



---

---

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

---

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 26, 2008

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from                      to**

**Commission file number 001-15885**

**BRUSH ENGINEERED MATERIALS INC.**

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in charter)

**Ohio**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

**17876 St. Clair Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**34-1919973**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**44110**

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

**216-486-4200**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒  
No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☒      Accelerated filer ☐      Non-accelerated filer ☐      Smaller reporting company ☐

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐      No ☒

As of October 24, 2008 there were 20,292,074 common shares, no par value, outstanding.

---

---

# **PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

## **BRUSH ENGINEERED MATERIALS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

### **Item 1. Financial Statements**

The consolidated financial statements of Brush Engineered Materials Inc. and its subsidiaries for the quarter ended September 26, 2008 are as follows:

Consolidated Statements of Income —	
Third quarter and nine months ended September 26, 2008 and September 28, 2007	2
Consolidated Balance Sheets —	
September 26, 2008 and December 31, 2007	3
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows —	
Nine months ended September 26, 2008 and September 28, 2007	4
EX-10.1	
EX-10.2	
EX-11	
EX-31.1	
EX-31.2	
EX-32.1	

**Consolidated Statements of Income  
(Unaudited)**

	Third Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007
<i>(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)</i>				
Net sales	\$ 240,494	\$ 230,928	\$ 713,425	\$ 714,805
Cost of sales	195,321	184,655	586,386	557,367
Gross margin	45,173	46,273	127,039	157,438
Selling, general and administrative expense	26,069	27,456	81,362	82,690
Research and development expense	1,748	968	4,889	3,569
Other-net	4,335	1,679	8,185	5,537
Operating profit	13,021	16,170	32,603	65,642
Interest expense — net	539	286	1,524	1,540
Income before income taxes	12,482	15,884	31,079	64,102
Income taxes	2,573	5,976	9,417	23,141
Net income	\$ 9,909	\$ 9,908	\$ 21,662	\$ 40,961
Per share of common stock: basic	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.49	\$ 1.06	\$ 2.02
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	20,374,000	20,392,000	20,387,000	20,300,000
Per share of common stock: diluted	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.48	\$ 1.05	\$ 1.98
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	20,612,000	20,730,000	20,616,000	20,736,000

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Consolidated Balance Sheets  
(Unaudited)**

	Sept. 26, 2008	Dec. 31, 2007
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>		
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,143	\$ 31,730
Accounts receivable	110,153	97,424
Other receivables	0	11,263
Inventories	176,350	165,189
Prepaid expenses	20,624	17,723
Deferred income taxes	5,845	6,107
Total current assets	320,115	329,436
Other assets	36,058	11,804
Related-party notes receivable	98	98
Long-term deferred income taxes	0	1,139
Property, plant and equipment	622,375	583,961
Less allowances for depreciation, depletion and amortization	421,611	397,786
	200,764	186,175
Goodwill	35,699	21,899
	<b><u>\$592,734</u></b>	<b><u>\$550,551</u></b>
<b>Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>		
Current liabilities		
Short-term debt	\$ 32,246	\$ 24,903
Current portion of long-term debt	600	600
Accounts payable	29,372	27,066
Other liabilities and accrued items	43,342	55,936
Unearned revenue	1,072	2,569
Income taxes	678	2,109
Total current liabilities	107,310	113,183
Other long-term liabilities	17,349	11,629
Retirement and post-employment benefits	57,426	57,511
Long-term income taxes	3,386	4,327
Deferred income taxes	1,823	182
Long-term debt	25,305	10,005
Shareholders' equity	380,135	353,714
	<b><u>\$592,734</u></b>	<b><u>\$550,551</u></b>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
**(Unaudited)**

	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>Sept. 26, 2008</b>	<b>Sept. 28, 2007</b>
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>		
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 21,662</b>	<b>\$ 40,961</b>
<b>Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided from operating activities:</b>		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	25,503	17,944
Amortization of deferred financing costs in interest expense	272	321
Derivative financial instrument ineffectiveness	171	42
Stock-based compensation expense	3,410	2,928
<b>Changes in assets and liabilities net of acquired assets and liabilities:</b>		
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable	(6,434)	(29,122)
Decrease (increase) in other receivables	11,263	—
Decrease (increase) in inventory	(7,055)	(12,440)
Decrease (increase) in prepaid and other current assets	(2,425)	(1,941)
Decrease (increase) in deferred income taxes	25	(3,680)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses	(12,133)	(3,763)
Increase (decrease) in unearned revenue	(1,497)	2,338
Increase (decrease) in interest and taxes payable	423	10,471
Increase (decrease) in other long-term liabilities	405	1,191
Other — net	<u>1,666</u>	<u>(2,080)</u>
<b>Net cash provided from operating activities</b>	<b>35,256</b>	<b>23,170</b>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Payments for purchase of property, plant and equipment	(22,611)	(17,644)
Payments for mine development	(391)	(6,778)
Reimbursements for capital equipment under government contracts	6,052	2,095
Payments for purchase of business net of cash received	(87,462)	—
Proceeds from sales of inventory to consignment	24,325	—
Proceeds from sale of business	—	2,150
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	—	46
Other investments — net	<u>66</u>	<u>42</u>
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>	<b>(80,021)</b>	<b>(20,089)</b>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from issuance of short-term debt	7,116	1,467
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	45,900	15,747
Repayment of long-term debt	(30,600)	(26,393)
Issuance of common stock under stock option plans	243	4,914
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	45	2,733
Repurchase of common stock	<u>(2,086)</u>	<u>—</u>
<b>Net cash provided from (used in) financing activities</b>	<b>20,618</b>	<b>(1,532)</b>
<b>Effects of exchange rate changes</b>	<b>(440)</b>	<b>(226)</b>
<b>Net change in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>(24,587)</b>	<b>1,323</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period</b>	<b>31,730</b>	<b>15,644</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at end of period</b>	<b><u>\$ 7,143</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 16,967</u></b>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)**

**Note A —Accounting Policies**

In management's opinion, the accompanying consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position as of September 26, 2008 and December 31, 2007 and the results of operations for the third quarter and nine months ended September 26, 2008 and September 28, 2007. Sales and income before income taxes were reduced in the first quarter 2008 by \$2.6 million to correct a billing error that occurred in 2007 that was not material to the 2007 results. All other adjustments were of a normal and recurring nature.

**Note B —Inventories**

	Sept. 26, 2008	Dec. 31, 2007
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>		
Principally average cost:		
Raw materials and supplies	\$ 35,192	\$ 30,338
Work in process	160,290	156,789
Finished goods	59,609	54,530
Gross inventories	255,091	241,657
Excess of average cost over LIFO inventory value	78,741	76,468
Net inventories	<u>\$176,350</u>	<u>\$165,189</u>

**Note C —Pensions and Other Post-retirement Benefits**

	Pension Benefits Third Quarter Ended		Other Benefits Third Quarter Ended	
	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>				
<b>Components of net periodic benefit cost</b>				
Service cost	\$ 1,270	\$ 1,185	\$ 76	\$ 75
Interest cost	1,976	1,888	532	477
Expected return on plan assets	(2,180)	(2,200)	—	—
Amortization of prior service cost	(161)	(167)	(9)	(9)
Amortization of net loss	294	445	—	—
Net periodic benefit cost	<u>\$ 1,199</u>	<u>\$ 1,151</u>	<u>\$ 599</u>	<u>\$ 543</u>

	Pension Benefits Nine Months Ended		Other Benefits Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>				
<b>Components of net periodic benefit cost</b>				
Service cost	\$ 3,811	\$ 3,499	\$ 228	\$ 226
Interest cost	5,928	5,577	1,595	1,431
Expected return on plan assets	(6,541)	(6,497)	—	—
Amortization of prior service cost	(483)	(494)	(27)	(27)
Amortization of net loss	883	1,314	—	—
Net periodic benefit cost	<u>\$ 3,598</u>	<u>\$ 3,399</u>	<u>\$ 1,796</u>	<u>\$ 1,630</u>

**Note D —Contingencies**

Brush Wellman Inc., one of the Company's wholly owned subsidiaries, is a defendant in various legal proceedings where the plaintiffs allege that they have contracted chronic beryllium disease (CBD) or related ailments as a result of exposure to beryllium. Management believes that the Company has substantial defenses and intends to defend these suits vigorously. The Company has recorded a reserve for CBD litigation of \$2.1 million as of September 26, 2008 and \$1.3 million as of December 31, 2007. This reserve covers existing claims only and unasserted claims could give rise to additional losses. Defense costs are expensed as incurred. Final resolution of the asserted claims may be for different amounts than currently reserved. There were no settlement payments made during the first nine months of 2008 for CBD-related cases.

Under the terms of a settlement reached with certain of the Company's insurance carriers in the fourth quarter 2007, third party beryllium-related claims where the alleged exposure occurred prior to December 31, 2007 are covered by insurance subject to a \$1.0 million annual deductible for a fifteen year period ending in 2022. All of the cases outstanding as of September 26, 2008 are covered by this insurance. Both indemnity and defense costs are covered. Incurred costs were below the deductible in the first nine months of 2008.

In the third quarter 2008, the court awarded damages and ordered a third-party to indemnify the Company \$2.4 million for defense costs incurred in prior CBD-related litigation matters. The award also included accrued interest costs. The third-party is appealing this ruling. The Company has not recorded the impact of this ruling in its consolidated financial statements as of September 26, 2008 and does not intend to record the impact until the appeal process is resolved or a settlement agreement is reached. A portion of any award received will be reimbursed to the Company's insurance carrier.

Williams Advanced Materials Inc. (WAM), one of the Company's wholly owned subsidiaries, and a small number of WAM's customers are defendants in a patent infringement legal case. WAM has provided an indemnity agreement to certain of those customers under which WAM will pay any damages awarded by the court. WAM has not made any payments for damages on behalf of any customer nor have they recorded a reserve for losses under these agreements as of September 26, 2008. WAM believes it has strong defenses applicable to both WAM and its customers and is contesting this action. While WAM does not believe that a loss is probable, should their defenses not prevail, the damages to be paid may potentially be material to the Company's results of operations in the period of payment.

The Company has an active environmental compliance program and records reserves for the probable cost of identified environmental remediation projects. The reserves are established based upon analyses conducted by the Company's engineers and outside consultants and are adjusted from time to time based upon ongoing studies and the difference between actual and estimated costs. The reserves may also be affected by rulings and negotiations with regulatory agencies. The undiscounted reserve balance was \$6.2 million as of September 26, 2008 and \$5.2 million as of December 31, 2007. Environmental projects tend to be long term and the final actual remediation costs may differ from the amounts currently recorded.

**Note E —Comprehensive Income**

The reconciliation between net income and comprehensive income for the third quarter and nine months ended September 26, 2008 and September 28, 2007 is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	<u>Third Quarter Ended</u>		<u>Nine Months Ended</u>	
	<u>Sept. 26, 2008</u>	<u>Sept. 28, 2007</u>	<u>Sept. 26, 2008</u>	<u>Sept. 28, 2007</u>
Net income	\$ 9,909	\$ 9,908	\$21,662	\$40,961
Cumulative translation adjustment	(575)	1,426	1,156	1,194
Change in the fair value of derivative financial instruments	2,384	(2,174)	1,619	(6,021)
Pension and other retirement plan liability adjustments	124	269	371	793
Comprehensive income	<u>\$11,842</u>	<u>\$ 9,429</u>	<u>\$24,808</u>	<u>\$36,927</u>



**Note F — Segment Reporting**

(Dollars in thousands)	Advanced Material Technologies and Services	Specialty Engineered Alloys	Beryllium and Beryllium Composites	Engineered Material Systems	Subtotal	All Other	Total
<b>Third Quarter 2008</b>							
Revenues from external customers	\$ 125,507	\$ 77,586	\$ 17,580	\$ 16,660	\$237,333	\$ 3,161	\$240,494
Intersegment revenues	1,798	738	36	472	3,044	6	3,050
Operating profit (loss)	7,623	2,074	2,548	1,612	13,857	(836)	13,021
<b>Third Quarter 2007</b>							
Revenues from external customers	\$ 119,418	\$ 74,117	\$ 15,159	\$ 18,614	\$227,308	\$ 3,620	\$230,928
Intersegment revenues	1,406	335	209	322	2,272	2	2,274
Operating profit (loss)	12,279	2,566	2,204	1,710	18,759	(2,589)	16,170
<b>First Nine Months 2008</b>							
Revenues from external customers	\$ 371,561	\$ 231,912	\$ 45,655	\$ 53,920	\$703,048	\$10,377	\$713,425
Intersegment revenues	5,152	3,932	329	1,223	10,636	14	10,650
Operating profit (loss)	17,700	7,528	5,121	4,977	35,326	(2,723)	32,603
Assets	230,921	257,314	49,261	25,294	562,790	29,944	592,734
<b>First Nine Months 2007</b>							
Revenues from external customers	\$ 384,352	\$ 220,028	\$ 46,818	\$ 52,227	\$703,425	\$11,380	\$714,805
Intersegment revenues	3,879	3,403	752	1,787	9,821	14	9,835
Operating profit (loss)	49,109	9,258	6,762	3,016	68,145	(2,503)	65,642
Assets	190,920	239,339	38,217	27,287	495,763	44,018	539,781

**Note G — Stock-based Compensation Expense**

The Company granted approximately 50,000 shares of restricted stock to certain employees in the first quarter 2008 at a fair value of \$27.78 per share. The fair value was determined using the closing price of the Company's stock on the grant date and will be amortized over the vesting period of three years. The shares will be forfeited should the holders' employment terminate prior to the vesting period.

The Company granted approximately 32,000 stock appreciation rights (SARs) to certain employees in the first quarter 2008 at a strike price of \$27.78 per share. The fair value of the SARs, which was determined on the grant date using a Black-Scholes model, was \$14.05 per share and will be amortized over the vesting period of three years. The SARs expire ten years from the date of the grant.

The Company implemented a long-term incentive plan for the 2008 to 2010 time period for executive officers and certain other employees in the first quarter 2008. Awards under the plan are based upon the Company's performance during this time period and any payout at the end of the period may vary depending upon the degree to which the actual performance exceeds the pre-determined threshold, target and maximum performance levels. Under the 2008 to 2010 long-term incentive plan, awards earned up to the target level will be settled in shares of the Company's stock. The portion of any awards earned in excess of the target up to the maximum payout will be settled in cash based upon the share price of the Company's stock at the end of the performance period. Compensation expense is based upon the current performance projections for the three-year period, the percentage of requisite service rendered and the market value of the Company's stock on the grant date. The offset to compensation expense is recorded within shareholders' equity. The compensation expense for the portion of any payout in excess of target is based upon the market price of the Company's stock at the end of the period with the offset recorded as a liability.

The Company granted approximately 13,000 shares of restricted stock to its non-employee directors in the second quarter 2008 at a fair value of \$32.19 per share. The fair value was determined using the closing price of the Company's stock on the grant date and will be amortized over the vesting period of one year.

Total stock-based compensation expense for the above and previously existing awards and plans was \$1.0 million in both the third quarter 2008 and 2007. For the first nine months of the year, stock-based compensation was \$3.4 million in 2008 and \$2.9 million in 2007.

## Note H —Income Taxes

The tax expense of \$2.6 million in the third quarter 2008 was calculated by applying a rate of 20.6% against income before income taxes while the tax expense of \$6.0 million in the third quarter 2007 was calculated by applying a rate of 37.6% in that period. For the first nine months of the year, a rate of 30.3% was used in 2008 and 36.1% in 2007. The differences between the statutory and effective rates in both quarters and the nine month periods were due to a combination of the impact of percentage depletion, foreign source income and deductions, the production deduction, executive compensation and other factors.

