

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q/A**

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2006

☐ **TRANSACTION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transaction period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 0-22140

**META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. ®**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**42-1406262**  
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

**121 East Fifth Street, Storm Lake, Iowa 50588**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**(712) 732-4117**  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12-b2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class:

Common Stock, \$.01 par value

Outstanding at November 19, 2007:

2,589,717 Common Shares

**META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.**  
**FORM 10-Q/A**

**INDEX**

	<u>Page No.</u>
<b>Part I. Financial Information</b>	
Item 1.	Financial Statements (unaudited):
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006
	1
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2006 and 2005
	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2006 and 2005
	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2006
	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2006 and 2005
	5
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
	6
Item 2 .	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
	17
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk
	31
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures
	33
<b>Part II. Other Information</b>	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings
	34
Item 1.A.	Risk Factors
	36
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
	37
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities
	37
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders
	37
Item 5.	Other Information
	37
Item 6.	Exhibits
	37
Signatures	38

**META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.**

**EXPLANATORY NOTE – RESTATEMENT OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

For the reasons stated by the Registrant in its Form 8-K filed on November 16, 2007 and as amended on November 19, 2007, this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the three months ended December 31, 2006, includes a restated consolidated statement of financial condition, consolidated statement of operations, consolidated statement of comprehensive income (loss), consolidated statement of shareholders' equity and consolidated statement of cash flows for the three months ended December 31, 2006 and a restated consolidated statement of financial condition for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006. Earnings per share, segment, allowance roll forward and capital data have also been restated for all periods presented. Meta Financial Group, Inc. ("Meta Group" or the "Company") will not file an amended Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2005. See Item 1, "Financial Statements" in Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A, including Note 8 of "Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements," for more information concerning these restatements. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2006.

**META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.  
AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (Unaudited)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Share Data)

	<u>December 31, 2006</u> (As Restated)	<u>September 30, 2006</u> (As Restated)
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 1,519	\$ 7,405
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	99,811	101,948
Total cash and cash equivalents	101,330	109,353
Federal funds sold	50,000	—
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	—	5,891
Other investment securities available for sale	27,420	27,474
Mortgage-backed securities available for sale	153,486	158,702
Loans held for sale	1,058	508
Loans receivable - net of allowance for loan losses of \$10,349 at December 31, 2006 and \$6,658 at September 30, 2006	369,277	388,072
Federal Home Loan and Federal Reserve Bank stock, at cost	5,017	5,768
Accrued interest receivable	4,233	4,379
Premises and equipment, net	18,006	17,623
Bank owned life insurance	13,028	12,953
Goodwill	3,403	3,403
Other assets	8,253	6,795
Total assets	<u>\$ 754,511</u>	<u>\$ 740,921</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>LIABILITIES</b>		
Non-interest-bearing checking	\$ 262,398	\$ 189,184
Interest-bearing checking	28,942	26,828
Savings deposits	24,866	29,869
Money market deposits	65,616	103,291
Time certificates of deposit	217,333	216,217
Total deposits	599,155	565,389
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	85,700	99,565
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	10,406	15,179
Subordinated debentures	10,310	10,310
Accrued interest payable	1,234	972
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	3,765	4,407
Total liabilities	<u>710,570</u>	<u>695,822</u>
<b>SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Preferred stock, 800,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding	—	—
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 5,200,000 shares authorized, 2,957,999 shares issued, 2,539,326 and 2,534,367 shares outstanding at December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively	30	30
Additional paid-in capital	20,973	20,969
Retained earnings - substantially restricted	33,916	36,953
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss)	(2,842)	(4,548)
Unearned Employee Stock Ownership Plan shares	(444)	(509)
Treasury stock, 418,673 and 423,632 common shares, at cost, at December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively	(7,692)	(7,796)
Total shareholders' equity	<u>43,941</u>	<u>45,099</u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>\$ 754,511</u>	<u>\$ 740,921</u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.



**META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.  
AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

	<b>Three Months Ended December 31,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
	<b>(As Restated)</b>	
Interest and dividend income:		
Loans receivable, including fees	\$ 6,876	\$ 7,587
Mortgage backed securities	1,606	1,833
Other investments and interest-earning deposits	1,895	757
	<u>10,377</u>	<u>10,177</u>
Interest expense:		
Deposits	3,503	3,347
FHLB advances and other borrowings	1,615	2,110
	<u>5,118</u>	<u>5,457</u>
Net interest income	5,259	4,720
Provision for loan losses	<u>4,775</u>	<u>40</u>
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	<u>484</u>	<u>4,680</u>
Non-interest income:		
Card fees	3,586	1,223
Deposit Fees	236	261
Bank owned life insurance income	75	146
Loan Fees	59	142
Other income	183	73
Total non-interest income	<u>4,139</u>	<u>1,845</u>
Non-interest expense:		
Compensation and benefits	4,034	3,068
Card processing expense	1,653	333
Occupancy and equipment expense	967	764
Legal and consulting expense	736	591
Marketing	243	131
Data processing expense	177	193
Other expense	1,020	711
Total non-interest expense	<u>8,830</u>	<u>5,791</u>
Net income (loss) before income tax expense	(4,207)	734
Income tax expense (benefit)	<u>(1,503)</u>	<u>219</u>
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ (2,704)</u>	<u>\$ 515</u>
Earnings (loss) per common share:		
Basic	<u>\$ (1.08)</u>	<u>\$ 0.21</u>
Diluted	<u>(1.08)</u>	<u>0.21</u>
Dividends declared per common share:	<u>\$ 0.13</u>	<u>\$ 0.13</u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**META FINANCIAL GROUP INC.  
AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited)**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	<b>Three Months Ended December 31,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
	<b>(As Restated)</b>	
Net income (loss)	\$ (2,704)	\$ 515
Other comprehensive gain (loss):		
Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale	2,721	(1,719)
Tax effect	<u>1,015</u>	<u>(640)</u>
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	<u>1,706</u>	<u>(1,079)</u>
Total comprehensive (loss)	<u>\$ (998)</u>	<u>\$ (564)</u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.  
AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (Unaudited)**  
**For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2006 (RESTATED) and 2005**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss), Net of Tax	Unearned Employee Stock Ownership Plan Shares	Treasury Stock	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance, September 30, 2005	\$ 30	\$ 20,646	\$ 34,557	\$ (3,180)	\$ (825)	\$ (8,269)	\$ 42,959
Cash dividends declared on common stock (\$.13 per share)	—	—	(325)	—	—	—	(325)
5,100 common shares committed to be released under the ESOP	—	(10)	—	—	114	—	104
Net change in unrealized losses on securities available for sale, net of income taxes	—	—	—	(1,079)	—	—	(1,079)
Net income for three months ended December 31, 2005	—	—	515	—	—	—	515
Balance, December 31, 2005	<u>\$ 30</u>	<u>\$ 20,636</u>	<u>\$ 34,747</u>	<u>\$ (4,259)</u>	<u>\$ (711)</u>	<u>\$ (8,269)</u>	<u>\$ 42,174</u>
Balance, September 30, 2006	\$ 30	\$ 20,969	\$ 36,953	\$ (4,548)	\$ (509)	\$ (7,796)	\$ 45,099
Cash dividends declared on common stock (\$.13 per share)	—	—	(333)	—	—	—	(333)
Issuance of 5,636 common shares from treasury stock due to exercise of stock options	—	(70)	—	—	—	104	34
Stock compensation	—	51	—	—	—	—	51
3,999 common shares committed to be released under the ESOP	—	23	—	—	65	—	88
Net change in unrealized losses on securities available for sale, net of income taxes	—	—	—	1,706	—	—	1,706
Net (loss) for three months ended December 31, 2006	—	—	(2,704)	—	—	—	(2,704)
Balance, December 31, 2006	<u>\$ 30</u>	<u>\$ 20,973</u>	<u>\$ 33,916</u>	<u>\$ (2,842)</u>	<u>\$ (444)</u>	<u>\$ (7,692)</u>	<u>\$ 43,941</u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.  
AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	<b>Three Months Ended December 31,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
	<b>(As Restated)</b>	
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income (loss)	\$ (2,704)	\$ 515
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:		
Effect of contribution to employee stock ownership plan	88	104
Depreciation, amortization and accretion, net	552	682
Provision for loan losses	4,775	40
Stock compensation	51	25
Net change in loans held for sale	(553)	3
(Gain) loss on sales of real estate owned and repossessed assets, net	(2)	(3)
(Gain) on sales of loans, net	(3)	(14)
Net change in accrued interest receivable	146	166
Net change in other assets	(1,533)	(328)
Net change in accrued interest payable	262	(234)
Net change in accrued expenses and other liabilities	(642)	458
Net cash provided by operating activities	437	1,414
<b>Cash flow from investing activities:</b>		
Purchase of securities available for sale	—	(108)
Net change in federal funds sold	(50,000)	—
Net change in securities purchased under agreement to resell	5,891	(4,882)
Proceeds from maturities and principal repayments of securities available for sale	7,206	13,020
Loans purchased	(21,346)	(9,968)
Net change in loans receivable	36,450	29,354
Proceeds from sales of foreclosed real estate	15	2,134
Net change in FHLB / FRB stock	(751)	1,070
Purchase of premises and equipment	(734)	(808)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(23,269)	29,812
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Net change in checking, savings, and money market deposits	32,650	36,713
Net change in time deposits	1,116	(26,978)
Repayments of advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	(13,865)	(24,950)
Net change in securities sold under agreements to repurchase	(4,773)	(5,290)
Cash dividends paid	(333)	(325)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	14	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	14,809	(20,830)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(8,023)	10,396
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	109,353	14,370
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 101,330</u>	<u>\$ 24,766</u>
<b>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information</b>		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 4,856	\$ 5,690
Income taxes	570	0
<b>Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:</b>		
Loans transferred to foreclosed real estate	\$ —	\$ 23,861

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. ®**  
**AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

**1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The accounting policies followed for interim reporting by Meta Financial Group, Inc. (“Meta Group” or the “Company”) and its consolidated subsidiaries, MetaBank, MetaBank West Central (“MetaBank WC”), Meta Trust Company ® (“Meta Trust”), First Services Financial Limited, and Brookings Service Corporation are consistent with the accounting policies followed for annual financial reporting. All adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the periods reported have been included in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, and all such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The accompanying condensed consolidated statement of financial condition as of September 30, 2006, which has been derived from audited financial statements, and the unaudited interim condensed financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to those rules and regulations, although the Company believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information not misleading. It is suggested that these condensed consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company’s latest shareholders’ annual report (Form 10-K).

