,000 or more were \$708.5 million at December 31, 2012 compared to \$408.9 million at December 31, 2011, an increase of \$299.6 million or 73.3%. The increase was primarily due to deposits received from listing services. Time deposits, other increased by \$167.5 million to \$471.4 million at December 31, 2012. The increase was primarily due to \$149.9 million of brokered time deposits in 2012.

Non-interest bearing demand deposits at December 31, 2012 were \$219.7 million, an increase of \$105.7 million, or 92.7%, from \$114.0 million at December 31, 2011. Interest bearing demand deposits increased \$281.5 million, or 38.1%, to \$1.020 billion from \$739.5 million at December 31, 2011. The increase was due to brokered money market deposits of \$203.1 million, and increases in money market accounts of \$75.1 million. Savings deposit accounts increased \$3.4 million, or 20.0%, to \$20.3 million at December 31, 2012 from \$16.9 million.

Average deposit balances by type and the associated average rate paid are summarized below:

<u>Year ended December 31,</u>	2012		Average Deposit Balances 2011		2010	
	<u>Average Balance</u>	<u>Average Rate Paid</u>	<u>Average Balance</u>	<u>Average Rate Paid</u>	<u>Average Balance</u>	<u>Average Rate Paid</u>
			(dollars in thousands)			
Demand deposits	\$ 180,719	0.00%	\$ 94,768	0.00%	\$ 50,708	0.00%
Interest-bearing demand deposits	893,039	0.85	525,633	1.29	202,981	1.81
Savings deposits	20,267	0.56	13,542	0.68	11,222	0.68
Time deposits	935,207	1.43	808,637	1.85	369,757	1.99
Total	<u>\$2,029,232</u>		<u>\$1,442,580</u>		<u>\$634,668</u>	



At December 31, 2012, the scheduled maturities of time deposits greater than \$100,000 are as follows:

	December 31, (dollars in thousands)
3 months or less	\$ 176,426
Over 3 through 6 months	81,140
Over 6 through 12 months	153,384
Over 12 months	297,537
Total	<u>\$ 708,487</u>

## OTHER BORROWINGS

Borrowed funds from various sources are generally used to supplement deposit growth. There were \$5.0 million of Federal funds purchased as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. Federal funds typically mature in one day. An increase in mortgage warehouse funding has increased the need for us to borrow overnight funds. FHLB overnight advances totaled \$411.0 million and \$320.0 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We also had contractual maturities of fixed rate long-term advances as noted below.

	December 31,			
	2012		2011	
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate
	(dollars in thousands)			
2014	\$15,000	0.52%	\$ 1,000	3.73%
2015	25,000	0.61	0	0.00
2016	10,000	0.77	0	0.00
2017	5,000	3.08	5,000	3.08
2018	5,000	3.31	5,000	3.31
	<u>\$60,000</u>		<u>\$11,000</u>	

### Short-term debt

Short-term debt was as follows:

	December 31,					
	2012		2011		2010	
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate
	(dollars in thousands)					
FHLB advances	\$411,000	0.25%	\$320,000	0.25%	\$ 0	0.00%
Federal funds purchased	5,000	0.20	5,000	0.12	0	0.00
Total short-term borrowings	<u>\$416,000</u>		<u>\$325,000</u>		\$ 0	

The following is a summary of the Bancorp's short-term borrowings:

	December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(dollars in thousands)		
FHLB advances:			
Maximum outstanding at any month end	\$411,000	\$329,000	\$3,000
Average balance during the year	74,336	25,015	546
Weighted average interest rate during the year	.38%	.34%	.83%
Federal funds purchased:			
Maximum outstanding at any month end	55,000	8,000	0
Average balance during the year	4,336	1,415	36
Weighted average interest rate during the year	.24%	.12%	.67%

---

## **SUBORDINATED DEBT**

Customers Bank issued a subordinated term note during the second quarter of 2004 that matures on July 23, 2014. The note was issued for \$2.0 million at a floating rate based upon the three-month LIBOR rate, determined quarterly, plus 2.75% per annum. Quarterly interest payments are made on this note in January, April, July and October. At December 31, 2012, the quarterly average rate was 3.07% and the average rate for the year was 3.20%. The note matures in the third quarter of 2014. Currently, 20% of this subordinated debt is included in Customers Bank and the Bancorp's Tier II regulatory capital requirement.

## **PREFERRED STOCK**

As part of the Berkshire acquisition in September 2011, we exchanged outstanding Berkshire TARP Shares Series A and Series B preferred shares for 2,892 shares of the Series A Shares of Customers Bancorp, having a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share, and 145 shares of the Series B Shares of Customers Bancorp, also having a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per shares. On December 28, 2011, we repurchased these preferred shares from the U.S. Treasury for \$3.0 million.

