

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2015

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 1-6311

Tidewater Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)



Delaware
(State of incorporation)

72-0487776
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

601 Poydras St., Suite 1500
New Orleans, Louisiana 70130
(Address of principal executive offices) (zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (504) 568-1010

Not Applicable

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or of such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

46,969,590 shares of Tidewater Inc. common stock \$.10 par value per share were outstanding on January 22, 2016. Registrant has no other class of common stock outstanding.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

**TIDEWATER INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(Unaudited)
(In thousands, except share and par value data)

	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2015
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 47,980	78,568
Trade and other receivables, net	261,209	303,096
Due from affiliate	336,474	420,365
Marine operating supplies	38,719	49,005
Other current assets	60,819	17,781
Total current assets	745,201	868,815
Investments in, at equity, and advances to unconsolidated companies	45,663	65,844
Properties and equipment:		
Vessels and related equipment	4,681,306	4,717,132
Other properties and equipment	120,969	119,879
	4,802,275	4,837,011
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	1,194,974	1,090,704
Net properties and equipment	3,607,301	3,746,307
Other assets	82,350	75,196
Total assets	\$ 4,480,515	4,756,162
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 61,019	54,011
Accrued expenses	96,604	146,255
Due to affiliate	169,943	185,657
Accrued property and liability losses	3,443	3,669
Current portion of long-term debt	9,810	10,181
Other current liabilities	66,253	82,461
Total current liabilities	407,072	482,234
Long-term debt	1,441,924	1,524,295
Deferred income taxes	35,600	23,276
Accrued property and liability losses	9,748	10,534
Other liabilities and deferred credits	210,239	235,108
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 7)		
Equity:		
Common stock of \$0.10 par value, 125,000,000 shares authorized, issued 46,969,590 shares at December 31, 2015 and 47,029,359 shares at March 31, 2015	4,697	4,703
Additional paid-in capital	168,753	159,940
Retained earnings	2,216,862	2,330,223
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(20,237)	(20,378)
Total stockholders' equity	2,370,075	2,474,488
Noncontrolling Interests	5,857	6,227
Total equity	2,375,932	2,480,715
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 4,480,515	4,756,162

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

TIDEWATER INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	Quarter Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Revenues:				
Vessel revenues	\$ 212,908	378,126	775,352	1,150,588
Other operating revenues	5,283	9,428	19,536	20,167
	218,191	387,554	794,888	1,170,755
Costs and expenses:				
Vessel operating costs	125,094	210,365	462,987	640,428
Costs of other operating revenues	3,778	8,395	15,624	19,616
General and administrative	35,598	46,642	116,837	144,464
Vessel operating leases	8,441	7,165	25,325	20,247
Depreciation and amortization	45,422	43,331	137,058	130,150
Gain on asset dispositions, net	(5,883)	(4,699)	(19,345)	(13,092)
Asset impairments	15,141	6,236	61,771	8,096
Goodwill impairment	—	283,699	—	283,699
Restructuring charge	—	—	7,586	—
	227,591	601,134	807,843	1,233,608
Operating loss	(9,400)	(213,580)	(12,955)	(62,853)
Other income (expenses):				
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(469)	4,334	(3,758)	8,453
Equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated companies	(1,710)	—	(7,070)	9,104
Interest income and other, net	609	434	1,754	1,555
Interest and other debt costs, net	(13,312)	(12,239)	(39,741)	(37,927)
	(14,882)	(7,471)	(48,815)	(18,815)
Loss before income taxes	(24,282)	(221,051)	(61,770)	(81,668)
Income tax expense (benefit)	(4,679)	(60,070)	16,996	(25,211)
Net Loss	\$ (19,603)	(160,981)	(78,766)	(56,457)
Less: Net losses attributable to noncontrolling interests	\$ (94)	(287)	(370)	(343)
Net loss attributable to Tidewater Inc.	\$ (19,509)	(160,694)	(78,396)	(56,114)
Basic loss per common share	\$ (0.42)	(3.31)	(1.67)	(1.14)
Diluted loss per common share	\$ (0.42)	(3.31)	(1.67)	(1.14)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	46,943,705	48,481,722	46,956,041	49,213,712
Dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock	—	—	—	—
Adjusted weighted average common shares	46,943,705	48,481,722	46,956,041	49,213,712

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

TIDEWATER INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS
(Unaudited)
(In thousands)

	Quarter Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net loss	\$ (19,603)	(160,981)	(78,766)	(56,457)
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities, net of tax of \$0, \$(29), \$0 and \$43	212	(54)	(467)	79
Amortization of loss on derivative contract, net of tax of \$0, \$62, \$0 and \$188	180	116	538	349
Change in other benefit plan minimum liability, net of tax of \$0, \$0, \$0 and \$70	—	—	70	131
Total comprehensive loss	\$ (19,211)	(160,919)	(78,625)	(55,898)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

TIDEWATER INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)
(In thousands)

	Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (78,766)	(56,457)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	137,058	130,150
Provision (benefit) for deferred income taxes	192	(77,278)
Gain on asset dispositions, net	(19,345)	(13,092)
Asset impairments	61,771	8,096
Goodwill impairment	—	283,699
Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated companies, less dividends	22,087	(1,550)
Compensation expense - stock-based	9,960	16,395
Changes in assets and liabilities, net:		
Trade and other receivables	38,726	(48,876)
Changes in due to/from affiliate, net	68,177	78,881
Marine operating supplies	9,786	1,243
Other current assets	1,711	3,090
Accounts payable	6,862	(29,052)
Accrued expenses	(51,068)	(6,856)
Accrued property and liability losses	(226)	(366)
Other current liabilities	(17,239)	(437)
Other liabilities and deferred credits	2,406	(3,025)
Other, net	(699)	(9,006)
Net cash provided by operating activities	191,393	275,559
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Proceeds from sales of assets	8,428	5,160
Proceeds from sale/leaseback of assets	—	110,694
Additions to properties and equipment	(152,225)	(231,685)
Refunds from cancelled vessel construction contracts	36,190	—
Other	(210)	127
Net cash used in investing activities	(107,817)	(115,704)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Principal payment on long-term debt	(109,163)	(27,206)
Debt borrowings	31,338	20,000
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	—	1,025
Cash dividends	(35,378)	(36,997)
Repurchases of common stock	—	(99,999)
Other	(961)	351
Net cash used in financing activities	(114,164)	(142,826)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(30,588)	17,029
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	78,568	60,359
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 47,980	77,388
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 47,608	48,046
Income taxes	\$ 38,208	57,987
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing activities:		
Additions to properties and equipment	\$ 146	3,386

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

TIDEWATER INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY
(Unaudited)
(In thousands)

	Common stock	Additional paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Accumulated other comprehensive loss	Non controlling interest	Total
Balance at March 31, 2015	\$ 4,703	159,940	2,330,223	(20,378)	6,227	2,480,715
Total comprehensive loss	—	—	(78,396)	141	(370)	(78,625)
Stock option expense	—	609	—	—	—	609
Cash dividends declared (\$.75 per share)	—	—	(34,965)	—	—	(34,965)
Amortization of restricted stock units	1	7,843	—	—	—	7,844
Amortization/cancellation of restricted stock	(7)	361	—	—	—	354
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$ 4,697	168,753	2,216,862	(20,237)	5,857	2,375,932
Balance at March 31, 2014	\$ 4,973	142,381	2,544,255	(12,225)	5,987	2,685,371
Total comprehensive loss	—	—	(56,114)	559	(343)	(55,898)
Exercise of stock options	3	1,022	—	—	—	1,025
Cash dividends declared (\$.75 per share)	—	—	(37,229)	—	—	(37,229)
Retirement of common stock	(284)	—	(99,715)	—	—	(99,999)
Amortization of restricted stock units	1	12,495	—	—	—	12,496
Amortization/cancellation of restricted stock	(4)	2,603	—	—	—	2,599
Cash received from noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	450	450
Cash paid to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	(50)	(50)
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$ 4,689	158,501	2,351,197	(11,666)	6,044	2,508,765

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

(1) INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the interim periods presented herein have been prepared in conformity with United States generally accepted accounting principles and, in the opinion of management, include all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements at the dates and for the periods indicated as required by Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for the respective full years. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2015, filed with the SEC on May 28, 2015.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Tidewater Inc. and its subsidiaries. Intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated in consolidation. The company uses the equity method to account for equity investments over which the company exercises significant influence but does not exercise control and is not the primary beneficiary. Unless otherwise specified, all per share information included in this document is on a diluted earnings per share basis.

The company made certain reclassifications to prior period amounts to conform to the current year presentation, specifically, the separate disclosure on the income statement and related schedules of asset impairments, which historically were included as part of gain on asset dispositions, net. These reclassifications did not have a material effect on the condensed consolidated statements of earnings, balance sheets or cash flows.

(2) STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Common Stock Repurchase Program

In May 2014, the company's Board of Directors authorized the company to spend up to \$200 million to repurchase shares of its common stock in open-market or privately-negotiated transactions. In May 2015, the company's Board of Directors authorized an extension of its May 2014 common stock repurchase program from its original expiration date of June 30, 2015 to June 30, 2016. In fiscal 2015, \$100 million was used to repurchase common stock under the May 2014 share repurchase program. No shares were repurchased by the company during the period from March 31, 2015 to December 31, 2015, and as of the end of this period \$100 million remained authorized and available to repurchase shares under the May 2014 share repurchase program.

In January 2016, the company suspended its common stock repurchase program.

The aggregate dollar outlay for common stock repurchased, along with the number of shares repurchased, and average price paid per share, for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31 is as follows:

(In thousands, except share and per share data)	Quarter Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Aggregate dollar outlay for common stock repurchased	\$ —	99,999	—	99,999
Shares of common stock repurchased	—	2,841,976	—	2,841,976
Average price paid per common share	\$ —	35.19	—	35.19

Dividends

The declaration of dividends is at the discretion of the company's Board of Directors, and will depend on the company's financial results, cash requirements, future prospects, and other factors deemed relevant by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors declared the following dividends for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31:

(In thousands, except dividend per share)	Quarter Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Dividends declared	\$ 11,811	12,029	34,965	37,229
Dividend per share	0.25	0.25	0.75	0.75

In January 2016, the company suspended the quarterly dividend program.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by component, net of tax for the quarters and nine month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 are as follows:

(in thousands)	For the quarter ended December 31, 2015					For the nine months ended December 31, 2015				
	Balance	Gains/(losses)	Reclasses	Net	Remaining	Balance	Gains/(losses)	Reclasses	Net	Remaining
	at	recognized	from	period	balance	at	recognized	from	period	balance
	9/30/15	in OCI	OCI to	OCI	12/31/15	3/31/15	in OCI	OCI to	OCI	12/31/15
			net					net		
			income					income		
Available for sale securities	(444)	235	(24)	211	(233)	235	(569)	101	(468)	(233)
Currency translation adjustment	(9,811)	—	—	—	(9,811)	(9,811)	—	—	—	(9,811)
Pension/Post- retirement benefits	(9,059)	—	—	—	(9,059)	(9,129)	70	—	70	(9,059)
Interest rate swaps	(1,314)	—	180	180	(1,134)	(1,673)	—	539	539	(1,134)
Total	(20,628)	235	156	391	(20,237)	(20,378)	(499)	640	141	(20,237)

(in thousands)	For the quarter ended December 31, 2014					For the nine months ended December 31, 2014				
	Balance	Gains/(losses)	Reclasses	Net	Remaining	Balance	Gains/(losses)	Reclasses	Net	Remaining
	at	recognized	from	period	balance	at	recognized	from	period	balance
	9/30/14	in OCI	OCI to	OCI	12/31/14	3/31/14	in OCI	OCI to	OCI	12/31/14
			net					net		
			income					income		
Available for sale securities	225	(73)	19	(54)	171	92	(76)	155	79	171
Currency translation adjustment	(9,811)	—	—	—	(9,811)	(9,811)	—	—	—	(9,811)
Pension/Post- retirement benefits	15	—	—	—	15	(116)	131	—	131	15
Interest rate swaps	(2,157)	—	116	116	(2,041)	(2,390)	—	349	349	(2,041)
Total	(11,728)	(73)	135	62	(11,666)	(12,225)	55	504	559	(11,666)

The following table summarizes the reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to the condensed consolidated statement of income for the quarters and nine month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31		Affected line item in the condensed consolidated statements of income
	2015	2014	2015	2014	
Realized gains on available for sale securities	\$ (37)	29	155	238	Interest income and other, net
Amortization of interest rate swap	277	178	829	537	Interest and other debt costs
Total pre-tax amounts	240	207	984	775	
Tax effect	84	72	344	271	
Total gains for the period, net of tax	\$ 156	135	640	504	

(3) INCOME TAXES

We have historically calculated the provision for income taxes during interim reporting periods by applying an estimate of the annual effective tax rate for the full fiscal year to "ordinary" income or loss (pretax income or loss excluding unusual or infrequently occurring discrete items) for the reporting period. We have used a discrete effective tax rate method to calculate taxes for the three and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015. We determined that since small changes in estimated "ordinary" income would result in significant changes in the estimated annual effective tax rate, the historical method would not provide a reliable estimate for the three and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015.

Income tax expense for the three and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015 reflects tax liabilities in various jurisdictions that are based on revenue (deemed profit regimes) rather than pre-tax profits.

The company's balance sheet at December 31, 2015 reflects the following in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 740, *Income Taxes* :

(In thousands)	December 31, 2015
Tax liabilities for uncertain tax positions	\$ 14,591
Income tax payable	27,581

The tax liabilities for uncertain tax positions are attributable to a foreign tax filing position and a permanent establishment issue related to a foreign joint venture. Penalties and interest related to income tax liabilities are included in income tax expense. Income tax payable is included in other current liabilities.

Unrecognized tax benefits, which would lower the effective tax rate if realized at December 31, 2015, are as follows:

(In thousands)	December 31, 2015
Unrecognized tax benefit related to state tax issues	\$ 11,732
Interest receivable on unrecognized tax benefit related to state tax issues	38

With limited exceptions, the company is no longer subject to tax audits by U.S. federal, state, local or foreign taxing authorities for years prior to 2008. The company has ongoing examinations by various U.S. federal, state and foreign tax authorities and does not believe that the results of these examinations will have a material adverse effect on the company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

(4) EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

U.S. Defined Benefit Pension Plan

The company has a defined benefit pension plan (pension plan) that covers certain U.S. citizen employees and other employees who are permanent residents of the United States. Effective April 1, 1996, the pension plan was closed to new participation. In December 2009, the Board of Directors amended the pension plan to discontinue the accrual of benefits once the plan was frozen on December 31, 2010. This change did not affect benefits earned by participants prior to January 1, 2011. The pension plan is currently adequately funded and the company did not contribute to the pension plan during the quarters and nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, and does not expect to contribute to the pension plan during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2016.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

The company also maintains a non-contributory, defined benefit supplemental executive retirement plan (supplemental plan) that provides pension benefits to certain employees in excess of those allowed under the company's tax-qualified pension plan. A Rabbi Trust has been established for the benefit of participants in the supplemental plan. The Rabbi Trust assets, which are invested in a variety of marketable securities (but not the company's stock), are recorded at fair value with unrealized gains or losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Effective March 4, 2010, the supplemental plan was closed to new participation. The supplemental plan is a non-qualified plan and, as such, the company is not required to make contributions to the supplemental plan. The company did not contribute to the supplemental plan during the quarters and nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, and does not expect to contribute to the supplemental plan during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2016.

Investments held in a Rabbi Trust for the benefit of participants in the supplemental plan are included in other assets at fair value. The following table summarizes the carrying value of the trust assets, including unrealized gains or losses at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015:

(In thousands)	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2015
Investments held in Rabbi Trust	\$ 9,072	9,915
Unrealized gains (losses) in fair value of trust assets	233	235
Obligations under the supplemental plan	27,143	25,510

To the extent that trust assets are liquidated to fund benefit payments, gains or losses, if any, will be recognized at that time. The company's obligations under the supplemental plan are included in 'accrued expenses' and 'other liabilities and deferred credits' on the consolidated balance sheet.