**2. ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES**

At December 31, 2006 the Company’s Allowance for Loan Losses was \$10.3 million, an increase of \$3.6 million from \$6.7 million at September 30, 2006. During the three months ended December 31, 2006 the Company recorded a provision for loan losses of \$4.8 million, which was primarily related to the impairment of a commercial lending relationship. The Company also incurred net loan charge-offs of \$1.1 million, primarily related to the recognition of a loss on another commercial lending relationship previously reserved for. Further discussion of this change in the Allowance is included in “Corporate Developments and Overview” and “Non-performing Assets and Allowance for Loan Loss” in Management’s Discussion and Analysis.

The following table sets forth an analysis of the activity in the Company’s allowance for loan losses for the three month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005.

(Dollars in Thousands)	Three Months Ended	
	December 30,	
	2006	2005
	(As Restated)	
Beginning balance	\$ 6,658	\$ 7,222
Provision charged to operations	4,775	41
Charge-offs	(1,093 )	(8 )
Recoveries	9	2
Ending balance	<u>\$ 10,349</u>	<u>\$ 7,257</u>

### 3. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share is based on net income divided by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share shows the dilutive effect of additional common shares issuable pursuant to stock options agreements.

A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators used in the basic earnings per common share and the diluted earnings per common share computations for the three months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 is presented below.

Three Months Ended December 31, (Dollars in Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Data)	2006 (As Restated)	2005
<b>Basic earnings (loss) per common share:</b>		
Numerator, net income (loss)	\$ (2704)	\$ 515
Denominator, weighted average common shares outstanding	2,535,282	2,503,655
Less weighted average unallocated ESOP and nonvested shares	(29,062)	(35,057)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	2,506,220	2,468,598
<b>Basic earnings (loss) per common share</b>	<b>\$ (1.08)</b>	<b>\$ 0.21</b>
<b>Diluted earnings (loss) per common share:</b>		
Numerator, net income (loss)	\$ (2,704)	\$ 515
Denominator, weighted average common shares outstanding for basic earnings per share	2,506,220	2,468,598
Add dilutive effect of assumed exercises of stock options, net of tax benefits	—	38,554
Weighted average common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding	2,506,220	2,507,152
<b>Diluted earnings (loss) per common share</b>	<b>\$ (1.08)</b>	<b>\$ 0.21</b>

### 4. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

At December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, the Company had outstanding commitments to originate and purchase loans totaling \$50.3 million and \$52.9 million, respectively. It is expected that outstanding loan commitments will be funded with existing liquid assets.

#### Legal Proceedings

MetaBank has been named in several lawsuits whose eventual outcome could have an adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the Company. Because the likelihood or amount of an adverse resolution to these matters cannot currently be assessed, the Company has not recorded a contingent liability related to these potential claims.

On June 11, 2004, the Sioux Falls School District filed suit in the Second Judicial Circuit Court alleging that MetaBank, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, improperly allowed funds, which belonged to the school district, to be deposited into, and subsequently withdrawn from, a corporate account established by an employee of the school district. The school district is seeking in excess of \$600,000.

MetaBank has submitted the claim to its insurance carrier, and is working with counsel to vigorously contest the suit.

On or about March 10, 2006, plaintiffs filed five class-action suits on behalf of themselves and all other purchasers of vehicles from Prairie Auto Group, Inc., Dan Nelson Automotive Group, Inc.'s Rapid City, South Dakota location, and other not-yet-identified auto sales entities owned or operated by defendants. The complaints are styled as follows: Ronald Archulleta, et al. v. Prairie Auto Group, Inc., et al. – In the Tribal Court for the Oglala Sioux Tribe, Pine Ridge Indian Reservation; Cedar Around Him, et al. v. Prairie Auto Group, Inc., et al. – In the Tribal Court for the Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Rosebud Indian Reservation; Chris Dengler, et al. v. Prairie Auto Group, Inc. – Circuit Court of the Second Judicial Circuit, Minnehaha County, South Dakota; Lucinda Janis, et al. v. Prairie Auto Group, Inc., et al. – File No. C-157-04; In the Tribal Court for the Cheyenne River Sioux Indian Reservation, Eagle Butte, South Dakota; and Kali Treetop, et al. v. Prairie Auto Group, Inc., et al. – File No. 01-970; Circuit Court for the Seventh Judicial Circuit, Pennington County, South Dakota. Except for the named plaintiffs, each of the complaints is essentially identical to the others. The nature of the allegations are the same, and the same fourteen legal claims are sought to be pled in each.

Each complaint states that it is a “companion” to the other four and names the same defendants (approximately twenty-five) including the Registrant and affiliates thereof (the “MetaBank Defendants”). None of these complaints has yet been served on any of the MetaBank Defendants. The thrust of the complaints is that plaintiffs allegedly suffered damages as a result of a scheme by defendants to use fraudulent statements, misrepresentations and omissions to sell vehicles and extended warranties to plaintiffs. Plaintiffs claim that they and other similarly situated purchasers paid too much for their vehicles and were induced to buy warranties that were not honored and otherwise proved worthless. Plaintiffs allege that defendants reaped considerable profits through fraudulent sales methods; by refusing to make warrantied repairs; and by engaging in usurious repossession and resale practices. Plaintiffs allege that these practices were part of a business plan that originated with the franchisor-defendants and was purchased and employed by the franchisee-defendants. It appears that the principal basis for naming the MetaBank Defendants is that they loaned money to finance some of the defendants’ business operations, purportedly with some degree of knowledge about the defendants’ allegedly abusive consumer practices.

The complaints allege that the described transactions are typical of defendants’ business and were part of a deliberate scheme directed primarily at Native American customers. The complaints allege that the franchisee-defendants engaged in coercive, fraudulent and other illegal activities in connection with the automobile sales, and each seeks to state claims for: (1) breach of express warranty; (2) breach of implied warranty of merchantability; (3) deceit/fraud; (4) violation of applicable deceptive trade laws; (5) breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; (6) conversion; (7) civil conspiracy under tribal and state common law; (8) negligent hiring, training and supervision of employees; (9) violation of the Federal Equal Credit Opportunity Act; (10) invasion of privacy; (11) violation of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO); (12) violation of the Magnuson-Moss Act; (13) violation of the Federal Truth and Lending Act’s (TILA) Three Day Rescission Period; and (14) violation of TILA’s Disclosure of Finance Cost Requirement.

In addition to seeking certification as a class, plaintiffs seek cancellation of the automobile purchase contracts; monetary damages including the initial purchase price warranty charges, finance costs and related repossession and other charges; costs of allegedly warrantied repairs that were not made by defendants; consequential damages relating to the alleged wrongful repossession of vehicles and deficiency judgments associated therewith; damages for emotional and mental suffering; punitive and treble damages; and attorneys’ fees. The amount of the alleged damages is not specified in the complaints.

As was described in the Company’s previous filings, MetaBank was the lead lender and servicer of approximately \$32.0 million in loans to three auto dealership related companies and their owners.

Approximately \$22.2 million of the total had been sold to ten participating financial institutions. Each participation agreement with the ten participant banks provides that the participant bank shall own a specified percentage of the outstanding loan balance at any give time. Each agreement also recites the maximum amount that can be loaned by MetaBank on that particular loan. MetaBank allocated to some participants an ownership in the outstanding loan balance in excess of the percentage specified in the participation agreement. MetaBank believes that in each instance this was done with the full knowledge and consent of the participant. Several participants have demanded that their participations be adjusted to match the percentage specified in the participant agreement. Based on the total loan recoveries projected as of March 31, 2006, MetaBank calculated that it would cost approximately \$953,000 to adjust these participations as the participants would have them adjusted. A few participants have more recently asserted that MetaBank owes them additional monies based on additional legal theories. MetaBank denies any obligation to make the requested adjustments on these or related claims. Other than as disclosed below, MetaBank cannot predict at this time whether any of these claims will be the subject of litigation.

During the three months ended June 30, 2006 or shortly thereafter four lawsuits were filed against the Company's MetaBank subsidiary. Three of the complaints are related to the Company's alleged actions in connection with its activities as lead lender to three companies involved in auto sales, service, and financing and their owner. The fourth complaint alleges patent infringement. All four actions are in their infancy and materiality cannot be determined at this time. The Company intends, however, to vigorously defend its actions.

*First Midwest Bank-Deerfield Branches and Mid-Country Bank v. MetaBank* (Civ. No. 06-2241). On June 28, 2006, First Midwest Bank-Deerfield Branches and Mid-Country Bank filed suit against MetaBank in South Dakota's Second Judicial Circuit Court, Minnehaha County, in the above titled action. The complaint alleges that plaintiff banks, who were participating lenders with MetaBank on a series of loans made to Dan Nelson Automotive Group ("DNAG") and South Dakota Acceptance Corporation ("SDAC"), suffered damages exceeding \$1 million as a result of MetaBank's placement and administration of the loans that were the subject of the loan participation agreements. The complaint sounds in breach of contract, negligence, gross negligence, negligent misrepresentation, fraud in the inducement, unjust enrichment and breach of fiduciary duty. On July 17, 2006, MetaBank removed the case from state court to the United States District Court for the District of South Dakota, where the action has been assigned case no. Civ. 06-4114. Plaintiffs have moved to remand the case back to state court. That motion is pending.

*First Premier Bank v. MetaBank* (Civ. No. 06-2277). On July 5, 2006, First Premier Bank filed suit against MetaBank in South Dakota's Second Judicial Circuit Court, Minnehaha County in the above titled action. The complaint alleges that First Premier, a participating lender with MetaBank on a series of loans made to SDAC, has suffered damages in an as yet undetermined amount as a result of MetaBank's actions in selling to First Premier a participation in a loan made to SDAC and MetaBank's actions in administering that loan. The complaint sounds in breach of contract, breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing, fraudulent inducement, fraud, deceit, negligent misrepresentation, fraudulent misrepresentation, conversion, negligence, gross negligence, breach of fiduciary duty and unjust enrichment. On July 17, 2006, MetaBank removed the case from state court to the United States District Court for the District of South Dakota, where the action has been assigned case no. Civ. 06-4115. Plaintiffs have moved to remand the case back to state court. That motion is pending.

*Home Federal Bank v. J. Tyler Haahr, Daniel A. Nelson and MetaBank* (Civ. No. 06-2230). On June 26, 2006, Home Federal Bank filed suit against MetaBank and two individuals, J. Tyler Haahr and Daniel A. Nelson, in South Dakota's Second Judicial Circuit Court, Minnehaha County in the above titled action. The complaint alleges that Home Federal, a participating lender with MetaBank on a series of loans made to DNAG and SDAC, suffered damages exceeding \$3.8 million as a result of failure to

make disclosures regarding an investigation of Nelson, DNAG and SDAC by the Iowa Attorney General at the time Home Federal agreed to an extension of the loan participation agreements. The complaint sounds in fraud, negligent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, conspiracy and breach of duty of good faith and fair dealing. Discovery in that matter is proceeding.