## **SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY**

Shareholders' equity increased by \$121.8 million to \$269.5 million at December 31, 2012, from \$147.7 million at December 31, 2011. The increase in equity was primarily the result of two common stock private offerings in the third quarter of 2012 that raised net proceeds of \$94.6 million. Net income and stock-based compensation cost increased equity by \$23.8 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012.

For additional details relating to changes in our shareholders' equity, refer to the Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity and "NOTE 10 – SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY" presented in Part II, Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

## **PRIVATE OFFERINGS OF COMMON STOCK**

Since January 1, 2009, we have raised approximately \$201.1 million of capital, net of offering costs, through several private offerings of our securities. In the aggregate, we issued 11,908,107 shares of Voting Common Stock and 4,937,831 shares of Class B Non-Voting Common Stock pursuant to these private offerings. Several investors in our 2010 private offerings were deemed "lead investors," which entitled them to certain registration rights, pre-emptive rights and anti-dilution rights. In addition, as part of the private offerings, the lead investors, along with certain other investors, received anti-dilution agreements providing them with protection from dilution until March 31, 2011. All of the contractual rights mentioned in the preceding sentence have expired or we have performed our required obligations, and these rights are no longer in force or effect. In addition, some of these purchasers also received warrants in connection with their investment.

Investors in the September 2011, July and August 2012, and September 2012 private offerings received resale registration rights, either in piggy-back or demand form. In February of 2013, we registered for resale on Form S-3 the 5,683,060 shares of Voting Common Stock and 2,416,603 shares of Class B Non-Voting Common Stock that were issued in those private offerings, satisfying all outstanding registration rights of the various investors.

We anticipate that from time to time we may allow, at our discretion, holders of Class B Non-Voting Stock to convert such stock into Voting Common Stock, on a one-to-one basis, but only to the extent the holder would not own greater than 4.9% of our outstanding Voting Common Stock after conversion.

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

Liquidity for a financial institution is a measure of that institution's ability to meet depositors' needs for funds, to satisfy or fund loan commitments, and for other operating purposes. Ensuring adequate liquidity is an objective of the Asset/Liability Management process. We coordinate our management of liquidity with our interest rate sensitivity and capital position. We strive to maintain a strong liquidity position.

Our investment portfolio provides periodic cash flows through regular maturities and amortization, and can be used as collateral to secure additional liquidity funding. Our principal sources of funds are proceeds from stock issuance, deposits, debt issuance, principal and interest payments on loans, and other funds from operations. We also maintain borrowing arrangements with the Federal Home Loan Bank and the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia to meet short-term liquidity needs. As at December 31, 2012 and 2011, our borrowing capacity with the Federal Home Loan Bank was \$608.9 million, and \$571.3 million, respectively, of which \$411 million and \$320 million, respectively, was used in short-term borrowings. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, our borrowing capacity with the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia was \$107.0 million and \$72.0 million, respectively.

Net cash flows used in operating activities were \$1.242 billion for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, compared to net cash flows provided by operating activities of \$29.9 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. Origination of loans held for sale in excess of the proceeds from the sales of loans contributed \$1.265 billion to cash flows used in operating activities during 2012. In 2011, proceeds from the sales of loans in excess of origination of loans held for sale contributed \$25.2 billion to cash flows provided by operating activities

Investing activities provided net cash flows of \$262.1 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012. Proceeds less purchases from sales of investment securities contributed \$277.6 million, which included the sale of \$257.6 million of available-for-sale securities realized in May 2012. These securities were reclassified from held-to-maturity investment portfolio to available for sale in May 2012. A net decrease in loans contributed \$61.2 million to investing activities, offset by \$63.2 million used to purchase a manufactured housing loan portfolio. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, net cash flows used in investing activities were \$760.5 million.

The difference in the net cash from operating and investing activities in 2012 versus 2011 was due to the change in presentation for warehouse lending transactions. In July 2012, we elected to use the fair value option to account for warehouse lending transactions. As a result of this election, in 2012 warehouse lending transactions are classified as an operating activity on the statement of cash flows, instead of as an investing activity in 2011.

Financing activities provided \$1.092 billion for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, as increases in cash from deposits provided \$857.8 million, and net proceeds of \$94.6 million were received from the July, August and September 2012 private securities offerings under which the Bancorp sold 7,111,819 shares of common stock. These financing activities, in addition to increases in short-term and FHLB borrowings of \$91.0 million and \$49.0 million, respectively, provided sufficient cash flows to support operating and investing activities, and increased cash and cash equivalents by \$112.4 million.