Postretirement Benefit Plan

Qualified retired employees currently are covered by a plan which provides limited health care and life insurance benefits. Costs of the plan are based on actuarially determined amounts and are accrued over the period from the date of hire to the full eligibility date of employees who are expected to qualify for these benefits. This plan is funded through payments by the company as benefits are required.

Effective November 20, 2015, the company eliminated its post-65 medical coverage for all current and future retirees effective January 1, 2017. The plan amendment resulted in an additional estimated net periodic postretirement benefit of \$0.6 million during the quarter and nine-month period ended December 31, 2015. The medical coverage remains unchanged for participants under age 65.

Net Periodic Benefit Costs

The net periodic benefit cost for the company's U.S. defined benefit pension plan and supplemental plan (referred to collectively as "Pension Benefits") and the postretirement health care and life insurance plan (referred to collectively as "Other Benefits") is comprised of the following components:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Pension Benefits:				
Service cost	\$ 234	206	702	618
Interest cost	935	968	2,805	2,904
Expected return on plan assets	(530)	(685)	(1,590)	(2,055)
Amortization of prior service cost	9	12	27	36
Recognized actuarial loss	567	247	1,701	741
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 1,215	748	3,645	2,244
Other Benefits:				
Service cost	\$ 41	68	191	204
Interest cost	103	226	524	678
Amortization of prior service cost	(899)	(508)	(1,920)	(1,524)
Recognized actuarial benefit	(281)	(325)	(770)	(975)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ (1,036)	(539)	(1,975)	(1,617)

Other Plans

Effective December 1, 2015, the company amended its existing multinational savings plan to a self-directed multinational defined contribution retirement plan (multinational retirement plan). The company subsequently removed approximately \$6.4 million of plan assets and liabilities from the other assets and other liabilities and deferred credits section of the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Non-U.S. citizen shore-based and certain offshore employees working outside their respective country of origin are eligible to participate in the multinational retirement plan provided the employees are not enrolled in any home country pension or retirement program. Participants of the multinational retirement plan may contribute 1% to 50% of their base salary. The company matches, in cash, 50% of the first 6% of eligible compensation deferred by the employee which vests over five years. The company does not anticipate its contribution expense for the multinational retirement plan will increase due to the amendment.

(5) INDEBTEDNESS

Senior Notes, Revolving Credit and Term Loan Agreement

In May 2015, the company amended and extended its existing credit facility. The amended credit agreement matures in June 2019 and provides for a \$900 million, five-year credit facility consisting of (i) a \$600 million revolving credit facility and (ii) a \$300 million term loan facility.

At December 31, 2015 the company was in compliance with all covenants set forth in its debt facilities and note indentures, however, given the current trajectory of offshore energy market conditions, which has had a corresponding negative effect on our vessel revenue and other financial metrics, it is possible that in future quarters (and possibly as early as fiscal 2017) that the company may cease being in compliance with interest coverage ratios contained in certain of its debt facilities and senior note indentures. Failure to meet the required interest coverage ratios would be an event of default under certain of our debt facilities. The company is in dialogue with the principal lenders and noteholders to obtain amendments and/or waivers of these covenants in advance of any such default occurring, with the goal of finalizing any amendments and/or waivers prior to any possible covenant breach. Any such amendments and/or waivers would require successful negotiations with our bank group and certain noteholders, and would likely require the company to make certain concessions, such as potentially providing collateral or accepting a reduction in total borrowing capacity under the revolving credit facility. Obtaining the covenant relief that we are seeking will require the company to successfully harmonize the interests of the noteholders and the banks.

U.S. Dollar Denominated Debt

The following is a summary of debt outstanding at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015:

(In thousands, except weighted average data)	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2015
Credit facility:		
Term loan agreement (A)	\$ 300,000	300,000
Revolving line of credit (A) (B)	—	20,000
September 2013 senior unsecured notes:		
Aggregate debt outstanding	\$ 500,000	500,000
Weighted average remaining life in years	7.6	8.4
Weighted average coupon rate on notes outstanding	4.86%	4.86%
Fair value of debt outstanding (Level 2)	\$ 425,950	516,879
August 2011 senior unsecured notes:		
Aggregate debt outstanding	\$ 165,000	165,000
Weighted average remaining life in years	4.8	5.6
Weighted average coupon rate on notes outstanding	4.42%	4.42%
Fair value of debt outstanding (Level 2)	\$ 147,081	167,910
September 2010 senior unsecured notes (C):		
Aggregate debt outstanding	\$ 382,500	425,000
Weighted average remaining life in years	4.3	4.6
Weighted average coupon rate on notes outstanding	4.35%	4.25%
Fair value of debt outstanding (Level 2)	\$ 344,634	431,296
July 2003 senior unsecured notes (D):		
Aggregate debt outstanding	\$ —	35,000
Weighted average remaining life in years	—	0.3
Weighted average coupon rate on notes outstanding	—	4.61%
Fair value of debt outstanding (Level 2)	\$ —	35,197
May 2015 notes (E) (F):		
Amount outstanding	\$ 30,033	—
Fair value of debt outstanding (Level 2)	30,047	—
March 2015 notes (F):		
Amount outstanding	\$ 28,259	29,488
Fair value of debt outstanding (Level 2)	28,265	29,501

(A) Fair values approximate carrying values because the borrowings bear interest at variable rates.

(B) \$600 million and \$580 million was available under the revolver at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, respectively.

(C) Principal repayments of \$42.5 million were paid during the quarter ended December 31, 2015.

(D) Remaining \$35 million of borrowings fully paid in July 2015.

(E) In May 2015, a wholly owned subsidiary of the company entered into a \$31.3 million, U.S. dollar denominated, 12 year borrowing agreement which matures in April 2027 and is secured by a guarantee by Tidewater Inc. The loan requires semi-annual principal payments of \$1.3 million (plus accrued interest) and bears interest at a fixed rate of 2.92% plus a spread based on Tidewater Inc.'s consolidated funded indebtedness to total capitalization ratio (currently equal to 1.30% for a total rate of 4.22%).

(F) Notes require semi-annual principal payments.

Norwegian Kroner Denominated Debt

The following is a summary of the Norwegian Kroner (NOK) denominated borrowings outstanding at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, and their U.S. dollar equivalents:

(In thousands)	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2015
3.81% January 2014 notes (A):		
NOK denominated	262,500	275,000
U.S. dollar equivalent	\$ 29,606	34,234
Fair value in U.S. dollar equivalent (Level 2)	29,612	34,226
5.38% May 2012 notes (A):		
NOK denominated	144,840	161,880
U.S. dollar equivalent	\$ 16,336	20,152
Fair value in U.S. dollar equivalent (Level 2)	16,329	19,924
Variable rate borrowings:		
June 2013 borrowing agreement (B) (C)		
NOK denominated	—	25,000
U.S. dollar equivalent	\$ —	3,112
May 2012 borrowing agreement (B) (D)		
NOK denominated	—	20,000
U.S. dollar equivalent	\$ —	2,490

- (A) Notes require semi-annual principal payments.
(B) Fair values approximate carrying values because the borrowings bear interest at variable rates.
(C) Remaining note balance was repaid in September 2015. The company recognized a \$0.1 million gain on early extinguishment.
(D) Note was repaid in May 2015 upon maturity.

Debt Costs

The company capitalizes a portion of its interest costs incurred on borrowed funds used to construct vessels. The following is a summary of interest and debt costs incurred, net of interest capitalized, for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Interest and debt costs incurred, net of interest capitalized	\$ 13,312	12,239	39,741	37,927
Interest costs capitalized	2,513	3,638	8,280	9,920
Total interest and debt costs	\$ 15,825	15,877	48,021	47,847

(6) LOSS PER SHARE

The components of basic and diluted loss per share for the quarters and the nine-month periods ended December 31, are as follows:

(In thousands, except share and per share data)	Quarter Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net loss available to common shareholders	\$ (19,509)	(160,694)	(78,396)	(56,114)
Weighted average outstanding shares of common stock, basic	46,943,705	48,481,722	46,956,041	49,213,712
Dilutive effect of options and restricted stock awards and units	—	—	—	—
Weighted average common stock and equivalents	46,943,705	48,481,722	46,956,041	49,213,712
Loss per share, basic (A)	\$ (0.42)	(3.31)	(1.67)	(1.14)
Loss per share, diluted (B)	\$ (0.42)	(3.31)	(1.67)	(1.14)
Additional information:				
Antidilutive incremental options and restricted stock awards and units	455,663	158,575	385,073	231,171

- (A) The company calculates "Loss per share, basic" by dividing "Net loss available to common shareholders" by "Weighted average outstanding share of common stock, basic".
- (B) The company calculates "Loss per share, diluted" by dividing "Net loss available to common shareholders" by "Weighted average common stock and equivalents".

(7) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Vessel and Other Commitments

The table below summarizes the company's various vessel commitments to acquire and construct new vessels, by vessel type, as of December 31, 2015:

(In thousands, except vessel count)	Number of Vessels	Total Cost	Invested Through 12/31/15	Remaining Balance 12/31/15
Vessels under construction (A):				
Deepwater PSVs	8	\$ 335,746	231,256	104,490
Towing-supply vessels	1	16,280	13,580	2,700
Total vessel commitments (B)	9	\$ 352,026	244,836	107,190

- (A) Six additional option vessels and a fast supply boat are not included in the table above.
- (B) The company is entitled to receive a refund of prior shipyard payments totaling approximately \$43 million (of which \$12 million was received in January 2016) which would offset the remaining balance of vessel commitments. See further discussion below.

The total cost of the various vessel new-build commitments includes contract costs and other incidental costs. The company has vessels under construction at different shipyards around the world. The deepwater platform supply vessels (PSVs) under construction range between 4,200 and 6,000 deadweight tons (DWT) of cargo capacity and the towing-supply vessel under construction has 7,145 brake horsepower (BHP). Delivery of the new-build vessels began in January 2016, with delivery of the towing supply vessel and two of the deepwater PSVs. The delivery of the final new-build vessel is expected in May 2017. The company has approximately \$107 million in unfunded capital commitments associated with the nine vessels under construction (approximately \$64 million, net of \$43 million of expected refunds from shipyards) at December 31, 2015.

The company has successfully replaced the vast majority of the older vessels in its fleet with fewer, larger and more efficient vessels that have a more extensive range of capabilities. These efforts are expected to continue with the delivery of the remaining nine vessels currently under construction. The company anticipates that it will use some portion of its future operating cash flows and existing borrowing capacity in order to fund current and any future commitments in connection with the completion of the fleet renewal and modernization program.

In June 2015, the company entered into settlement agreements with an international shipyard, which at the time was constructing six 7,145 BHP towing-supply-class vessels and six 261-foot, 4,700 DWT tons of cargo capacity, deepwater PSVs. Under the settlement agreements, contracts for three 7,145 BHP towing-supply-class vessels were terminated, and the shipyard agreed with respect to these three cancelled contracts to (i) return to the company approximately \$36 million in aggregate installment payments, (ii) terminate the company's obligation to make any additional payments, and (iii) apply \$3.5 million of accrued interest due to the company on the returned installment amounts to offset future installment obligations on other vessels at this shipyard. Of the total \$36 million in returned installments, the shipyard returned \$24 million in June 2015 and the remaining \$12 million in July 2015. The company recorded an impairment charge of \$0.8 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2016 to write off the amounts not recoverable from the shipyard with respect to these three vessels. The company applied the \$3.5 million shipyard credit in the December quarter as an offset to other payments made to the shipyard.

In September 2015, the company entered into additional settlement agreements with the same shipyard to resolve the remaining nine vessels (three additional 7,145 BHP towing-supply-class vessels and six 261-foot, 4,700 deadweight tons of cargo capacity, deepwater PSVs) under construction. Under the settlement agreements, the company agreed to substantial discounts to the purchase price for each of these four vessels. The company took delivery of one towing-supply-class vessel in September of 2015, and another towing-supply-class vessel in January of 2016, and is expected to take delivery of two deepwater PSVs in fiscal 2017, if those vessels are completed and delivered in accordance with the underlying amended construction contracts, in the June quarter of 2016. Under the September 2015 settlement agreements, the company received separate options, but not obligations to acquire, each of the remaining five vessels, with option expiry dates ranging from November 2015 to October 2016. Under the terms of these options, if the company does not elect to take delivery of any of these vessels, (a) the company is entitled to receive the return of approximately \$31 million in aggregate installment payments (representing installment payments made to date on these five vessels) together with interest on these installments of \$3.7 million (which will be issued to the company as "shipyard credits" and applied to future installment payments on the two PSVs to be delivered) and (b) the company will be relieved of the obligation to pay the shipyard the approximately \$75 million in remaining construction payments. The purchase prices for each of the five vessels that are subject to options are unchanged by the settlement. The company declined to exercise the first of these options, and in January 2016 received \$12 million in refunded payments. The company has also taken the \$3.7 million "shipyard credit" in the December quarter as an offset against other payments made to the shipyard. The remaining four option vessels are not included in the preceding table of vessel commitments as of December 31, 2015. Each settlement agreement (except for the agreement with respect to the towing-supply vessel delivered in September 2015) was entered into subject to the consent of the Bank of China, the issuer of the refundment guarantees on all nine vessels. The Bank of China has subsequently issued consents for all eight remaining settlement agreements.

In April 2015, the company entered into negotiations with an international shipyard constructing two 275-foot, 3,800 deadweight tons of cargo capacity, deepwater PSVs to resolve issues associated with the late delivery of these vessels. In May 2015, the company settled these issues with the shipyard. Under the terms of the settlement, the company can elect to take delivery of one or both completed vessels at any time prior to June 30, 2016. That date is subject to two six month extension periods, each extension requiring the mutual consent of the company and shipyard. If the company does not elect to take delivery of one or both vessels prior to June 30, 2016 (as that date may be extended by mutual agreement), (a) the company is entitled to receive the return of \$5.4 million in aggregate installment payments per vessel together with interest on these installments (which aggregates to approximately \$12 million, or all but approximately \$1 million of the company's carrying value of the accumulated costs per vessel through March 31, 2015) and (b) the company will be relieved of the obligation to pay to the shipyard the \$21.7 million of remaining payments per vessel. The shipyard's obligation to return the \$5.4 million (plus interest) per vessel if the company elects not to take delivery of one or both vessels is secured by Bank of China refundment guarantees. These two vessels are not included in the preceding table of vessel commitments as of December 31, 2015.

The company has experienced substantial delay with one fast supply boat under construction in Brazil that was originally scheduled to be delivered in September 2009. On April 5, 2011, pursuant to the vessel construction contract, the company sent the subject shipyard a letter initiating arbitration in order to resolve disputes of such matters as the shipyard's failure to achieve payment milestones, its failure to follow the construction schedule, and its failure to timely deliver the vessel. The company has suspended construction on the vessel and both parties continue to pursue that arbitration. The company has third party credit support in the form of insurance coverage for 90% of the progress payments made on this vessel, or all but approximately \$2.4 million of the carrying value of the accumulated costs through June 30, 2015. During the first quarter of fiscal 2016, the company recorded an impairment charge of \$2.4 million (representing amounts not covered by insurance) and reclassified the remaining \$5.6 million from construction in progress to other non-current assets. This vessel is not included in the preceding table of vessel commitments as of December 31, 2015.

The company generally requires shipyards to provide third party credit support in the event that vessels are not completed and delivered timely and in accordance with the terms of the shipbuilding contracts. That third party credit support typically guarantees the return of amounts paid by the company and generally takes the form of refundment guarantees or standby letters of credit issued by major financial institutions generally located in the country of the shipyard. While the company seeks to minimize its shipyard credit risk by requiring these instruments, the ultimate return of amounts paid by the company in the event of shipyard default is still subject to the creditworthiness of the shipyard and the provider of the credit support, as well as the company's ability to pursue successfully legal action to compel payment of these instruments. When third party credit support that is acceptable to the company is not available or cost effective, the company endeavors to limit its credit risk by minimizing pre-delivery payments and through other contract terms with the shipyard.