In addition to the differences in the above items, the effective tax rate was lower in the third quarter 2008 than the second quarter 2008 year-to-date rate largely due to discrete events recorded in the third quarter. The discrete events were primarily a net reduction to tax reserves in accordance with Financial Interpretation No. 48 and accrual adjustments as a result of finalizing the 2007 federal and state tax returns. In the first quarter 2008, income tax expense was increased for discrete events in the that period, primarily a deferred tax adjustment. These discrete events affect the comparison of the effective tax rates between the applicable periods in 2008 with 2007 as well.

The lower tax rate in the third quarter as compared to the second quarter 2008 year-to-date rate reduced tax expense and increased net income by approximately \$2.0 million, or \$0.10 per share diluted, in the third quarter 2008.

## Note I —Acquisition

On February 4, 2008, the Company acquired the operating assets of Techni-Met, Inc. of Windsor, Connecticut for \$87.4 million in cash. Techni-Met produces precision precious metal coated flexible polymeric films used in a variety of high-end applications, including diabetes diagnostic test strips. Techni-Met sources the majority of its precious metal requirements from the Company's Advanced Material Technologies and Services segment. Techni-Met employs approximately 45 people at its two facilities in the Windsor area.

The Company financed the acquisition with a combination of cash on hand and borrowing under the \$240.0 million revolving credit agreement. The purchase price included \$9.0 million to be held in escrow pending resolution of various matters as detailed in the purchase agreement. Immediately after the purchase, the Company sold Techni-Met's precious metal inventory to a financial institution for its fair value of \$24.3 million and consigned it back under the existing consignment lines.

Techni-Met's results are included in the Company's financial statements since the acquisition date and are reported as part of the Advanced Material Technologies and Services segment. The purchase price allocation is preliminary in that the Company has not yet completed its appraisal of the acquired tangible and intangible assets nor have the acquired deferred taxes been valued. The preliminary goodwill assigned to the transaction totaled \$13.8 million.

Assuming that the Techni-Met acquisition occurred on January 1, 2007, the pro forma effect on selected line items from the Company's Consolidated Statement of Income are as follows:

	Pro Forma Results			
	Third Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007
<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>				
Sales	\$240,494	\$228,283	\$717,232	\$719,341
Income before income taxes	12,482	16,752	32,542	66,949
Net income	9,909	10,450	22,566	42,734
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.50	\$ 1.09	\$ 2.06

The pro forma sales in the third quarter 2007 are lower than the reported sales as actual sales from the Company to Techni-Met exceeded Techni-Met's external sales in that period.

## Note J —Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company adopted FASB Statement No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements” as of January 1, 2008 and no adjustments to the fair values of any assets or liabilities were recorded as a result of the adoption of the statement. The Company currently measures and records in the accompanying consolidated financial statements foreign currency and interest rate derivative contracts at fair value. Statement No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy for those instruments measured at fair value that distinguishes between assumptions based on market data (observable inputs) and the Company’s assumptions (unobservable inputs). The hierarchy consists of three levels:

Level 1 — Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities;

Level 2 — Inputs other than Level 1 inputs that are either directly or indirectly observable; and

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs developed using estimates and assumptions developed by the Company, which reflect those that a market participant would use.

The following table summarizes the financial instruments measured at fair value in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 26, 2008:

(Dollars in thousands) Description	Sept. 26, 2008	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Financial Assets				
Foreign currency contracts				
Forward Contracts	\$ 566	\$ —	\$ 566	\$ —
Options	115	—	115	—
Total	\$ 681	\$ —	\$ 681	\$ —
Financial Liabilities				
Foreign currency contracts				
Forward Contracts	365	—	365	—
Interest rate exchange contracts	162	—	162	—
Total	\$ 527	\$ —	\$ 527	\$ —

The Company uses a market approach to value the assets and liabilities for outstanding derivative contracts in the table above. These contracts are valued using a market approach which incorporates quoted market prices at the balance sheet date.

## Note K —New Pronouncement

The Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement No. 159, “The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities — including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115” in the first quarter 2007. The statement allows entities to value financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The statement provides guidance over the election of the fair value option, including the timing of the election and specific items eligible for fair value accounting treatment. Changes in fair values would be recognized in earnings. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company adopted this statement effective January 1, 2008 but did not implement the optional provisions of the statement.

## **Item 1A. Risk Factors**

In addition to the Risk Factors described in our annual report on Form 10-K to shareholders for the period ended December 31, 2007, please also consider the following:

### **The current disruption in the credit markets, and its effects on the global and domestic economies, could adversely affect our business.**

The substantial volatility in world capital markets has had a significant negative impact on financial markets, as well as the global and domestic economies. From a financing perspective, this unprecedented instability may make it difficult for us to access the credit market and to obtain financing or refinancing, as the case may be, on satisfactory terms or at all.

From an operational perspective, while we have not yet seen a material decrease in sales, we anticipate a slowdown as some customers experience difficulty in obtaining adequate financing due to the current disruption in the credit markets. Our exposure to bad debt losses may also increase if current customers are unable to pay for products previously ordered.

In addition, as a result of the global economic instability, our pension plan's investment portfolio has incurred greater volatility and a decline in fair value since May 31, 2008. However, because the values of our pension plan's individual investments have and will fluctuate in response to changing market conditions, the amount of gains or losses that will be recognized in subsequent periods and the impact on the funded status of the pension plan and future minimum required contributions, if any, cannot be determined at this time and could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

## **Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

### **Overview**

We are an integrated producer of high performance specialty engineered materials used in a variety of electrical, electronic, thermal and structural applications. Our products are sold into numerous markets, including telecommunications and computer, data storage, aerospace and defense, automotive electronics, industrial components, appliance and medical.

Sales in the third quarter 2008 were \$240.5 million compared to \$230.9 million in the third quarter 2007. Sales for the first nine months of 2008 were \$713.4 million compared to \$714.8 million in the first nine months of 2007.

Sales in 2008 have increased as a result of the pass-through of higher precious and base metal pricing and the translation impact of the weaker U.S. dollar. Total underlying volumes for both the third quarter and first nine months of 2008 were below the respective periods of 2007 primarily due to a significant fall-off in shipments of ruthenium-based products for media applications in the data storage market. Growth in other portions of our business helped to mitigate the impact of the lower sales to the data storage market.

The acquisition of Techni-Met, Inc. in February 2008 for \$87.4 million added to our profitability in the third quarter and first nine months of 2008. We believe that in the long term Techni-Met provides technology that we can couple with our existing businesses to penetrate additional market opportunities.

Operating profit of \$13.0 million in the third quarter 2008 was down from the \$16.2 million generated in the third quarter 2007 due to the margin impact from the lower sales to the data storage market and other factors. Net income of \$9.9 million in the third quarter 2008, however, was unchanged from the third quarter 2007 as a result of a lower tax rate, which was due in part to discrete items recorded in the current period.

Cash flow from operations was strong once again in the third quarter. After debt increased in the first quarter, primarily as a result of the Techni-Met acquisition, the cash flow generated by operations has allowed us to reduce debt by \$32.2 million in the second and third quarters of 2008.

In the third quarter 2008, we announced that the Board of Directors had authorized a share buy back program. We repurchased \$2.1 million of stock during the quarter under this program.

## Results of Operations

	Third Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007
<i>Millions, except per share data</i>				
Sales	\$ 240.5	\$ 230.9	\$ 713.4	\$ 714.8
Operating profit	13.0	16.2	32.6	65.6
Income before income taxes	12.5	15.9	31.1	64.1
Net income	9.9	9.9	21.7	41.0
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.48	\$ 1.05	\$ 1.98

**Sales** of \$240.5 million in the third quarter 2008 were 4% higher than sales of \$230.9 million in the third quarter 2007. For the first nine months of the year, sales of \$713.4 million in 2008 were down slightly from sales of \$714.8 million in 2007.

We use ruthenium, gold, silver, platinum, palladium and copper in the manufacture of various products. Our sales are affected by the prices for these metals, as changes in our purchase prices are passed on to our customers in the form of higher or lower selling prices. While commodity prices fluctuated significantly in the third quarter 2008, average ruthenium prices were lower throughout 2008 than in 2007 while the average prices of copper and the major precious metals were higher. Changes in the prices for these metals resulted in an estimated net \$15.7 million increase in sales in the third quarter 2008 as compared to the third quarter 2007 and a net \$58.7 million increase in sales in the first nine months of 2008 as compared to the first nine months of 2007.

Sales in the third quarter 2008 were lower than the third quarter 2007 after adjusting for the metal price differential between periods. This decline, as well as the decline in sales in the first nine months of 2008, was primarily due to softer shipments of ruthenium-based products for media applications in the data storage market. Shipments of our products to this market have been weak throughout 2008 after being very strong in the first nine months of 2007. The ruthenium-based products' sales value was also lower in 2008 than 2007 due to a higher percentage of product sold being manufactured from customer supplied metal rather than from metal that we owned.

Sales for defense applications increased in the third quarter as did sales for disk drive arm applications. Demand from the undersea telecommunications market was strong in the third quarter as was demand for wireless applications for certain of our products. Sales for automotive electronic applications declined due to weakening conditions in that market in the third quarter.

Sales in 2008 also benefited from the acquisition of Techni-Met while the development of new products continued to offer additional growth opportunities across our various businesses.

Total international sales (direct exports and sales from international operations) were \$86.3 million in the third quarter 2008 compared to \$91.4 million in the third quarter 2007 while international sales in the first three quarters of 2008 of \$252.0 million were 18% lower than international sales of \$305.6 million in the first three quarters of last year. The decline in both the quarter and year-to-date international sales is mainly due to lower sales of ruthenium-based products into Asia. European sales increased 12% in the first three quarters of 2008 over the first three quarters of 2007. International sales were 35% of sales in the first three quarters of 2008 and 43% of sales in the first three quarters of 2007. The effect of translating foreign currency denominated sales was a favorable \$1.9 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to the third quarter 2007 and \$7.6 million in the first three quarters of 2008 compared to the first three quarters of 2007 as a result of changes in the relative value of the U.S. dollar. While international sales declined, domestic sales increased 11% in the third quarter 2008 and 13% in the first three quarters of 2008 over the comparable periods in 2007.

In the first quarter 2008, we reduced sales and accounts receivable by \$2.6 million in order to correct an error from 2007. The error was discovered late in the first quarter 2008 and resulted from inaccurate billings to one customer during the second half of 2007. We determined that the error was not material in accordance with SAB 99 and APB No. 28 and therefore the 2007 financial statements were not adjusted. Correction of the error also reduced the gross margin by \$2.6 million in the first quarter 2008.

**Gross margin** was \$45.2 million, or 19% of sales, in the third quarter 2008 versus \$46.3 million, or 20% of sales, in the third quarter 2007. For the first three quarters of the year, gross margin was \$127.0 million, or 18% of sales, in 2008 and \$157.4 million, or 22% of sales, in 2007.

The acquisition of Techni-Met had a positive impact on the gross margin in both the third quarter and first nine months of 2008. The change in product mix was slightly unfavorable in the third quarter and first nine months of 2008 as compared to the same periods in 2007. Margins were reduced as a result of lower underlying sales volume to the data storage market in both the third quarter and first nine months of 2008 as compared to the same periods in 2007. This unfavorable volume effect was offset in part by improved margins from the increased sales from the balance of the business. Yield and performance improvements at various facilities have provided a benefit to margins in 2008. Manufacturing overhead costs were higher in the third quarter and first nine months of 2008 than the comparable periods in 2007 due to the expansion of various facilities, higher manpower costs and other factors.

In addition to the above items, margins were \$1.5 million lower in the third quarter 2008 than the third quarter 2007 and \$20.0 million lower in the first nine months of 2008 than the first nine months of 2007 as a result of a combination of factors associated with the ruthenium business as described below.

Due to the rapidly declining market price for ruthenium, we recorded a lower of cost or market charge of approximately \$6.0 million in the second quarter 2008. Despite the strong end-use demand for ruthenium-containing products primarily for the hard disk drive applications, ruthenium inventories throughout the supply chain were high in the first half of 2008. With long lead times, especially in refining operations, and the rush to convert to the perpendicular magnetic recording technology, large inventory positions were built up in 2007. During 2008, rather than purchasing virgin material, customers generally have been working off their inventory positions and are returning their refined and recycled materials to fabricators such as us to manufacture into new targets on a toll basis for them. With limited open-market purchases and softer demand for virgin material, the quoted market price for ruthenium dropped throughout the second quarter and was below our carrying cost for a significant portion of our inventory, resulting in the charge.

The gross margin in 2007 was affected by both rapidly increasing and decreasing prices for ruthenium. The price of ruthenium escalated in the second half of 2006 and was significantly higher than the carrying cost of the inventory as of December 31, 2006. Sales of this existing lower cost inventory at the current market prices and other inventory transactions increased total gross margins by \$1.5 million in the third quarter 2007 and \$22.9 million in the first nine months of 2007. We subsequently changed our pricing practices so that the purchase price of ruthenium forms the basis for our selling price and, as a result, this benefit did not occur in 2008. The ruthenium selling price declined toward the end of the second quarter 2007 from the high levels earlier in the year resulting in a lower of cost or market charge of \$4.0 million in that period. Gross margin was also adversely affected by \$4.9 million in the second quarter 2007 by a manufacturing quality issue in the production of ruthenium targets that resulted in customer returns, additional costs and inventory losses.

**Selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A)** of \$26.1 million in the third quarter 2008 were 5% lower than expenses of \$27.5 million in the third quarter 2007. SG&A expenses totaled \$81.4 million in the first nine months of 2008 compared to \$82.7 million in the first nine months of 2007. SG&A expenses were 11% of sales in the first nine months of 2008 and 12% of sales in the first nine months of 2007.

Incentive compensation expense was \$2.6 million lower in the third quarter 2008 than the third quarter 2007 and \$5.9 million lower in the first nine months of 2008 than the first nine months of 2007 due to the lower levels of profitability in the current year relative to the plan targets as well as the impact of the lower share price of our stock on the stock-based compensation portion of the plan payouts.

Techni-Met incurred \$1.2 million of SG&A expenses in the third quarter 2008 and \$2.7 million of expenses since its acquisition in the first quarter 2008.

The currency effect of translating the SG&A expenses incurred by our foreign operations was an unfavorable \$0.4 million due to the weaker U.S. dollar in the third quarter 2008 and \$1.7 million in the first nine months of 2008 as compared to the same periods in 2007.



Various corporate costs were higher in the third quarter and first nine months of 2008, including manpower and benefit costs, but were somewhat offset by lower costs among the business units.

**Research and development expenses (R&D)** totaled \$1.7 million in the third quarter 2008 and \$1.0 million in the third quarter 2007. For the first nine months of the year, R&D expenses were \$4.9 million in 2008 and \$3.6 million in 2007. R&D spending increased in 2008 as a result of increased process and product improvement efforts.

**Other-net expense** for the third quarter and first nine months of 2008 and 2007 is summarized as follows:

	Income (Expense)			
	Third Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007
<i>Millions</i>				
Exchange gains (losses)	\$ (1.7)	\$ 0.3	\$ (3.0)	\$ (0.5)
Directors' deferred compensation	0.3	(0.6)	0.9	(1.2)
Derivative ineffectiveness	—	—	(0.2)	0.1
Metal financing fee	(1.1)	(0.5)	(3.1)	(1.4)
Loss on sale of business	—	—	—	(0.3)
Other items	(1.8)	(0.9)	(2.8)	(2.2)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (4.3)</b>	<b>\$ (1.7)</b>	<b>\$ (8.2)</b>	<b>\$ (5.5)</b>

Exchange and translation gains and losses are a function of the movement in the value of the U.S. dollar versus certain other currencies and in relation to the strike prices in currency hedge contracts. A weaker U.S. dollar generally results in exchange and translation losses for us.