Subject to a reservation of rights, the Company's insurance carrier has agreed to cover the three claims described above.

*Meridian Enterprises Corporation v. Bank of America Corporation et al.* (Case No. 4:06-cv-01117CDP). On July 21, 2006, Meridian Enterprises Corporation ("Meridian") filed suit against Meta Financial Group, Inc. (Meta Payment Systems® division) ("Meta") and other banks and financial institutions in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri in the above-titled action. Meridian is the owner of U.S. Patent No. 5,025,372 (the "'372 Patent"). The complaint alleges that Meta and the co-defendants each sell, administer, process and/or sponsor an incentive program where cards are provided to participants in the incentive program that can be presented to retailers to make a purchase. The complaint further alleges, inter alia, that Meta and the co-defendants each use a computer to determine whether or not a participant's performance under the incentive program entitles the participant to an award, in which the computer also determines the amount of the award, and the amount of the award is based upon the level of the participant's performance in the incentive program. Accordingly, the complaint sounds in infringement, inducement of infringement, and contributory infringement of one or more claims of the '372 Patent.

There are no other material pending legal proceedings to which the Company or its subsidiaries is a party other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to their respective businesses.

## **5. STOCK OPTION PLAN**

The Company maintains the 2002 Omnibus Incentive Plan, which, among other things, provides for the awarding of stock options and nonvested (restricted) shares to certain officers and directors of the Company. Awards are granted by the Stock Option Committee of the Board of Directors based on the performance of the award recipients, or other relevant factors.

Effective October 1, 2005, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, using a modified prospective application. Prior to that date, the Company accounted for stock option awards under APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. In accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), compensation expense for share based awards is recorded over the vesting period at the fair value of the award at the time of grant. The recording of such compensation expense began on October 1, 2005 for shares not yet vested as of that date and for all new grants subsequent to that date. The exercise price of options or fair value of nonvested shares granted under the Company's incentive plans is equal to the fair market value of the underlying stock at the grant date. The Company assumes no projected forfeitures on its stock based compensation, since actual historical forfeiture rates on its stock based incentive awards has been negligible.

On January 22, 2007, the Company's stockholders approved the First Amendment to the 2002 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). A description of the Plan was included in "Proposal II: Approval of Amendment to 2002 Omnibus Incentive Plan" of the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement for its 2007 Annual Meeting, as filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on December 29, 2006, and is incorporated herein by reference.

A summary of option activity at and for the three months ended December 31, 2006 is presented below:

	Number of shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Yrs)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Options outstanding, September 30, 2006	386,425	\$ 19.79	6.65	\$ 1,792,717
Granted	—	—		
Exercised	(12,150)	17.07		118,635
Forfeited or expired	—	—		
Options outstanding, December 31, 2006	374,275	\$ 19.88	6.59	\$ 3,713,130
Options exercisable at December 31, 2006	269,775	\$ 18.85	5.79	\$ 2,954,453

A summary of nonvested share activity at and for the three months ended December 31, 2006 is presented below:

	Number of shares	Weighted Average Fair Mkt Val At Grant
Nonvested shares outstanding, September 30, 2006	8,333	\$ 24.43
Granted	—	—
Vested	—	—
Forfeited or expired	—	—
Nonvested shares outstanding, December 31, 2006	8,333	\$ 24.43

As of December 31, 2006, stock based compensation expense not yet recognized in income totaled \$541,000, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average remaining period of 1.38 years.

## 6. SEGMENT INFORMATION

An operating segment is generally defined as a component of a business for which discrete financial information is available and whose results are reviewed by the chief operating decision-maker. Operating segments are aggregated into reportable segments if certain criteria are met. The Company has determined that it has two reportable segments under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information* : a Traditional Banking Segment consisting of its two banking subsidiaries, MetaBank and MetaBank West Central, and Meta Payment Systems<sup>®</sup>, a division of MetaBank. MetaBank and MetaBank West Central operate as traditional community banks providing deposit, loan and other related products to individuals and small businesses, primarily in the communities where their offices are located. Meta Payment Systems<sup>®</sup> provides a number of products and services, primarily to third parties, including financial institutions and other businesses. These products and services include issuance of prepaid cards, issuance of credit cards, sponsorship of ATMs into the debit networks, ACH origination services and a gift card program. Other related programs are in the process of development. The remaining grouping under the caption All Other Segments consists of the operations of Meta Financial Group, Inc. and Meta Trust Company<sup>®</sup>. Revenues and expenses are allocated to business segments using a funds transfer pricing methodology through

which excess funds or funding shortfalls at individual segments are sold to or bought from, respectively, the remaining segments. As the Company's funding mix changes between segments, net interest income at individual segments may rise or fall based on the relative size of the excess funding or funding shortfall position at any particular segment. The following tables present segment data for the Company for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

	Traditional Banking	Meta Payment Systems <sup>®</sup>	All Others	Total
	(Dollars in Thousands)			
Three Months Ended December 31, 2006 (As Restated)				
Net interest income (expense)	\$ 4,105	\$ 1,387	\$ (233)	\$ 5,259
Provision for loan losses	4,775	—	—	4,775
Non-interest income	474	3,640	25	4,139
Non-interest expense	4,499	3,951	380	8,830
Net income (loss) before tax	(4,695)	1,076	(588)	(4,207)
Income tax expense (benefit)	(1,669)	357	(191)	(1,503)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ (3,026)</u>	<u>\$ 719</u>	<u>\$ (397)</u>	<u>\$ (2,704)</u>
Inter-segment revenue (expense)	\$ (1,367)	\$ 1,367	\$ —	\$ —
Total assets	511,334	238,914	4,263	754,511
Total deposits	365,629	233,526	—	599,155
Three Months Ended December 31, 2005				
Net interest income (expense)	\$ 4,125	\$ 757	\$ (162)	\$ 4,720
Provision for loan losses	40	—	—	40
Non-interest income	581	1,238	26	1,845
Non-interest expense	4,287	1,241	263	5,791
Net income (loss) before tax	379	754	(399)	734
Income tax expense (benefit)	132	260	(173)	219
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 247</u>	<u>\$ 494</u>	<u>\$ (226)</u>	<u>\$ 515</u>
Inter-segment revenue (expense)	\$ (324)	\$ 486	\$ (162)	\$ —
Total assets	650,520	103,602	1,162	755,284
Total deposits	446,208	104,290	—	550,498

## 7. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On January 31, 2007, MetaBank announced that it had entered into agreements to sell four of its Northwest Iowa branches. Iowa State Bank in Sac City, Iowa, will purchase the MetaBank offices in Sac City, Lake View, and Odebolt, Iowa. This transaction is anticipated to close on March 31, 2007. Additionally, Iowa Trust & Savings Bank in Emmetsburg, Iowa will purchase the MetaBank office in Laurens, Iowa. This transaction is anticipated to close on April 13, 2007. Both of the transactions are subject to regulatory approval.

Together, the transactions will involve the assumption by the acquiring banks of approximately \$40.4 million in deposits and the purchase of \$1.2 million in loans. Meta Financial Group expects the transaction will generate a pre-tax gain on sale of approximately \$3.4 million.

## **8. RESTATEMENT OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

On November 13, 2007 the Company reported that the Audit Committee of its Board of Directors had determined to restate its earnings results for the first three quarters of Fiscal Year 2007. The restatements, which produced an earnings increase for each of the three quarters, relates to fee income which was not properly recognized on a portfolio of prepaid gift cards. In addition, on November 16, 2007 the Company reported that an additional \$690,000 loan loss provision recorded in the quarter ending December 31, 2006 should be recorded in the previous quarter ending September 30, 2006. In total, Fiscal Year 2007 year-to-date net income through December 31, 2006 increased by \$550,000, or \$0.22 per diluted share, from a loss of \$3.25 million, or \$1.30 per diluted share, to a loss of \$2.70 million, or \$1.08 per diluted share.

As disclosed in the Company's release dated November 13, 2007, during the first three quarters of the fiscal year ending September 30, 2007, maintenance fees were charged to and collected from holders of prepaid gift cards, which were issued through the Company's network of agent financial institutions. Due to human error, these fees were not recognized as income in the appropriate periods. The error was discovered and corrected in September 2007. Furthermore, procedures have been implemented to prevent such error from reoccurring.

In addition, during the quarter ended December 31, 2006, the Company determined that a material impairment of its assets related to a certain loan had occurred and recorded an additional provision for loan losses. This action was reflected in a Form 8-K that the Company filed on December 6, 2006. After further review of the matter by the Company's independent accountants and such independent accountants' consultation with their regulatory authorities with respect to the nature of the subsequent event that served as the basis for the filing of the aforementioned Form 8-K, the Company was informed on November 14, 2007 by its independent accountants that the additional provision should have been recorded in the quarter ended September 30, 2006 instead of the quarter ended December 31, 2006, and that therefore the previously reported first quarter of Fiscal 2007 ended December 31, 2006 should no longer be relied upon.

The Audit Committee approved the issuance of restated financial statements for the periods in question. The restatements are reflected in this amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q. The Audit Committee also concluded that there was no material impact on the Company's previous financial statements.

The following tables summarize the impact of the restatements on the Company's consolidated statement of financial condition, consolidated statement of operations and consolidated statement of cash flows as of, and for the three months ended, December 31, 2006. These restatements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2006.