Merchant Navy Officers Pension Fund

On July 15, 2013, a subsidiary of the company was placed into administration in the United Kingdom. Joint administrators were appointed to administer and distribute the subsidiary's assets to the subsidiary's creditors. The vessels owned by the subsidiary had become aged and were no longer economical to operate, which has caused the subsidiary's main business to decline in recent years. Only one vessel generated revenue as of the date of the administration. As part of the administration, the company agreed to acquire seven vessels from the subsidiary (in exchange for cash) and to waive certain intercompany claims. The purchase price valuation for the vessels, all but one of which were stacked, was based on independent, third party appraisals of the vessels.

The company previously reported that a subsidiary of the company is a participating employer in an industry-wide multi-employer retirement fund in the United Kingdom, known as the Merchant Navy Officers Pension Fund (MNOFF). The subsidiary that participates in the MNOFF is the entity that was placed into administration in the U.K. The MNOFF is that subsidiary's largest creditor, and has claimed as an unsecured creditor in the administration. The company believed that the administration was in the best interests of the subsidiary and its principal stakeholders, including the MNOFF. The MNOFF indicated that it did not object to the insolvency process and that, aside from asserting its claim in the subsidiary's administration and based on the company's representations of the financial status and other relevant aspects of the subsidiary, the MNOFF will not pursue the subsidiary in connection with any amounts due or which may become due to the MNOFF.

In December 2013, the administration was converted to a liquidation. That conversion allowed for an interim cash liquidation distribution to be made to the MNOFF. The conversion is not expected to have any impact on the company. The final meeting of creditors is scheduled for mid-February 2016, and the liquidation is expected to be completed in calendar 2016. The company believes that the liquidation will resolve the subsidiary's participation in the MNOFF. The company also believes that the ultimate resolution of this matter will not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Sonatide Joint Venture

As previously reported, in November 2013, a subsidiary of the company and its joint venture partner in Angola, Sonangol Holdings Lda. ("Sonangol"), executed a new joint venture agreement for their joint venture, Sonatide. The new joint venture agreement is currently effective and will expire, unless extended, two years after a new Angolan entity, which is intended to be one of the Sonatide group of companies, has been incorporated. Based on recent communications the Angolan entity is expected to be incorporated in 2016 after certain Angolan regulatory approvals have been obtained.

The challenges for the company to successfully operate in Angola remain significant. As the company has previously reported, on July 1, 2013, additional elements of new legislation (the "forex law") became effective that generally require oil companies that engage in exploration and production activities offshore Angola through governmental concessions to pay for goods and services provided by foreign exchange residents in Angolan kwanzas that are initially deposited into an Angolan bank account. The forex law also imposes documentation and other requirements on service companies such as Sonatide in order to effect payments that are denominated in currencies other than Angolan kwanzas. The forex law has resulted in substantial customer payments being made to Sonatide in Angolan kwanzas. A cumbersome payment process has burdened Tidewater's management of its cash and liquidity, because the conversion of Angolan kwanzas into U.S. dollars and the subsequent expatriation of the funds causes payment delays, additional operating costs and, through the company's 49% ownership of Sonatide, foreign exchange losses. The payment process exposes the company to further risk of currency devaluation prior to Sonatide's conversion of Angolan kwanza-denominated bank deposits to U.S. dollars and potentially additional taxes.

In response to the adoption of the new forex law, Tidewater and Sonangol negotiated and signed an agreement (the "consortium agreement") that allowed the Sonatide joint venture to enter into contracts with customers that allocate billings for services provided by Sonatide between (i) billings for local services that are provided by a foreign exchange resident (that must be paid in Angolan kwanzas), and (ii) billings for services provided offshore (that can be paid in U.S. dollars). Sonatide successfully converted select customer contracts to this split billing arrangement during the quarters ended March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2015. The consortium agreement expired in November 2015, and the parties have been discussing signing a new consortium agreement for a one year term. If the parties are unable to agree on a new consortium agreement, the parties would need to negotiate the terms of a new agreement that would continue to allow the company to receive U.S. dollar payments for services provided offshore. In addition, it is not clear if this type of contracting will be available to Sonatide over the longer term. If the company is unable to reach agreement on a new split payment arrangement, any contract entered into after the expiration of the consortium agreement may result in the receipt of 100% Angolan kwanzas, which would be subject to the challenges and risks described above. The company believes that the split payment contracts entered into with customers prior to the expiration of the consortium agreement will remain in force until their expirations.

In November 2014, the National Bank of Angola issued new regulations controlling the sale of foreign currency. These regulations generally require oil companies to channel any U.S. dollar sales they choose to make through the National Bank of Angola to buy Angolan kwanzas that are required to be used to pay for goods and services provided by foreign exchange resident oilfield service companies. These foreign exchange resident oilfield services companies, in turn, generally have a need to source U.S. dollars in order to pay for goods and services provided offshore. The regulations continue to permit tripartite agreements among oil companies, commercial banks and service companies that provide for the sale of U.S. dollars by an oil company to a commercial bank in exchange for Angolan kwanzas. These same U.S. dollars are then sold onward by the commercial bank to the service company. The implementing regulations do, however, place constraints on those tripartite agreements that did not previously exist, and the period of time that the tripartite agreements will be allowed remains uncertain. If tripartite agreements or similar arrangements are not available to service companies in Angola that have a need for U.S. dollars, then such service companies will be required to source U.S. dollars exclusively through the National Bank of Angola. Sonatide has had some success to date in negotiating tripartite agreements and it continues to work with customers, commercial banks and the National Bank of Angola in regards to utilizing these arrangements.

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015, the company collected (primarily through Sonatide) approximately \$338 million from its Angola operations, which is slightly less than the approximately \$351 million of revenue recognized for the same period. Of the \$338 million collected approximately \$159 million represented U.S. dollars received by Sonatide on behalf of the company or U.S. dollars directly received by the company from customers. The balance of \$179 million that was collected in fiscal 2015 resulted from Sonatide's converting Angolan kwanzas into U.S. dollars and subsequently expatriating the U.S. dollars to Tidewater. Additionally, the company received an approximate \$10 million dividend payment from the Sonatide joint venture during the third quarter of fiscal 2015.

For the nine months ended December 31, 2015, the company collected (primarily through Sonatide) approximately \$182 million from its Angolan operations, which exceeds by \$10 million the approximately \$172 million of revenue recognized for the same period. Of the \$182 million collected, approximately \$93 million were U.S. dollars received by Sonatide on behalf of the company or U.S. dollars directly received by the company from customers. The balance of \$89 million collected resulted from Sonatide's converting Angolan kwanza into U.S. dollars and subsequently expatriating the dollars to Tidewater. Additionally, the company received an approximate \$15 million dividend payment from the Sonatide joint venture during the third quarter of fiscal 2016. The company also reduced the due from affiliate and due to affiliate balances by approximately \$65 million during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 through netting transactions based on agreement with the joint venture.

The company believes that the process for converting Angolan kwanzas continues to function reasonably well, but the tight U.S. dollar liquidity situation continues in Angola. Sonatide continues to press its commercial banks with which it has relationships to increase the amount of U.S. dollars that are made available to Sonatide.

As of December 31, 2015, the company had approximately \$336 million in amounts due from Sonatide, with approximately half of the balance reflecting invoiced but unpaid vessel revenue related to services performed by the company through the Sonatide joint venture. Remaining amounts due to the company from Sonatide are generally supported by cash (primarily denominated in Angolan kwanzas) held by Sonatide that is pending conversion into U.S. dollars and the subsequent expatriation of such funds.

For the nine months ended December 31, 2015, Tidewater's Angolan operations generated vessel revenues of approximately \$172 million, or 22%, of its consolidated vessel revenue, from an average of approximately 66 Tidewater-owned vessels that are marketed through the Sonatide joint venture (eight of which were stacked on average during the nine months ended December 31, 2015), and, for the nine months ended December 31, 2014, generated vessel revenues of approximately \$271 million, or 23%, of consolidated vessel revenue, from an average of approximately 83 Tidewater-owned vessels (four of which were stacked on average during the nine months ended December 31, 2014).

Sonatide owns eight vessels (three of which are currently stacked) and certain other assets, in addition to earning commission income from Tidewater-owned vessels marketed through the Sonatide joint venture (owned 49% by Tidewater). In addition, as of December 31, 2015, Sonatide maintained the equivalent of approximately \$95 million of primarily Angolan kwanza-denominated deposits in Angolan banks, largely related to customer receipts that had not yet been converted to U.S. dollars, expatriated and then remitted to the company, and approximately \$1 million of U.S. dollar-denominated deposits in banks outside of Angola. As of December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, the carrying value of Tidewater's investment in the Sonatide joint venture, which is included in "Investments in, at equity, and advances to unconsolidated companies," is approximately \$43 million and \$67 million, respectively.

Due from affiliate at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015 of approximately \$336 million and \$420 million, respectively, represents cash received by Sonatide from customers and due to the company, and amounts due from customers that are expected to be remitted to the company through Sonatide. The collection of the amounts due to Sonatide from customers, and the subsequent conversion and expatriation process are subject to those risks and considerations set forth above.

Due to affiliate at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015 of approximately \$170 million and \$186 million, respectively, represents amounts due to Sonatide for commissions payable (approximately \$27 million and \$66 million, respectively) and other costs paid by Sonatide on behalf of the company.

A new presidential decree regulating maritime transportation activities was enacted in Angola in 2014. Following recent discussions with port state authorities and local counsel, the company remains uncertain whether the authorities will interpret the decree to require one hundred percent Angolan ownership of local vessel operators such as Sonatide. This interpretation may result in the need to work with Sonangol to further restructure our Sonatide joint venture and our operations in Angola. The company is seeking further clarification of the new decree. The company is exploring potential alternative structures in order to comply.

The Angolan government enacted a new statute, which came into effect on June 30, 2015, for a new levy that could impose an additional 10% surcharge on certain foreign exchange transactions. The specific details of the levy have not yet been disclosed and it is not clear if this new statute will apply to Sonatide's scope of operations. The additional surcharge has not been imposed on any Sonatide transactions to date. The company has undertaken efforts to mitigate the effects of the levy, in the event the levy does apply to Sonatide's operations, including successfully negotiating rate adjustments and termination rights with some of its customers. The company will be unlikely to completely mitigate the effects of the levy, resulting in increased costs and lower margins, if the levy is interpreted to apply to Sonatide's operations.

Management continues to explore ways to profitably participate in the Angolan market while looking for opportunities to reduce the overall level of exposure to the increased risks that the company believes currently characterize the Angolan market. Included among mitigating measures taken by the company to address these risks is the redeployment of vessels from time to time to other markets where there is adequate demand for the company's vessels. During the year ended March 31, 2015, the company redeployed vessels from its Angolan operations to other markets and also transferred vessels into its Angolan operations from other markets resulting in a net 13 vessels transferred out of Angola. Redeployment of vessels to and from Angola during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 has resulted in a net 18 vessels transferred out of Angola.

As the company considers the redeployment of additional vessels from Angola to other markets, there would likely be temporary negative financial effects associated with such redeployment, including mobilization costs and costs to redeploy Tidewater shore-based employees to other areas, in addition to lost revenues associated with potential downtime between vessel contracts. These financial impacts could, individually or in the aggregate, be material to Tidewater's results of operations and cash flows for the periods when such costs would be incurred. The recent decline in crude oil and natural gas prices, the reduction in spending expectations among E&P companies, the number of new-build

vessels which are expected to deliver within the next two years and the resulting potential overcapacity in the worldwide offshore support vessel market may exacerbate such negative financial effects, particularly if a large re-deployment were undertaken by the company in the near- to intermediate-term.

Brazilian Customs

In April 2011, two Brazilian subsidiaries of Tidewater were notified by the Customs Office in Macae, Brazil that they were jointly and severally being assessed fines of 155 million Brazilian reais (approximately \$39 million as of December 31, 2015). The assessment of these fines is for the alleged failure of these subsidiaries to obtain import licenses with respect to 17 Tidewater vessels that provided Brazilian offshore vessel services to Petrobras, the Brazilian national oil company, over a three-year period ending December 2009. After consultation with its Brazilian tax advisors, Tidewater and its Brazilian subsidiaries believe that vessels that provide services under contract to the Brazilian offshore oil and gas industry are deemed, under applicable law and regulations, to be temporarily imported into Brazil, and thus exempt from the import license requirement. The Macae Customs Office has, without a change in the underlying applicable law or regulations, taken the position that the temporary importation exemption is only available to new, and not used, goods imported into Brazil and therefore it was improper for the company to deem its vessels as being temporarily imported. The fines have been assessed based on this new interpretation of Brazilian customs law taken by the Macae Customs Office.

After consultation with its Brazilian tax advisors, the company believes that the assessment is without legal justification and that the Macae Customs Office has misinterpreted applicable Brazilian law on duties and customs. The company is vigorously contesting these fines (which it has neither paid nor accrued) and has already obtained success in the majority of cases. This has reduced the initial fines from 155 million reais down to 33 million reais (approximately \$8.3 million as of December 31, 2015). The company believes that it has a high probability of success with respect to overturning the remaining fines. The remaining fines are still subject to a secondary administrative appeals board hearing, but the company believes that its previous success will be helpful in that upcoming hearing. The company believes that the ultimate resolution of this matter will not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Nigeria Marketing Agent Litigation

In October 2012, Tidewater Inc. notified its Nigerian marketing agent, Phoenix Tide Offshore Nigeria Limited, that it was discontinuing its relationship with the marketing agent and two of its principals (H.H. The Otunba Ayora Dr. Bola Kuforiji-Olubi, OON and Olutokunbo Afolabi Kuforiji). The company entered into a new strategic relationship with a different Nigerian marketing agent that it believes will better serve the company's long term interests in Nigeria. This new strategic relationship is currently functioning as the company intended.

On March 1, 2013, Tidewater filed suit in the London Commercial Court against Phoenix Tide Offshore Nigeria Limited, its prior marketing agent for breach of the agent's obligations under contractual agreements between the parties. The alleged breach involves actions of the Nigerian marketing agent to discourage various affiliates of TOTAL S.A. from paying approximately \$16 million (including U.S. dollar denominated invoices and Naira denominated invoices which have been adjusted for the devaluation of the Naira relative to the U.S. dollar) due to Tidewater for vessel services performed in Nigeria. Shortly after the London Commercial Court filing, TOTAL commenced interpleader proceedings in Nigeria naming the Nigerian agent and the company as respondents and seeking an order which would allow TOTAL to deposit those monies with a Nigerian court for the respondents to resolve. On April 25, 2013, Tidewater filed motions in the Nigerian Federal High Court to stop the interpleader proceedings in Nigeria or alternatively stay them until the resolution of the suit filed in London. The company will continue to actively pursue the collection of those monies. On April 30, 2013, the Nigerian marketing agent filed a separate suit in the Nigerian Federal High Court naming Tidewater and certain TOTAL affiliates as defendants. The suit seeks various declarations and orders, including a claim for the monies that are subject to the above interpleader proceedings, and other relief. The company is seeking dismissal of this suit and otherwise intends to vigorously defend against the claims made. On or about December 30, 2014, the company received notice that the Nigerian marketing agent had filed an action in the Nigerian Federal High court seeking to prevent the continuation of the proceedings initiated by Tidewater in the London Commercial Court. The company intends to vigorously defend that action.

The company has not reserved for this receivable and believes that the ultimate resolution of this matter will not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Repairs to U.S. Flagged Vessels Operating Abroad

Near the end of fiscal 2015 the company became aware that it may have had compliance deficiencies in documenting and declaring upon re-entry to U.S. waters all repairs done on its U.S. flagged vessels while they were working outside the United States. When a U.S. flagged vessel operates abroad, any repairs made abroad must be declared to U.S. Customs. Duties must be paid for certain of those repairs upon return to U.S. waters. During our examination of our most recent filings with U.S. Customs, we determined that it was necessary to file amended forms with U.S. Customs. We continue to evaluate the return of other U.S. flagged vessels to the United States to determine whether it is necessary to adjust our responses in any of those instances. To the extent that further evaluation requires us to file amended entries, we do not yet know the magnitude of any duties, fines or interest associated with amending the entries for these vessels. We are committed to bolstering our processes, procedures and training to ensure that we correctly identify all repairs made abroad if and when U.S. flagged vessels return to the United States in the future.