Derivative ineffectiveness results from the changes in the fair value of an interest rate swap that does not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. The \$0.2 million expense in 2008 resulted from the swap's fixed rate being higher than the applicable prevailing interest rates. The swap is scheduled to expire in the fourth quarter 2008.

The income or expense on the directors' deferred compensation plan is a function of the outstanding shares in the plan and the movement in the share price of our stock. Income of \$0.3 million was recorded in the third quarter 2008 and \$0.9 million in the first nine months of 2008 as a result of a decline in the stock price.

The metal financing fee was higher in the third quarter 2008 and first three quarters of 2008 than the comparable periods in 2007. The financing fee increased due to the higher quantity on hand, including the addition of Techni-Met's metal requirements under the consignment line, and higher precious metal prices.

In the first quarter 2007, we sold substantially all of the operating assets and liabilities of Circuits Processing Technology, Inc. (CPT), a wholly owned subsidiary that manufactures thick film circuits, for \$2.2 million. CPT, which was acquired in 1996, was a small operation with limited growth opportunities. The loss on the sale was \$0.3 million.

Net-other also includes the amortization of intangible assets, changes in environmental reserves not associated with current production facilities, bad debt expense, gains and losses on the disposal of fixed assets, cash discounts and other non-operating items.

**Operating profit** was \$13.0 million in the third quarter 2008, a decline of \$3.2 million from the operating profit of \$16.2 million generated in the third quarter 2007. For the first nine months of the year, operating profit was \$32.6 million in 2008 and \$65.6 million in 2007. The lower profit resulted from the margin impact of the lower underlying sales volume, the change in ruthenium pricing practices, the lower of cost or market charge and other factors affecting gross margins and higher exchange losses offset in part by lower SG&A expenses.

**Interest expense — net** was \$0.5 million in the third quarter 2008 compared to \$0.3 million in the third quarter 2007. Interest expense of \$1.5 million in the first nine months of 2008 was unchanged from the same period in 2007. Outstanding debt levels have been higher throughout the majority of 2008 than in 2007 primarily as a result of the Techni-Met acquisition in the first quarter 2008. The impact of the higher debt was offset by a lower effective borrowing rate during the first nine months of 2008.

**Income before income taxes** was \$12.5 million in the third quarter 2008 versus \$15.9 million in the third quarter 2007. For the first nine months of the year, income before income taxes declined from \$64.1 million in 2007 to \$31.1 million in 2008.

**Income tax expense** of \$2.6 million in the third quarter 2008 was calculated using an effective rate of 20.6% of income before income taxes while the expense of \$6.0 million in the third quarter 2007 was calculated using an effective rate of 37.6% of income before income taxes. The effective tax rate for the first nine months of 2008 was 30.3% compared to 36.1% in the first nine months of 2007.

The effects of percentage depletion, foreign source income and deductions, executive compensation, the production deduction and other factors were the major factors for the difference between the effective and statutory rates in the third quarter and first nine months of both 2008 and 2007.

The tax rate in the third quarter 2008 was lower than the rate used through the first six months of 2008 due to the impact of discrete items and other changes in estimates recorded in the third quarter. The discrete items were primarily a reduction to the tax reserves in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 48 and adjustments from the finalization of the 2007 tax returns. The lower tax rate improved net income by \$2.0 million, or \$0.10 per share, in the third quarter 2008 as compared to the first six months of the year. See Note H to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Net income** was \$9.9 million in the third quarter 2008, unchanged from the third quarter 2007. For the first nine months of the year, net income was \$21.7 million in 2008 and \$41.0 million in 2007. Diluted earnings per share were \$0.48 in both the third quarter 2008 and 2007. September year-to-date diluted earnings per share were \$1.05 in 2008 and \$1.98 in 2007.

## Segment Results

We have four reporting segments. The results from the corporate office and Zentrix Technologies Inc. are included in the All Other column of our segment reporting. See Note F to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The operating results for All Other declined \$0.2 million in the first nine months of 2008 from the first nine months of 2007 largely due to higher corporate costs, lower corporate charges out to the segments and other factors offset in part by lower incentive compensation expense, the change in the directors deferred compensation expense and other factors.

## Advanced Material Technologies and Services

	Third Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007
<i>Millions</i>				
Sales	\$ 125.5	\$ 119.4	\$ 371.6	\$ 384.4
Operating profit	\$ 7.6	\$ 12.3	\$ 17.7	\$ 49.1

**Advanced Material Technologies and Services** manufactures precious, non-precious and specialty metal products, including vapor deposition targets, frame lid assemblies, clad and precious metal preforms, high temperature braze materials, ultra-fine wire, specialty inorganic materials and precision precious metal coated films. Major markets for these products include data storage, medical and the wireless, semiconductor, photonic and hybrid sectors of the microelectronics market. Advanced Material Technologies and Services also has metal cleaning operations and an in-house refinery that allow for the reclaim of precious metals from its own or customers' scrap. Due to the high cost of precious metal products, we emphasize quality, delivery performance and customer service in order to attract and maintain applications. This segment has domestic facilities in New York, California, Wisconsin and Connecticut and international facilities in Asia and Europe.

Sales from Advanced Material Technologies and Services were \$125.5 million in the third quarter 2008, a 5% growth rate over the third quarter 2007. Segment sales totaled \$371.6 million in the first nine months of 2008 compared to \$384.4 million in the first nine months of 2007.

Sales for media applications in the data storage market, primarily ruthenium targets manufactured at the Brewster, New York facility, declined approximately \$29.2 million in the third quarter 2008 and \$108.9 million in



the first nine months of 2008 from the comparable periods a year ago excluding the impact of changes in ruthenium prices. Media application demand was very strong during the majority of 2007 as customers were ramping up on ruthenium-based products for the conversion to the new perpendicular magnetic recording technology. While the overall market demand has remained strong, our shipments to this market were soft throughout the first three quarters of 2008. The lower shipments in 2008 were partially due to a specification change at a major customer in the fourth quarter 2007 that required us to re-qualify our product. Our product was re-qualified during the third quarter. However, we encountered a quality consistency issue with material from a key vendor during the third quarter and we temporarily suspended shipments to this customer pending further analysis of the material supply. We anticipate that analysis work will be completed in the fourth quarter.

In addition to the development work on ruthenium products, our marketing and engineering staffs are also working on developing and qualifying new products and applications, including the oxide and soft underlayer coatings for disk drives, with existing and new customers within the data storage market.

As noted previously, in the first three quarters of 2008, we were generally manufacturing ruthenium targets on a toll basis using customer supplied material as opposed to manufacturing products using virgin material purchased by us or material from our recycle stream. Of the \$108.9 million decline in media application sales, an estimated \$26.4 million (or 24%) is due to this shift and not from a decline in the underlying business.

Higher metal prices, growth in sales to other markets and the Techni-Met acquisition helped to offset a portion of the decline in sales during the first nine months of 2008.

Advanced Material Technologies and Services adjusts the majority of its selling prices to reflect the current cost of the precious and certain other metals that are sold. The cost of the metal is generally a pass-through to the customer and a margin is generated on the fabrication efforts irrespective of the type or cost of the metal used in a given application. Therefore, the cost and mix of metals sold will affect sales but not necessarily the margins generated by those sales. The prices of gold, silver, platinum and palladium were higher on average in the first nine months of 2008 than in the first nine months of 2007 while the price of ruthenium was lower. The combination of these price differences increased sales by \$14.5 million in the third quarter 2008 over the third quarter 2007 and \$52.3 million in the first nine months of 2008 over the first nine months of 2007.

Sales of vapor deposition targets and other products manufactured at the Buffalo, New York facility for photonics and wireless applications increased in the third quarter and first nine months of 2008 over the comparable periods in 2007 due to both volumes and higher metal prices. Sales of materials for LED applications continued to improve as well.

Sales from Thin Film Technology, Inc. (TFT), which produces lids for defense and medical applications, increased in the third quarter once again and sales for the first nine months of 2008 are approximately 50% higher than the first nine months of 2007. The new sales order entry rate for these products has been solid.

Sales of inorganic chemicals from CERAC, which are used in optics, solar energy and other applications, grew in the third quarter and first nine months of 2008 over the comparable periods in 2007.

The acquisition of Techni-Met provided a small increase to the total segment sales in 2008 as Techni-Met sources its precious metals through the Buffalo facility so the net increase in sales is limited to the value added by Techni-Met over the sales value from Buffalo. Techni-Met produces precision precious metal coated polymeric films used primarily in medical applications. The operation also contributed to the segment's profitability in the third quarter and first nine months of 2008.

The gross margin on Advanced Material Technologies and Services' sales was \$19.9 million (16% of sales) in the third quarter 2008 compared to \$22.1 million (18% of sales) in the third quarter 2007. The gross margin was \$52.4 million (14% of sales) in the first nine months of 2008 and \$79.9 million (21% of sales) in the comparable period in 2007.

The previously discussed ruthenium benefit and quality charge from 2007 as well as the lower of cost or market charges in 2008 and 2007 affected the gross margins of this segment.

Margins were reduced by the lower volumes from the Brewster facility in both the third quarter and first nine months of 2008 compared to the respective periods in 2007, which were offset in part by the margin benefit from the higher sales volumes generated through Buffalo, TFT and CERAC. The change in product mix was unfavorable in the third quarter 2008 after being favorable in the first six months of the year. The margin contribution from Techni-Met has grown in each of the quarters since the acquisition.

The \$2.6 million error correction in the first quarter 2008 reduced the year-to-date gross margin of this segment. Manufacturing overhead costs increased \$3.8 million in the third quarter and \$8.9 million in the first nine months of 2008 compared to the same periods of last year. The primary causes for the overhead increase included the acquisition of Techni-Met, the new facility in the Czech Republic, the expansion of the Brewster and Wheatfield, New York facilities, higher activity levels in various facilities and other factors.

Total SG&A, R&D and other-net expenses were \$12.2 million (10% of sales) in the third quarter 2008 compared to \$9.8 million (8% of sales) in the third quarter 2007. These expenses totaled \$34.7 million in the first nine months of 2008 (9% of sales), an increase of \$3.9 million over expenses of \$30.8 million (8% of sales) in the first nine months of 2007.

The higher expense in the third quarter and first nine months of 2008 was due to a combination of the expenses incurred by Techni-Met since its acquisition, higher metal consignment fees, additional R&D activities and the unfavorable translation effect on foreign subsidiaries' expenses partially offset by lower incentive compensation accruals.

Operating profit from Advanced Material Technologies and Services was \$7.6 million in the third quarter 2008 compared to \$12.3 million in the third quarter 2007. For the first nine months of the year, operating profit declined from \$49.1 million in 2007 to \$17.7 million in 2008. Operating profit was 5% of sales in the first nine months of 2008 and 13% of sales in the first nine months of 2007. The decline in segment profitability was due to the significant fall-off in the ruthenium business, including the impact of price movements and inventory adjustments, offset in part by improvements in other portions of the business and the acquisition of Techni-Met.

### Specialty Engineered Alloys

	Third Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007
<i>Millions</i>				
Sales	\$ 77.6	\$ 74.1	\$ 231.9	\$ 220.0
Operating profit	\$ 2.1	\$ 2.6	\$ 7.5	\$ 9.3

**Specialty Engineered Alloys** manufactures and sells three main product families:

**Strip products**, the larger of the product families, include thin gauge precision strip and small diameter rod and wire. These copper and nickel beryllium alloys provide a combination of high strength, high conductivity, high reliability and formability for use as connectors, contacts, switches, relays and shielding. Major markets for strip products include telecommunications and computer, automotive electronics and appliances;

**Bulk products** are copper and nickel-based alloys manufactured in plate, rod, bar, tube and other customized forms that, depending upon the application, may provide superior strength, corrosion or wear resistance or thermal conductivity. The majority of bulk products contain beryllium. Applications for bulk products include plastic mold tooling, bearings, bushings, welding rods, oil and gas drilling components and telecommunications housing equipment; and,

**Beryllium hydroxide** is produced by Brush Resources Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary, at its milling operations in Utah from its bertrandite mine and purchased beryl ore. The hydroxide is used primarily as a raw material input for strip and bulk products as well as by the Beryllium and Beryllium Composites segment. Sales of hydroxide from the Utah operations for the first nine months of 2008 totaled \$3.3 million, all of which were shipped in the second quarter. Hydroxide sales totaled \$2.7 million in the third quarter 2007 and \$5.2 million in the first nine months of 2007.

Strip and bulk products are manufactured at facilities in Ohio and Pennsylvania and are distributed worldwide through a network of company-owned service centers and outside distributors and agents.

Sales by Specialty Engineered Alloys of \$77.6 million in the third quarter 2008 were 5% higher than sales of \$74.1 million in the third quarter 2007. Sales in the first nine months of 2008 of \$231.9 million were a 5% improvement over sales of \$220.0 million in the first nine months of 2007.

The pass-through of the higher base metal prices and the favorable translation effect on the foreign subsidiaries' sales were the main factors for the increase in sales in the third quarter and first nine months of 2008 over the comparable periods in 2007. Other pricing improvements have helped to offset the impact of the overall lower volumes shipped in the first three quarters of 2008.

Strip volumes shipped in the third quarter 2008 were 4% lower than the third quarter 2007 while the volumes shipped in the first nine months of 2008 were 9% lower than the year-ago period. Shipments of higher beryllium-containing alloys improved in the third quarter 2008 over the third quarter 2007 but were lower for the first nine months of 2008 than 2007. The lower beryllium-containing alloy products were down significantly in both the third quarter and first nine months of 2008 versus the comparable periods in 2007.

Shipments for automotive applications weakened in the third quarter 2008 and for the first nine months of the year are lower than the first nine months of 2007. Demand for materials for handset applications softened after the first quarter of 2007 and has leveled out in 2008 at lower volumes. Shipments of strip products into Europe, including for appliance applications, also began to weaken in the third quarter 2008. Shipments of rod and wire products improved in the third quarter 2008 and, for the year, shipments of these products are ahead of last year's pace; however, the sales order entry rate for these products softened.

Bulk product volumes shipped increased 9% in the third quarter and 11% in the first nine months of 2008 over the respective periods in 2007. Shipments of the non-beryllium containing alloys declined in the third quarter but contributed to the year-to-date growth of bulk product shipments.

Demand from the undersea telecommunications market for bulk products continued to be strong in the third quarter 2008 as did the backlog for these applications. The oil and gas market was also strong as shipments in 2008 are well ahead of the prior year, although Hurricane Ike caused a temporary disruption in demand late in the third quarter and into the early portion of the fourth quarter. Shipments for aerospace applications, which were stronger in the earlier portion of the year, were adversely affected by the Boeing strike in the third quarter. Shipments for mechanical system applications softened, but new quotes and new opportunities are actively being pursued.

The gross margin on Specialty Engineered Alloys' sales was \$16.5 million in the third quarter 2008, a 16% improvement over the gross margin of \$14.2 million generated in the third quarter 2007. Gross margin improved from 19% of sales in the third quarter 2007 to 21% of sales in the third quarter 2008. For the first nine months of the year, the gross margin was \$49.5 million, or 21% of sales, in 2008 and \$47.8 million, or 22% of sales, in 2007.

The growth in margins in 2008 was due to the improved pricing, foreign currency benefits and improved manufacturing performance, including higher yield rates, more than offsetting the margin impact of the lower shipment volumes, including the lower sales of hydroxide, and higher manufacturing overhead costs.