**META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.  
AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (Unaudited)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Share Date)

	December 31, 2006 (As Reported)	Adjustments	December 31, 2006 (As Restated)
<b>ASSETS</b>			
Cash and due from banks	\$ 1,519	\$ —	\$ 1,519
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	99,811	—	99,811
Total cash and cash equivalents	101,330	—	101,330
Federal funds sold	50,000	—	50,000
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	—	—	—
Other investment securities available for sale	27,420	—	27,420
Mortgage-backed securities available for sale	153,486	—	153,486
Loans held for sale	1,058	—	1,058
Loans receivable - net of allowance for loan losses	369,277	—	369,277
Federal Home Loan and Federal Reserve Bank stock, at cost	5,017	—	5,017
Accrued interest receivable	4,233	—	4,233
Premises and equipment, net	18,006	—	18,006
Bank owned life insurance	13,028	—	13,028
Goodwill	3,403	—	3,403
Other assets	8,253	—	8,253
Total assets	<u>\$ 754,511</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 754,511</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>			
<b>LIABILITIES</b>			
Non-interest-bearing checking (1)	\$ 262,898	\$ (500 )	\$ 262,398
Interest-bearing checking	28,942	—	28,942
Savings deposits	24,866	—	24,866
Money market deposits	65,616	—	65,616
Time certificates of deposit	217,333	—	217,333
Total deposits	599,655	(500 )	599,155
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	85,700	—	85,700
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	10,406	—	10,406
Subordinated debentures	10,310	—	10,310
Accrued interest payable	1,234	—	1,234
Accrued expenses and other liabilities (2)	3,582	183	3,765
Total liabilities	<u>710,887</u>	<u>(317 )</u>	<u>710,570</u>
<b>SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>			
Preferred stock, 800,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding	—	—	—
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 5,200,000 shares authorized, 2,957,999 shares issued, 2,539,326 and 2,534,367 shares outstanding at December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively	30	—	30
Additional paid-in capital	20,973	—	20,973
Retained earnings - substantially restricted (3)	33,599	317	33,916
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss)	(2,842 )	—	(2,842 )
Unearned Employee Stock Ownership Plan shares	(444 )	—	(444 )
Treasury stock, 418,673 and 423,632 common shares, at cost, at December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively	(7,692 )	—	(7,692 )
Total shareholders' equity	<u>43,624</u>	<u>317</u>	<u>43,941</u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>\$ 754,511</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 754,511</u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.  
AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

	Three Months Ended December 31, 2006 (As Reported)	Adjustments	Three Months Ended December 31, 2006 (As Restated)
Interest and dividend income:			
Loans receivable, including fees	\$ 6,876	\$ —	\$ 6,876
Mortgage backed securities	1,606	—	1,606
Other investments and interest-earning deposits	1,895	—	1,895
	<u>10,377</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>10,377</u>
Interest expense:			
Deposits	3,503	—	3,503
FHLB advances and other borrowings	1,615	—	1,615
	<u>5,118</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>5,118</u>
Net interest income	5,259	—	5,259
Provision for loan losses (4)	5,465	(690)	4,775
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	<u>(206)</u>	<u>690</u>	<u>484</u>
Non-interest income:			
Card fees (1)	3,408	178	3,586
Deposit Fees	236	—	236
Bank owned life insurance income	75	—	75
Loan Fees	59	—	59
Other income	183	—	183
Total non-interest income	<u>3,961</u>	<u>178</u>	<u>4,139</u>
Non-interest expense:			
Compensation and benefits	4,034	—	4,034
Card processing expense	1,653	—	1,653
Occupancy and equipment expense	967	—	967
Legal and consulting expense	736	—	736
Marketing	243	—	243
Data processing expense	177	—	177
Other expense	1,020	—	1,020
Total non-interest expense	<u>8,830</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>8,830</u>
Net income (loss) before income tax expense	(5,075)	868	(4,207)
Income tax expense (benefit) (2)	<u>(1,821)</u>	<u>318</u>	<u>(1,503)</u>
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ (3,254)</u>	<u>\$ 550</u>	<u>\$ (2,704)</u>
Earnings (loss) per common share:			
Basic	<u>\$ (1.30)</u>	<u>\$ 0.22</u>	<u>\$ (1.08)</u>
Diluted	<u>(1.30)</u>	<u>0.22</u>	<u>(1.08)</u>
Dividends declared per common share:	<u>\$ 0.13</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 0.13</u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.  
AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Three Months Ended December 31, 2006 (As Reported)	Adjustments	Three Months Ended December 31, 2006 (As Restated)
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>			
Net income (loss) (3)	\$ (3,254)	\$ 550	\$ (2,704)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:			
Effect of contribution to employee stock ownership plan	88	—	88
Depreciation, amortization and accretion, net	552	—	552
Provision for loan losses (4)	5,465	(690)	4,775
Stock compensation	51	—	51
Net change in loans held for sale	(553)	—	(553)
(Gain) on sales of real estate owned and repossessed assets, net	(2)	—	(2)
(Gain) on sales of loans, net	(3)	—	(3)
Net change in accrued interest receivable	146	—	146
Net change in other assets	(1,533)	—	(1,533)
Net change in accrued interest payable	262	—	262
Net change in accrued expenses and other liabilities (2)	(960)	318	(642)
Net cash provided by operating activities	259	178	437
<b>Cash flow from investing activities:</b>			
Purchase of securities available for sale	—	—	—
Net change in federal funds sold	(50,000)	—	(50,000)
Net change in securities purchased under agreement to resell	5,891	—	5,891
Proceeds from maturities and principal repayments of securities available for sale	7,206	—	7,206
Loans purchased	(21,346)	—	(21,346)
Net change in loans receivable	36,450	—	36,450
Proceeds from sales of foreclosed real estate	15	—	15
Net change in FHLB / FRB stock	(751)	—	(751)
Purchase of premises and equipment	(734)	—	(734)
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(23,269)	—	(23,269)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>			
Net change in checking, savings, and money market deposits (1)	32,828	(178)	32,650
Net change in time deposits	1,116	—	1,116
Repayments of advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	(13,865)	—	(13,865)
Net change in securities sold under agreements to repurchase	(4,773)	—	(4,773)
Cash dividends paid	(333)	—	(333)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	14	—	14
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	14,987	(178)	14,809
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(8,023)	—	(8,023)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	109,353	—	109,353
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 101,330	\$ —	\$ 101,330
<b>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information</b>			
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest	\$ 4,856	\$ —	\$ 4,856
Income taxes	570	—	570
<b>Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:</b>			
Loans transferred to foreclosed real estate	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

- (1) Impact of recognition of maintenance fees on prepaid gift cards. Balance sheet impact is cumulative for all periods impacted.
- (2) Impact on current income tax expense at the statutory tax rate.
- (3) Impact on net income (loss). Balance sheet impact is cumulative for all periods impacted.
- (4) Additional loan loss provision originally recorded in the first quarter of Fiscal 2007 that should have been recorded in the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2006.



**META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.  
AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**GENERAL**

Meta Financial Group, Inc. is a bank holding company whose primary subsidiaries are MetaBank and MetaBank West Central. The Company was incorporated in 1993 as a unitary non-diversified savings and loan holding company that, on September 20 of that year, acquired all of the capital stock of MetaBank, a federal savings bank, in connection with MetaBank's conversion from mutual to stock form of ownership. On September 30, 1996, the Company became a bank holding company in conjunction with the acquisition of MetaBank WC, a state-chartered commercial bank.

The following discussion focuses on the consolidated financial condition of the Company and its subsidiaries, at December 31, 2006, compared to September 30, 2006, as expected to be restated upon the issuance of September 30, 2007 financial statements and the consolidated results of operations for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements, and notes thereto, for the year ended September 30, 2006.

**CORPORATE DEVELOPMENTS AND OVERVIEW**

The Company continues to emphasize expansion in the growing metropolitan areas of Sioux Falls, South Dakota and Des Moines, Iowa. The Company focuses primarily on establishing lending and deposit relationships with commercial businesses and commercial real estate developments in these communities. In March 2007, the Company also plans to open an administrative support office in Omaha, Nebraska, which may eventually lead to commercial lending production in that area. On January 31, 2007, the Company announced a plan to divest four of its branches in rural Northwest Iowa. See "Subsequent Event" below.

The Company also continues to experience significant growth in its Meta Payments Systems (MPS) division and is investing for further growth in this business unit. MPS offers prepaid debit cards and other payment systems products and services through a global distribution network. As a part of its normal course of business, the division also attracts significant balances on low- and no-cost demand deposits. Further discussion of the financial results of MPS is included below.

For the three months ended December 31, 2006, the Company announced an impairment on a commercial lending relationship, which reduced pre-tax earnings by \$4.95 million. Further detail on these loans is included in "Financial Condition" and "Results of Operations" below.

The Company's stock trades on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol "CASH."

On November 13, 2007 the Company reported that the Audit Committee of its Board of Directors had determined to restate its earnings results for the first three quarters of Fiscal Year 2007. The restatements, which produced an earnings increase for each of the three quarters, relates to fee income which was not properly recognized on a portfolio of prepaid gift cards. In addition, on November 16, 2007 the Company reported that an additional \$690,000 loan loss provision recorded in the quarter ending December 31, 2006 should be recorded in the previous quarter ending September 30, 2006. In total, Fiscal Year 2007 year-to-date net income through December 31, 2006 increased by \$550,000, or \$0.22 per diluted share, from a loss of \$3.25 million, or \$1.30 per diluted share, to a loss of \$2.70 million, or \$1.08 per diluted share.

As disclosed in the Company's release dated November 13, 2007, during the first three quarters of the fiscal year ending September 30, 2007, maintenance fees were charged to and collected from holders of prepaid gift cards, which were issued through the Company's network of agent financial institutions. Due to human error, these fees were not recognized as income in the appropriate periods. The error was discovered and corrected in September 2007. Furthermore, procedures have been implemented to prevent such error from reoccurring.

In addition, during the quarter ended December 31, 2006, the Company determined that a material impairment of its assets related to a certain loan had occurred and recorded an additional provision for loan losses. This action was reflected in a Form 8-K that the Company filed on December 6, 2006. After further review of the matter by the Company's independent accountants and such independent accountants' consultation with their regulatory authorities with respect to the nature of the subsequent event that served as the basis for the filing of the aforementioned Form 8-K, the Company was informed on November 14, 2007 by its independent accountants that the additional provision should have been taken in the quarter ended September 30, 2006 instead of the quarter ended December 31, 2006, and that therefore the previously reported first quarter of Fiscal 2007 ended December 31, 2006 should no longer be relied upon.

The Audit Committee approved the issuance of restated financial statements for the periods in question. The restatements are reflected in this amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q. The Audit Committee also concluded that there was no material impact on the Company's previous financial statements.

The following tables summarize the impact of the restatements on the Company's consolidated statement of financial condition, consolidated statement of operations and consolidated statement of cash flows as of, and for the three months ended, December 31, 2006. These restatements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2006.