Legal Proceedings

Various legal proceedings and claims are outstanding which arose in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability, if any, with respect to these actions, will not have a material adverse effect on the company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows

Arbitral Award for the Taking of the Company's Venezuelan Operations

On March 13, 2015, the three member tribunal constituted under the rules of the World Bank's International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes ("ICSID") awarded subsidiaries of the company compensation, including accrued interest and costs, for the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela's ("Venezuela") expropriation of the investments of those subsidiaries in Venezuela. The award, issued in accordance with the provisions of the Venezuela-Barbados Bilateral Investment Treaty ("BIT"), represented \$46.4 million for the fair market value of the company's principal Venezuelan operating subsidiary, plus interest from May 8, 2009 to the date of payment of that amount accruing at an annual rate of 4.5% compounded quarterly (\$16.1 million as of December 31, 2015) and \$2.5 million for reimbursement of legal and other costs expended by the company in connection with the arbitration. The aggregate award is therefore \$65 million as of December 31, 2015. The nature of the investments expropriated and the progress of the ICSID proceeding were previously reported by the company in prior filings.

The company is committed to taking appropriate steps to enforce and collect the award, which is enforceable in any of the 150 member states that are party to the ICSID Convention. As an initial step, the company was successful in having the award recognized and entered on March 16, 2015 as a final judgment by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. In July 2015, Venezuela applied to ICSID to annul the award and obtained a provisional stay of enforcement. In August 2015, ICSID formed an annulment committee and the first hearing of the committee took place on November 23, 2015. At that hearing, the committee heard arguments on the company's motion to lift the provisional stay of enforcement with respect to all or a substantial portion of the award during the pendency of the annulment proceedings. Even in the absence of a stay of enforcement, the company recognizes that collection of the award may present significant practical challenges, particularly in the short term. Because the award has yet to be satisfied and post-award annulment proceedings are pending, the net impact of these matters on the company cannot be reasonably estimated at this time and the company has not recognized a gain related to these matters as of December 31, 2015.

(8) FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The company measures on a recurring basis and records at fair value investments held by participants in the supplemental plan. The following table provides the fair value hierarchy for the supplemental plan assets measured at fair value as of December 31, 2015:

(In thousands)	Total	Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	Significant observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Equity securities:				
Common stock	\$ 3,497	3,497	—	—
Preferred stock	—	—	—	—
Foreign stock	258	258	—	—
American depository receipts	1,529	1,529	—	—
Preferred American depository receipts	15	15	—	—
Real estate investment trusts	74	74	—	—
Debt securities:				
Government debt securities	1,818	1,028	790	—
Open ended mutual funds	1,770	1,770	—	—
Cash and cash equivalents	306	(34)	340	—
Total	\$ 9,267	8,137	1,130	—
Other pending transactions	(195)	(195)	—	—
Total fair value of plan assets	\$ 9,072	7,942	1,130	—

The following table provides the fair value hierarchy for the plan assets measured at fair value as of March 31, 2015:

(In thousands)	Total	Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	Significant observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Equity securities:				
Common stock	\$ 3,859	3,859	—	—
Preferred stock	—	—	—	—
Foreign stock	201	201	—	—
American depository receipts	1,685	1,685	—	—
Preferred American depository receipts	15	15	—	—
Real estate investment trusts	59	59	—	—
Debt securities:				
Government debt securities	1,926	1,377	549	—
Open ended mutual funds	1,916	1,916	—	—
Cash and cash equivalents	377	72	305	—
Total	\$ 10,038	9,184	854	—
Other pending transactions	(123)	(123)	—	—
Total fair value of plan assets	\$ 9,915	9,061	854	—

Other Financial Instruments

The company's primary financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, trade receivables and trade payables with book values that are considered to be representative of their respective fair values. The company periodically utilizes derivative financial instruments to hedge against foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities, currency commitments, or to lock in desired interest rates. These transactions are generally spot or forward currency contracts or interest rate swaps that are entered into with major financial institutions. Derivative financial instruments are intended to

reduce the company's exposure to foreign currency exchange risk and interest rate risk. The company enters into derivative instruments only to the extent considered necessary to address its risk management objectives and does not use derivative contracts for speculative purposes. The derivative instruments are recorded at fair value using quoted prices and quotes obtainable from the counterparties to the derivative instruments.

Cash Equivalents. The company's cash equivalents, which are securities with maturities less than 90 days, are held in money market funds or time deposit accounts with highly rated financial institutions. The carrying value for cash equivalents is considered to be representative of its fair value due to the short duration and conservative nature of the cash equivalent investment portfolio.

Spot Derivatives. Spot derivative financial instruments are short-term in nature and generally settle within two business days. The fair value of spot derivatives approximates the carrying value due to the short-term nature of this instrument, and as a result, no gains or losses are recognized.

The company had no outstanding spot contracts at December 31, 2015. The company had two foreign exchange spot contracts outstanding at March 31, 2015, which had a notional value of \$2.3 million and settled by April 1, 2015.

Forward Derivatives. Forward derivative financial instruments are usually longer-term in nature but generally do not exceed one year. The accounting for gains or losses on forward contracts is dependent on the nature of the risk being hedged and the effectiveness of the hedge. Forward contracts are valued using counterparty quotations, and we validate the information obtained from the counterparties in calculating the ultimate fair values using the market approach and obtaining broker quotations. As such, these derivative contracts are classified as Level 2.

At December 31, 2015, the company had 14 Norwegian kroner (NOK) forward contracts outstanding, which are generally intended to hedge the company's foreign exchange exposure relating to its NOK denominated notes payable as disclosed in Note (5). The forward contracts have expiration dates between January 2016 and November 2016. The combined change in fair value of the forward contracts was \$1.8 million, all of which was recorded as a foreign exchange loss during the nine months ended December 31, 2015, because the forward contracts did not qualify as hedge instruments. All changes in fair value of the forward contracts were recorded in earnings. The company did not have any forward contracts outstanding at March 31, 2015.

The following table provides the fair value hierarchy for the company's other financial instruments measured as of December 31, 2015:

(In thousands)	Total	Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	Significant observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Money market cash equivalents	\$ 1,507	1,507	—	—
Total fair value of assets	\$ 1,507	1,507	—	—

The following table provides the fair value hierarchy for the company's other financial instruments measured as of March 31, 2015:

(In thousands)	Total	Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	Significant observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Money market cash equivalents	\$ 3,007	3,007	—	—
Total fair value of assets	\$ 3,007	3,007	—	—

For disclosures related to assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis refer to Note (15).

(9) OTHER CURRENT ASSETS, OTHER ASSETS, ACCRUED EXPENSES, OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES AND OTHER LIABILITIES AND DEFERRED CREDITS

A summary of other current assets at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015 is as follows:

(In thousands)	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2015
Deposits on vessel construction options (A)	\$ 44,748	—
Deposits - general	7,017	7,381
Prepaid expenses	9,054	10,400
	<u>\$ 60,819</u>	<u>17,781</u>

(A) Refer to Note (7) for additional discussion regarding the vessels under construction with option agreements.

A summary of other assets at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015 is as follows:

(In thousands)	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2015
Recoverable insurance losses	\$ 9,682	10,468
Deferred income tax assets	31,136	19,004
Deferred finance charges – revolver	7,125	7,396
Savings plans and supplemental plan	14,984	23,208
Other	19,423	15,120
	<u>\$ 82,350</u>	<u>75,196</u>

A summary of accrued expenses at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015 is as follows:

(In thousands)	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2015
Payroll and related payables	\$ 22,405	32,041
Commissions payable (A)	7,197	8,282
Accrued vessel expenses	53,414	79,549
Accrued interest expense	4,791	14,514
Other accrued expenses	8,797	11,869
	<u>\$ 96,604</u>	<u>146,255</u>

(B) Excludes \$27.3 million and \$46.3 million of commissions due to Sonatide at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, respectively. These amounts are included in amounts due to affiliate.

A summary of other current liabilities at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015 is as follows:

(In thousands)	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2015
Taxes payable	\$ 41,480	56,620
Deferred gain on vessel sales - current	24,403	25,057
Other	370	784
	<u>\$ 66,253</u>	<u>82,461</u>

A summary of other liabilities and deferred credits at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015 is as follows:

(In thousands)	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2015
Postretirement benefits liability	\$ 20,651	23,018
Pension liabilities	42,773	41,279
Deferred gain on vessel sales	118,420	136,238
Other	28,395	34,573
	<u>\$ 210,239</u>	<u>235,108</u>

(10) ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

From time to time new accounting pronouncements are issued by the FASB that are adopted by the company as of the specified effective date. Unless otherwise discussed, management believes that the impact of recently issued standards, which are not yet effective, will not have a material impact on the company's consolidated financial statements upon adoption.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*. ASU 2014-09 supersedes prior revenue recognition guidance and provides a five step recognition framework that will require entities to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of goods and services. In July 2015, the FASB permitted early adoption and deferred the effective date of this guidance one year, therefore, it will be effective for the company in the first quarter of fiscal 2019 and may be implemented retrospectively to all years presented or in the period of adoption through a cumulative adjustment. The company believes that the impact of the implementation of this new guidance on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures will not be significant.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, *Interest-Imputation of Interest: Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issue Costs* which requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. The recognition and measurement guidance for debt issuance costs are not affected by this guidance. This new guidance is effective for the company in the first quarter of fiscal 2017. The company believes that the impact of the implementation of this new guidance on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures will not be significant.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, *Consolidation – Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis*, which affects reporting entities that are required to evaluate whether certain legal entities should be consolidated. The ASU modifies the evaluation of whether limited partnerships and similar legal entities are variable interest entities ("VIEs") or voting interest entities, eliminates the presumption that a general partner should consolidate a limited partnership and affects the consolidation analysis of reporting entities that are involved with VIEs, particularly those that have fee arrangements and related party relationships. This new guidance is effective for the company in the first quarter of fiscal 2017. The company is in the process of evaluating whether adoption of this update will have a material impact on its financial statements.

(11) SEGMENT AND GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF OPERATIONS

The following table provides a comparison of segment revenues, vessel operating profit, depreciation and amortization, and additions to properties and equipment for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. Vessel revenues and operating costs relate to vessels owned and operated by the company while other operating revenues relate to the activities of the remotely operated vehicles (ROVs), brokered vessels and other miscellaneous marine-related businesses.

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Revenues:				
Vessel revenues:				
Americas	\$ 75,963	134,554	279,345	388,550
Asia/Pacific	19,144	35,046	79,254	121,284
Middle East/North Africa	40,184	55,925	132,786	160,301
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe	77,617	152,601	283,967	480,453
	212,908	378,126	775,352	1,150,588
Other operating revenues	5,283	9,428	19,536	20,167
	\$ 218,191	387,554	794,888	1,170,755
Vessel operating profit (loss):				
Americas	\$ 9,289	33,784	41,940	100,770
Asia/Pacific	(3,796)	2,621	4,122	9,064
Middle East/North Africa	5,849	12,408	21,524	31,568
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe	(2,079)	34,120	2,459	113,168
	9,263	82,933	70,045	254,570
Other operating loss	(626)	(1,032)	(3,120)	(5,548)
	8,637	81,901	66,925	249,022
Corporate general and administrative expenses	(7,150)	(9,411)	(25,096)	(30,686)
Corporate depreciation	(1,629)	(834)	(4,772)	(2,486)
Corporate expenses	(8,779)	(10,245)	(29,868)	(33,172)
Gain on asset dispositions, net	5,883	4,699	19,345	13,092
Asset impairments (A)	(15,141)	(6,236)	(61,771)	(8,096)
Goodwill impairment	—	(283,699)	—	(283,699)
Restructuring charge (B)	—	—	(7,586)	—
Operating loss	\$ (9,400)	(213,580)	(12,955)	(62,853)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(469)	4,334	(3,758)	8,453
Equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated companies	(1,710)	—	(7,070)	9,104
Interest income and other, net	609	434	1,754	1,555
Interest and other debt costs, net	(13,312)	(12,239)	(39,741)	(37,927)
Loss before income taxes	\$ (24,282)	(221,051)	(61,770)	(81,668)
Depreciation and amortization:				
Americas	\$ 12,029	11,825	36,311	35,623
Asia/Pacific	5,803	4,731	16,503	13,538
Middle East/North Africa	6,992	7,016	21,103	20,383
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe	17,600	18,067	54,084	55,494
	42,424	41,639	128,001	125,038
Other	1,369	858	4,285	2,626
Corporate	1,629	834	4,772	2,486
	\$ 45,422	43,331	137,058	130,150
Additions to properties and equipment:				
Americas	\$ 2,064	32,421	44,118	64,057
Asia/Pacific	360	44,983	2,069	68,193
Middle East/North Africa	127	424	776	1,659
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe	460	823	1,827	14,736
	3,011	78,651	48,790	148,645
Other	26	10,206	113	18,931
Corporate (C)	8,872	14,198	103,467	67,678
	\$ 11,909	103,055	152,370	235,254

(A) Refer to Note (15) for additional information regarding asset impairment charges.

(B) Refer to Note (14) for additional information regarding the restructuring charge.

(C) Included in Corporate are additions to properties and equipment relating to vessels currently under construction which have not yet been assigned to a non-corporate reporting segment as of the dates presented .

The following table provides a comparison of total assets at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015:

(In thousands)	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2015
Total assets:		
Americas	\$ 1,132,305	1,016,133
Asia/Pacific	515,380	506,265
Middle East/North Africa	591,657	666,983
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe	1,871,941	2,064,010
	4,111,283	4,253,391
Other	45,789	49,554
	4,157,072	4,302,945
Investments in, at equity, and advances to unconsolidated companies	45,663	65,844
	4,202,735	4,368,789
Corporate (A)	277,780	387,373
	\$ 4,480,515	4,756,162

(A) Included in Corporate are vessels currently under construction which have not yet been assigned to a non-corporate reporting segment. A vessel's construction costs are reported in Corporate until the earlier of the date the vessels is assigned to a non-corporate reporting segment or the date it is delivered. At December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, \$150.1 million and \$235.2 million, respectively, of vessel construction costs are included in Corporate.

The following table discloses the amount of revenue by segment, and in total for the worldwide fleet, along with the respective percentage of total vessel revenue for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

Revenue by vessel class (In thousands)	Quarter Ended December 31,				Nine Months Ended December 31,			
	2015	%	2014	%	2015	%	2014	%
Americas fleet:								
Deepwater	\$ 49,792	23%	94,298	25%	191,720	25%	267,983	23%
Towing-supply	22,254	11%	33,607	9%	75,890	10%	97,511	9%
Other	3,917	2%	6,649	2%	11,735	1%	23,056	2%
Total	\$ 75,963	36%	134,554	36%	279,345	36%	388,550	34%
Asia/Pacific fleet:								
Deepwater	\$ 13,267	6%	20,575	5%	56,535	7%	72,492	6%
Towing-supply	5,877	3%	13,487	4%	22,719	3%	45,862	4%
Other	—	—	984	<1%	—	—	2,930	<1%
Total	\$ 19,144	9%	35,046	9%	79,254	10%	121,284	10%
Middle East/North Africa fleet:								
Deepwater	\$ 17,690	9%	25,615	7%	58,845	8%	64,336	6%
Towing-supply	21,795	10%	29,441	8%	71,898	9%	93,435	8%
Other	699	<1%	869	<1%	2,043	<1%	2,530	<1%
Total	\$ 40,184	19%	55,925	15%	132,786	17%	160,301	14%
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe fleet:								
Deepwater	\$ 30,361	14%	81,129	21%	124,282	16%	262,013	23%
Towing-supply	35,186	16%	52,532	14%	118,490	15%	162,585	14%
Other	12,070	6%	18,940	5%	41,195	6%	55,855	5%
Total	\$ 77,617	36%	152,601	40%	283,967	37%	480,453	42%
Worldwide fleet:								
Deepwater	\$ 111,110	52%	221,617	58%	431,382	56%	666,824	58%
Towing-supply	85,112	40%	129,067	35%	288,997	37%	399,393	35%
Other	16,686	8%	27,442	7%	54,973	7%	84,371	7%
Total	\$ 212,908	100%	378,126	100%	775,352	100%	1,150,588	100%

(12) GOODWILL

The company historically performed its annual goodwill impairment test at the reporting unit level using carrying amounts as of December 31 or more frequently if events and circumstances indicated that goodwill might be impaired.