Total SG&A, R&D and other-net expenses were \$14.4 million in the third quarter 2008, an increase of \$2.7 million from expenses totaling \$11.7 million in the third quarter 2007. For the first nine months of the year, expenses were \$41.9 million in 2008 compared to \$38.6 million in 2007, an increase of \$3.3 million. Expenses were 18% of sales in both of these periods.

The expense increase in the third quarter and first nine months of 2008 was largely due to higher foreign currency exchange losses, the unfavorable translation impact on the foreign operations' expenses due to differences in exchange rates between periods, higher incentive accruals (resulting from the improved performance relative to the plan provisions) and increased R&D activity. These increases were partially offset by lower corporate charges and international selling expenses.

The operating profit generated by Specialty Engineered Alloys totaled \$2.1 million in the third quarter 2008 compared to \$2.6 million in the third quarter 2007. For the first nine months of the year, operating profit was \$7.5 million, or 3% of sales in 2008 compared to \$9.3 million, or 4% of sales, in 2007.

### **Beryllium and Beryllium Composites**

<i>Millions</i>	<u>Third Quarter Ended</u>		<u>Nine Months Ended</u>	
	<u>Sept. 26,</u> <u>2008</u>	<u>Sept. 28,</u> <u>2007</u>	<u>Sept. 26,</u> <u>2008</u>	<u>Sept. 28,</u> <u>2007</u>
Sales	\$ 17.6	\$ 15.2	\$ 45.7	\$ 46.8
Operating profit	\$ 2.5	\$ 2.2	\$ 5.1	\$ 6.8

**Beryllium and Beryllium Composites** manufactures beryllium-based metals and metal matrix composites in rod, tube, sheet, foil and a variety of customized forms at the Elmore, Ohio and Fremont, California facilities. These materials are used in applications that require high stiffness and/or low density and they tend to be premium priced due to their unique combination of properties. This segment also manufactures beryllia ceramics through our wholly owned subsidiary Brush Ceramic Products in Tucson, Arizona. Defense and government-related applications, including aerospace, is the largest market for Beryllium and Beryllium Composites, while other markets served include medical, telecommunications and computer, electronics (including acoustics), optical scanning and automotive electronics.

Sales by Beryllium and Beryllium Composites grew 16% from \$15.2 million in the third quarter 2007 to \$17.6 million in the third quarter 2008. For the first nine months of the year, sales declined from \$46.8 million in 2007 to \$45.7 million in 2008.

Sales in 2007 included shipments for two large stand-alone projects, the JET nuclear fusion reactor and the Webb telescope, which have since been completed. Sales for these two projects totaled \$1.0 million in the third quarter 2007 and \$2.8 million in the first nine months of 2007. Sales to all other customers are up 4% in the first nine months of 2008 over the first nine months of 2007.

Defense-related sales, which were soft in the first half of 2008 due to specific program delays, strengthened in the third quarter 2008. Sales order entry rates were also strong in the third quarter 2008, including orders for products to be shipped in 2009.

Sales for medical and industrial x-ray window applications improved in the third quarter 2008 but were still lower in the first nine months of 2008 than the first nine months of 2007.

Shipments of AlBeMet<sup>®</sup> products, a beryllium-aluminum composite, were strong in the third quarter 2008. Sales of beryllia ceramics in the third quarter 2008 were unchanged from the third quarter 2007 and down 3% for the first nine months of 2008.

The gross margin on Beryllium and Beryllium Composites' sales was \$5.3 million, or 30% of sales, in the third quarter 2008 and \$5.1 million, or 34% of sales, in the third quarter 2007. The gross margin for the first nine months of 2008 was \$13.7 million, or 30% of sales, compared to a gross margin of \$16.0 million, or 34% of sales, in the first nine months of 2007.

The margin improvement in the third quarter 2008 over the third quarter 2007 was due primarily to the higher sales volume while margins were lower in the first nine months of 2008 due to the lower sales volume over the first nine months of the year. The change in product mix effect was unfavorable, largely as a result of the completion of the Webb and JET projects, and manufacturing overhead costs were higher in both the third quarter and first nine months of 2008 than the comparable periods of 2007. Improved plant performance and scrap recovery efforts have resulted in margin benefits during 2008.

SG&A, R&D and other-net expenses for Beryllium and Beryllium Composites were \$2.7 million in the third quarter 2008 compared to \$2.9 million in the third quarter 2007. For the first nine months of the year, these expenses totaled \$8.5 million, a decline of \$0.7 million from the same period a year ago. Expenses were 19% of sales in the first nine months of 2008 and 20% of sales in the first nine months of 2007.

Reductions in incentive compensation expense more than offset slight increases in R&D activity and other expenses in the third quarter 2008. For the first nine months of the year, incentive compensation, foreign currency exchange losses and corporate charges were lower in 2008 than in 2007. Selling and R&D expenses, including manpower, product samples and outside services, were higher in the first nine months of 2008 than the first nine months of 2007.

Operating profit for Beryllium and Beryllium Composites was \$2.5 million in the third quarter 2008, a 16% improvement over the operating profit of \$2.2 million generated in the third quarter 2007. Operating profit was \$5.1 million in the first nine months of 2008, a decrease of \$1.7 million from the first nine months of 2007. Operating profit was 11% of sales in the first nine months of 2008 and 14% of sales in the first nine months of 2007.

## Engineered Material Systems

<i>Millions</i>	<u>Third Quarter Ended</u>		<u>Nine Months Ended</u>	
	<u>Sept. 26, 2008</u>	<u>Sept. 28, 2007</u>	<u>Sept. 26, 2008</u>	<u>Sept. 28, 2007</u>
Sales	\$ 16.7	\$ 18.6	\$ 53.9	\$ 52.2
Operating profit	\$ 1.6	\$ 1.7	\$ 5.0	\$ 3.0

**Engineered Material Systems** includes clad inlay and overlay metals, precious and base metal electroplated systems, electron beam welded systems, contour profiled systems and solder-coated metal systems. These specialty strip metal products provide a variety of thermal, electrical or mechanical properties from a surface area or particular section of the material. Our cladding and plating capabilities allow for a precious metal or brazing alloy to be applied to a base metal only where it is needed, reducing the material cost to the customer as well as providing design flexibility. Major applications for these products include connectors, contacts and semiconductors. The largest markets for Engineered Material Systems are automotive electronics, telecommunications and computer electronics and data storage, while the energy, defense and medical electronic markets offer further growth opportunities. Engineered Material Systems are manufactured at our Lincoln, Rhode Island facility.

After growing in the first half of the year, Engineered Material Systems' sales declined from \$18.6 million in the third quarter 2007 to \$16.7 million in the third quarter 2008. Sales for the first nine months of 2008 of \$53.9 million were 3% higher than sales from the comparable period in 2007.

The decline in sales in the third quarter 2008 was due largely to lower shipments for automotive applications as demand from this market, including the European sector, which had been strong in the first half of 2008, weakened during the quarter. The lower automotive sales were partially offset by strong growth in sales of materials for disk drive arm applications, which rebounded from a soft second quarter 2008. Sales of disk drive arm materials have grown 30% in the first nine months of 2008 over the first nine months of 2007. Sales of new products, mainly to the energy market and to a lesser extent the medical market, also contributed to the year-to-date growth in sales.

The gross margin on Engineered Material Systems' sales was \$3.4 million, or 20% of sales, in the third quarter 2008 and \$3.6 million, or 19% of sales, in the third quarter 2007. For the first nine months of the year, gross margin improved to \$10.8 million, or 20% of sales, in 2008 from \$9.0 million, or 17% of sales, in 2007.

A favorable change in the product mix and manufacturing improvements helped to offset the lower margin from the decline in sales volume in the third quarter 2008 as compared to the third quarter 2007. The favorable mix shift and manufacturing improvements coupled with the margin benefits from the higher sales volume were responsible for the improved gross margin in the first nine months of the year versus the prior year.

The manufacturing improvements in 2008 are partially due to the recent implementation of a new high technology manufacturing center in the Lincoln facility, which has resulted in yield and efficiency gains. Performance improvements have also been achieved in other portions of the facility, including plating operations.

Total SG&A, R&D and other-net expenses of \$1.8 million in the third quarter 2008 were 5% lower than the third quarter 2007. Year-to-date expenses totaled \$5.8 million and were 3% lower than expenses in the same period a year ago. Lower corporate charges and incentive compensation more than offset a slight increase in selling and other administrative expenses.

Operating profit from Engineered Material Systems was \$1.6 million in the third quarter 2008 versus \$1.7 million in the third quarter 2007. Operating profit of \$5.0 million in the first nine months of 2008 was a 65% improvement over the operating profit of \$3.0 million in the first nine months of 2007. Operating profit also improved from 6% of sales in the first nine months of 2007 to 9% in the first nine months of 2008.

## Legal

One of our subsidiaries, Brush Wellman Inc., is a defendant in proceedings in various state and federal courts brought by plaintiffs alleging that they have contracted chronic beryllium disease or other claims as a result of exposure to beryllium. Plaintiffs in beryllium cases seek recovery under negligence and various other legal theories and seek compensatory and punitive damages, in many cases of an unspecified sum. Spouses, if any, claim loss of consortium.

The following table summarizes the associated activity with beryllium cases.

	Quarter Ended Sept. 26, 2008	Quarter Ended June 27, 2008
Total cases pending	9	8
Total plaintiffs	36	30
Number of claims (plaintiffs) filed during period ended	1(6)	0(0)
Number of claims (plaintiffs) settled during period ended	0(0)	0(0)
Aggregate cost of settlements during period ended (dollars in thousands)	\$ 0	\$ 0
Number of claims (plaintiffs) otherwise dismissed	0(0)	1(1)

Settlement payment and dismissal for a single case may not occur in the same period.

Additional beryllium claims may arise. Management believes that we have substantial defenses in these cases and intends to contest the suits vigorously. Employee cases, in which plaintiffs have a high burden of proof, have historically involved relatively small losses to us. Third-party plaintiffs (typically employees of customers or contractors) face a lower burden of proof than do employees or former employees, but these cases are generally covered by varying levels of insurance.

Although it is not possible to predict the outcome of the litigation pending against our subsidiaries and us, we provide for costs related to these matters when a loss is probable and the amount is reasonably estimable. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties, and it is possible that some of these actions could be decided unfavorably in amounts exceeding our reserves. An unfavorable outcome or settlement of a pending beryllium case or additional adverse media coverage could encourage the commencement of additional similar litigation. We are unable to estimate our potential exposure to unasserted claims.

Based upon currently known facts and assuming collectibility of insurance, we do not believe that resolution of the current and future beryllium proceedings will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or cash flow. However, our results of operations could be materially affected by unfavorable results in one or more of these cases. As of September 26, 2008, two purported class actions were pending.

The balances recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets associated with beryllium litigation were as follows:

Millions Asset (liability)	Sept 26, 2008	Dec. 31, 2007
Reserve for litigation	\$ (2.1)	\$ (1.3)
Insurance recoverable	1.8	1.0

**Regulatory Matters.** Standards for exposure to beryllium are under review by the United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration and by other governmental and private standard-setting organizations. One result of these reviews will likely be more stringent worker safety standards. More stringent standards may affect buying decisions by the users of beryllium-containing products. If the standards are made more stringent and our



customers decide to reduce their use of beryllium-containing products, our operating results, liquidity and capital resources would likely be materially adversely affected. The impact of this potential adverse effect would depend on the nature and extent of the changes to the standards, the cost and ability to meet the new standards, the extent of the reduction in customer use and other factors. The magnitude of this potential adverse effect cannot be estimated.

## **Financial Position**

*Net cash provided from operating activities* was \$35.3 million in the first three quarters of 2008 as net income, the benefits of depreciation and amortization and other factors more than offset unfavorable changes in various working capital items, including increases to accounts receivable and inventory and payment of the 2007 incentive compensation to employees.

*Cash* balances totaled \$7.1 million at the end of the third quarter 2008, a decline of \$24.6 million from year-end 2007, as a portion of the cash on hand, the cash generated by operations and additional borrowings were used to acquire Techni-Met and to finance capital expenditures.

*Accounts receivable* increased \$12.8 million, from \$97.4 million at the end of 2007 to \$110.2 million at the end of the third quarter 2008. This growth was due to a combination of an increase in the average collection period, as measured by the days sales outstanding, and the acquisition of Techni-Met in the first quarter 2008. Accounts written off to bad debt expense and adjustments to the bad debt allowance were immaterial in the first nine months of 2008.

*Other receivables* totaling \$11.3 million as of December 31, 2007, which represented amounts due from our insurance carriers under the litigation settlement agreement signed in the fourth quarter 2007, were collected in full during the first quarter 2008.

*Inventories* totaled \$176.4 million, an increase of \$11.2 million, or 7%, during the first three quarters of 2008. The inventory turnover ratio, a measure of how quickly inventory is sold on average, as of the end of the third quarter declined from the end of last year. Approximately \$2.1 million of the increase in inventory was due to the Techni-Met acquisition. Inventories at the Brewster facility have been reduced due to the current level of ruthenium target business and as a result of the lower of cost or market adjustments. Inventories at various other domestic and international locations within the Advanced Material Technologies and Services segment increased to support the current and projected higher level of business. Inventories at Brush Resources increased \$2.8 million during the first nine months of 2008 due to the opening of a new pit and increased bertrandite ore mining activity. Specialty Engineered Alloys' inventory pounds were up 7% in the first three quarters of 2008 in part due to longer production lead times for bulk products.

We use the last in, first out (LIFO) method for valuing a large portion of our domestic inventories. By so doing, the most recent cost of various raw materials, including gold, copper and nickel, is charged to cost of sales in the current period. The older, and often lower, costs are used to value the inventory on hand. Therefore, current changes in the cost of raw materials subject to the LIFO valuation method have only a minimal impact on changes in the inventory carrying value.

A significant portion of our precious metal requirements is maintained through off-balance sheet arrangements. We purchase the metal out of consignment at the current market price as it is shipped and sold to the customer and our purchase price forms the basis for the selling price. The use of consigned inventory reduces our exposure to market price movements on the inventory carrying value.

The value of the off-balance sheet precious metal consigned inventory arrangements was \$127.9 million at the end of the third quarter 2008, an increase of \$56.7 million during 2008 as the quantities on hand increased while metal prices on average were slightly lower. The increase was also due to the acquisition of Techni-Met in the first quarter 2008 and the addition of their metal requirements under the consignment lines.

*Prepaid expenses* were \$20.6 million as of the end of the third quarter 2008, an increase of \$2.9 million from year-end 2007. The change in the balance was due to the timing of payments for manufacturing supplies, miscellaneous taxes and other items.

**Other assets** were \$36.1 million at the end of the third quarter 2008, an increase of \$24.3 million over year end 2007. The increase is primarily from the estimated value of the intangible assets acquired with Techni-Met. The intangible asset values are subject to change pending a final appraisal.

**Capital expenditures** for property, plant and equipment and mine development totaled \$23.0 million in the first nine months of 2008, which was slightly below the total depreciation and amortization level for the period.

Spending in the first nine months of 2008 included \$6.2 million for the design and development of the new facility for the production of primary beryllium under a Title III contract with the U.S. Department of Defense (DOD). The total cost of the project is estimated to be approximately \$90.4 million; we will contribute land, buildings, research and development, technology and ongoing operations valued at approximately \$23.2 million to the project. The DOD will reimburse us for the balance of the project cost. Reimbursements from the DOD are recorded as unearned income and included in other long-term liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Construction of the facility began early in the third quarter 2008.