Financing activities provided \$565.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, primarily due to increased growth of deposits of \$215.5 million in addition to an increase in short-term FHLB borrowings of \$325.0 million.

Overall, based on our core deposit base and available sources of borrowed funds, management believes that we have adequate resources to meet our short-term and long-term cash requirements within the foreseeable future.

### **CAPITAL ADEQUACY**

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System has adopted risk-based capital and leverage ratio requirements for bank holding companies like the Bancorp and banks like Customers Bank that are members of the Federal Reserve System. The Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities also sets minimum capital requirements. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bancorp met each of our minimum capital requirements. Management believes that the Bancorp would be deemed “well capitalized” for regulatory purposes as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. Banking regulators have discretion to establish an institution’s classification based on other factors, in addition to the institution’s numeric capital levels.

Management is not aware of any developments that have occurred and that could, or would be reasonably likely to, cause the Bancorp’s classification to be reduced below a level of “well capitalized” for regulatory purposes. The Bancorp’s capital classification is determined pursuant to “prompt corrective action” regulations, and to determine levels of deposit insurance assessments, and may not constitute an accurate representation of our overall financial condition or prospects.

The Bank experienced rapid loan growth during the final days of 2012. During the standard closing process of the Bank’s December 2012 financial statements, management determined on January 30, 2013 that the rapid loan growth resulted in a reduction in the Bank’s capital ratios, causing the Bank to become adequately capitalized as of December 31, 2012. Management immediately transferred sufficient capital from the Bancorp to the Bank, returning the Bank to well-capitalized status. Sufficient cash is maintained at the Bancorp to ensure that the Bank remains well capitalized, and management remains committed to taking all steps necessary to ensure that both the Bancorp and the Bank remain well capitalized going forward. Since the Bank was adequately capitalized at December 31, 2012, regulatory approval is required to accept, renew or roll over any brokered deposits. Effective January 1, 2013, the interest rate paid for deposits by institutions that are less than well capitalized is limited to 75 basis points above the national rate for similar products unless the institution can support to the FDIC that prevailing rates in its market area exceed the national average.

The following table summarizes the required capital ratios and the corresponding regulatory capital positions of the Bancorp and Customers Bank for the periods or dates indicated:

	Actual			For Capital Adequacy Purposes			To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions	
(Dollars in thousands)	Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio
As of December 31, 2012:								
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)								
Customers Bancorp, Inc.	\$289,035	11.26%	≥	\$205,443	≥	8.0%	N/A	N/A
Customers Bank	\$244,710	9.53%	≥	\$205,442	≥	8.0%	\$256,802	≥ 10.0%
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)								
Customers Bancorp, Inc.	\$262,719	10.23%	≥	\$102,722	≥	4.0%	N/A	N/A
Customers Bank	\$218,394	8.50%	≥	\$102,721	≥	4.0%	\$154,081	≥ 6.0%
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)								
Customers Bancorp, Inc.	\$262,719	9.30%	≥	\$112,939	≥	4.0%	N/A	N/A
Customers Bank	\$218,394	7.74%	≥	\$112,892	≥	4.0%	\$141,115	≥ 5.0%
As of December 31, 2011:								
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)								
Customers Bancorp, Inc.	\$157,702	11.13%	≥	\$113,346	≥	8.0%	N/A	N/A
Customers Bank	\$152,755	10.78%	≥	\$113,346	≥	8.0%	\$141,683	≥ 10.0%
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)								
Customers Bancorp, Inc.	\$141,869	10.01%	≥	\$ 56,673	≥	4.0%	N/A	N/A
Customers Bank	\$136,870	9.66%	≥	\$ 56,673	≥	4.0%	\$ 85,010	≥ 6.0%
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)								
Customers Bancorp, Inc.	\$141,869	7.37%	≥	\$ 76,985	≥	4.0%	N/A	N/A
Customers Bank	\$136,870	7.11%	≥	\$ 76,985	≥	4.0%	\$ 96,231	≥ 5.0%

#### Capital Ratios

We continued to build capital during 2012. In general, in the past few years, balance sheet loan growth has been offset by earnings and increases in capital from sales of common stock. As our assets grow, our capital ratios decrease.

We are unaware of any current recommendations by the regulatory authorities which, if they were to be implemented, would have a material effect on our liquidity, capital resources, or operations.

The maintenance of appropriate levels of capital is an important objective of our Asset and Liability Management process. Through our initial capitalization and our subsequent offerings, we believe we have continued to maintain a strong capital position.

#### OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We are a party to financial instruments and other commitments with off-balance sheet risks. Financial instruments with off-balance sheet risks are incurred in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of our customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, including unused portions of lines of credit, and standby letters of credit. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized on the balance sheets.

With commitments to extend credit, our exposure to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the other party to the financial instrument is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. We use the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as for on-balance sheet instruments. Since they involve credit risk similar to extending a loan, they are subject to our Credit Policy and other underwriting standards.

As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the following off-balance sheet commitments, financial instruments and other arrangements were outstanding:

	December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(dollars in thousands)	
Commitments to fund loans	\$136,007	\$106,227
Unfunded commitments to fund mortgage warehouse loans	428,400	294,681
Unfunded commitments under lines of credit	87,220	66,936
Letters of credit	3,064	1,374

Commitments to fund loans, unfunded commitments to fund mortgage warehouse loans, unfunded commitments under lines of credit and letters of credit are agreements to extend credit to or for the benefit of a customer in the ordinary course of our business.

Commitments to fund loans and unfunded commitments under lines of credit may be obligations of ours as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. We evaluate each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if we deem it necessary upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation. Collateral held varies but may include personal or commercial real estate, accounts receivable, inventory and equipment.

Mortgage warehouse loan commitments are agreements to purchase mortgage loans from mortgage bankers that agree to purchase the loans back in a short period of time or to sell to third party mortgage originators. These commitments generally fluctuate monthly as existing loans are repurchased by the mortgage bankers and new loans are purchased by us.

Outstanding letters of credit written are conditional commitments issued by us to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Letters of credit may obligate us to fund draws under those letters of credit whether or not a customer continues to meet the conditions of the extension of credit. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers.

## CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following table sets forth contractual obligations and other commitments representing required and potential cash outflows as of December 31, 2012. Interest on subordinated debentures and long-term borrowed funds is calculated based on current contractual interest rates.

	Total	Within one year	After one but within three years (dollars in thousands)	After three but within five years	More than five years
Operating leases	\$ 11,986	\$ 2,171	\$ 3,434	\$ 2,612	\$ 3,769
Benefit plan commitments	4,500	0	0	300	4,200
Contractual maturities of time deposits	1,179,886	806,926	215,347	157,613	0
Subordinated notes plus interest expense (1)	2,107	61	2,046	0	0
Loan commitments	651,627	630,650	1,432	566	18,979
Long-term debt	60,000	0	40,000	15,000	5,000
Interest on long-term debt	2,523	625	1,123	716	59
Standby letters of credit	3,064	3,064	0	0	0
Total	<u>\$1,915,693</u>	<u>\$1,443,497</u>	<u>\$ 263,382</u>	<u>\$ 176,807</u>	<u>\$32,007</u>

(1) Includes interest on long-term debt and subordinated debentures at a weighted rate of 1.04% and 3.20%, respectively.

---

## **NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

For information about the impact that recently adopted or issued accounting guidance will have on us, refer to “NOTE 3 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES” appearing in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

---

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

### **Customers Bancorp, Inc.**

May 8, 2013

By: /s/ Jay S. Sidhu

Name: Jay S. Sidhu

Title: Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

**Exhibit 23.2****Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Form S-3 (No. 333-184536 and No. 333-188040) and Form S-8 No. 333-186554 of Customers Bancorp, Inc. of our reports dated March 18, 2013, relating to the consolidated financial statements and the effectiveness of Customers Bancorp, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting, which appear in the 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K of Customers Bancorp, Inc., as amended by the Form 10-K/A filed May 8, 2013

/s/ ParenteBeard LLC  
Lancaster, Pennsylvania  
May 8, 2013



**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
RULES 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED**

I, Jay S. Sidhu, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A of Customers Bancorp, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Jay S. Sidhu

Jay S. Sidhu

*Chairman and Chief Executive Officer*

Date: May 8, 2013

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
RULES 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED**

I, James D. Hogan, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A of Customers Bancorp, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ James D. Hogan

James D. Hogan

Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 8, 2013

**Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350,  
as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

In connection with the annual report of Customers Bancorp, Inc. (the "Corporation") on Form 10-K/A for the period ending December 31, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Jay S. Sidhu, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge and belief:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Corporation.

Date: May 8, 2013

/s/ Jay S. Sidhu

**Jay S. Sidhu, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer**

**Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350,  
as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

In connection with the annual report of Customers Bancorp, Inc. (the "Corporation") on Form 10-K/A for the period ending December 31, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, James D. Hogan, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Corporation, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge and belief:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Corporation.

Date: May 8, 2013

/s/ James D. Hogan

---

James D. Hogan, Chief Financial Officer