During the quarter ended December, 31, 2014 the company performed its annual goodwill impairment assessment and determined that the rapid and significant decline in crude oil and natural gas prices (which occurred and accelerated throughout the latter part of the company's third quarter of fiscal 2015), and the expected short to intermediate term effect that the downturn might have on levels of exploration and production activity would likely have a negative effect on average day rates and utilization levels of the company's vessels. Expected future cash flow analyses using the projected average day rates and utilization levels in this new commodity pricing environment were included in the company's valuation models and indicated that the fair values of the Americas and Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe reporting units were less than their respective carrying values. A goodwill impairment charge of \$283.7 million, to write-off the company's remaining goodwill, was recorded during the quarter ended December 31, 2014.

Goodwill by reportable segment at December 31, 2015 and 2014 is as follows:

(In thousands)	March 31, 2015	Goodwill acquired	Impairments	December 31, 2015
Americas	\$ —	—	—	—
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe	—	—	—	—
Total carrying amount (A)	\$ —	—	—	—

(In thousands)	March 31, 2014	Goodwill acquired	Impairments	December 31, 2014
Americas	\$ 114,237	—	114,237	—
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe	169,462	—	169,462	—
Total carrying amount (B)	\$ 283,699	—	283,699	—

- (A) The total carrying amount of goodwill at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2015 is net of accumulated impairment charges of \$370.9 million.
(B) The total carrying amount of goodwill at March 31, 2014 is net of accumulated impairment charges \$30.9 million and \$56.3 million related to the Middle East/North Africa and Asia/Pacific segments, respectively.

(13) SALE/LEASEBACK ARRANGEMENTS

As of December 31, 2015, the future minimum lease payments for vessels under operating lease terms are as follows:

Fiscal year ending (In thousands)	Fiscal 2015 Sale/Leaseback	Fiscal 2014 Sale/Leaseback	Total
Remaining three months of 2016	\$ 2,371	5,220	7,591
2017	9,485	20,879	30,364
2018	9,604	23,485	33,089
2019	10,234	24,800	35,034
2020	11,497	25,519	37,016
Thereafter	30,866	39,744	70,610
Total future lease payments	\$ 74,057	139,647	213,704

Included in gain on asset dispositions, net for the quarter and nine months ended December 31, 2015, respectively, are \$5.8 million and \$17.5 million of deferred gains from sale leaseback transactions. During the quarter and nine months ended December 31, 2014, the company recognized \$4.8 million and \$17.5 million of deferred gains from sale leaseback transactions which are also included in gain on asset dispositions, net.

(14) RESTRUCTURING CHARGE

In the second quarter of fiscal 2016 the company's management continued to restructure its operations worldwide to reduce operating and general and administrative costs as a result of the continuing decline in oil prices and the resulting softening demand for the company's vessels, and several contract cancellations (particularly in regards to the company's Brazil operations). This plan consists of select employee terminations and early retirements that are intended to eliminate redundant or unneeded positions, reduce costs, and better align our workforce with anticipated lower activity levels in the geographic areas in which the company presently operates. In connection with these efforts, the company recognized a \$7.6 million restructuring charge during the quarter ended September 30, 2015. Although no payments were made related to this charge as of September 30, 2015, the company paid \$5.8 million during the quarter ended December 31, 2015 .

Measures taken during the second quarter include the transfer and stacking of vessels from the company's Australian and Brazilian operations. Such vessel stackings resulted in the termination of mariners who were entitled to severance payments under the terms of the enterprise bargaining agreements and in accordance with Australian and Brazilian labor laws.

Restructuring charges incurred by segment and cost type for the quarter and nine month periods ended December 31, 2015:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended December 31, 2015	Nine Months Ended December 31, 2015
Americas:		
Crew costs	\$ —	3,410
Other vessel costs	—	203
Asia/Pacific:		
Crew costs	—	3,973
Total restructuring charges	\$ —	7,586

(15) ASSET IMPAIRMENTS

The company reviews the vessels in its active fleet for impairment whenever events occur or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset group may not be recoverable. In such evaluation, the estimated future undiscounted cash flows generated by an asset group are compared with the carrying amount of the asset group to determine if a write-down may be required. With respect to vessels that are expected to remain in active service, we group together for impairment testing purposes vessels with similar operating and marketing characteristics. We also subdivide our groupings of assets with similar operating and marketing characteristics between our older vessels and newer vessels.

The company estimates cash flows based upon historical data adjusted for the company's best estimate of expected future market performance, which, in turn, is based on industry trends. If an asset group fails the undiscounted cash flow test, the company estimates the fair value of each asset group and compares such estimated fair value, considered Level 3, as defined by ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, to the carrying value of each asset group in order to determine if impairment exists. If an asset group fails the undiscounted cash flow test, management derives the fair value of the asset group through making estimates of fair value for each vessel in the group, considering items such as age, vessel class supply and demand, and recent sales of similar vessels among other factors and for more significant vessel carrying values we may obtain third-party appraisals for use by management in determining a vessel's fair value. If impairment exists, the carrying value of the asset group is reduced to its estimated fair value.

The primary estimates and assumptions used in reviewing active vessel groups for impairment and estimating undiscounted cash flows include utilization rates, average dayrates, and average daily operating expenses. These estimates are made based on recent actual trends in utilization, dayrates and operating costs and reflect management's best estimate of expected market conditions during the period of future cash flows. These assumptions and estimates have changed considerably as market conditions have changed, and they are reasonably likely to continue to change as market conditions change in the future. Although the company believes its assumptions and estimates are reasonable, deviations from the assumptions and estimates could produce materially different results. Management estimates may vary considerably from actual outcomes due to future adverse market conditions or poor operating results that could result in the inability to recover the current carrying value of an asset group, thereby possibly requiring an impairment charge in the future. As the company's fleet continues to age, management closely monitors the estimates and assumptions used in the impairment analysis in order to properly identify evolving trends and changes in market conditions that could impact the results of the impairment evaluation.

In addition to the periodic review of its active long-lived assets for impairment when circumstances warrant, the company also performs a review of its stacked vessels not expected to return to active service every six months or whenever changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a vessel may not be recoverable. Management estimates the fair value of each vessel not expected to return to active service (considered Level 3, as defined by ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures) by considering items such as the vessel's age, length of time stacked, likelihood of a return to active service, actual recent sales of similar vessels, among others. For more significant vessel carrying values, we obtain an estimate of the fair value of the stacked vessel from third-party appraisers or brokers for use in our determination of fair value estimates. The company records an impairment charge when the carrying value of a stacked vessel not expected to return to active service exceeds its estimated fair value. The estimates of fair value of stacked vessels are also subject to significant variability, are sensitive to changes in market conditions, and are reasonably likely to change in the future.

Asset impairments recognized for the quarter and nine months ended December 31, 2015 increased \$8.9 million and \$53.7 million, respectively, from the same periods of fiscal 2015, primarily due to a decline in offshore support vessel values as a result of the decrease in the volume of oil and gas exploration, field development and production spending by our customers. During the third quarter of fiscal 2016 the company recognized impairments to the stacked vessel fleet of \$15.2 million. During the first nine months of fiscal 2016 the company recognized impairments to stacked vessels fleet of \$55.5 million, a \$3 million impairment to active vessels, a \$2.4 million impairment related to a vessel under construction that is currently the subject of an arbitration proceeding in Brazil (so as to reduce the carrying value of this vessel to the amount that is covered by third party credit support) and, a \$0.8 million impairment related to the cancellation of vessel construction contracts.

The below table summarizes the combined fair value of the assets that incurred impairments during the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, along with the amount of impairment.

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Amount of impairment incurred	\$ 15,141	6,236	61,771	8,096
Combined fair value of assets incurring impairment	90,010	3,914	244,310	4,634

16) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In January 2016, the exchange rate of the Angolan kwanza versus the U.S. dollar was devalued from a ratio of approximately 135 to 1 to a ratio of approximately 158 to 1, or approximately 17%. Based on Angolan kwanza denominated balance sheet accounts at December 31, 2015, and an Angolan kwanza to U.S. dollar exchange ratio of 158 to 1, Sonatide will recognize a further exchange loss estimated to be approximately \$17 million. The company will recognize 49% of the total foreign exchange loss, or approximately \$8 million through equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated companies.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Tidewater Inc.

New Orleans, Louisiana

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed, consolidated balance sheet of Tidewater Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2015, and the related condensed, consolidated statements of earnings and comprehensive income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, and of cash flows and statement of equity for the nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to such condensed, consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Tidewater Inc. and subsidiaries as of March 31, 2015, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated May 28, 2015, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed, consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2015 is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New Orleans, Louisiana

February 3, 2016

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENT

In accordance with the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the company notes that this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and the information incorporated herein by reference contain certain forward-looking statements which reflect the company's current view with respect to future events and future financial performance. Forward-looking statements are all statements other than statements of historical fact. All such forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties, and the company's future results of operations could differ materially from its historical results or current expectations reflected by such forward-looking statements. Some of these risks are discussed in this report and include, without limitation, volatility in worldwide energy demand and oil and gas prices; and the potential long-term effects of a depressed level of oil and gas prices; consolidation of our customer base; fleet additions by competitors and industry overcapacity; our views with respect to the need for and timing of the replenishment of our asset base, including through acquisitions or vessel construction; changes in capital spending by customers in the energy industry for offshore exploration, field development and production; loss of a major customer; changing customer demands for vessel specifications, which may make some of our older vessels technologically obsolete for certain customer projects or in certain markets; delays and other problems associated with vessel construction and maintenance; uncertainty of global financial market conditions and difficulty in accessing credit or capital; acts of terrorism and piracy; integration of acquired businesses and entry into new lines of business; disagreements with our joint venture partners; significant weather conditions; unsettled political conditions, war, civil unrest and governmental actions, such as expropriation or enforcement of customs or other laws that are not well developed or consistently enforced, or requirements that services provided locally be paid in local currency, in each case especially in higher political risk countries where we operate; foreign currency fluctuations; labor changes proposed by international conventions; increased regulatory burdens and oversight; changes in laws governing the taxation of foreign source income; retention of skilled workers; and enforcement of laws related to the environment, labor and foreign corrupt practices.

Forward-looking statements, which can generally be identified by the use of such terminology as "may," "can," "potential," "expect," "project," "target," "anticipate," "estimate," "forecast," "believe," "think," "could," "continue," "intend," "seek," "plan," and similar expressions contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, are not guarantees of future performance or events. Any forward-looking statements are based on the company's assessment of current industry, financial and economic information, which by its nature is dynamic and subject to rapid and possibly abrupt changes, which the company may or may not be able to control. Further, the company may make changes to its business plans that could or will affect its results. While management believes that these forward-looking statements are reasonable when made, there can be no assurance that future developments that affect us will be those that we anticipate and have identified. The forward-looking statements should be considered in the context of the risk factors listed above and discussed in Item 1A included in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2015, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on May 28, 2015, as updated by subsequent filings with the SEC. Investors and prospective investors are cautioned not to rely unduly on such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. Management disclaims any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect new information, future events or developments.

In certain places in this report, we may refer to reports published by third parties that purport to describe trends or developments in energy production and drilling and exploration activity. The company does so for the convenience of our investors and potential investors and in an effort to provide information available in the market that will lead to a better understanding of the market environment in which the company operates. The company specifically disclaims any responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of such information reports and undertakes no obligation to update such information.

The following information contained in this Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report and related disclosures and the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2015, filed with the SEC on May 28, 2015.

About Tidewater

The company's vessels and associated vessel services provide support of all phases of offshore exploration, field development and production. These services include towing of, and anchor handling for, mobile offshore drilling units; transporting supplies and personnel necessary to sustain drilling, workover and production activities; offshore construction, remotely operated vehicle (ROV) operations, and seismic and subsea support; and a variety of specialized

services such as pipe and cable laying. The company's offshore support vessel fleet includes vessels that are operated under joint ventures, as well as vessels that have been stacked or withdrawn from service. At December 31, 2015, the company owned or chartered 269 vessels (excluding nine joint venture vessels, but including 70 stacked vessels) and eight ROVs available to serve the global energy industry.

The company has one of the broadest geographic operating footprints in the offshore energy industry with operations in most of the world's significant offshore crude oil and natural gas exploration and production offshore regions. Our global operating footprint allows us to react quickly to changing local market conditions and to respond to the changing requirements of the many customers with which we believe we have strong relationships. The company is also one of the most experienced international operators in the offshore energy industry with over five decades of international experience.

Principal Factors That Drive Our Revenues

The company's revenues, net earnings and cash flows from operations are largely dependent upon the activity level (utilization) of our offshore support vessel fleet and average day rates that we earn from chartering our vessels and providing related vessel services. Overall business activity for offshore support vessels is largely dependent on the level of offshore oil and gas exploration, field development and production activity of our customers. Our customers' business activity, in turn, is dependent on crude oil and natural gas prices, which fluctuate depending on expected future levels of supply and demand for crude oil and natural gas, and on estimates of the cost to find, develop and produce reserves. For more discussion on factors that influence our revenues, see "Macroeconomic Environment and Outlook" in this Item.

The offshore support vessel industry is highly competitive and the company's revenues in all segments are dependent upon the company's ability to maintain a substantial fleet of vessels that are modern and efficient. Because a sizeable portion of the company's operating costs and its depreciation does not change proportionally with changes in revenue, the company's operating profit is largely dependent on revenue levels.

Principal Factors That Drive Our Operating Costs

Operating costs consist primarily of crew costs, repair and maintenance costs, insurance costs and loss reserves, fuel, lube oil and supplies costs, and other vessel operating costs.

Fleet size, fleet composition, geographic areas of operation, supply and demand for marine personnel, and local labor requirements are the major factors which affect overall crew costs in all segments. In addition, the company's newer, more technologically sophisticated vessels generally require a greater number of specially trained, more highly compensated fleet personnel than the company's older, smaller and less sophisticated vessels. The delivery of new-build offshore rigs and support vessels currently under construction may further increase the number of technologically sophisticated offshore rigs and support vessels operating worldwide. Crew costs may continue to increase as competition for skilled personnel intensifies, though a weaker offshore energy market should somewhat mitigate the upward trend in crew costs experienced in recent years. Overall labor costs will also be impacted by the company's operation of remotely operated vehicles (ROVs), which generally require more highly compensated personnel than the company's existing fleet.

The timing and amount of repair and maintenance costs are influenced by expectations of future customer demand for our vessels, as well as vessel age and drydockings and other major repairs and maintenance mandated by regulatory agencies. A certain number of periodic drydockings are required to meet regulatory requirements. The company will generally drydock a vessel and undertake other major repairs and maintenance costs only if economically justified, taking into consideration the vessel's age, physical condition, contractual obligations, current customer requirements and future marketability. When the company elects to forego a required regulatory drydock or other major repairs and maintenance, it stacks and occasionally sells the vessel because it is not permitted to work without valid regulatory certifications. When the company drydocks a productive vessel, the company not only foregoes vessel revenues and incurs drydocking and other major repairs and maintenance costs, but it also generally continues to incur vessel operating and depreciation costs. In any given period, vessel downtime associated with drydockings and major repairs and maintenance can have a significant impact on the company's revenues and operating costs.

At times, major repairs and maintenance and drydockings take on an increased significance to the company and its financial performance. Older vessels may require frequent and expensive repairs and maintenance. Newer vessels (generally those built after 2000), which now account for an overwhelming majority of the company's revenues and vessel margin (vessel revenues less vessel operating costs), can also require expensive major repairs and maintenance, even in the early years of a vessel's useful life, due to the larger relative size and greater relative complexity of these vessels.

Conversely, when the company stacks vessels, repair and maintenance expense in any period could decline. The combination of these factors can create volatility in period to period repairs and maintenance expense, and incrementally increase the volatility of the company's revenues and operating income, thus making period-to-period comparisons of financial results more difficult.