Advanced Material Technologies and Services expended approximately \$6.2 million for the expansion of various facilities, computer software implementation and other projects in the first nine months of 2008. Spending by Specialty Engineered Alloys totaled \$8.0 million in the first nine months of 2008 and included upgrading and replacing various pieces of equipment at the Elmore and Reading facilities.

We acquired the operating assets of Techni-Met, Inc. for \$87.4 million in February 2008. The acquisition was financed with a combination of cash and borrowings under the revolving credit agreement. Immediately subsequent to the acquisition, we sold the precious metal content of Techni-Met's inventory for its fair value of \$24.3 million to a financial institution and consigned it back under existing consignment lines. Preliminary goodwill assigned to the transaction, which is subject to final valuation, was \$13.8 million.

**Other liabilities and accrued items** were \$43.3 million as of the end of the third quarter 2008, a decline of \$12.6 million from the \$55.9 million balance as of the end of 2007. Payment of the 2007 incentive compensation in the first quarter 2008, net of the expense for the first nine months of the year, was the primary cause of the reduction. Accruals for other items, including changes in the timing of the payment of payroll deductions, fringe benefits and taxes other than income taxes as well changes in the fair value of outstanding derivative contracts contributed to the movement in the balance outstanding.

**Unearned revenue**, which is a liability representing products invoiced to customers but not shipped, was \$1.1 million as of September 26, 2008 versus \$2.6 million as of December 31, 2007. Revenue and the associated margin will be recognized for these transactions when the goods ship, title passes and all other revenue recognition criteria are met. Invoicing in advance of the shipment, which is only done in certain circumstances, allows us to collect cash sooner than we would otherwise.

**Other long-term liabilities** totaled \$17.3 million as of the end of the third quarter 2008, an increase of \$5.7 million from the prior year end. This increase was primarily due to reimbursements received from the DOD for the construction of the new beryllium facility that were classified as long-term unearned income. This liability will be relieved to income over the life of the facility once it is built and placed into service. The reserve for CBD litigation increased during the first nine months of 2008 while other long-term liabilities, including the long-term portion of the incentive accruals, changed by minor amounts during the first nine months of the year.

**The retirement and post-employment obligation** balance was \$57.4 million at the end of the third quarter 2008 and \$57.5 million at December 31, 2007. This balance represents the liability under our domestic defined benefit pension plan, the retiree medical plan and other retirement plans and post-employment obligations. Payments against the liabilities for the various plans have approximated the expense and other movements in the liabilities over the first nine months of 2008.

We made contributions totaling \$3.3 million to the domestic defined benefit plan during the first three quarters of 2008. We anticipate making an additional contribution of approximately \$0.9 million in the fourth quarter of 2008 and that the contributions in 2009 will exceed the total contributions made in 2008.

**Debt** totaled \$58.1 million as of September 26, 2008 compared to \$35.5 million as of December 31, 2007. This \$22.6 million increase was primarily due to the Techni-Met acquisition in the first quarter 2008 and, to a lesser



extent, funding of capital expenditures. Short-term debt increased \$7.3 million during the first nine months of 2008. Long-term debt increased \$15.3 million in the nine months of 2008, although it declined \$25.6 million in the third quarter due to the cash flow generated in that period. Short-term debt, which included foreign currency denominated loans and a gold- denominated loan, totaled \$32.2 million as of the end of the third quarter 2008. The current portion of long-term debt was \$0.6 million, while long-term debt was \$25.3 million. We were in compliance with all of our debt covenants as of the end of the third quarter 2008.

**Shareholders' equity** was \$380.1 million at the end of the third quarter 2008, an increase of \$26.4 million over the \$353.7 million balance as of the end of 2007. The increase was primarily due to comprehensive income of \$24.8 million (see Note E to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

In the third quarter 2008, the Board of Directors approved a program to repurchase up to 1.0 million shares of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock. The primary purpose of the program is to offset dilution caused by stock-based compensation plans. The program may be suspended or discontinued at any time. As of the end of the third quarter 2008, the Company had repurchased approximately 77,000 shares at a cost of \$2.1 million under this program.

Equity was also affected by stock compensation expense, the exercise of stock options, the tax benefits from the exercise of options and other factors during the first three quarters of 2008.

There have been no substantive changes in the summary of contractual obligations under long-term debt agreements, operating leases and material purchase commitments as of September 26, 2008 from the year-end 2007 totals as disclosed on page 39 of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Net cash provided from operating activities was \$23.2 million in the first nine months of 2007 as net income, changes in various liabilities and the benefits of depreciation more than offset the increases in accounts receivable and inventory. Receivables increased \$30.4 million, or 35%, due to the higher sales volume in the quarter and a slower days sales outstanding. Inventories increased \$11.8 million, or 8%, during the first nine months of 2007, although the inventory turnover period improved. The majority of the inventory increase was in ruthenium-based products. Capital expenditures were \$17.6 million while mine development expenditures totaled \$6.8 million in the first nine months of 2007. Total depreciation and amortization was \$18.3 million. Outstanding debt totaled \$40.2 million at the end of the third quarter 2007, a decrease of \$8.8 million from the prior year end primarily as a result of the cash provided from operations. We received \$4.9 million for the exercise of stock options during the first nine months of 2007. The cash balance stood at \$17.0 million at the end of the third quarter 2007, an increase of \$1.3 million from the prior year end.

We believe funds from operations and the available borrowing capacity are adequate to support operating requirements, capital expenditures, projected pension plan contributions, strategic acquisitions and environmental remediation projects. Although debt increased in the first three quarters of 2008, primarily as a result of the Techni-Met acquisition in the first quarter, we had approximately \$201.4 million of available borrowing capacity under the existing lines of credit as of September 26, 2008.

### Critical Accounting Policies

For information regarding critical accounting policies, please refer to pages 41 to 44 of our annual report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2007.

### Market Risk Disclosures

A portion of our ruthenium inventory remains exposed to movements in the market price and potentially subject to further lower of cost or market charges as of the end of the third quarter 2008 should the market price fall below our carrying cost. The ruthenium market price declined early in the fourth quarter. In the near term, with the majority of our sales of ruthenium-containing products being manufactured from customer supplied material, we may not be able to make a significant reduction in the quantity of inventory exposed to adverse price movements.

The credit crisis late in the third quarter and early in the fourth quarter has created uncertainties in the global financial markets. As of early in the fourth quarter, the availability of credit from our bank group and our ability to

draw upon that credit had not been significantly affected. We had not yet seen any significant impact from the credit crisis on our key suppliers and customers relative to their ability to conduct transactions with us. We are closely monitoring our accounts receivable collections and the aging of the open receivables and are implementing procedures as warranted.

Our investments in financial instruments are minimal and therefore we do not have a significant mark-to-market exposure there. We do have derivative financial instruments that are designed to hedge exposures in the normal course of our business, including an interest rate swap that will expire during the fourth quarter 2008 and foreign currency hedge contracts, which are marked-to-market each period. The gains and losses on the currency contracts as a result of exchange rate movements are generally offset by gains and losses on the underlying hedged items.

For additional information regarding market risks, please refer to pages 44 to 46 of our annual report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2007.

### Outlook

Portions of our business enter the fourth quarter with positive outlooks. Demand for undersea telecommunications, defense, certain wireless and photonic, medical and other applications all were solid. Demand from the oil and gas market had been strong during 2008 and we believe that the disruption to demand caused by Hurricane Ike late in the third quarter will be temporary. We believe the weakness in the automotive electronics market will continue into the fourth quarter while the demand for aerospace applications has been hampered by the Boeing strike early in the quarter.

We are continuing our qualification efforts to further penetrate the media market with ruthenium and other materials in the fourth quarter. The quality issue with our ruthenium supply was a setback in the third quarter that will affect sales and profitability in the fourth quarter. This material is being qualified in the fourth quarter and we anticipate resuming production level shipments to the affected customer in the first quarter 2009. We also anticipate that we will start to see some of our other products move from the qualification stage to production orders at low levels late in the first quarter of next year.

The general slowdown of the economy could have a negative impact on our sales, and therefore profitability, in future periods, particularly in markets driven directly by changes in demand for consumer electronics. In addition, while we have not seen any significant impact from the current global credit crisis on our key customers relative to their business with us, at the present time, we cannot assess how the crisis may affect our business levels going forward.

As of early in the fourth quarter 2008, we anticipate that our earnings per share for 2008 will be in the range of \$1.15 to \$1.30.

### Forward-Looking Statements

Portions of the narrative set forth in this document that are not statements of historical or current facts are forward-looking statements. Our actual future performance may materially differ from that contemplated by the forward-looking statements as a result of a variety of factors. These factors include, in addition to those mentioned herein:

- The global and domestic economies, including the uncertainties related to the impact of the current global financial crisis;
- The condition of the markets which we serve, whether defined geographically or by segment, with the major market segments being telecommunications and computer, data storage, aerospace and defense, automotive electronics, industrial components, appliance and medical;
- Changes in product mix and the financial condition of customers;
- Actual sales, operating rates and margins for the 2008;

- Our success in developing and introducing new products and new product ramp up rates, especially in the media market;
- Our success in passing through the costs of raw materials to customers or otherwise mitigating fluctuating prices for those materials, including the impact of fluctuating prices on inventory values;
- Our success in integrating newly acquired businesses, including the recent acquisition of the assets of Techni-Met, Inc.;
- Our success in implementing our strategic plans and the timely and successful completion of any capital projects;
- The availability of adequate lines of credit and the associated interest rates;
- Other financial factors, including cost and availability of raw materials (both base and precious metals), tax rates, interest rates, metal financing fees, exchange rates, pension and other employee benefit costs, energy costs, regulatory compliance costs, the cost and availability of insurance, and the impact of the Company's stock price on the cost of incentive and deferred compensation plans;
- The uncertainties related to the impact of war and terrorist activities;
- Changes in government regulatory requirements and the enactment of new legislation that may impact our obligation; and
- The conclusion of pending litigation matters in accordance with our expectation that there will be no material adverse effects.

### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

For information about our market risks, please refer to our annual report on Form 10-K to shareholders for the period ended December 31, 2007 and Market Risk Disclosures contained within Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Form 10-Q.

### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

We carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 26, 2008 pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based upon that evaluation, our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the evaluation date.

There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that occurred during the quarter ended September 26, 2008 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Our subsidiaries and our holding company are subject, from time to time, to a variety of civil and administrative proceedings arising out of our normal operations, including, without limitation, product liability claims, health, safety and environmental claims and employment-related actions. Among such proceedings are the cases described below.

#### Beryllium Claims

As of September 26, 2008, our subsidiary, Brush Wellman Inc., was a defendant in nine proceedings in various state and federal courts brought by plaintiffs alleging that they have contracted, or have been placed at risk of contracting, chronic beryllium disease or other lung conditions as a result of exposure to beryllium. Plaintiffs in beryllium cases seek recovery under negligence and various other legal theories and seek compensatory and punitive damages, in many cases of an unspecified sum. Spouses of some plaintiffs claim loss of consortium.

During the third quarter of 2008, the number of beryllium cases increased from eight (involving 30 plaintiffs) as of June 27, 2008 to nine cases (involving 36 plaintiffs) as of September 26, 2008. No cases were settled or dismissed during the quarter.

The nine pending beryllium cases as of September 26, 2008 fall into two categories: Seven cases involving third-party individual plaintiffs, with 20 individuals (and four spouses who have filed claims as part of their spouse's case and two children who have filed claims as part of their parents' case); and two purported class actions, involving ten named plaintiffs, as discussed more fully below. Claims brought by third-party plaintiffs (typically employees of our customers or contractors) are generally covered by varying levels of insurance.

The first purported class action is Manuel Marin, et al. v. Brush Wellman Inc., filed in Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, case number BC299055, on July 15, 2003. The named plaintiffs are Manuel Marin, Lisa Marin, Garfield Perry and Susan Perry. The defendants are Brush Wellman, Appanaitis Enterprises, Inc., and Doe Defendants 1 through 100. A First Amended Complaint was filed on September 15, 2004, naming five additional plaintiffs. The five additional named plaintiffs are Robert Thomas, Darnell White, Leonard Joffrion, James Jones and John Kesselring. The plaintiffs allege that they have been sensitized to beryllium while employed at the Boeing Company. The plaintiffs' wives claim loss of consortium. The plaintiffs purport to represent two classes of approximately 250 members each, one consisting of workers who worked at Boeing or its predecessors and are beryllium sensitized and the other consisting of their spouses. They have brought claims for negligence, strict liability — design defect, strict liability — failure to warn, fraudulent concealment, breach of implied warranties, and unfair business practices. The plaintiffs seek injunctive relief, medical monitoring, medical and health care provider reimbursement, attorneys' fees and costs, revocation of business license, and compensatory and punitive damages. Messrs. Marin, Perry, Thomas, White, Joffrion, Jones and Kesselring represent current and past employees of Boeing in California; and Ms. Marin and Ms. Perry are spouses. Defendant Appanaitis Enterprises Inc. was dismissed on May 5, 2005. Plaintiffs' motion for class certification, which the Company opposed, was heard by the court on February 8, 2008, and the motion was denied by the court on May 7, 2008. Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal on May 20, 2008.

The second purported class action is Gary Anthony v. Small Tube Manufacturing Corporation d/b/a Small Tube Products Corporation, Inc., et al., filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania, case number 000525, on September 7, 2006. The case was removed to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, case number 06-CV-4419, on October 4, 2006. The only named plaintiff is Gary Anthony. The defendants are Small Tube Manufacturing Corporation, d/b/a Small Tube Products Corporation, Inc.; Admiral Metals Inc.; Tube Methods, Inc.; and Cabot Corporation. The plaintiff purports to sue on behalf of a class of current and former employees of the U.S. Gauge facility in Sellersville, Pennsylvania who have ever been exposed to beryllium for a period of at least one month while employed at U.S. Gauge. The plaintiff has brought claims for negligence. Plaintiff seeks the establishment of a medical monitoring trust fund, cost of publication of approved guidelines and procedures for medical screening and monitoring of the class, attorneys' fees and expenses. Defendant Tube Methods, Inc. filed a third-party complaint against Brush Wellman Inc. in that action on

November 15, 2006. Tube Methods alleges that Brush supplied beryllium-containing products to U.S. Gauge, and that Tube Methods worked on those products, but that Brush is liable to Tube Methods for indemnification and contribution. Brush moved to dismiss the Tube Methods complaint on December 22, 2006. On January 12, 2007, Tube Methods filed an amended third-party complaint, which Brush moved to dismiss on January 26, 2007; however, the Court denied the motion on September 28, 2007. Brush filed its answer to the amended third-party complaint on October 19, 2007. On November 14, 2007, two of the defendants filed a joint motion for an order permitting discovery to make the threshold determination of whether plaintiff is sensitized to beryllium. On February 13, 2008, the court approved the parties' stipulation that the plaintiff is not sensitized to beryllium. On February 29, 2008, Brush filed a motion for summary judgment based on plaintiff's lack of any substantially increased risk of CBD. Oral argument on this motion took place on June 13, 2008, and the court took the motion under submission.

### **Other Claims**

One of our subsidiaries, Williams Advanced Materials Inc. ("WAM"), is a party to two patent litigations in the U.S. involving Target Technology Company, LLC of Irvine, California ("Target"). Both actions involve patents directed to technology used in the production of DVD-9s, which are high storage capacity DVDs. The patents at issue concern certain silver alloys used to make the semi-reflective layer in DVD-9s, a thin metal film that is applied to a DVD-9 through a process known as sputtering. The raw material used in the sputtering process is called a target. Target alleges that WAM manufactures and sells infringing sputtering targets to DVD manufacturers.