**META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.  
AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (Unaudited)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Share Date)

	<u>December 31, 2006</u> (As Reported)	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>December 31, 2006</u> (As Restated)
<b>ASSETS</b>			
Cash and due from banks	\$ 1,519	\$ —	\$ 1,519
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	99,811	—	99,811
Total cash and cash equivalents	101,330	—	101,330
Federal funds sold	50,000	—	50,000
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	—	—	—
Other investment securities available for sale	27,420	—	27,420
Mortgage-backed securities available for sale	153,486	—	153,486
Loans held for sale	1,058	—	1,058
Loans receivable - net of allowance for loan losses	369,277	—	369,277
Federal Home Loan and Federal Reserve Bank stock, at cost	5,017	—	5,017
Accrued interest receivable	4,233	—	4,233
Premises and equipment, net	18,006	—	18,006
Bank owned life insurance	13,028	—	13,028
Goodwill	3,403	—	3,403
Other assets	8,253	—	8,253
Total assets	<u>\$ 754,511</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 754,511</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>			
<b>LIABILITIES</b>			
Non-interest-bearing checking (1)	\$ 262,898	\$ (500)	\$ 262,398
Interest-bearing checking	28,942	—	28,942
Savings deposits	24,866	—	24,866
Money market deposits	65,616	—	65,616
Time certificates of deposit	217,333	—	217,333
Total deposits	599,655	(500)	599,155
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	85,700	—	85,700
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	10,406	—	10,406
Subordinated debentures	10,310	—	10,310
Accrued interest payable	1,234	—	1,234
Accrued expenses and other liabilities (2)	3,582	183	3,765
Total liabilities	<u>710,887</u>	<u>(317)</u>	<u>710,570</u>
<b>SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>			
Preferred stock, 800,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding	—	—	—
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 5,200,000 shares authorized, 2,957,999 shares issued, 2,539,326 and 2,534,367 shares outstanding at December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively	30	—	30
Additional paid-in capital	20,973	—	20,973
Retained earnings - substantially restricted (3)	33,599	317	33,916
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss)	(2,842)	—	(2,842)
Unearned Employee Stock Ownership Plan shares	(444)	—	(444)
Treasury stock, 418,673 and 423,632 common shares, at cost, at December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively	(7,692)	—	(7,692)
Total shareholders' equity	<u>43,624</u>	<u>317</u>	<u>43,941</u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>\$ 754,511</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 754,511</u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.  
AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

	Three Months Ended December 31, 2006 (As Reported)	Adjustments	Three Months Ended December 31, 2006 (As Restated)
Interest and dividend income:			
Loans receivable, including fees	\$ 6,876	\$ —	\$ 6,876
Mortgage backed securities	1,606	—	1,606
Other investments and interest-earning deposits	1,895	—	1,895
	<u>10,377</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>10,377</u>
Interest expense:			
Deposits	3,503	—	3,503
FHLB advances and other borrowings	1,615	—	1,615
	<u>5,118</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>5,118</u>
Net interest income	5,259	—	5,259
Provision for loan losses (4)	5,465	(690)	4,775
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	(206)	690	484
Non-interest income:			
Card fees (1)	3,408	178	3,586
Deposit Fees	236	—	236
Bank owned life insurance income	75	—	75
Loan Fees	59	—	59
Other income	183	—	183
Total non-interest income	<u>3,961</u>	<u>178</u>	<u>4,139</u>
Non-interest expense:			
Compensation and benefits	4,034	—	4,034
Card processing expense	1,653	—	1,653
Occupancy and equipment expense	967	—	967
Legal and consulting expense	736	—	736
Marketing	243	—	243
Data processing expense	177	—	177
Other expense	1,020	—	1,020
Total non-interest expense	<u>8,830</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>8,830</u>
Net income (loss) before income tax expense	(5,075)	868	(4,207)
Income tax expense (benefit) (2)	(1,821)	318	(1,503)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ (3,254)</u>	<u>\$ 550</u>	<u>\$ (2,704)</u>
Earnings (loss) per common share:			
Basic	<u>\$ (1.30)</u>	<u>\$ 0.22</u>	<u>\$ (1.08)</u>
Diluted	<u>(1.30)</u>	<u>0.22</u>	<u>(1.08)</u>
Dividends declared per common share:	<u>\$ 0.13</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 0.13</u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.  
AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Three Months Ended December 31, 2006 (As Reported)	Adjustments	Three Months Ended December 31, 2006 (As Restated)
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss) (3)	\$ (3,254)	\$ 550	\$ (2,704)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:			
Effect of contribution to employee stock ownership plan	88	—	88
Depreciation, amortization and accretion, net	552	—	552
Provision for loan losses (4)	5,465	(690)	4,775
Stock compensation	51	—	51
Net change in loans held for sale	(553)	—	(553)
(Gain) on sales of real estate owned and repossessed assets, net	(2)	—	(2)
(Gain) on sales of loans, net	(3)	—	(3)
Net change in accrued interest receivable	146	—	146
Net change in other assets	(1,533)	—	(1,533)
Net change in accrued interest payable	262	—	262
Net change in accrued expenses and other liabilities (2)	(960)	318	(642)
Net cash provided by operating activities	259	178	437
Cash flow from investing activities:			
Purchase of securities available for sale	—	—	—
Net change in federal funds sold	(50,000)	—	(50,000)
Net change in securities purchased under agreement to resell	5,891	—	5,891
Proceeds from maturities and principal repayments of securities available for sale	7,206	—	7,206
Loans purchased	(21,346)	—	(21,346)
Net change in loans receivable	36,450	—	36,450
Proceeds from sales of foreclosed real estate	15	—	15
Net change in FHLB / FRB stock	(751)	—	(751)
Purchase of premises and equipment	(734)	—	(734)
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(23,269)	—	(23,269)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Net change in checking, savings, and money market deposits (1)	32,828	(178)	32,650
Net change in time deposits	1,116	—	1,116
Repayments of advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	(13,865)	—	(13,865)
Net change in securities sold under agreements to repurchase	(4,773)	—	(4,773)
Cash dividends paid	(333)	—	(333)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	14	—	14
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	14,987	(178)	14,809
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(8,023)	—	(8,023)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	109,353	—	109,353
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 101,330	\$ —	\$ 101,330
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information			
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest	\$ 4,856	\$ —	\$ 4,856
Income taxes	570	—	570
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Loans transferred to foreclosed real estate	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

(1) Impact of recognition of maintenance fees on prepaid gift cards. Balance sheet impact is cumulative for all periods impacted.

- (2) Impact on current income tax expense at the statutory tax rate.
- (3) Impact on net income (loss). Balance sheet impact is cumulative for all periods impacted.
- (4) Additional loan loss provision originally recorded in the first quarter of Fiscal 2007 that should have been recorded in the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2006.

## **SUBSEQUENT EVENT**

*Sale of Branches.* On January 31, 2007, MetaBank announced that it had entered into agreements to sell four of its Northwest Iowa branches. Iowa State Bank in Sac City, Iowa, will purchase the MetaBank offices in Sac City, Lake View, and Odebolt, Iowa. This transaction is anticipated to close on March 31, 2007. Additionally, Iowa Trust & Savings Bank in Emmetsburg, Iowa will purchase the MetaBank office in Laurens, Iowa. This transaction is anticipated to close on April 13, 2007. Both of the transactions are subject to regulatory approval.

Together, the transactions will involve the assumption by the acquiring banks of approximately \$40.4 million in deposits and the purchase of \$1.2 million in loans. Meta Financial Group expects the transaction will generate a pre-tax gain on sale of approximately \$3.4 million.

## **FINANCIAL CONDITION**

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had assets totaling \$754.5 million, compared to \$740.9 million at September 30, 2006. The increase in assets of \$13.6 million resulted primarily from an increase in cash and cash equivalents of \$41.9 million. In general, the Company maintains its cash investments in interest-bearing overnight deposits with various correspondent banks. Federal funds sold deposits are maintained at various large commercial banks.

Offsetting the growth in cash were decreases in the Company's investment securities and loan portfolios. Investment securities, including mortgage-backed securities, declined \$11.2 million from \$192.1 million at September 30, 2006 to \$180.9 million at December 31, 2006. The Company did not purchase any securities during the three months ended December 31, 2006. The Company's loan portfolio, net of allowance for loan losses, also decreased \$18.8 million from \$388.1 million at September 30, 2006 to \$369.3 million at December 31, 2006. The Company continues to experience runoff in its commercial loan participation and commercial real estate portfolios. Management attributes this shrinkage to an overall decrease in the demand for credit and increased competition from the secondary market.

Total deposits rose \$33.8 million from \$565.4 million at September 30, 2006 to \$599.2 million at December 31, 2006. Most of this increase was the result of an increase in non-interest-bearing checking deposits of \$73.2 million arising from a seasonal spike in deposits associated with holiday gift card sales. Offsetting this growth was a decrease in higher costing money market, savings, and public funds deposits of \$42.7 million. Other deposit portfolios exhibited moderate growth during this time period.

Total wholesale borrowings also declined \$18.6 million from \$125.0 million at September 30, 2006 to \$106.4 million at December 31, 2006. The Company continues to de-emphasize these high cost funding sources in an effort to decrease overall liability costs and to de-lever the Company's balance sheet.

At December 31, 2006, the Company's shareholders' equity totaled \$43.9 million, down \$1.2 million from \$45.1 million at September 30, 2006. The decrease was primarily the result of the reported loss for the quarter (see "Results of Operations" below) and the payment of dividends on common stock, offset by a favorable change in the accumulated other comprehensive loss on the Company's available for sale securities portfolio. At December 31, 2006, the Company and both of its banking subsidiaries, MetaBank and MetaBank West Central, continue to meet regulatory requirements for classification as well-capitalized institutions.

### **Non-Performing Assets and Allowance for Loan Losses**

Generally, when a loan becomes delinquent 90 days or more, or when the collection of principal or interest becomes doubtful, the Company will place the loan on non-accrual status and, as a result of this action, previously accrued interest income on the loan is taken out of current income. The loan will remain on non-accrual status

until the loan has been brought current or until other circumstances occur that provide adequate assurance of full repayment of interest and principal.

At December 31, 2006, the Company had loans delinquent 30- days and over totaling \$13.0 million, or 3.53% of total loans, compared to \$5.5 million, or 1.39% of total loans, at September 30, 2006. The increase in delinquent loans since September is primarily the result of a delinquency on a \$5.1 commercial loan relationship. The Company participates with over 20 other financial institutions in this loan and is not the lead lender or servicer. The loan is purportedly secured by stock in a charter airline company, the residence of the principal borrower, and an airplane. Questions have arisen concerning the extent and quality of the collateral, which the banks are investigating. As of December 31, 2006, the Company had classified these loans as doubtful and had established a corresponding allowance for loss of approximately 97% of the current loan balance.

At December 31, 2006, commercial and multi-family real estate loans delinquent 30 days and over totaled \$6.0 million, or 1.64% of total loans. There were no delinquent loans in this category as of September 30, 2006. Multi-family and commercial real estate loans generally present a higher level of risk than loans secured by one-to-four family residences. This greater risk is due to several factors, including, but not limited to, the concentration of principal in a limited number of loans and borrowers, the effect of general economic conditions on income producing properties and the higher level of difficulty of evaluating and monitoring these types of loans. The Company believes that the level of allowance for loan losses adequately reflects potential risks related to these loans; however there can be no assurance that all loans will be fully collectible.

At December 31, 2006, commercial business loans delinquent 30 days and over totaled \$5.8 million, or 1.57% of total loans. This compares to \$5.1 million, or 1.28% of total loans, at September 30, 2006. Commercial business lending involves a greater degree of risk than one-to-four family residential mortgage loans because of the typically larger loan amounts. In addition, payments on loans are typically dependent on the cash flows derived from the operation or management of the business to which the loan is made. The success of the loan may also be affected by factors outside the control of the business, such as unforeseen changes in economic conditions for the business, the industry in which the business operates or the general environment. The Company believes that the level of allowance for loan losses adequately reflects potential risks related to these loans; however there can be no assurance that all loans will be fully collectible.