Although the company attempts to efficiently manage its major repairs and maintenance and drydocking schedule, changes in the demand for (and supply of) shipyard services can result in heavy workloads at shipyards and inflationary pressure on shipyard pricing. In recent years, increases in major repair and maintenance and drydocking costs and days off hire (due to vessels being drydocked) have contributed to volatility in repair and maintenance costs and vessel revenue. During this current industry down cycle the company has increased the number of vessel stackings thereby deferring drydocking and major repairs and maintenance costs in order to reduce vessel operating costs.

Insurance costs and loss reserves are dependent on a variety of factors, including the company's safety record and pricing in the insurance markets, and can fluctuate over time. The company's vessels are generally insured for up to their estimated fair market value in order to cover damage or loss resulting from marine casualties, adverse weather conditions, mechanical failure, collisions, and property losses to the vessel. The company also purchases coverage for potential liabilities stemming from third-party losses with limits that it believes are reasonable for its operations. Insurance limits are reviewed annually, and third-party coverage is purchased based on the expected scope of ongoing operations and the cost of third-party coverage.

Fuel, lube and supplies costs can also fluctuate in any given period depending on the number and distance of vessel mobilizations, the number of active vessels off charter, drydockings, and changes in fuel prices.

The company also incurs vessel operating costs that are aggregated as "other" vessel operating costs. These costs consist of brokers' commissions, including commissions paid to unconsolidated joint venture companies, training costs and other miscellaneous costs. Brokers' commissions are incurred primarily in the company's non-United States operations where brokers sometimes assist in obtaining work for the company's vessels. Brokers generally are paid a percentage of day rates and, accordingly, commissions paid to brokers generally fluctuate in accordance with vessel revenue. Other costs include, but are not limited to, satellite communication fees, agent fees, port fees, canal transit fees, vessel certification fees, temporary vessel importation fees and any fines or penalties.

Sonatide Joint Venture

As previously reported, in November 2013, a subsidiary of the company and its joint venture partner in Angola, Sonangol Holdings Lda. ("Sonangol"), executed a new joint venture agreement for their joint venture, Sonatide. The new joint venture agreement is currently effective and will expire, unless extended, two years after a new Angolan entity, which is intended to be one of the Sonatide group of companies, has been incorporated. Based on recent communications the Angolan entity is expected to be incorporated in 2016 after certain Angolan regulatory approvals have been obtained.

The challenges for the company to successfully operate in Angola remain significant. As the company has previously reported, on July 1, 2013, additional elements of new legislation (the "forex law") became effective that generally require oil companies that engage in exploration and production activities offshore Angola through governmental concessions to pay for goods and services provided by foreign exchange residents in Angolan kwanzas that are initially deposited into an Angolan bank account. The forex law also imposes documentation and other requirements on service companies such as Sonatide in order to effect payments that are denominated in currencies other than Angolan kwanzas. The forex law has resulted in substantial customer payments being made to Sonatide in Angolan kwanzas. A cumbersome payment process has burdened Tidewater's management of its cash and liquidity, because the conversion of Angolan kwanzas into U.S. dollars and the subsequent expatriation of the funds causes payment delays, additional operating costs and, through the company's 49% ownership of Sonatide, foreign exchange losses. The payment process exposes the company to further risk of currency devaluation prior to Sonatide's conversion of Angolan kwanza-denominated bank deposits to U.S. dollars and potentially additional taxes.

In response to the adoption of the new forex law, Tidewater and Sonangol negotiated and signed an agreement (the "consortium agreement") that allowed the Sonatide joint venture to enter into contracts with customers that allocate billings for services provided by Sonatide between (i) billings for local services that are provided by a foreign exchange resident (that must be paid in Angolan kwanzas), and (ii) billings for services provided offshore (that can be paid in U.S. dollars). Sonatide successfully converted select customer contracts to this split billing arrangement during the quarters ended March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2015. The consortium agreement expired in November 2015, and the parties have been discussing signing a

new consortium agreement for a one year term. If the parties are unable to agree on a new consortium agreement, the parties would need to negotiate the terms of a new agreement that would continue to allow the company to receive U.S. dollar payments for services provided offshore. In addition, it is not clear if this type of contracting will be available to Sonatide over the longer term. If the company is unable to reach agreement on a new split payment arrangement, any contract entered into after the expiration of the consortium agreement may result in the receipt of 100% Angolan kwanzas, which would be subject to the challenges and risks described above. The company believes that the split payment contracts entered into with customers prior to the expiration of the consortium agreement will remain in force until their expirations.

In November 2014, the National Bank of Angola issued new regulations controlling the sale of foreign currency. These regulations generally require oil companies to channel any U.S. dollar sales they choose to make through the National Bank of Angola to buy Angolan kwanzas that are required to be used to pay for goods and services provided by foreign exchange resident oilfield service companies. These foreign exchange resident oilfield services companies, in turn, generally have a need to source U.S. dollars in order to pay for goods and services provided offshore. The regulations continue to permit tripartite agreements among oil companies, commercial banks and service companies that provide for the sale of U.S. dollars by an oil company to a commercial bank in exchange for Angolan kwanzas. These same U.S. dollars are then sold onward by the commercial bank to the service company. The implementing regulations do, however, place constraints on those tripartite agreements that did not previously exist, and the period of time that the tripartite agreements will be allowed remains uncertain. If tripartite agreements or similar arrangements are not available to service companies in Angola that have a need for U.S. dollars, then such service companies will be required to source U.S. dollars exclusively through the National Bank of Angola. Sonatide has had some success to date in negotiating tripartite agreements and it continues to work with customers, commercial banks and the National Bank of Angola in regards to utilizing these arrangements.

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015, the company collected (primarily through Sonatide) approximately \$338 million from its Angola operations, which is slightly less than the approximately \$351 million of revenue recognized for the same period. Of the \$338 million collected approximately \$159 million represented U.S. dollars received by Sonatide on behalf of the company or U.S. dollars directly received by the company from customers. The balance of \$179 million that was collected in fiscal 2015 resulted from Sonatide's converting Angolan kwanzas into U.S. dollars and subsequently expatriating the U.S. dollars to Tidewater. Additionally, the company received an approximate \$10 million dividend payment from the Sonatide joint venture during the third quarter of fiscal 2015.

For the nine months ended December 31, 2015, the company collected (primarily through Sonatide) approximately \$182 million from its Angolan operations, which exceeds by \$10 million the approximately \$172 million of revenue recognized for the same period. Of the \$182 million collected, approximately \$93 million were U.S. dollars received by Sonatide on behalf of the company or U.S. dollars directly received by the company from customers. The balance of \$89 million collected resulted from Sonatide's converting Angolan kwanza into U.S. dollars and subsequently expatriating the dollars to Tidewater. Additionally, the company received an approximate \$15 million dividend payment from the Sonatide joint venture during the third quarter of fiscal 2016. The company also reduced the due from affiliate and due to affiliate balances by approximately \$65 million during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 through netting transactions based on agreement with the joint venture.

The company believes that the process for converting Angolan kwanzas continues to function reasonably well, but the tight U.S. dollar liquidity situation continues in Angola. Sonatide continues to press its commercial banks with which it has relationships to increase the amount of U.S. dollars that are made available to Sonatide.

As of December 31, 2015, the company had approximately \$336 million in amounts due from Sonatide, with approximately half of the balance reflecting invoiced but unpaid vessel revenue related to services performed by the company through the Sonatide joint venture. Remaining amounts due to the company from Sonatide are generally supported by cash (primarily denominated in Angolan kwanzas) held by Sonatide that is pending conversion into U.S. dollars and the subsequent expatriation of such funds.

For the nine months ended December 31, 2015, Tidewater's Angolan operations generated vessel revenues of approximately \$172 million, or 22%, of its consolidated vessel revenue, from an average of approximately 66 Tidewater-owned vessels that are marketed through the Sonatide joint venture (eight of which were stacked on average during the nine months ended December 31, 2015), and, for the nine months ended December 31, 2014, generated vessel revenues of approximately \$271 million, or 23%, of consolidated vessel revenue, from an average of approximately 83 Tidewater-owned vessels (four of which were stacked on average during the nine months ended December 31, 2014).

Sonatide owns eight vessels (three of which are currently stacked) and certain other assets, in addition to earning commission income from Tidewater-owned vessels marketed through the Sonatide joint venture (owned 49% by Tidewater). In addition, as of December 31, 2015, Sonatide maintained the equivalent of approximately \$95 million of primarily Angolan kwanza-denominated deposits in Angolan banks, largely related to customer receipts that had not yet been converted to U.S. dollars, expatriated and then remitted to the company, and approximately \$1 million of U.S. dollar-denominated deposits in banks outside of Angola. As of December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, the carrying value of Tidewater's investment in the Sonatide joint venture, which is included in "Investments in, at equity, and advances to unconsolidated companies," is approximately \$43 million and \$67 million, respectively.

Due from affiliate at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015 of approximately \$336 million and \$420 million, respectively, represents cash received by Sonatide from customers and due to the company, and amounts due from customers that are expected to be remitted to the company through Sonatide. The collection of the amounts due to Sonatide from customers, and the subsequent conversion and expatriation process are subject to those risks and considerations set forth above.

Due to affiliate at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015 of approximately \$170 million and \$186 million, respectively, represents amounts due to Sonatide for commissions payable (approximately \$27 million and \$66 million, respectively) and other costs paid by Sonatide on behalf of the company.

A new presidential decree regulating maritime transportation activities was enacted in Angola in 2014. Following recent discussions with port state authorities and local counsel, the company remains uncertain whether the authorities will interpret the decree to require one hundred percent Angolan ownership of local vessel operators such as Sonatide. This interpretation may result in the need to work with Sonangol to further restructure our Sonatide joint venture and our operations in Angola. The company is seeking further clarification of the new decree. The company is exploring potential alternative structures in order to comply.

The Angolan government enacted a new statute, which came into effect on June 30, 2015, for a new levy that could impose an additional 10% surcharge on certain foreign exchange transactions. The specific details of the levy have not yet been disclosed and it is not clear if this new statute will apply to Sonatide's scope of operations. The additional surcharge has not been imposed on any Sonatide transactions to date. The company has undertaken efforts to mitigate the effects of the levy, in the event the levy does apply to Sonatide's operations, including successfully negotiating rate adjustments and termination rights with some of its customers. The company will be unlikely to completely mitigate the effects of the levy, resulting in increased costs and lower margins, if the levy is interpreted to apply to Sonatide's operations.

Management continues to explore ways to profitably participate in the Angolan market while looking for opportunities to reduce the overall level of exposure to the increased risks that the company believes currently characterize the Angolan market. Included among mitigating measures taken by the company to address these risks is the redeployment of vessels from time to time to other markets where there is adequate demand for the company's vessels. During the year ended March 31, 2015, the company redeployed vessels from its Angolan operations to other markets and also transferred vessels into its Angolan operations from other markets resulting in a net 13 vessels transferred out of Angola. Redeployment of vessels to and from Angola during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 has resulted in a net 18 vessels transferred out of Angola.

As the company considers the redeployment of additional vessels from Angola to other markets, there would likely be temporary negative financial effects associated with such redeployment, including mobilization costs and costs to redeploy Tidewater shore-based employees to other areas, in addition to lost revenues associated with potential downtime between vessel contracts. These financial impacts could, individually or in the aggregate, be material to Tidewater's results of operations and cash flows for the periods when such costs would be incurred. The recent decline in crude oil and natural gas prices, the reduction in spending expectations among E&P companies, the number of new-build vessels which are expected to deliver within the next two years and the resulting potential overcapacity in the worldwide offshore support vessel market may exacerbate such negative financial effects, particularly if a large re-deployment were undertaken by the company in the near- to intermediate-term.

International Labour Organization's Maritime Labour Convention

The International Labour Organization's Maritime Labour Convention, 2006 (the "Convention") mandates globally, among other things, seafarer living and working conditions (accommodations, wages, conditions of employment, health and other benefits) aboard ships that are engaged in commercial activities. Since its initial entry into force on August 20, 2013, a total of 70 countries have ratified the Convention, making for a more diverse geographic footprint of enforcement.

Accordingly, the company continues prioritizing certification of its vessels to Convention requirements based on the dates of enforcement by countries in which the company has operations, performs maintenance and repairs at shipyards, or may make port calls during ocean voyages. Once obtained, vessel certifications are maintained regardless of the area of operation. Additionally, where possible, the company continues to work with operationally identified flag states to seek substantial equivalencies to comparable national and industry laws that meet the intent of the Convention. When obtained, these substantial equivalencies, allow the company to maintain its long-standing operational protocols that meet the requirements of the Convention and mitigate changes in business processes that would offer no additional substantive benefits to crew members. As ratifications continue, the company continues to assess its global seafarer labor relationships and fleet operational practices to not only ensure compliance with the Convention but also gauge the impact of effective enforcement, the effects of which cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

Macroeconomic Environment and Outlook

The primary driver of our business (and revenues) is the level of our customers' capital and operating expenditures for offshore oil and natural gas exploration, field development and production. These expenditures, in turn, generally reflect our customers' expectations for future oil and natural gas prices, economic growth, hydrocarbon demand, estimates of current and future oil and natural gas production, the relative cost of exploring, developing and producing onshore and offshore oil and natural gas, and our customers' ability to access exploitable oil and natural gas resources. The prices of crude oil and natural gas are critical factors in our customers' investment and spending decisions, including their decisions to contract drilling rigs and offshore support vessels in support of offshore exploration, field development and production activities in the various global geographic markets, in most of which the company already operates.

After a significant decrease in the price of oil during fiscal 2015, largely due to an increase in global supply without a commensurate increase in worldwide demand, the price of crude oil has continued to decline during the quarter ended December 31, 2015 and in January 2016. Tidewater anticipates that its longer-term utilization and average day rate trends for its vessels will generally correlate with demand for, and the price of, crude oil, which during January 2016, was trading at below \$30 per barrel for West Texas Intermediate (WTI) crude and for Intercontinental Exchange (ICE) Brent crude, down from \$45 and \$48 per barrel, respectively, in October 2015 and \$48 and \$50 per barrel, respectively, in January 2015. The current pricing outlook and recent trend in crude oil prices will likely continue to suppress additional drilling and exploration activity. Current prices for WTI and ICE Brent are significantly below the average prices per barrel reportedly used in exploration and production (E&P) companies' capital expenditure budgets as reported in numerous calendar 2016 E&P spending surveys. These surveys have forecasted an overall spending reduction of 11 to 17% which includes a reduction in offshore spending of 20 to 25% as compared to calendar 2015 levels. This forecasted reduction is expected to continue a trend of decreasing E&P spending from already depressed levels in 2015. The surveys also recognize that if oil and gas prices ultimately remain below levels assumed in the E&P capital expenditure budgets for 2016, the probability of further reductions in 2016 E&P spending is very likely.

The production of unconventional gas resources in North America and the commissioning of a number of new, large, Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) export facilities around the world have also contributed to an oversupplied natural gas market. High levels of onshore gas production along with a prolonged downturn in natural gas prices would be expected over the short and intermediate term to negatively impact the offshore exploration and development plans of energy companies, which in turn would suppress demand for offshore support vessel services. The impact of lower gas prices in recent years has been most pronounced in our Americas segment and specifically in our U.S. operations where natural gas is a more prevalent, exploitable hydrocarbon resource. In January 2016, natural gas was trading in the U.S. at approximately \$2.11 per Mcf, down from the October 2015 level of \$2.65 per Mcf and the January 2015 level of \$3.15 per Mcf.

Deepwater activity is a significant segment of the global offshore crude oil and natural gas markets, and, when the commodity pricing environment improves, it could be a source of potential long-term growth for the company. Deepwater oil and gas development typically involves significant capital investment and multi-year development plans. Such projects are generally underwritten by the participating exploration, field development and production companies using relatively conservative crude oil and natural gas pricing assumptions. Although these projects are generally less susceptible to short-term fluctuations in the price of crude oil and natural gas, the recent decrease in crude oil prices has already caused, and may continue to cause, E&P companies to reevaluate their future capital expenditures in regards to deepwater projects.