In the first action, filed in April 2003 by WAM against Target in the U.S. District Court, Western District of New York (case no. 03-CV-0276A (SR)) (the "NY Action"), WAM has asked the Court for a judgment declaring certain Target patents invalid and/or unenforceable and awarding WAM damages. Target counterclaimed alleging infringement of those patents and seeking a judgment for infringement, an injunction against further infringement and damages for past infringement. Following certain proceedings in which WAM was denied an injunction to prevent Target from suing and threatening to sue WAM's customers, Target filed an amended counterclaim and a third-party complaint naming certain of WAM's customers and other entities as parties to the case and adding related other patents to the NY Action. The action is stayed pending resolution of the ownership issue in the CA Action, discussed more fully below.

In the second litigation, Target in September 2004 filed in the U.S. District Court, Central District of California (case no. SAC04-1083 DOC (MLGx)) a separate action for infringement of one of the same patents named in the NY Action (the "CA Action"), naming as defendants WAM and certain of WAM's customers who purchase certain WAM sputtering targets. Target seeks a judgment that the patent is valid and infringed by the defendants, a permanent injunction, damages adequate to compensate Target for the infringement, treble damages and attorneys' fees and costs. In April 2007, Sony DADC U.S., Inc. ("Sony") intervened in the CA Action claiming ownership of that patent and others of the patents that Target is seeking to enforce in the NY Action. Sony's claim is based on its prior employment of the patentee and Target's founder, Hampshire H. Nee, and includes a demand for damages against both Target and Nee. WAM on behalf of itself and its customers has a paid-up license from Sony under any rights that Sony has in those patents. Trial of the CA Action is currently scheduled for March 2009.

### **Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

On August 1, 2008, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a share repurchase program authorizing the purchase of up to one (1) million shares of our common stock. The stock repurchases may be made from time to time through brokers on the New York Stock Exchange. The repurchase program may be suspended or discontinued at any time.

## Table of Contents

During the three months ended September 26, 2008, we repurchased 76,886 shares under this program at an average price of \$27.13.

<u>Period</u>	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased</u>	<u>Average Price Paid per Share</u>	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs</u>	<u>Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs</u>
June 28 through August 1, 2008	-0-	-0-	-0-	1,000,000
August 2 through August 31, 2008	50,000	\$ 28.32	50,000	950,000
September 1 through September 26, 2008	26,886	24.92	26,886	923,114

## Item 6. Exhibits

- 4.1 Second Amendment to Rights Agreement, dated as of July 31, 2008, by and between Brush Engineered Materials Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as Rights Agent (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the amended Form 8-A filed on July 31, 2008); incorporated by reference.
- 10.1 Amended and Restated 2006 Non-employee Director Equity Plan
- 10.2 Amended and Restated 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-employee Directors
- 11 Statement regarding computation of per share earnings
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a)
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a)
- 32 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

**SIGNATURES**

**Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.**

BRUSH ENGINEERED MATERIALS INC.

/s/ John D. Grampa

---

John D. Grampa  
Senior Vice President Finance  
and Chief Financial Officer

Dated: October 31, 2008

**BRUSH ENGINEERED MATERIALS INC.****2006 Non-employee Director Equity Plan****(As Amended and Restated Effective September 10, 2008)**

1. **Purposes.** The purpose of this 2006 Non-employee Director Equity Plan (the “*Director Plan*”) is to provide ownership in the Common Shares of Brush Engineered Materials Inc. (the “*Company*”) to members of the Board of Directors (the “*Board*”) who are not employees in order to align their interests more closely with the interests of the Company’s other shareholders and to provide financial incentives and rewards that will help attract and retain the most qualified non-employee directors. This Director Plan replaces the Company’s 1997 Stock Incentive Plan for Non-employee Directors (As amended and restated as of May 1, 2001), as further amended by Amendment No. 1 (the “*1997 Director Plan*”) and the 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-employee Directors (the “*2005 Director Plan*”).

2. **Administration.**

(a) This Director Plan will be administered by the Governance Committee of the Board (the “*Committee*”), which will have full power and authority, subject to the provisions of this Director Plan, to supervise administration and to interpret the provisions of this Director Plan and to authorize and supervise any grant of any award, any issuance or payment of Common Shares and any crediting or payment of Deferred Stock Units (as defined in Section 6 below). No Participant (as defined in Section 3 below) in this Director Plan will participate in the making of any decision with respect to any question relating to grants made or Common Shares issued under this Director Plan to that Participant only.

(b) The interpretation and construction by the Committee of any provision of this Director Plan or any agreement, notification or document evidencing the grant of Awards and any determination by the Committee pursuant to any provision of this Director Plan or any such agreement, notification or document, shall be final and conclusive. No member of the Committee shall be liable for any such action taken or determination made in good faith.

3. **Eligibility.** Each member of the Board who is not an employee of the Company will be eligible to receive awards and Common Shares in accordance with this Director Plan (each, a “*Participant*”), provided that shares remain available for issuance hereunder in accordance with Section 4.

4. **Shares Subject to this Director Plan.** The shares that may be issued or credited to accounts pursuant to Section 6 of this Director Plan will be 150,000 Common Shares, subject to adjustment in accordance with Section 11 of this Director Plan.

5. **Compensation in General.** The amount of the director retainer fee, any director fees that may be payable for attendance at meetings of the Board and/or committees thereof and any other compensation paid to the directors for services as a director (collectively, the “*Director*

---



*Compensation*”) will be determined from time to time in accordance with the Company’s Code of Regulations and applicable law.

6. Equity Awards.

(a) The Committee may grant to Participants under this Director Plan the following types of awards (each, an “*Award*”): stock options, stock appreciation rights ( “*SARs*“ ), restricted stock, restricted stock units, other stock awards and deferred stock units, as described herein.

(b) Each Award granted under this Director Plan will be subject to such terms and conditions as shall be established by the Committee, and the Committee will determine the number of Common Shares underlying each Award. Notwithstanding the foregoing:

(i) *Stock Options* . The exercise price of each option will be determined by the Committee but will not be less than 100% of the Fair Market Value of a Common Share on the date the option is granted. Each option will expire and will be exercisable at such time and subject to such terms and conditions as the Committee shall determine, provided that no option will be exercisable later than the tenth anniversary of its grant. In no event will the Committee cancel any outstanding stock option for the purpose of reissuing the stock option to the Participant at a lower exercise price or reduce the exercise price of an outstanding stock option.

(ii) *SARs* . SARs may be granted in tandem with a stock option granted under this Director Plan or on a free-standing basis. The grant price of a tandem SAR will be equal to the exercise price of the related option and the grant price of a freestanding SAR will be at least equal to 100% of the Fair Market Value of a Common Share on the date of its grant. A SAR may be exercised upon such terms and conditions and for such term as the Committee in its sole discretion determines, provided that the term will not exceed the option term in the case of a tandem SAR or ten years in the case of a free-standing SAR. Payment for an SAR may be made in cash or stock, as determined by the Committee.

(iii) *Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units* . Restricted stock and restricted stock units may be subject to such restrictions and conditions as the Committee determines and all restrictions will expire at such times as the Committee shall specify.

(iv) *Stock Awards* . The Committee may award to Participants, on a quarterly or other basis, a specified number of Common Shares or a number of Common Shares equal to a dollar value as determined by the Committee from time to time.

(v) *Deferred Stock Units* . Each Participant may make an annual election to have restricted stock units or other stock awards under this Director Plan paid in the form of deferred stock units (“*Deferred Stock Units*”) upon

vesting or payment of such Award, which Deferred Stock Units will be credited to a book-keeping account in the name of the Participant in accordance with this Director Plan.

(c) Unless otherwise determined by the Committee, the following Awards shall be made automatically:

(i) On the business day following the day a Participant is first elected or appointed to the Board, such Participant shall be granted Common Shares equal to \$100,000 divided by the Fair Market Value of a Common Share on the day the Participant is elected or appointed to the Board, which shall be unrestricted except as may otherwise be required by law.

(ii) On the business day following the annual meeting of shareholders, each Participant shall be granted the number of restricted stock units equal to \$45,000 divided by the Fair Market Value of a Common Share on the day of the annual meeting. Such restricted stock units shall be paid-out in Common Shares on the last day of a one-year restriction period unless the Participant elects to be paid in Deferred Stock Units. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if a Participant incurs a Termination of Service before the end of such one-year restriction period, such Participant shall be entitled to receive a pro-rata payment of Common Shares based on the number of full months of service since the date of grant, which shall be paid-out on the date of the Participant's Termination of Service. Such pro-rata payments, if any, that were deferred pursuant to elections made under Sections 7 and 8 shall remain subject to such elections.

#### 7. Further Elections.

(a) Any Participant may elect to have all or any portion of the cash portion of his or her Director Compensation paid in Common Shares and may further make an annual election to have all or any portion of any Director Compensation that the Participant has elected to receive in Common Shares and any Awards granted as Director Compensation paid in the form of Deferred Stock Units, which will be credited to the Participant's account. For the portion of a Participant's cash Director Compensation that he or she elects to receive in Common Shares, the number of Common Shares to be issued will equal the cash amount that would have been paid divided by the Fair Market Value of one Common Share on the first business day immediately preceding the date on which such cash amount would have been paid. Awards that are deferred pursuant to this Section 7(a) will be credited to the Deferred Stock Units account on a one for one basis.

(b) An election pursuant Sections 6(b)(v) and/or 7(a) must be made in writing and delivered to the Company prior to the first day of the calendar year for which the Director Compensation would be earned. To elect to defer Director Compensation earned during the first calendar year in which a director becomes eligible to participate in this Director Plan, the new director must make an election pursuant to Section 6(b)(v) and/or 7(a) within 30 days after becoming eligible to participate in this Director Plan and such election shall be effective only with regard to Director Compensation earned

subsequent to the filing of the election. All elections to defer Director Compensation under the 2005 Director Plan that were made in 2005 prior to the start of the 2006 calendar year shall be treated as elections to defer Director Compensation under this Director Plan for the 2006 calendar year.

(c) If a director does not file an election form by the specified date, he or she will receive any Director Compensation for the year that is payable in Common Shares on a current basis and will be deemed to have elected to receive the remainder of the Director Compensation in cash.

#### 8. Deferral.

(a) If a Participant elects to receive Deferred Stock Units, there will be credited to the Participant's account as of the day such Director Compensation would have been paid, the number of Deferred Stock Units which is equal to the number of Common Shares that would otherwise have been delivered to the Participant pursuant to Section 6 and/or Section 7(a) on such date. The Deferred Stock Units credited to the Participant's account (plus any additional shares credited pursuant to Section 8(c) below) will represent the number of Common Shares that the Company will issue to the Participant at the end of the deferral period. Unless otherwise provided herein or pursuant to the terms of any Award hereunder, all Deferred Stock Units awarded under this Director Plan will vest 100% upon the award of such Deferred Stock Units. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in no event shall any amount be transferred to a trust maintained in connection with the Director Plan if, pursuant to Section 409A(b)(3)(A) of the Code, such amount would, for purposes of Section 83 of the Code, be treated as property transferred in connection with the performance of services.

(b) The Deferred Stock Units will be subject to a deferral period beginning on the date of crediting to the Participant's account and ending upon the earlier of (i) the date of the Participant's Termination of Service as a director or (ii) a date specified by the Participant. The period of deferral will be for a minimum period of one year, except in the case where the Participant elects a deferral period determined by reference to his or her Termination of Service as a director. The Participant may elect payment in a lump sum or payment in equal installments over five or ten years. Elections with respect to the time and method (i.e., lump sum or installments) of payment must be made at the same time as the participant's election to defer as described in Section 7(b). If the Participant does not specify a time for payment, the Participant will receive payment upon Termination of Service as a director and if no method of payment is specified by the Participant, he or she will receive payment in a lump sum. A Participant may change the time and method of payment he or she previously elected (or was deemed to elect) if all of the following requirements are met: (i) such subsequent payment election may not take effect until at least twelve months after the date on which the subsequent payment election is made; (ii) in the case of a subsequent payment election related to a payment not being made as a result of death or an Unforeseeable Emergency, the payment date shall in all cases be deferred for a period of not less than five years from the date such payment would otherwise have been made (or in the case of installment payments, which are treated as a single payment for purposes of this Section 8(b), five years from the date

the first installment payment was scheduled to be paid); and (iii) any subsequent payment election related to a distribution that is to be made at a specified time or pursuant to a fixed schedule must be made not less than twelve months prior to the date the payment was scheduled to be made under the original payment election (or, in the case of installment payments, which are treated as a single payment for purposes of this Section 8(b), twelve months prior to the date the first installment payment was scheduled to be paid). During the deferral period, the Participant will have no right to transfer any rights under his or her Deferred Stock Units and will have no other rights of ownership therein.

(c) A Participant's account will be credited as of the last day of each calendar quarter with that number of additional Deferred Stock Units equal to the amount of cash dividends paid by the Company during such quarter on the number of Common Shares equivalent to the number of Deferred Stock Units in the Participant's account from time to time during such quarter divided by the Fair Market Value of one Common Share on the day immediately preceding the last business day of such calendar quarter. Such dividend equivalents, which will likewise be credited with dividend equivalents, will be deferred until the end of the deferral period for the Deferred Stock Units with respect to which the dividend equivalents were credited.

(d) Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions, (i) if, upon the applicable distribution date the total value of the account balance(s) held by a Participant under this Director Plan and any other agreements, methods, programs, plans or other arrangements with respect to which deferrals of compensation are treated as having been deferred under a single nonqualified deferred compensation plan with the account balances under the Director Plan under Treas. Reg. § 1.409A-1(c)(2) (the "Aggregate Account Balance") does not exceed the applicable dollar amount under Section 402(g)(1)(B) of Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), the amount of the Participant's Aggregate Account Balance will be immediately paid to the Participant in cash or Common Shares, as applicable, (ii) if a Change in Control (as defined in Section 9(c) below) of the Company occurs, the amount of each Participant's account will immediately be paid to the Participant in full, and (iii) in the event of an Unforeseeable Emergency, accelerated payment shall be made to the Participant of all or a part of the Participant's account, but only up to the amount necessary to satisfy such Unforeseeable Emergency plus amounts necessary to pay taxes reasonably anticipated as a result of the distribution(s), after taking into account the extent to which the hardship is or may be relieved through reimbursement or compensation by insurance or otherwise or by liquidation of the Participant's assets (to the extent the liquidation of such assets would not itself cause severe financial hardship).

(e) To the extent a Participant is entitled to a lump sum payment following a Change in Control under Section 8(d) above and such Change in Control does not constitute a "*change in the ownership or effective control*" or a "*change in the ownership of a substantial portion of the assets*" of the Company within the meaning of Section 409A(a)(2)(A)(v) of the Code and Treas. Reg. § 1.409A-3(i)(5), or any successor provision, then notwithstanding Section 8(d), payment will be made, to the extent necessary to comply with the provisions of Section 409A of the Code, to the Participant on the earliest of (i) the Participant's Termination of Service with the Company; (ii) the

date payment otherwise would have been made in the absence of Section 8(d) (provided such date is a permissible distribution date under Section 409A of the Code), or (iii) the Participant's death.

(f) Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Section 8, if a Participant is a Key Employee at the time of his or her Termination of Service, then payment of Deferred Stock Units on account of Termination of Service shall be made or commence on the first business day of seventh month following such Termination of Service (or, if earlier, the date of death).

#### 9. Definitions, etc.

(a) For purposes of this Director Plan, "Common Shares" means (i) Common Shares without par value of the Company and (ii) any security into which Common Shares may be converted by reason of any transaction or even of the type referred to in Section 11 of this Director Plan.