At December 31, 2006, agricultural loans delinquent 30 days and over totaled \$427,000, or 0.12% of total loans. This compares to \$201,000, or 0.05% of total loans, at September 30, 2006. Agricultural lending also involves a greater degree of risk than one-to-four family residential mortgage loans because of the typically larger loan amounts. In addition, payments on loans are dependent on the successful operation or management of the farm property securing the loan or for which an operating loan is utilized. The success of the loan may also be affected by factors outside the control of the agricultural borrower, such as the weather and grain and livestock prices.

The table below sets forth the amounts and categories of the Company's non-performing assets. Foreclosed assets include assets acquired in settlement of loans.

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2006	September 30, 2006
Non-accruing loans:		
One- to four-family	\$ —	\$ 31
Commercial and multi-family	3,206	—
Agricultural real estate	—	—
Consumer	19	—
Agricultural operating	180	182
Commercial business	5,593	3,887
Total non-accruing loans	8,998	4,100
Accruing loans delinquent 90 days or more	—	—
Total non-performing loans	8,998	4,100
Foreclosed assets:		
One-to four family	—	15
Commercial and multi-family	35	35
Consumer	—	—
Agricultural operating	—	—
Commercial business	—	—
Total foreclosed assets	35	50
Total non-performing assets	\$ 9,033	\$ 4,150
Total as a percentage of total assets	1.20 %	0.55 %

*Classified assets.* Federal regulations provide for the classification of loans and other assets as “substandard”, “doubtful” or “loss”, based on the level of weakness determined to be inherent in the collection of the principal and interest. When loans are classified as either substandard or doubtful, the Company may establish general allowances for loan losses in an amount deemed prudent by management. General allowances represent loss allowances which have been established to recognize the inherent risk associated with lending activities, but which, unlike specific allowances, have not been allocated to particular problem loans. When assets are classified as loss, the Company is required either to establish a specific allowance for loan losses equal to 100% of that portion of the loan so classified, or to charge-off such amount. The Company's determination as to the classification of its loans and the amount of its allowances for loan losses are subject to review by its regulatory authorities, which may require the establishment of additional general or specific allowances for loan losses. The discovery of additional information in the future may also affect both the level of classification and the amount of allowances for loan losses.

On the basis of management's review of its loans and other assets, at December 31, 2006, the Company had classified a total of \$4.3 million of its assets as substandard, \$5.3 million as doubtful and none as loss. This compares to classifications at September 30, 2006 of \$5.0 million substandard, \$447,000 doubtful and none as loss.

*Allowance for loan losses.* The Company establishes its provision for loan losses, and evaluates the adequacy of its allowance for loan losses based upon a systematic methodology consisting of a number of factors including, among others, historic loss experience, the overall level of classified assets and non-performing loans, the composition of its loan portfolio and the general economic environment within which the Company and its borrowers operate.

At December 31, 2006, the Company has established an allowance for loan losses totaling \$10.3 million, or 115% of non-performing loans, compared to \$6.7 million, or 162% of non-performing loans at September 30, 2006.

The following table sets forth an analysis of the activity in the Company's allowance for loan losses for the three month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005.

(Dollars in Thousands)	Three Months Ended December 30,	
	2006	2005
	(As Restated)	
Beginning balance	\$ 6,658	\$ 7,222
Provision charged to operations	4,775	41
Charge-offs	(1,093)	(8)
Recoveries	9	2
Ending balance	<u>\$ 10,349</u>	<u>\$ 7,257</u>

The allowance for loan losses reflects management's best estimate of probable losses inherent in the portfolio based on currently available information. In addition to the factors mentioned above, future additions to the allowance for loan losses may become necessary based upon changing economic conditions, increased loan balances or changes in the underlying collateral of the loan portfolio.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company's financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The financial information contained within these statements is, to a significant extent, financial information that is based on approximate measures of the financial effects of transactions and events that have already occurred. Based on its consideration of accounting policies that involve the most complex and subjective decisions and assessments, management has identified its most critical accounting policies to be those related to the allowance for loan losses and asset impairment judgments including the recoverability of goodwill.

The Company's allowance for loan loss methodology incorporates a variety of risk considerations, both quantitative and qualitative, in establishing an allowance for loan loss that management believes is appropriate at each reporting date. Quantitative factors include the Company's historical loss experience, delinquency and charge-off trends, collateral values, changes in non-performing loans, and other factors. Quantitative factors also incorporate known information about individual loans, including borrowers' sensitivity to interest rate movements. Qualitative factors include the general economic environment in the Company's markets, including economic conditions throughout the Midwest and, in particular, the state of certain industries. Size and complexity of individual credits in relation to loan structure, existing loan policies, and pace of portfolio growth are other qualitative factors that are considered in the methodology. As the Company adds new products and increases the complexity of its loan portfolio it will enhance its methodology accordingly. Management may have reported a materially different amount for the provision for loan losses in the statement of operations to change the allowance for loan losses if its assessment of the above factors were different. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Company's financial statements and the accompanying notes presented elsewhere herein, as well as the portion of this Management's Discussion and Analysis section entitled "Non-performing Assets and Allowance for Loan Losses." Although management believes the level of the allowance as of December 31, 2006 was adequate to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio, a decline in local economic conditions, or other factors, could result in increasing losses.

Goodwill represents the excess of acquisition costs over the fair value of the net assets acquired in a purchase acquisition. Goodwill is tested annually for impairment.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

*General.* For the three months ended December 31, 2006, the Company recorded a net loss of \$2.70 million, or \$1.08 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$515,000, or \$0.21 per diluted share, for the same period in 2006. Earnings in the current period were impacted by the recognition of an impairment on a commercial loan relationship which reduced pre-tax earnings by \$4.95 million. Additionally, the Company incurred higher operating expenses. Offsetting these factors, in part, were increased income from card fees and higher net interest income.

*Net interest income .* Net interest income for the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 was \$5.26 million, up 11 percent from \$4.72 million in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006. Both higher asset yields and lower liability costs contributed to this increase. Net interest margin rose 41 basis points from 2.66% in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006 to 3.07% in the current quarter. The rise in short term interest rates during the past year contributed to both higher loan and investment yields. Total asset yields for the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 were 6.00%, up 32 basis points from 5.68% for the same quarter last year. A significant change in deposit mix, away from higher costing certificates and public funds deposits and toward low- and no-cost demand deposits also contributed to a meaningful decline in liability costs despite a higher interest rate environment. Total liability costs fell 8 basis points from 3.03% in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006 to 2.95% in the current quarter.

The following table presents the Company's average interest earning assets, interest bearing liabilities, net interest spread, and net interest margin for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005.

Three Months Ended December 31,	2006			2005		
(Dollars in Thousands)	Average Outstanding Balance	Interest Earned / Paid	Yield / Rate	Average Outstanding Balance	Interest Earned / Paid	Yield / Rate
<b>Interest-earning assets:</b>						
Loans receivable	\$ 381,174	\$ 6,876	7.19 %	\$ 432,129	\$ 7,586	7.00 %
Mortgage-backed securities	155,148	1,606	4.14 %	201,484	1,833	3.64 %
Other investments and fed funds sold	152,812	1,895	4.93 %	80,449	757	3.74 %
<b>Total interest-earning assets</b>	<b>689,134</b>	<b>\$ 10,377</b>	<b>6.00 %</b>	<b>714,062</b>	<b>\$ 10,176</b>	<b>5.68 %</b>
Non-interest-earning assets	47,509			43,920		
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 736,644</b>			<b>\$ 757,982</b>		
Non-interest bearing deposits	\$ 206,251	\$ —	0.00 %	\$ 108,839	\$ —	0.00 %
<b>Interest-bearing liabilities:</b>						
Interest-bearing checking	27,619	247	3.55 %	26,430	203	3.04 %
Savings	26,699	189	2.80 %	59,888	424	2.81 %
Money markets	87,448	703	3.19 %	84,975	472	2.21 %
Time deposits	216,647	2,364	4.33 %	254,262	2,248	3.51 %
FHLB advances	95,915	1,238	5.05 %	152,884	1,792	4.59 %
Other borrowings	24,937	377	6.00 %	24,983	317	5.04 %
<b>Total interest-bearing liabilities</b>	<b>479,264</b>	<b>5,118</b>	<b>4.22 %</b>	<b>603,422</b>	<b>5,456</b>	<b>3.58 %</b>
<b>Total deposits and interest-bearing liabilities</b>	<b>685,516</b>	<b>\$ 5,118</b>	<b>2.95 %</b>	<b>712,261</b>	<b>\$ 5,456</b>	<b>3.03 %</b>
Other non-interest bearing liabilities	5,445			2,658		
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>690,960</b>			<b>714,919</b>		
Shareholders' equity	45,684			43,063		
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 736,644</b>			<b>\$ 757,982</b>		
Net interest income and net interest rate spread including non-interest bearing deposits		<b>\$ 5,259</b>	<b>3.05 %</b>		<b>\$ 4,720</b>	<b>2.65 %</b>
<b>Net interest margin</b>			<b>3.07 %</b>			<b>2.66 %</b>

*Provision for loan loss.* The Company recorded a provision for loan losses in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 of \$4.78 million. This provision is directly related to a \$4.95 million provision on a purchased participation loan relationship. See “Non-Performing Assets and Allowance for Loan Losses” herein. Offsetting this specific provision, the Company also recorded a \$180,000 negative provision during the quarter as a result of shrinkage in the loan portfolio.

*Non-interest income.* Non interest income for the first quarter was \$4.14 million, more than double the level from the same quarter a year ago. The increase is the result of higher fee income generated by the Meta Payment Systems<sup>®</sup> division. Fees earned on prepaid debit cards and other payment systems products and services were \$3.59 million for the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, compared to \$1.22 million for the same quarter in fiscal year 2006.

*Non-interest expense.* The Company’s non interest expense was \$8.83 million for the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, reflecting a \$3.04 million increase from \$5.79 million in the same quarter in fiscal year 2006. The increase is broad based and is generally the result of the Company’s investment in the Meta Payment Systems<sup>®</sup> division.

Card processing expenses rose \$1.32 million from \$333,000 in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006 to \$1.65 million in the current quarter. These expenses reflect costs associated with processing and delivering debit card related products and services. Compensation expense rose just under \$1 million on a quarter over quarter basis to \$4.03 million. This increase reflects the staffing of two new full service branches, one each in Sioux Falls, SD and West Des Moines, IA, an increase in the sales force and operations support staff at Meta Payment Systems<sup>®</sup>, and the addition of IT staff and other administrative support within the Company. Many of the new employees at MPS and in IT will be focused on developing new product lines and increasing market penetration of our payments systems products and services. Other expenses at the Company have also exhibited growth as business volumes have increased. Increases in occupancy and equipment expense reflect the aforementioned new branches and the addition of administrative office space in Sioux Falls. Similarly, increases in marketing, legal and consulting, and other expenses reflect the Company’s continuing efforts to support growth of business opportunities that management believes will be profitable over time.