Reports published by IHS-Petrodata in December 2015 indicate that the worldwide movable offshore drilling rig count is estimated at approximately 940 rigs, of which approximately 550 offshore rigs were working as of December 2015, a decrease of approximately 19%, or 125 working rigs, since the beginning of the company's 2016 fiscal year. While the

supply of, and demand for, offshore drilling rigs that meet the technical requirements of end user exploration and development companies may be key drivers of pricing for contract drilling services, the company believes that the number of rigs working offshore rather than the total population of moveable offshore drilling rigs is a better indicator of overall offshore activity levels and the demand for offshore support vessel services.

Of the estimated 940 movable offshore rigs worldwide, approximately 33%, or approximately 310 rigs, are designed to operate in deeper waters. Of the approximately 550 working offshore rigs in December 2015, approximately 185 rigs, or 34%, are designed to operate in deeper waters. As of December 2015, the number of working deepwater rigs was approximately 29% less than the number of deepwater rigs working a year ago. It is further estimated that approximately 35% of the approximate 205 total offshore rigs currently under construction, or approximately 70 rigs, are being built to operate in deeper waters, suggesting that newbuild deepwater rigs represent 36% of the approximately 185 deepwater rigs working in December 2015. As such, there is some uncertainty as to whether the deepwater rigs currently under construction will, at least in the near to intermediate-term, increase the working fleet or merely replace older, less productive drilling units. As a result, it is not clear what impact the delivery of additional rigs (deepwater and otherwise) within the next several years will have on the working rig count, especially in an environment of expected reduced E&P spending.

Investment is also being made in the floating production unit market, with approximately 70 new floating production units under construction and expected to be delivered primarily over the next three years to supplement the approximately 350 floating production units already installed worldwide, however, given the current economic environment, the risk of cancellation of some new build contracts or the stacking of installed but underutilized floating production units continues to increase.

Worldwide shallow-water exploration and production activity has also decreased during the last twelve months. According to IHS-Petrodata, there were approximately 335 working jack-up rigs as of December 2015 (60% of the 550 working offshore rigs), which is a decrease of approximately 18% from the number of jack-up rigs working a year ago. The construction backlog for new jack-up rigs has decreased approximately 10% over the last twelve months to approximately 125 jack-up rigs, nearly all of which are scheduled for delivery in the next three years. As discussed above with regards to the deepwater rig market and recognizing that 125 newbuild jackup rigs represent 37% of the approximately 335 jack up rigs working in December 2015, there is also uncertainty as to how many of the jack-up rigs currently under construction will either increase the working fleet or replace older, less productive jack-up rigs.

Also, according to IHS-Petrodata, there are approximately 465 new-build offshore support vessels (deepwater PSVs, deepwater AHTS vessels and towing-supply vessels only) either under construction (365 vessels), on order or planned as of December 2015. The majority of the vessels under construction are scheduled to be delivered within the next 18 months, however, the company believes not all of these vessels will ultimately be completed based on current and expected future offshore E&P market conditions. Further increases in worldwide vessel capacity would tend to have the effect of lowering charter rates, particularly when there are lower levels of exploration, field development and production activity.

As of December 2015, the worldwide fleet of these classes of offshore support vessels (deepwater PSVs, deepwater AHTS vessels and towing-supply vessels only) is estimated at approximately 3,380 vessels which include approximately 630 vessels that are at least 25 years old and nearing or exceeding original expectations of their estimated economic lives. These older vessels, of which we estimate the majority are already stacked or not actively marketed by the vessels' owners, could potentially be removed from the market in the near future if the cost of extending the vessels' lives is not economical, especially in light of recent market conditions. Excluding the 630 vessels that are at least 25 years old from the overall population, the company estimates that the number of offshore support vessels under construction (365 vessels) represents approximately 13% of the remaining worldwide fleet of approximately 2,750 offshore support vessels.

In addition, we and other offshore support vessel owners have selectively stacked more recently constructed vessels as a result of the significant reduction in our customers' offshore oil and gas-related activity and the resulting more challenging offshore support vessel market that has existed since late 2014. Should market conditions continue to deteriorate, the stacking or underutilization of additional recently constructed vessels by the offshore supply vessel industry is likely.

Although the future attrition rate of the 630 older offshore support vessels cannot be determined with certainty, the company believes that the retirement and/or sale to owners outside of the oil and gas market of a vast majority of these aged vessels (a majority of which the company believes have already been stacked or are not being actively marketed by the vessels' owners) could mitigate the potential negative effects on vessel utilization and vessel pricing of (i) additional offshore support vessel supply resulting from the delivery of additional new-build vessels and (ii) reduced demand for

offshore support vessels resulting from reduced E&P spending. Similarly, the cancellation or deferral of delivery of some portion of the 365 offshore support vessels that are under construction according to IHS-Petrodata would also mitigate the potential negative effects on vessel utilization and vessel pricing of reduced demand for offshore support vessels resulting from reduced E&P spending.

As discussed above, additional vessel demand, which also could mitigate the possible negative effects of the new-build vessels being added to the offshore support vessel fleet, could be created by the delivery of new drilling rigs and floating production units to the extent such new drilling rigs and/or floating production units both become operational and are not offset by the idling or retirement of existing active drilling rigs and floating production units

Although we believe investment in additional rigs, especially those capable of operating in deeper waters, indicates offshore rig owner's longer-term expectation for higher levels of activity, the recent decline in crude oil and natural gas prices, the reduction in spending expectations among E&P companies and the number of new-build vessels which are expected to deliver within the next 18 months indicates that there may be, at least in the short to intermediate-term, a period of potential overcapacity in the worldwide offshore support vessel fleet which may lead to lower utilization and average day rates across the offshore support vessel industry.

Fiscal 2016 Third Quarter Business Highlights

During the first nine months of fiscal 2016 the company continued to focus on identifying potential cost savings that could be realized in the context of lower crude oil prices and reduced E&P spending. Key elements of the company's strategy include sustaining the company's offshore support vessel fleet and its global operating footprint, and maintaining a strong balance sheet and adequate liquidity to fund operations and the remaining payments related to nine vessel under construction at December 31, 2015, and compliance with debt covenants. Operating management is focused on safe operations, minimizing unscheduled vessel downtime, improving the oversight over major repairs and maintenance projects and drydockings and maintaining disciplined cost control.

At December 31, 2015, the company had 269 owned or chartered vessels (excluding joint-venture vessels) in its fleet with an average age of 9.1 years. The average age of 246 newer vessels in the fleet (defined as those that have been acquired or constructed since calendar year 2000 as part of the company's new build and acquisition program) is approximately 7.3 years.

The company's consolidated net loss for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 increased 40%, or \$22.3 million, as compared to the same period in fiscal 2015, primarily due to a 32% decrease in total revenues, which was partially offset by a 28% decrease in vessel operating costs, and a 19% decrease in general and administrative expenses. In addition, net earnings for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 reflect a 178% decrease to equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated companies, and a \$53.7 million increase in asset impairments. During the first nine months of fiscal 2016, the company also recorded a restructuring charge of \$7.6 million primarily related to its Brazilian and Australian operations. The company recorded \$775.4 million in vessel revenues during the first nine months of fiscal 2016, which is a decrease of \$375.2 million, or 33%, over the vessel revenues earned during the same period in fiscal 2015. The overall decrease in revenues for the nine-month period ended December 31, 2015 is a result of customer reductions in exploration and production spending due to relatively weak oil and gas fundamentals which have impacted vessel utilization and average day rates of offshore supply vessels worldwide. Lower vessel utilization in the first nine months of fiscal 2016 reflects, in part, our stacking of 49, net additional vessels worldwide. The stacking of vessels also resulted in our recognizing asset impairments totaling \$55.5 million during the first nine months of fiscal 2016. In addition to these asset impairments, the company also recognized \$3 million in asset impairments related to the active vessel fleet and \$3.3 million of other asset impairment and write-offs. Vessel operating costs and general and administrative expenses also decreased, reflecting the decline in the number of operating vessels and other cost control measures implemented by the company as a result of current market conditions. Our subsea business generated revenues of \$6.1 million during the first nine months of fiscal 2016 which is included in other operating revenues.

Vessel revenues generated by our Americas segment decreased approximately 28%, or \$109.2 million, during the first nine months of fiscal 2016 as compared to the vessel revenues earned during the same period in fiscal 2015, primarily due to a \$76.3 million decrease in revenues earned on the deepwater vessels, reflecting a 23 percentage point decrease in utilization and a 15% decrease in average day rates. Vessel operating costs for the Americas segment also decreased 23%, or \$47.1 million (inclusive of a 26%, or \$10.8 million, decrease in repairs and maintenance expense, which includes our major repairs and regulatory drydocking costs), during the same comparative periods. During the nine-month period

ended December 31, 2015, the company recorded \$3.6 million of restructuring charges related to its Brazilian operations which are included in the Americas segment, as a result of the termination of mariners who were entitled to severance payments under the terms of the enterprise bargaining agreements and in accordance with and Brazilian labor laws.

Vessel revenues generated by our Asia/Pacific segment decreased 35%, or \$42 million, during the first nine months of fiscal 2016 as compared to the same period in fiscal 2015, due to a \$23.1 million decrease in revenues earned on the towing supply vessels reflecting a 15 percentage point decrease in utilization and a 45% decrease in average day rates of towing supply vessels operating in the segment and a \$16 million decrease in revenues earned on the deepwater vessels reflecting a 25 percentage point decrease in utilization and a 14% decrease in average day rates of deepwater vessels operating in the segment. Vessel operating costs for the Asia/Pacific segment decreased 43%, or \$36.7 million (inclusive of a 60%, or \$9.4 million, decrease in repairs and maintenance expense, which includes our major repairs and regulatory drydocking costs), during the same comparative periods. During the nine-month period ended December 31, 2015, the company recorded \$4.0 million of restructuring charges related to its Australian operations which are included in the Asia/Pacific segment, as a result of the termination of mariners who were entitled to severance payments under the terms of the enterprise bargaining agreements and in accordance with Australian labor laws.

Vessel revenues generated by our Middle East/North Africa segment decreased 17%, or \$27.5 million, during the first nine months of fiscal 2016 as compared to the revenues earned during the same period in fiscal 2015, primarily due to a \$21.5 million decrease in revenues earned on the towing-supply vessel class reflecting a nine percentage point decrease in utilization and a 14% decrease in average day rates. Vessel operating costs for the Middle East/North Africa segment also decreased 19%, or \$17.7 million (inclusive of a 2%, or 0.4 million, decrease in repairs and maintenance expense, which includes our major repairs and regulatory drydocking costs), during the same comparative periods.

Vessel revenues generated by our Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe segment decreased 41%, or \$196.5 million, during the first nine months of fiscal 2016 as compared to the revenues earned during the same period in fiscal 2015, primarily due to a decrease in revenues earned from deepwater and towing-supply vessels of 53%, or \$137.7 million, and 27% or \$44.1 million, respectively. Revenue decreases for these vessel classes is primarily the result of respective 27 and 15 percentage point decreases in utilization and 29% and 4% respective decreases in average day rates, as compared to the same period of the preceding fiscal year. Vessel operating costs for the Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe segment decreased 30%, or \$76 million (inclusive of a 57%, or \$32.2 million, decrease in repairs and maintenance expense, which includes our major repairs and regulatory drydocking costs), during the same comparative periods.

A more complete discussion of each of the above segment highlights is included in the "Results of Operations" section below.

Results of Operations

We manage and measure our business performance primarily based on four distinct geographic operating segments: Americas, Asia/Pacific, Middle East/North Africa, and Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe. The following table compares vessel revenues and vessel operating costs (excluding general and administrative expenses, depreciation expense, and gains on asset dispositions, net) for the company's owned and operated vessel fleet and the related percentage of vessel revenue for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and for the quarter ended September 30, 2015:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended December 31,				Nine Months Ended December 31,				Quarter Ended September 30,	
	2015	%	2014	%	2015	%	2014	%	2015	%
Vessel revenues:										
Americas	\$ 75,963	36%	134,554	36%	279,345	36%	388,550	34%	89,210	34%
Asia/Pacific	19,144	9%	35,046	9%	79,254	10%	121,284	10%	32,173	12%
Middle East/North Africa	40,184	19%	55,925	15%	132,786	17%	160,301	14%	45,336	17%
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe	77,617	36%	152,601	40%	283,967	37%	480,453	42%	97,412	37%
Total vessel revenues	\$ 212,908	100%	378,126	100%	775,352	100%	1,150,588	100%	264,131	100%
Vessel operating costs:										
Crew costs	\$ 71,270	33%	104,167	28%	247,670	32%	330,086	29%	84,112	32%
Repair and maintenance	14,811	7%	46,418	12%	80,593	10%	133,481	12%	28,528	11%
Insurance and loss reserves	1,689	1%	3,093	1%	9,815	1%	10,470	1%	2,751	1%
Fuel, lube and supplies	16,369	8%	24,710	7%	51,626	7%	69,900	6%	17,147	6%
Other	20,955	10%	31,977	8%	73,283	10%	96,491	8%	26,074	10%
Total vessel operating costs	\$ 125,094	59%	210,365	56%	462,987	60%	640,428	56%	158,612	60%

The following table compares other operating revenues and costs related to brokered vessels, ROVs and other miscellaneous marine-related activities for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and for the quarter ended September 30, 2015:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended		Quarter Ended
	December 31,		December 31,		September 30,
	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015
Other operating revenues	\$ 5,283	9,428	19,536	20,167	7,792
Costs of other operating revenues	3,778	8,395	15,624	19,616	6,102

The following table presents vessel operating costs by the company's four geographic segments, the related segment vessel operating costs as a percentage of segment vessel revenues, total vessel operating costs and the related total vessel operating costs as a percentage of total vessel revenues for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and for the quarter ended September 30, 2015:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended December 31,				Nine Months Ended December 31,				Quarter Ended September 30,	
	2015	%	2014	%	2015	%	2014	%	2015	%
Vessel operating costs:										
Americas:										
Crew costs (A)	\$ 24,342	32%	37,250	28%	88,517	32%	110,765	28%	30,416	34%
Repair and maintenance	4,713	6%	18,763	14%	30,159	11%	40,985	10%	10,713	12%
Insurance and loss reserves	427	1%	668	<1%	3,230	1%	2,762	1%	918	1%
Fuel, lube and supplies	5,830	8%	7,728	6%	16,240	6%	22,527	6%	5,995	7%
Other	5,616	7%	8,339	6%	18,334	6%	26,504	7%	5,704	6%
	40,928	54%	72,748	54%	156,480	56%	203,543	52%	53,746	60%
Asia/Pacific:										
Crew costs (A)	\$ 7,246	38%	13,628	39%	28,773	36%	53,301	44%	11,302	35%
Repair and maintenance	2,355	12%	4,473	13%	6,360	8%	15,763	13%	1,929	6%
Insurance and loss reserves	278	2%	595	2%	1,185	2%	1,233	1%	330	1%
Fuel, lube and supplies	1,982	10%	2,834	8%	6,077	8%	8,726	7%	1,698	5%
Other	2,286	12%	1,884	5%	6,556	8%	6,607	6%	2,047	7%
	14,147	74%	23,414	67%	48,951	62%	85,630	71%	17,306	54%
Middle East/North Africa:										
Crew costs	\$ 12,110	30%	15,878	29%	39,106	30%	47,958	30%	12,336	27%
Repair and maintenance	5,736	14%	6,732	12%	20,124	15%	20,543	13%	7,979	18%
Insurance and loss reserves	290	1%	594	1%	1,680	1%	2,602	1%	528	1%
Fuel, lube and supplies	1,852	5%	4,614	8%	6,005	5%	12,171	8%	2,254	5%
Other	2,915	7%	3,551	6%	9,425	7%	10,805	7%	3,540	8%
	22,903	57%	31,369	56%	76,340	58%	94,079	59%	26,637	59%
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe:										
Crew costs	\$ 27,572	35%	37,411	24%	91,274	32%	118,062	25%	30,058	31%
Repair and maintenance	2,007	3%	16,450	11%	23,950	9%	56,190	12%	7,907	8%
Insurance and loss reserves	694	1%	1,236	1%	3,720	1%	3,873	1%	975	1%
Fuel, lube and supplies	6,705	9%	9,534	6%	23,304	8%	26,476	5%	7,200	8%
Other	10,138	13%	18,203	12%	38,968	14%	52,575	11%	14,783	15%
	47,116	61%	82,834	54%	181,216	64%	257,176	54%	60,923	63%
Total vessel operating costs	\$ 125,094	59%	210,365	56%	462,987	60%	640,428	56%	158,612	60%

(A) Nine months ended December 31, 2015 figures exclude restructuring charges of \$3.6 million and \$4.0 million related to our Americas and Asia/Pacific segments, respectively, which were incurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2015. Refer to Other Items for further discussion of restructuring charges incurred in fiscal 2016.