(b) "Fair Market Value" means, as of any particular date, unless otherwise determined by the Committee, the per share closing price of a Common Share on the New York Stock Exchange on the day such determination is being made (as reported in The Wall Street Journal) or, if there was no closing price reported on such day, on the next day on which such a closing price was reported; or if the Common Shares are not listed or admitted to trading on the New York Stock Exchange on the day as of which the determination is being made, the amount determined by the Committee to be the fair market value of a Common Share on such day.

(c) For purposes of this Director Plan, "Change in Control" of the Company shall have the meaning determined by the Committee from time to time.

(d) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Director Plan, it is a condition to the issuance of Common Shares or Deferred Stock Units that the transaction be registered under applicable securities laws and no Participant will be able to receive Common Shares or Deferred Stock Units in payment of all or part of his or her Director Compensation unless and until such registration has been effected.

(e) For purposes of this Director Plan, "Key Employee" means a "specified employee" with respect to the Company (or a controlled group member of the Company) determined pursuant to procedures adopted by the Company in compliance with Section 409A of the Code.

(f) For purposes of this Director Plan, "Termination of Service" means a termination of service with the Company that constitutes a separation from service within the meaning of Treas. Reg. § 1.409A-1(h), or any successor provision.

(g) For purposes of this Director Plan, "Unforeseeable Emergency" means an event that results in a severe financial hardship to a Participant resulting from (i) an illness or accident of the Participant or his or her spouse, dependent (as defined in Section 152(a) of the Code), or beneficiary, (b) loss of the Participant's property due to casualty,

or (c) other similar extraordinary circumstances arising as a result of events beyond the control of the Participant.

10. Delivery of Shares. The Company will make delivery of certificates representing the Common Shares which a Participant is entitled to receive 60 days following the Participant's right to receive such Common Shares.

11. Adjustments. In the event that, after the Effective Date of this Director Plan (as defined in Section 16), the number of outstanding Common Shares is increased or decreased or such shares are exchanged for a different number or kind of shares or other securities by reason of a stock dividend, stock split, recapitalization, reclassification, combination of shares or other change in the capital structure of the Company or by reason of a merger, consolidation, spin off, split off, spin out, split up, reorganization, partial or complete liquidation or other distribution of assets, issuance of rights or warrants to purchase securities or any other corporate transaction or event having an effect similar to any of the foregoing, adjustments will be made by the Board in the number and kind of shares or other securities that are underlying Awards and/or credited to accounts hereunder (and in the exercise price or other price of shares subject to outstanding Awards) and that may be issued under this Director Plan as it deems to be appropriate. Moreover, in the event of any such transaction or event, the Committee, in its discretion, may provide in substitution for any or all outstanding Awards under this Director Plan such alternative consideration (if any) as it, in good faith may determine to be equitable in the circumstances and may require in connection therewith the surrender of all Awards so replaced.

12. Termination or Amendment of this Director Plan. The Committee may at any time and from time to time terminate, amend or suspend this Director Plan; *provided, however*, that the Committee may not materially alter this Director Plan without shareholder approval, including by increasing the benefits accrued to Participants under this Director Plan; increasing the number of securities which may be issued under this Director Plan; modifying the requirements for participation in this Director Plan; or by including a provision allowing the Board or the Committee to lapse or waive restrictions at its discretion. An amendment or the termination of this Director Plan will not adversely affect the right of a Participant to receive Common Shares issuable or cash payable at the effective date of the amendment or termination. No grant will be made under this Director Plan more than 10 years after the date of which it is first approved by shareholders, but all grants made on or prior to such date will continue in effect thereunder subject to the terms thereof and of this Director Plan.

13. Transferability.

(a) Except as provided in Section 13(c) below, no option right or SAR or other derivative security granted under this Director Plan may be transferred by a Participant except by will or the laws of descent and distribution. Except as otherwise determined by the Committee, option rights and SARs granted under this Director Plan may not be exercised during a Participant's lifetime except by the Participant or, in the event of the Participant's legal incapacity, by his guardian or legal representative acting in a fiduciary capacity on behalf of the Participant under state law and court supervision.

(b) The Committee may specify at the date of grant, that all or any part of the Common Shares that are (i) to be issued or transferred by the Company upon the exercise of option rights or upon the termination of the restriction period applicable to restricted stock units, or (ii) no longer subject to the substantial risk of forfeiture and restrictions on transfer applicable to restricted stock, shall be subject to further restrictions upon transfer.

(c) The Committee may determine that option rights and SARs may be transferable by a Participant, without payment of consideration therefor by the transferee, only to any one or more members of the Participant's immediate family; provided, however, that (i) no such transfer shall be effective unless reasonable prior notice thereof is delivered to the Company and such transfer is thereafter effected in accordance with any terms and conditions that shall have been made applicable thereto by the Company or the Committee and (ii) any such transferee shall be subject to the same terms and conditions hereunder as the Participant. For the purposes of this Section 16(c), the term "*immediate family*" means any child, stepchild, grandchild, parent, stepparent, grandparent, spouse, sibling, mother-in-law, father-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, or sister-in-law, including adoptive relationships, any person sharing the Participant's household (other than a tenant or employee), a trust in which these persons have more than fifty percent of the beneficial interest, a foundation in which these persons (or the Participant) control the management of assets, and any other entity in which these persons (or the Participant) own more than fifty percent of the voting interests.

#### 14. Miscellaneous.

(a) To the extent that the application of any formula described in this Director Plan does not result in a whole number of Common Shares, the result will be rounded upwards to the next whole number.

(b) The adoption and maintenance of this Director Plan will not be deemed to be a contract between the Company and the Participant to retain his or her position as a director of the Company.

#### 15. Compliance with Section 409A of the Code.

(a) To the extent applicable, it is intended that this Director Plan and any Awards made hereunder comply with the provisions of Section 409A of the Code, so that the income inclusion provisions of Section 409A(a)(1) of the Code do not apply to the Participant. This Director Plan and any Awards made hereunder shall be administered in a manner consistent with this intent. Any reference in this Director Plan to Section 409A of the Code will also include any regulations or any other formal guidance promulgated with respect to such Section by the U.S. Department of the Treasury or the Internal Revenue Service.

(b) Neither a Participant nor any of a Participant's creditors or beneficiaries shall have the right to subject any deferred compensation (within the meaning of Section 409A of the Code) payable under this Director Plan and Awards hereunder to any

anticipation, alienation, sale, transfer, assignment, pledge, encumbrance, attachment or garnishment. Except as permitted under Section 409A of the Code, any deferred compensation (within the meaning of Section 409A of the Code) payable to a Participant or for a Participant's benefit under this Plan and grants hereunder may not be reduced by, or offset against, any amount owing by a Participant to the Company or any of its affiliates.

(c) Notwithstanding any provision of this Director Plan and Awards hereunder to the contrary, in light of the uncertainty with respect to the proper application of Section 409A of the Code, the Company reserves the right to make amendments to this Director Plan and Awards hereunder as the Company deems necessary or desirable to avoid the imposition of taxes or penalties under Section 409A of the Code. In any case, a Participant shall be solely responsible and liable for the satisfaction of all taxes and penalties that may be imposed on a Participant or for a Participant's account in connection with this Director Plan and Awards hereunder (including any taxes and penalties under Section 409A of the Code), and neither the Company nor any of its affiliates shall have any obligation to indemnify or otherwise hold a Participant harmless from any or all of such taxes or penalties.

16. Effective Date of this Director Plan . This Director Plan was originally effective immediately on May 2, 2006, the date of its approval by the shareholders of the Company (the "*Effective Date*"), and this amendment and restatement is effective September 20, 2008 (the "*Effective Restatement Date*"). As of the Effective Restatement Date, any account balances held by a Participant under the 2005 Director Plan shall be treated as Deferred Stock Units, which shall be administered under the terms of this Director Plan .

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Brush Engineered Materials Inc. has executed this Plan this 10<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2008.

BRUSH ENGINEERED MATERIALS INC.

By: /s/ Michael C. Hasychak  
Name: Michael C. Hasychak  
Title: Vice President, Treasurer and Secretary



**BRUSH ENGINEERED MATERIALS INC.**  
**2005 DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN FOR NONEMPLOYEE DIRECTORS**  
**(AS AMENDED AND RESTATED EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 10, 2008)**

**Recitals**

1. Brush Engineered Materials Inc. (the “Company”) has suspended the 1992 Brush Engineered Materials Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors (As Amended as of May 16, 2000) and as further amended by Amendments No. 1, No. 2, and No. 3; and
2. The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, P.L. 108-357 (the “AJCA”) added a new Section 409A to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), which significantly changed the Federal tax law applicable to “amounts deferred” under nonqualified deferred compensation plans after December 31, 2004; and
3. Pursuant to the AJCA, the Secretary of the Treasury and the Internal Revenue Service has issued proposed and final regulations and other guidance with respect to the provisions of new Section 409A of the Code and will issue additional guidance with respect to Section 409A of the code (collectively, the “AJCA Guidance”); and
4. The Company previously adopted the 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors, effective January 1, 2005 (the “Plan”), which was replaced by the Company’s 2006 Non-employee Director Equity Plan, which was effective May 2, 2006 (the “2006 Plan”), effective beginning with deferrals made for the 2006 calendar year; and
5. Under the terms of the 2006 Plan, as amended and restated as of September 10, 2008 (the “Effective Restatement Date”), as of the Effective Restatement Date, any account balances held by a Participant under the Plan will be treated as deferred stock units, which shall be administered under the terms of the 2006 Plan; and
6. The Company now desires to amend and restate the Plan, effective September 10, 2008, to take into account the AJCA Guidance issued to date.

**ARTICLE I**

**INTRODUCTION**

1.1. *Purpose of the Plan* . The purpose of the Brush Engineered Materials Inc. 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors is to provide the nonemployee Directors of the Company with the opportunity to defer receipt of compensation payable for services as a Director and to help solidify the common interest of Directors and shareholders in enhancing the value of the Company’s Common Shares. Notwithstanding the foregoing, all benefits hereunder (other than income, earnings, gains or losses credited to a Director’s Deferred Compensation Account) were frozen effective as of December 31, 2005. In addition, effective as of the Effective Restatement Date, all amounts deferred under the terms of the Plan shall be treated as deferred stock units administered under the terms of the 2006 Plan. In furtherance of,

---

but without limiting the foregoing, no new participants may join the Plan after December 31, 2005, no amounts may be deferred under the Plan beginning with the calendar year 2006, the only amounts that shall be allocated to a Director's Trust Account and Deferred Compensation Account under the Plan between January 1, 2006 and the Effective Restatement Date shall be income, earnings, gains or losses credited on Trust Account balances during that period, and effective as of the Effective Restatement Date, all amounts remaining in a Director's Deferred Compensation Account under the Plan as of the Effective Restatement Date shall be administered as deferred stock units under the terms of the 2006 Plan.

1.2. *American Jobs Creation Act (AJCA)* .

(a) It is intended that the Plan (including any amendments thereto) comply with the provisions of Section 409A of the Code, as enacted by the AJCA, and the AJCA Guidance so as to prevent the inclusion in gross income of any amount credited to a Director's Deferred Compensation Account hereunder in a taxable year that is prior to the taxable year or years in which such amounts would otherwise be actually distributed or made available to the Director. The Plan shall be administered in a manner that effects such intent.

(b) The original effective date of the Plan was January 1, 2005 and this amended and restated version of the Plan is effective September 10, 2008.

## **ARTICLE II DEFINITIONS**

As used herein, the following words shall have the meanings stated after them unless otherwise specifically provided:

2.1. “ *Change in Control* ” shall have the meaning assigned thereto in Section 5.5 hereof.

2.2. “ *Committee* ” shall mean the Governance Committee of the Board of Directors.

2.3. “ *Common Shares* ” shall mean the Common Shares, without par value, of the Company.

2.4. “ *Company* ” shall mean Brush Engineered Materials Inc.

2.5. “ *Deferred Compensation Account* ” shall have the meaning assigned thereto in Section 3.1 hereof.

2.6. “ *Director* ” shall mean any nonemployee director of the Company.

2.7. “ *Insolvent* ” shall have the meaning assigned thereto in Section 6.2 hereof.

2.8. “ *Key Employee* ” shall mean a “specified employee” with respect to the Company (or a controlled group member of the Company) determined pursuant to procedures adopted by the Company in compliance with Section 409A of the Code and the AJCA Guidance.

2.9. “ *Plan* ” shall mean the 2005 Brush Engineered Materials Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors, as amended from time to time.

2.10. “ *Termination of Service* ” shall mean a termination of service with the Company that constitutes a separation from service within the meaning of Treas. Reg. § 1.409A-1(h), or any successor provision.

2.11. “ *Trust* ” shall have the meaning assigned thereto in Section 4.1 hereof.

2.12. “ *Trust Account* ” shall have the meaning assigned thereto in Section 4.2 hereof.

2.13. “ *Trust Agreement* ” shall mean the Trust Agreement entered into between the Company and the Trustee in connection with the Plan.

2.14. “ *Trust Fund* ” shall have the meaning assigned thereto in Section 4.2 hereof.

2.15. “ *Trustee* ” shall mean such person or entity as may be chosen by the Company from time to time to act as the trustee under the Trust Agreement, together with the successors of such person or entity as may be provided in the Trust Agreement.

### ARTICLE III

#### ELECTIONS BY DIRECTORS

3.1. *Compensation Reduction for 2005 and Later Years* . Not later than December 31 of any calendar year, beginning with December 31, 2004 for the calendar year 2005, a Director may, by filing an annual written election with the Committee, direct the Company (a) to reduce the compensation payable to him or her (determined without regard to the provisions of this Section) for services as a Director during the next calendar year in such amount as elected by the Director and (b) to credit the amount of such reduction to the Director’s Deferred Compensation Account.

3.2. *Partial Years* . If a Director first becomes a Director after January 1st of any calendar year, the Director may, by filing a written election with the Committee, direct the Company (a) to reduce the compensation payable to him or her for future services as a Director during the year in such amount as elected by the Director and (b) to credit the amount of such reduction to the Director’s Deferred Compensation Account. Any such election shall be made within 30 days after an individual becomes a Director, and shall apply only to compensation for services as a Director performed after the date of such election.

3.3. *Elections Irrevocable* . All elections described in this Article shall be made on an election form specified by the Committee and filed with the Committee. Once an election becomes effective pursuant to this Article, such election shall be irrevocable and shall remain in effect until the end of the calendar year to which it relates.

3.4. *Deferred Compensation Accounts* . Each Director who has elected to have his or her compensation reduced pursuant to this Article shall have a nonforfeitable right to the balance from time to time of his or her Deferred Compensation Account. Each Director’s Deferred

Compensation Account shall be subdivided into separate subaccounts for each year of participation. In addition to the credits to a Director's Deferred Compensation Account described in Sections 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 hereof, a Director's Deferred Compensation Account (and the appropriate subaccounts) shall be credited or debited with, amounts equal to the income, earnings, gains or losses on the Trust Account maintained with respect to the Director under the Trust Agreement at such times as such items are credited to or debited from such Trust Account and shall be debited for any distributions to the Director under Article V.

3.5. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of Article III, no Director shall become a participant in the Plan after December 31, 2005, no amounts may be deferred under the Plan beginning with the calendar year 2006, the only amounts that shall be allocated to a Director's Deferred Compensation Account under the Plan between January 1, 2006 and the Effective Restatement Date shall be income, earnings, gains or losses credited on the Trust Account balances during that period, and all amounts remaining in a Director's Deferred Compensation Account under the Plan as of the Effective Restatement Date shall be administered as deferred stock units under the terms of the 2006 Plan.