*Income tax expense.* For the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, the Company recorded an income tax benefit of \$1.50 million. The benefit compares to a \$219,000 income tax expense for the first quarter of fiscal year 2006. The change is due primarily to the change in net income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit).

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

The Company’s primary sources of funds are deposits, borrowings, principal and interest payments on loans, investments, and mortgage-backed securities, and funds provided by other operating activities. While scheduled payments on loans, mortgage-backed securities, and short-term investments are relatively predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and early loan repayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions, and competition.

The Company uses its capital resources principally to meet ongoing commitments to fund maturing certificates of deposits and loan commitments, to maintain liquidity, and to meet operating expenses. At December 31, 2006, the Company had commitments to originate and purchase loans totaling \$50.3 million. The Company believes that loan repayment and other sources of funds will be adequate to meet its foreseeable short- and long-term liquidity needs.

Regulations require MetaBank and MetaBank WC to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total risk-based capital and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and a leverage ratio consisting of Tier 1 capital to average assets. The following table sets forth MetaBank's and MetaBank WC's actual capital and required capital amounts and ratios at December 31, 2006 which, at that date, exceeded the minimum capital adequacy requirements.

At December 31, 2006 (As Restated)	Actual		Minimum Requirement For Capital Adequacy Purposes		Minimum Requirement to Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Active Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
	(Dollars in Thousands )					
MetaBank						
Tangible capital (to tangible assets)	\$ 45,294	6.40 %	\$ 10,610	1.50 %	n/a	n/a
Tier 1 (core) capital (to adjusted total assets)	45,294	6.40	28,292	4.00	\$ 35,366	5.00 %
Tier 1 (core) capital (to risk-weighted assets)	45,294	10.07	17,984	4.00	26,976	6.00
Total risk-based capital (to risk-weighted assets)	51,074	11.36	35,968	8.00	44,960	10.00
MetaBank West Central						
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)	3,662	8.74	1,676	4.00	2,095	5.00
Tier 1 risk-based capital (to risk-weighted assets)	3,662	14.88	984	4.00	1,476	6.00
Total risk-based capital (to risk-weighted assets)	3,975	16.16	1,968	8.00	2,460	10.00

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA) established five regulatory capital categories and authorized the banking regulators to take prompt corrective action with respect to institutions in an undercapitalized category. At December 31, 2006, the Company, MetaBank, and MetaBank WC exceeded minimum requirements for the well-capitalized category.

## FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Company, and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, MetaBank and MetaBank WC, may from time to time make written or oral “forward-looking statements,” including statements contained in its filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, in its reports to shareholders, and in other communications by the Company, which are made in good faith by the Company pursuant to the “safe harbor” provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that involve risks and uncertainties and reflect the Company’s judgment as of the date of this release. These statements include those related to the restatement described above. Actual events or results may differ materially from the company’s expectations. Our financial results and the trading price of our securities may be affected as a result of the restatement and any subsequent determinations by governmental or other regulatory bodies as a result of this process.

These forward-looking statements include statements with respect to the Company’s beliefs, expectations, estimates and intentions that are subject to significant risks and uncertainties, and are subject to change based on various factors, some of which are beyond the Company’s control. Such statements may address: future operating results; customer growth and retention; loan and other product demand; earnings growth and expectations; new products and services, such as those offered by the Meta Payment Systems<sup>®</sup> division; credit quality and adequacy of reserves; technology; and our employees. The following factors, among others, could cause the Company’s financial performance to differ materially from the expectations, estimates, and intentions expressed in such forward-looking statements: the strength of the United States economy in general and the strength of the local economies in which the Company conducts operations; the effects of, and changes in, trade, monetary, and fiscal policies and laws, including interest rate policies of the Federal Reserve Board; inflation, interest rate, market, and monetary fluctuations; the timely development of and acceptance of new products and services of the Company and the perceived overall value of these products and services by users; the impact of changes in financial services’ laws and regulations; technological changes; acquisitions; litigation; changes in consumer spending and saving habits; and the success of the Company at managing and collecting assets of borrowers in default and managing the risks involved in the foregoing.

The foregoing list of factors is not exclusive. Additional discussions of factors affecting the Company’s business and prospects are contained in the Company’s periodic filings with the SEC. The Company expressly disclaims any intent or obligation to update any forward-looking statement, whether written or oral, that may be made from time to time by or on behalf of the Company.

## MARKET RISK

The Company is exposed to the impact of interest rate changes and changes in the market value of its investments.

The Company originates predominantly adjustable rate loans and fixed rate loans with relatively short terms to maturity. Long term fixed rate residential mortgages are generally sold into the secondary market. As a result of its lending practices, the Company's loan portfolio is relatively short in duration and yields respond quickly to the overall level of interest rates.

The Company's primary objective for its investment portfolio is to provide the liquidity necessary to meet the Company's cash demands. This portfolio may also be used in the ongoing management of interest rate risk. As a result, funds may be invested among various categories of security types and maturities based upon the Company's need for liquidity and its desire to create an economic hedge against the effects changes in interest rates may have on the overall market value of the Company.

The Company offers a full range of deposit products which are generally short term in nature. Interest-bearing checking, savings, and money market accounts generally provide a stable source of funds for the bank and also respond relatively quickly to changes in short term interest rates. The Company offers certificates of deposit with maturities of three months through five years, which serve to extend the duration of the overall deposit portfolio. A significant portion of the Company's deposit portfolio is concentrated in non-interest-bearing checking accounts. These accounts serve to decrease the Company's overall cost of funds and reduce its sensitivity to changes in short term interest rates.

The Company also maintains a portfolio of wholesale borrowings, predominantly advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank which carry fixed terms and fixed rates of interest. The Company utilizes this portfolio to manage liquidity demands and also, when appropriate, in the ongoing management of interest rate risk.

The Board of Directors, as well as the Office of Thrift Supervision, have established limits on the level of acceptable interest rate risk. There can be no assurance, however, that, in the event of an adverse change in interest rates, the Company's efforts to limit interest rate risk will be successful.

*Net Portfolio Value.* The Company uses a Net Portfolio Value ("NPV") approach to the quantification of interest rate risk. This approach calculates the difference between the present value of expected cash flows from assets and the present value of expected cash flows from liabilities, as well as cash flows from off-balance-sheet contracts. The Company's Investment Committee, which consists of members of senior management, is responsible for managing the interest rate risk of the Company.

Presented below, as of December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, is an analysis of the Company's interest rate risk profile as measured by changes in NPV for an instantaneous and sustained parallel shift in the yield curve, in 100 basis point increments, up and down 200 basis points. Growth in the Company's non-interest bearing checking portfolio has contributed to a shift in the Company's interest rate risk profile. At December 31, 2006, the Company was more exposed to a decline in market value from a falling interest rate environment than it was at September 30, 2006. Similarly, at December 31, 2006, the Company was more exposed to an increase in market value from a rising interest rate environment than it was at September 30, 2006. At both December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, the Company's interest rate risk profile was within the limits set by the Board of Directors. Additionally, MetaBank's interest rate risk profile was within the limits set forth by the Office of Thrift Supervision.

Change in Interest Rates (Basis Points)	Board Limit % Change	At December 31, 2006		At September 30, 2006	
		\$ Change	% Change	\$ Change	% Change
(Dollars in thousands)					
+200 bp	(40)%	\$ 2,648	4 %	\$ 548	1 %
+100 bp	(25)	1,510	2	562	1
0 bp (Base Case)	—	—	—	—	—
-100 bp	(25)	(2,587)	(3)	(907)	(1)
-200 bp	(40)	(7,642)	(10)	(4,139)	(6)

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the method of analysis presented in the preceding table. For example, although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods to repricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. Also, the interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind changes in market rates. Additionally, certain assets such as adjustable-rate mortgage-loans have features which restrict changes in interest rates on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. Further, in the event of a change in interest rates, prepayments and early withdrawal levels would likely deviate from those assumed in calculating the tables. Finally, the ability of some borrowers to service their debt may decrease in the event of an interest rate increase. The Company considers all of these factors in monitoring its exposure to interest rate risk.

## **CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

Any control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable (not absolute) assurance that its objectives will be met. Furthermore, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected.

## **DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rules 13a - 15(e) and 15d - 15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by the report.

Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of December 31, 2006 our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that (i) the information required to be disclosed by us in this Report was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (ii) information required to be disclosed by us in our reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

## **INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

With the participation of the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Company also conducted an evaluation of the Company's internal control over financial reporting to determine whether any changes occurred during the Company's fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2006, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Based on such evaluation, management concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, there have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

### PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

#### FORM 10-Q/A

Item 1. Legal Proceedings - On June 11, 2004, the Sioux Falls School District filed suit in the Second Judicial Circuit Court alleging that MetaBank, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, improperly allowed funds, which belonged to the school district, to be deposited into, and subsequently withdrawn from, a corporate account established by an employee of the school district. The school district is seeking in excess of \$600,000. MetaBank has submitted the claim to its insurance carrier, and is working with counsel to vigorously contest the suit.

On or about March 10, 2006, plaintiffs filed five class-action suits on behalf of themselves and all other purchasers of vehicles from Prairie Auto Group, Inc., Dan Nelson Automotive Group, Inc.'s Rapid City, South Dakota location, and other not-yet-identified auto sales entities owned or operated by defendants. The complaints are styled as follows: Ronald Archulleta, et al. v. Prairie Auto Group, Inc., et al. - In the Tribal Court for the Oglala Sioux Tribe, Pine Ridge Indian Reservation; Cedar Around Him, et al. v. Prairie Auto Group, Inc., et al. - In the Tribal Court for the Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Rosebud Indian Reservation; Chris Dengler, et al. v. Prairie Auto Group, Inc. - Circuit Court of the Second Judicial Circuit, Minnehaha County, South Dakota; Lucinda Janis, et al. v. Prairie Auto Group, Inc., et al. - File No. C-157-04; In the Tribal Court for the Cheyenne River Sioux Indian Reservation, Eagle Butte, South Dakota; and Kali Treetop, et al. v. Prairie Auto Group, Inc., et al. - File No. 01-970; Circuit Court for the Seventh Judicial Circuit, Pennington County, South Dakota. Except for the named plaintiffs, each of the complaints is essentially identical to the others. The nature of the allegations are the same, and the same fourteen legal claims are sought to be pled in each.

Each complaint states that it is a "companion" to the other four and names the same defendants (approximately twenty-five) including the Registrant and affiliates thereof (the "MetaBank Defendants"). None of these complaints has yet been served on any of the MetaBank Defendants. The thrust of the complaints is that plaintiffs allegedly suffered damages as a result of a scheme by defendants to use fraudulent statements, misrepresentations and omissions to sell vehicles and extended warranties to plaintiffs. Plaintiffs claim that they and other similarly situated purchasers paid too much for their vehicles and were induced to buy warranties that were not honored and otherwise proved worthless. Plaintiffs allege that defendants reaped considerable profits through fraudulent sales methods; by refusing to make warrantied repairs; and by engaging in usurious repossession and resale practices. Plaintiffs allege that these practices were part of a business plan that originated with the franchisor-defendants and was purchased and employed by the franchisee-defendants. It appears that the principal basis for naming the MetaBank Defendants is that they loaned money to finance some of the defendants' business operations, purportedly with some degree of knowledge about the defendants' allegedly abusive consumer practices.

The complaints allege that the described transactions are typical of defendants' business and were part of a deliberate scheme directed primarily at Native American customers. The complaints allege that the franchisee-defendants engaged in coercive, fraudulent and other illegal activities in connection with the automobile sales, and each seeks to state claims for: (1) breach of express warranty; (2) breach of implied warranty of merchantability; (3) deceit/fraud; (4) violation of applicable deceptive trade laws; (5) breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; (6) conversion; (7) civil conspiracy under tribal and state common law; (8) negligent hiring, training and supervision of employees; (9) violation of the Federal Equal Credit Opportunity Act; (10) invasion of privacy; (11) violation of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO); (12)

violation of the Magnuson-Moss Act; (13) violation of the Federal Truth and Lending Act's (TILA) Three Day Rescission Period; and (14) violation of TILA's Disclosure of Finance Cost Requirement.

In addition to seeking certification as a class, plaintiffs seek cancellation of the automobile purchase contracts; monetary damages including the initial purchase price warranty charges, finance costs and related repossession and other charges; costs of allegedly warranted repairs that were not made by defendants; consequential damages relating to the alleged wrongful repossession of vehicles and deficiency judgments associated therewith; damages for emotional and mental suffering; punitive and treble damages; and attorneys' fees. The amount of the alleged damages is not specified in the complaints.

As was described in the Company's previous filings, MetaBank was the lead lender and servicer of approximately \$32.0 million in loans to three auto dealership related companies and their owners. Approximately \$22.2 million of the total had been sold to ten participating financial institutions. Each participation agreement with the ten participant banks provides that the participant bank shall own a specified percentage of the outstanding loan balance at any give time. Each agreement also recites the maximum amount that can be loaned by MetaBank on that particular loan. MetaBank allocated to some participants an ownership in the outstanding loan balance in excess of the percentage specified in the participation agreement. MetaBank believes that in each instance this was done with the full knowledge and consent of the participant. Several participants have demanded that their participations be adjusted to match the percentage specified in the participant agreement. Based on the total loan recoveries projected as of March 31, 2006, MetaBank calculated that it would cost approximately \$953,000 to adjust these participations as the participants would have them adjusted. A few participants have more recently asserted that MetaBank owes them additional monies based on additional legal theories. MetaBank denies any obligation to make the requested adjustments on these or related claims. Other than as disclosed below, MetaBank cannot predict at this time whether any of these claims will be the subject of litigation.

During the three months ended June 30, 2006 or shortly thereafter four lawsuits were filed against the Company's MetaBank subsidiary. Three of the complaints are related to the Company's alleged actions in connection with its activities as lead lender to three companies involved in auto sales, service, and financing and their owner. The fourth complaint alleges patent infringement. All four actions are in their infancy and materiality cannot be determined at this time. The Company intends, however, to vigorously defend its actions.

*First Midwest Bank-Deerfield Branches and Mid-Country Bank v. MetaBank* (Civ. No. 06-2241). On June 28, 2006, First Midwest Bank-Deerfield Branches and Mid-Country Bank filed suit against MetaBank in South Dakota's Second Judicial Circuit Court, Minnehaha County, in the above titled action. The complaint alleges that plaintiff banks, who were participating lenders with MetaBank on a series of loans made to Dan Nelson Automotive Group ("DNAG") and South Dakota Acceptance Corporation ("SDAC"), suffered damages exceeding \$1 million as a result of MetaBank's placement and administration of the loans that were the subject of the loan participation agreements. The complaint sounds in breach of contract, negligence, gross negligence, negligent misrepresentation, fraud in the inducement, unjust enrichment and breach of fiduciary duty. On July 17, 2006, MetaBank removed the case from state court to the United States District Court for the District of South Dakota, where the action has been assigned case no. Civ. 06-4114. Plaintiffs have moved to remand the case back to state court. That motion is pending.

*First Premier Bank v. MetaBank* (Civ. No. 06-2277). On July 5, 2006, First Premier Bank filed suit against MetaBank in South Dakota's Second Judicial Circuit Court, Minnehaha County in the above titled action. The complaint alleges that First Premier, a participating lender with MetaBank on

a series of loans made to SDAC, has suffered damages in an as yet undetermined amount as a result of MetaBank's actions in selling to First Premier a participation in a loan made to SDAC and MetaBank's actions in administering that loan. The complaint sounds in breach of contract, breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing, fraudulent inducement, fraud, deceit, negligent misrepresentation, fraudulent misrepresentation, conversion, negligence, gross negligence, breach of fiduciary duty and unjust enrichment. On July 17, 2006, MetaBank removed the case from state court to the United States District Court for the District of South Dakota, where the action has been assigned case no. Civ. 06-4115. Plaintiffs have moved to remand the case back to state court. That motion is pending.

*Home Federal Bank v. J. Tyler Haahr, Daniel A. Nelson and MetaBank* (Civ. No. 06-2230). On June 26, 2006, Home Federal Bank filed suit against MetaBank and two individuals, J. Tyler Haahr and Daniel A. Nelson, in South Dakota's Second Judicial Circuit Court, Minnehaha County in the above titled action. The complaint alleges that Home Federal, a participating lender with MetaBank on a series of loans made to DNAG and SDAC, suffered damages exceeding \$3.8 million as a result of failure to make disclosures regarding an investigation of Nelson, DNAG and SDAC by the Iowa Attorney General at the time Home Federal agreed to an extension of the loan participation agreements. The complaint sounds in fraud, negligent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, conspiracy and breach of duty of good faith and fair dealing. Discovery in that matter is proceeding.

Subject to a reservation of rights, the Company's insurance carrier has agreed to cover the three claims described above.

*Meridian Enterprises Corporation v. Bank of America Corporation et al.* (Case No. 4:06-cv-01117CDP). On July 21, 2006, Meridian Enterprises Corporation ("Meridian") filed suit against Meta Financial Group, Inc. (Meta Payment Systems® division) ("Meta") and other banks and financial institutions in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri in the above-titled action. Meridian is the owner of U.S. Patent No. 5,025,372 (the "372 Patent"). The complaint alleges that Meta and the co-defendants each sell, administer, process and/or sponsor an incentive program where cards are provided to participants in the incentive program that can be presented to retailers to make a purchase. The complaint further alleges, inter alia, that Meta and the co-defendants each use a computer to determine whether or not a participant's performance under the incentive program entitles the participant to an award, in which the computer also determines the amount of the award, and the amount of the award is based upon the level of the participant's performance in the incentive program. Accordingly, the complaint sounds in infringement, inducement of infringement, and contributory infringement of one or more claims of the '372 Patent.

There are no other material pending legal proceedings to which the Company or its subsidiaries is a party other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to their respective businesses.

Item 1.A. Risk Factors - Other than the risk factors described below, there have been no material changes from those described in the "Risk Factors" section of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended September 30, 2006.

On March 15, 2006, the Federal Housing Finance Board ("Finance Board"), the federal regulator of the 12 Federal Home Loan Banks ("FHLBs"), published for comment a proposal that would (i) establish a minimum retained earnings requirement for each Federal Home Loan Bank, (ii) limit the amount of excess stock that a Bank could have outstanding, and (iii) impose new restrictions on the timing and form of dividend payment. On December 22, 2006, the Finance Board adopted a final rule prohibiting the FHLBs from issuing new excess stock to members (such as MetaBank and MetaBank West Central) if the amount of member excess stock exceeds one percent of the FHLB's

assets. The feature of the proposed rule that would have required the FHLBs to establish a retained earnings minimum was not retained. It is not anticipated that the final regulation will have a material impact on the Company.

In connection with the previously disclosed bankruptcy of certain borrowers of MetaBank, MetaBank has experienced loan losses, which have, in part, been passed on to various entities that participated with MetaBank, which was the lead lender at the time the loans were made. Several of the participant banks have contended, over and above the allocation issue raised by the participants and described in previous filings of the Registrant, that MetaBank owes such participants additional monies, and have threatened MetaBank with legal action, or have already filed such legal action, to recover said monies. In addition, five lawsuits, all containing virtually identical allegations to each of the others, have been filed naming several defendants, including MetaBank and affiliates, on behalf of the purchasers of automobiles from the borrowers. It is contended by the plaintiffs in these five lawsuits that MetaBank and its affiliates conspired with the borrowers to defraud such purchasers. See Footnote 4 to the Financial Statements and Part II - Other Information, Item 1. Legal Proceedings herein. If the Company is forced to defend itself against this pending and threatened litigation, the Company would incur additional legal expenses, which cannot be reasonably estimated at this time, but which would affect overall profitability.

Item 2. Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds - None

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities - None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders - None

Item 5. Other Information - None

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits:

- |      |   |
|------|---|
| 31.1 | Section 302 certification of Chief Executive Officer. |
| 31.2 | Section 302 certification of Chief Financial Officer. |
| 32.1 | Section 906 certification of Chief Executive Officer. |
| 32.2 | Section 906 certification of Chief Financial Officer. |

**META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.**

Date: November 21, 2007

By: /s/ J. Tyler Haahr  
J. Tyler Haahr, President,  
and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 21, 2007

By: /s/ David W. Leedom  
David W. Leedom, Senior Vice President  
and Acting Chief Financial Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, J. Tyler Haahr, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Meta Financial Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (c) Disclosed in this report any changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2006, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 21, 2007

/s/ J. Tyler Haahr  
Chief Executive Officer

---

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, David W. Leedom, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Meta Financial Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (c) Disclosed in this report any changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2006, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 21, 2007

/s/ David W. Leedom  
Acting Chief Financial Officer

---

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A of Meta Financial Group, Inc. (the "Company") for the quarterly period ending December 31, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, J. Tyler Haahr, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

By: /s/ J. Tyler Haahr  
Name: J. Tyler Haahr  
Chief Executive Officer  
November 21, 2007

---

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A of Meta Financial Group, Inc. (the "Company") for the quarterly period ending December 31, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, David W. Leedom, Acting Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

By: /s/ David W. Leedom  
Name: David W. Leedom  
Acting Chief Financial Officer  
November 21, 2007

---