## **ARTICLE IV**

### **ACCOUNTS AND INVESTMENTS**

4.1. *Contribution* . (a) The Company shall from time to time transfer to the Trustee to be held under the Trust Agreement in a trust (the "Trust") cash funds equal to the amounts by which Directors elect to have their compensation reduced pursuant to this Plan. All such transfers shall be made within 30 days after such compensation would have been paid to the Director but for the Director's compensation reduction election.

(b) Except as provided with respect to the creditors of the Company in Article VI hereof, all contributions and other transfers by the Company to the Trust pursuant to Section 4.1(a) hereof shall be irrevocable, and (except as so provided) the Company shall have no right to the return of any funds so contributed or transferred to the Trust or any earnings thereon.

(c) Notwithstanding the foregoing, in no event shall any amount be transferred to the Trust if, pursuant to Section 409A(b)(3)(A) of the Code, such amount would, for purposes of Section 83 of the Code, be treated as property transferred in connection with the performance of services.

4.2. *Establishment and Adjustment of Accounts* . The Trustee shall establish a separate account under the Trust (a "Trust Account") for any Director who defers compensation pursuant to the Plan. As of December 31 of each year and on such other dates as the Committee may direct, the fair market value of the assets of the Trust allocated to all Trust Accounts (the "Trust Fund") shall be determined by the Trustee.

4.3. *Investment of Assets* . The assets of the Trust Fund shall be held by the Trustee in the name of the Trust. As amounts are received by the Trustee, it shall invest the funds pursuant to the Trust Agreement, which shall authorize the Trustee to invest the funds contained in each Trust Account in Common Shares.

4.4. *Assets Held in Cash* . The Trustee may, in its sole discretion, maintain in cash such amounts as it deems necessary to meet the needs of the Trust from time to time. Amounts maintained in cash by the Trustee shall be kept to a minimum consistent with the duties and obligations of the Trustee as set forth in the Trust Agreement and shall not be required to be invested at interest.

4.5. *Trustee's Fees* . The fees and expenses of the Trustee under the Trust Agreement shall be paid by the Company.

4.6. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Article IV, the only amounts that shall be allocated to a Director's Trust Account under the Plan between January 1, 2006 and the Effective Restatement Date shall be income, earnings, gains or losses credited on the Trust Account balances under the Plan during that period, and no amounts shall be credited on Trust Account balances on or after the Effective Restatement Date.

## **ARTICLE V**

### **PAYMENT OF ACCOUNTS**

5.1. *Time of Payment* . Distribution of each subaccount included in a Director's Deferred Compensation Account shall commence or be made in the manner described in Section 5.2 hereof on the earliest to occur of: (i) the date of Termination of Service as a Director on account of resignation, retirement, death or otherwise, (ii) if so specified on the Director's election form for the particular year (or on the 2005 election form for all current Directors), the date the Director reaches the age of 70 or older, or (iii) the occurrence of a Change in Control of the Company. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if, upon the applicable distribution date the total value of the account balance(s) held by a Director under this Plan, the 2006 Plan, and any other agreements, methods, programs, plans or other arrangements with respect to which deferrals of compensation are treated as having been deferred under a single nonqualified deferred compensation plan with the account balances under this Plan and the 2006 Plan under Treas. Reg. § 1.409A-1(c)(2) (the "Aggregate Account Balance") does not exceed the applicable dollar amount under Section 402(g)(1)(B) of the Code, the amount of the Director's Aggregate Account Balance will be immediately paid in a lump sum to the Director on the applicable date. Further, notwithstanding the foregoing, effective as of the Effective Restatement Date the time of distribution of amounts remaining in a Director's Deferred Compensation Account as of the Effective Date shall be governed by the terms of the 2006 Plan.

5.2. *Method of Distribution* . Prior to December 31 of each year, beginning with December 31, 2004, a Director shall file an annual election with the Committee to specify whether amounts credited to his Deferred Compensation Account for the following year shall be distributed to him or her (or his or her beneficiary) in a single lump sum payment at the time described in Section 5.1, or in not more than ten annual installments commencing at such time. The amounts credited to the Director's Deferred Compensation Account for such year shall be distributed or commence to be distributed to the Director or the Director's beneficiary at the time described in Section 5.1 in the manner so specified. The amount of each installment payment shall be calculated by dividing the amount credited to the applicable subaccount in the Director's Deferred Compensation Account at the time of each such payment (as determined by the Committee) by the number of remaining installments (including the current installment). If the

Company is not Insolvent at the time of any payment, the payment shall be made from the Trust and charged to the Director's Trust Account. The Common Shares shall be distributed in kind. Notwithstanding the foregoing, no method of distribution elections shall be made effective beginning with deferrals for the 2006 calendar year. Further, notwithstanding the foregoing, effective as of the Effective Restatement Date the method of distribution ( *i.e.* , lump sum or installments) of amounts remaining in a Director's Deferred Compensation Account as of the Effective Date shall be governed by the terms of the 2006 Plan.

5.3. *Designation of Beneficiary* . Each Director participating in this Plan shall designate a beneficiary or beneficiaries to whom distribution shall be made pursuant to Section 5.2 in the event of the death of the Director before his or her entire Deferred Compensation Account is distributed. If there is no designated beneficiary, or no designated beneficiary surviving at a Director's death the Director's beneficiary shall be his or her estate. Beneficiary designations shall be made in writing. A Director may designate a new beneficiary or beneficiaries at any time by filing a new election with the Committee.

5.4. *Taxes* . In the event any taxes are required by law to be withheld or paid from any distributions made pursuant to the Plan, the Company or Trustee (as applicable) shall deduct such amounts from such distributions and shall transmit the withheld amounts to the appropriate taxing authority.

5.5. *Definition of Change in Control* . A "Change in Control" of the Company shall have occurred if at any time any of the following events shall occur:

(a) The Board of Directors of the Company at any time shall fail to include a majority of directors who are either "Original Directors" or "Approved Directors". An Original Director is a director who is serving on January 1, 1995. An Approved Director is a director who, after such date, is elected to the Board of Directors of Brush Wellman Inc. or the Board of Directors of the Company, or is nominated for election by the shareholders, by a vote of at least two-thirds of the Original Directors and the previously elected Approved Directors, if any;

(b) Any person (as the term "person" is defined in Section 1701.01(G) of the Ohio Revised Code) shall have made a "control share acquisition" (as the term "control share acquisition" is defined in Section 1701.01(Z) of the Ohio Revised Code) of shares of the Company without having first complied with Section 1701.831 of the Ohio Revised Code (dealing with control share acquisitions); or

(c) The Board of Directors shall at any time determine in the good faith exercise of its judgment that (i) any particular actual or proposed accumulation of shares of the Company, tender offer for shares of the Company, merger, consolidation, sale of assets, proxy contest, or other transaction or event or series of transactions or events will, or is likely to, if carried out, result in a Change in Control falling within Sections 5.5(a) or 5.5(b) hereof and (ii) it is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders, and will serve the intended purposes of this Plan and the Trust, for distributions of Deferred Compensation Accounts to commence immediately as herein provided.

5.6. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Article V:

(a) If a Director is a Key Employee at the time of his or her Termination of Service, then payment on account of Termination of Service (including under the circumstances described in the last sentence of Section 5.1 hereof) shall be made or commence on the first business day of seventh month following such Termination of Service (or, if earlier, the date of death).

(b) To the extent that a Director is entitled to payment following a Change in Control of the Company under Section 5.1 hereof and such Change in Control does not constitute a “change in ownership or effective control” or a “change in the ownership of a substantial portion of the assets” of the Company within the meaning of Section 409A(a)(2)(A)(v) of the Code and Treas. Reg. § 1.409A-3(i)(5), or any successor provision, then notwithstanding Section 5.1, payment shall be made or commence, to the extent necessary to comply with Section 409A of the Code and the AJCA Guidance, to the Director on the earliest of (i) the date of the Director’s Termination of Service, (ii) the date payment otherwise would have been made in the absence Section 5.1 hereof (provided such date is a permissible distribution date under Section 409A of the Code), or (ii) the date of the Director’s death.

## **ARTICLE VI**

### **CREDITORS AND INSOLVENCY**

6.1. *Claims of the Company’s Creditors* . All assets held in the Trust pursuant to the Plan, and any payment to be made by the Trustee pursuant to the Plan and Trust Agreement, shall be subject to the claims of the general creditors of the Company, including judgment creditors and bankruptcy creditors. The rights of a Director or his or her beneficiaries to any assets of the Trust Fund shall be no greater than the rights of an unsecured creditor of the Company.

6.2. *Notification of Insolvency* . In the event the Company becomes Insolvent (as hereinafter defined), the Board of Directors of the Company and the chief executive officer of the Company shall immediately notify the Trustee of that fact. The Trustee shall not make any payments from the Trust Fund to any Director or any beneficiary under the Plan after such notification is received or at any time after the Trustee has knowledge of such Insolvency. Under any such circumstance, the Trustee shall deliver any property held in the Trust Fund only as a court of competent jurisdiction may direct to satisfy the claims of the Company’s creditors. For purposes of this Plan, the Company shall be deemed to be “Insolvent” if the Company is subject to a pending voluntary or involuntary proceeding as a debtor under the United States Bankruptcy Code, as amended, or is unable to pay its debts as they mature.

## **ARTICLE VII**

### **ADMINISTRATION**

7.1. *Powers of the Committee* . The Committee shall administer the Plan and resolve all questions of interpretation arising under the Plan. Whenever elections, directions, designations, applications, requests or other notices are to be given or made by a Director under the Plan, they shall be filed with the Committee. Except as provided in Section 8.3 hereof, the

Committee shall have no discretion with respect to Plan contributions or distributions, but shall act in an administrative capacity only.

7.2. *Indemnity of Committee* . The Company shall indemnify the members of the Committee against all claims, losses, damages, expenses and liabilities arising from any action or failure to act with respect to the Plan to the extent provided in the Regulations of the Company and any applicable indemnification agreement between the Company and such member.

## ARTICLE VIII MISCELLANEOUS

8.1. *Funding* . Neither any Director, nor his or her beneficiaries, nor his or her heirs, successors or assigns, shall have any secured interest in or, claim on any property or assets of the Company or the Trust. The Company's obligation under the Plan shall be merely that of an unfunded and unsecured promise of the Company to pay money in the future. The Company shall create the Trust to hold funds to be used in payment of its obligations under the Plan and to provide a measure of the benefits payable to the Director hereunder, and shall fund such Trust in accordance with the terms of the Plan, but all funds contained therein shall remain subject to the claims of the Company's general creditors as provided in Article VI hereof.

8.2. *Term of Plan* . The Company reserves the right to amend the Plan or Trust Agreement or terminate the Plan at any time; except that no amendment or termination shall affect the rights of Directors to amounts previously credited to their Deferred Compensation Accounts or to additional credits to their Deferred Compensation Accounts pursuant to Section 3.4 hereof for additional earnings of the Trust following such termination (provided, however that this limitation on certain actions shall not apply to any amendment that is deemed necessary by the Company to ensure compliance with Section 409A of the Code and/or the AJCA Guidance); and provided further, that the Company, in its sole discretion, may terminate this Plan to the extent and in circumstances described in Treas. Reg. § 1.409A-3(j)(4)(ix), or any successor provision. The Trust shall remain in effect until such time as the entire corpus of the Trust Fund has been distributed pursuant to the terms of the Plan, and, subject to the preceding sentence, the Plan shall remain in effect until such time as all amounts credited to Directors' Deferred Compensation Accounts are distributed pursuant to Article V hereof.

8.3. *Assignment* . No right or interest of any Director or his or her beneficiary (or any person claiming through or under such Director or his or her beneficiary) in any benefit or payment herefrom shall be assignable or transferable in any manner or be subject to alienation, anticipation, sale, pledge, encumbrance or other legal process or in any manner be liable for or subject to the debts or liabilities of such Director.

8.4. *Tax Effect* . This Plan is intended to be treated as an unfunded deferred compensation plan under the Internal Revenue Code. It is the intention of the Company that the amounts by which Directors elect to have their compensation reduced pursuant to this Plan shall not be included in the gross income of the Directors or their beneficiaries until such time as the amounts credited to Directors' Deferred Compensation Accounts hereunder are distributed from the Plan. If, at any time, it is determined by the Company that amounts attributable to Directors' compensation reduction elections or Deferred Compensation Accounts are includible in the gross



income of the Directors or their beneficiaries before distribution pursuant to Article V hereof because the Plan fails to meet the requirements of Section 409A of the Code and/or the AJCA Guidance, such amounts credited to Directors' Deferred Compensation Accounts shall be immediately distributed to the respective Directors or, in the case of deceased Directors, their beneficiaries in accordance with Treas. Reg. § 1.409A-3(j)(4)(vii), or any successor provision. Distributions described in the preceding sentence shall be made from the Trust if the Company is not Insolvent at the time for such distribution.

8.5. *Governing Law* . This Plan shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the internal substantive laws of the State of Ohio.

8.6. *Successors* . The provisions of this Plan shall bind and inure to the benefit of the Company and its successors and assigns. The term "successors" as used herein shall include any corporate or other business entity which shall, whether by merger, consolidation, purchase or otherwise, acquire all or substantially all of the business and assets of the Company and successors of any such corporation or other business entity.

8.7. *No Right to Continued Service* . Nothing contained herein shall be construed to confer upon any Director the right to continue to serve as a Director of the Company or in any other capacity.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Brush Engineered Materials Inc. has executed this Plan his 10th day of September, 2008.

BRUSH ENGINEERED MATERIALS INC.

By: /s/ Michael C. Hasychak  
Name: Michael C. Hasychak  
Title: Vice President, Treasurer and Secretary

**BRUSH ENGINEERED MATERIALS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**COMPUTATION OF PER SHARE EARNINGS**

	Third Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007	Sept. 26, 2008	Sept. 28, 2007
<b>Basic:</b>				
Average shares outstanding	20,374,000	20,392,000	20,387,000	20,300,000
Net Income	\$ 9,909,000	\$ 9,908,000	\$21,662,000	\$40,961,000
Per share amount	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.49	\$ 1.06	\$ 2.02
<b>Diluted:</b>				
Average shares outstanding	20,374,000	20,392,000	20,387,000	20,300,000
Dilutive stock securities based on the treasury stock method using average market price	238,000	338,000	229,000	436,000
Totals	20,612,000	20,730,000	20,616,000	20,736,000
Net Income	\$ 9,909,000	\$ 9,908,000	\$21,662,000	\$40,961,000
Per share amount	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.48	\$ 1.05	\$ 1.98

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Richard J. Hipple, certify that:

- 1) I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Brush Engineered Materials Inc. (the “registrant”);
- 2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4) The registrant’s other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5) The registrant’s other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant’s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: October 30, 2008

/s/ Richard J. Hipple

Richard J. Hipple  
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATIONS

I, John D. Grampa, certify that:

- 1) I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Brush Engineered Materials Inc. (the “registrant”);
- 2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4) The registrant’s other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5) The registrant’s other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant’s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: October 31, 2008

/s/ John D. Grampa

John D. Grampa  
Senior Vice President Finance and  
Chief Financial Officer

Certification Pursuant to  
18 U.S.C. Section 1350,  
As Adopted Pursuant to  
Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, in connection with the filing of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Brush Engineered Materials Inc. (the "Company") for the quarter ended September 26, 2008, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), each of the undersigned officers of the Company certifies, that, to such officer's knowledge:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)), and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of the dates and for the periods expressed in the Report.

Dated: October 31, 2008

/s/ Richard J. Hipple

Richard J. Hipple  
Chairman of the Board, President and Chief  
Executive Officer

/s/ John D. Grampa

John D. Grampa  
Senior Vice President Finance and  
Chief Financial Officer