The following table presents vessel operations general and administrative expenses by the company's four geographic segments, the related segment vessel operations general and administrative expenses as a percentage of segment vessel revenues, total vessel operations general and administrative expenses and the related total vessel operations general and administrative expenses as a percentage of total vessel revenues for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and for the quarter ended September 30, 2015:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended December 31,				Nine Months Ended December 31,				Quarter Ended September 30,	
	2015	%	2014	%	2015	%	2014	%	2015	%
Vessel operations general and administrative expenses:										
Americas	\$ 7,090	9%	10,857	8%	24,733	9%	33,959	9%	7,794	9%
Asia/Pacific	2,991	16%	4,277	12%	9,679	12%	13,049	11%	3,388	11%
Middle East/North Africa	4,441	11%	5,132	9%	13,820	10%	14,271	9%	4,148	9%
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe	13,164	17%	15,758	10%	40,762	14%	49,026	10%	13,178	14%
Total vessel operations general and administrative expenses	\$ 27,686	13%	36,024	10%	88,994	11%	110,305	10%	28,508	11%

The following table presents vessel operating leases by the company's four geographic segments, the related segment vessel operating leases as a percentage of segment vessel revenues, total vessel operating leases and the related total vessel operating leases as a percentage of total vessel revenues for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and for the quarter ended September 30, 2015:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended December 31,				Nine Months Ended December 31,				Quarter Ended September 30,	
	2015	%	2014	%	2015	%	2014	%	2015	%
Vessel operating leases:										
Americas	\$ 6,626	9%	5,340	4%	19,880	7%	14,655	4%	6,626	7%
Asia/Pacific	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Middle East/North Africa	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe	1,815	2%	1,825	1%	5,445	2%	5,592	1%	1,815	2%
Total vessel operating leases	\$ 8,441	4%	7,165	2%	25,325	3%	20,247	2%	8,441	3%

The following table compares operating loss and other components of loss before income taxes and its related percentage of total revenue for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and for the quarter ended September 30, 2015:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended December 31,				Nine Months Ended December 31,				Quarter Ended September 30,	
	2015	%	2014	%	2015	%	2014	%	2015	%
Vessel operating profit (loss):										
Americas (A)	\$ 9,289	4%	33,784	8%	41,940	5%	100,770	8%	8,812	3%
Asia/Pacific (A)	(3,796)	(2%)	2,621	1%	4,122	1%	9,064	1%	6,168	2%
Middle East/North Africa	5,849	3%	12,408	3%	21,524	3%	31,568	3%	7,515	3%
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe	(2,079)	(1%)	34,120	9%	2,459	<1%	113,168	10%	3,247	1%
	9,263	4%	82,933	21%	70,045	9%	254,570	22%	25,742	9%
Other operating loss	(626)	(<1%)	(1,032)	(<1%)	(3,120)	(<1%)	(5,548)	(<1%)	(658)	(<1%)
	8,637	4%	81,901	21%	66,925	9%	249,022	22%	25,084	9%
Corporate general and administrative expenses	(7,150)	(3%)	(9,411)	(3%)	(25,096)	(3%)	(30,686)	(3%)	(7,932)	(3%)
Corporate depreciation	(1,629)	(1%)	(834)	(<1%)	(4,772)	(1%)	(2,486)	(<1%)	(1,649)	(<1%)
Corporate expenses	(8,779)	(4%)	(10,245)	(3%)	(29,868)	(4%)	(33,172)	(3%)	(9,581)	(3%)
Gain on asset dispositions, net	5,883	2%	4,699	1%	19,345	2%	13,092	1%	6,111	2%
Asset impairments	(15,141)	(6%)	(6,236)	(1%)	(61,771)	(7%)	(8,096)	(1%)	(31,672)	(11%)
Goodwill impairment	—	—	(283,699)	(73%)	—	—	(283,699)	(24%)	—	—
Restructuring charge	—	—	—	—	(7,586)	(1%)	—	—	(7,586)	(3%)
Operating loss	\$ (9,400)	(4%)	(213,580)	(55%)	(12,955)	(1%)	(62,853)	(5%)	(17,644)	(6%)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(469)	(<1%)	4,334	1%	(3,758)	(1%)	8,453	1%	844	<1%
Equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated companies	(1,710)	(1%)	—	—	(7,070)	(1%)	9,104	1%	(2,919)	(1%)
Interest income and other, net	609	<1%	434	<1%	1,754	<1%	1,555	<1%	355	<1%
Interest and other debt costs, net	(13,312)	(6%)	(12,239)	(3%)	(39,741)	(5%)	(37,927)	(3%)	(13,247)	(5%)
Loss before income taxes	\$ (24,282)	(11%)	(221,051)	(57%)	(61,770)	(8%)	(81,668)	(7%)	(32,611)	(12%)

(A) Nine months ended December 31, 2015 figures exclude restructuring charges of \$3.6 million and \$4.0 million related to our Americas and Asia/Pacific segments, respectively, which were incurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2015. Refer to Other Items for further discussion of restructuring charges incurred in fiscal 2016.

Americas Segment Operations. Vessel revenues in the Americas segment decreased 44%, or \$58.6 million and 28%, or \$109.2 million, respectively, during the quarter and nine month periods ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2015, due primarily to lower utilization and average day rates across all vessel classes and a decrease in the number of active vessels which were on-hire during the current fiscal year, most notably deepwater vessels, for which revenues decreased 47%, or \$44.5 million, and 29%, or \$76.3 million, during the same comparative periods. During the quarter and nine month period ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same periods of fiscal 2015, deepwater vessels experienced a decrease in utilization of 34 and 23 percentage points, respectively, and a decrease in average day rates of 22% and 15%, respectively. Revenues related to towing supply vessels also decreased 34%, or \$11.4 million, and 22%, or \$21.6 million, during these comparative periods primarily as a result of decreases in utilization of 26 and 12

percentage points. In addition, there were fewer towing supply vessels in active service during the quarter and nine month period ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the same periods of the preceding quarter. The overall decreased day rates and utilization is primarily the result of a decrease in the level of oil and gas exploration, field development and production spending in the region due to currently depressed crude oil and natural gas prices which has led to the increased stacking of underutilized vessels in the region.

At the beginning of fiscal 2016, the company had 11 stacked Americas-based vessels. During the first nine months of fiscal 2016, the company stacked 22 additional vessels, sold three vessels from the previously stacked vessel fleet and returned one previously stacked vessel to service, resulting in a total of 29 stacked Americas-based vessels as of December 31, 2015.

Operating profit for the Americas segment decreased 73%, or \$24.5 million, and 58%, or \$58.8 million, during the quarter and nine month periods ended December 31, 2015, respectively, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2015, primarily due to lower revenues. As a result of decreases in vessel activity and cost control measures, operating costs (primarily crew costs and repair and maintenance costs) and general and administrative costs in the Americas segment have also decreased but were partially offset by an increase in vessel lease expenses.

Crew costs decreased 35%, or \$12.9 million, and 20%, or \$22.2 million; repair and maintenance costs decreased 75%, or \$14.1 million, and 26%, or \$10.8 million; and general and administrative costs decreased 35%, or \$3.8 million, and 27%, or \$9.2 million, respectively, during the quarter and nine month periods ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2015 due to the decrease in operating activity in the segment and the delay of drydockings due in part to the stacking of vessels. Vessel operating lease costs increased 24%, or \$1.3 million and 36%, or \$5.2 million, respectively, during the same comparative periods due to the increase in the number of leased vessels operated by the company in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico and Mexico.

Asia/Pacific Segment Operations. Vessel revenues in the Asia/Pacific segment decreased 45%, or \$15.9 million, and 35%, or \$42.0 million, respectively, during the quarter and nine month periods ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2015, due to lower utilization and average day rates across all vessel classes, most notably towing supply vessels, for which revenues decreased 56%, or \$7.6 million and 51%, or \$23.1 million, during the comparative periods. During the quarter and nine month period ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same periods of fiscal 2015, towing supply vessels experienced a decrease in utilization of 14 and 15 percentage points, respectively, and a decrease in average day rates of 53% and 45%, respectively. Deepwater vessel revenue also decreased during these comparative periods 36%, or \$7.3 million and 22%, or \$16 million due to decreases in average day rates of 24% and 14%, respectively, and decreases in utilization of 26 and 25 percentage points, respectively. The overall decreased day rates and utilization is primarily the result of a decrease in the volume of oil and gas exploration, field development and production spending in the region due to currently depressed crude oil and natural gas prices which has led to the increased stacking of underutilized vessels in the region.

At the beginning of fiscal 2016, the company had one stacked Asia/Pacific-based vessel. During the first nine months of fiscal 2016, the company stacked 14 additional vessels and returned one previously stacked vessel to service, resulting in a total of 14 stacked Asia/Pacific-based vessels as of December 31, 2015.

Operating profit for the Asia/Pacific segment decreased 245%, or \$6.4 million, and 55%, or \$4.9 million during the quarter and nine month periods ended December 301, 2015, respectively, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2015, primarily due to the reduction in revenue during the comparative periods which was partially offset by reductions in vessel operating costs (primarily crew costs and repair and maintenance) and general and administrative expenses.

Crew costs decreased 47%, or \$6.4 million, and 46%, or \$24.5 million, respectively, due to the reduction of operations in fiscal 2016 as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2015, especially as related to our Australian operations. Repair and maintenance costs decreased 47%, or \$2.1 million, and 60%, or \$9.4 million, respectively, due to a reduction in the number of drydockings as vessels have been moved from the region or stacked as a result of prevailing crude oil and gas E&P market conditions. General and administrative expenses also decreased 30%, or \$1.3 million, and 26%, or \$3.4 million, respectively, due to cost control measures implemented by the company in response to the decline of vessel activity in the region especially as related to our Australian operations.

Middle East/North Africa Segment Operations. Vessel revenues in the Middle East/North Africa segment decreased 28%, or \$15.7 million, and 17%, or \$27.5 million, respectively, during the quarter and nine month periods ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2015, primarily due to decreased revenue from towing supply vessels of 26%, or \$7.6 million, and 23% or \$21.5 million, during the same comparative periods. The decrease in revenue from towing

supply vessels is a result of the decrease in utilization for this vessel class, which were 13 and nine percentage points lower, respectively, and a decrease in average day rates which were 11% and 14% lower, respectively. During the quarter and nine month period ended December 31, 2015 there were also fewer towing vessels in active service as compared to the same periods of the preceding quarter as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2015. Additionally, the segment experienced a decrease in revenues from deepwater vessels of 31%, or \$7.9 million, and 9% or \$5.5 million, respectively, during the same comparative periods due primarily to decrease in the utilization of 24 and 11 percentage points. During these comparative periods, average day rates also decreased 15% and 11% respectively.

At the beginning of fiscal 2016, the company had two stacked Middle East/North Africa-based vessels. During the first nine months of fiscal 2016, the company stacked five additional vessels, resulting in a total of seven stacked Middle East/North Africa-based vessels as of December 31, 2015.

Operating profit for the Middle East/North Africa segment during the quarter and nine month periods ended December 31, 2015 decreased 53%, or 6.6 million, and 32%, or \$10 million, primarily due to the reductions in vessel revenues but were partially offset by decreases in vessel operating costs (crew costs and fuel, lube and supplies costs).

Crew costs decreased 24%, or \$3.8 million, and 19%, or \$8.9 million, respectively, and fuel, lube and supplies costs decreased 60%, or \$2.8 million, and 51%, or \$6.2 million, respectively, during the comparative periods as a result of decreased vessel activity in the region as a result of softer E&P market conditions.

Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe Segment Operations. Vessel revenues in the Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe segment decreased 49%, or \$75 million, and 41%, or \$196.5 million, respectively, during the quarter and nine month periods ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same periods during fiscal 2015, due to decreased revenues across all vessel classes. Revenues from deepwater vessels decreased 63%, or \$50.8 million, and 53%, or \$137.7 million, respectively, during the quarter and nine month periods ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same periods during fiscal 2015, primarily due to utilization decreases of 35 and 27 percentage points and decreases in average day rates of 36% and 29%, respectively. Revenues from towing supply vessels decreased 33%, or \$17.3 million, and 27%, or \$44.1 million, respectively, during the same comparative periods, primarily due to utilization decreases of 20 and 15 percentage points, respectively. Decreases to utilization and average day rates in the Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe are a result of a decrease in the volume of oil and gas exploration, development and production spending in the region which has led to the increased stacking of underutilized vessels in the region. During the quarter and nine month period ended December 31, 2015 there were fewer vessels in active service in the Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe segment across all vessel classes as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2015.

At the beginning of fiscal 2016, the company had seven stacked Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe-based vessels. During the first nine months of fiscal 2016, the company stacked 24 additional vessels, sold nine vessels from the previously stacked vessel fleet and returned two previously stacked vessels to work resulting in a total of 20 stacked Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe-based vessels as of December 31, 2015.

Operating profit for the Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe segment decreased 106%, or \$36.2 million, and 98%, or \$110.7 million, during the quarter and nine month periods ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the same periods of fiscal 2015, primarily due to decreased revenues, which were partially offset by decreases in vessel operating costs (primarily crew costs and repair and maintenance costs) and decreases to general and administrative costs during the same comparative periods.

Crew costs decreased 26%, or \$9.8 million, and 23%, or \$26.8 million, during the quarter and nine month periods ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the same periods of fiscal 2015 due to reduced operating activity in the region. Repair and maintenance costs decreased 88%, or \$14.4 million, and 57%, or \$32.2 million, respectively during the same comparative periods as drydockings in the current fiscal year have been deferred or cancelled as vessels are stacked as a result of prevailing crude oil and gas E&P market conditions. General and administrative costs have also decreased 17%, or \$2.6 million, and 17%, or \$8.3 million, respectively, during the comparative periods and are attributable to cost control measures implemented by the company.

Other Items. In the second quarter of fiscal 2016 the company's management continued to restructure its operations to reduce operating costs as a result of the continuing decline in oil prices and the resulting softening demand for the company's vessels, and several contract cancellations (particularly in regards to the company's Brazil operations). This plan consists of select employee terminations and early retirements that are intended to eliminate redundant or unneeded positions, reduce costs, and better align our workforce with anticipated lower activity levels in the geographic areas in which

the company presently operates. In connection with these efforts, the company recognized a \$7.6 million restructuring charge during the quarter ended September 30, 2015. Although no payments were made related to this charge as of September 30, 2015, the company paid \$5.8 million during the quarter ended December 31, 2015.

Measures taken during the second quarter include the transfer and stacking of vessels from the company's Australian and Brazilian operations. Such stackings resulted in the termination of mariners who were entitled to severance payments under the terms of the enterprise bargaining agreements and in accordance with Australian and Brazilian labor laws.

Restructuring charges incurred by segment and cost type for the quarter and nine month periods ended December 31, 2015:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended December 31, 2015	Nine Months Ended December 31, 2015
Americas:		
Crew costs	\$ —	3,410
Other vessel costs	—	203
Asia/Pacific:		
Crew costs	—	3,973
Total restructuring charges	\$ —	7,586

Insurance and loss reserves expense decreased 45%, or \$1.4 million, and 6%, or \$0.7 million, during the quarter and nine month period ended December 31, 2015 as compared to comparable periods ended December 31, 2014 primarily due to downward adjustments to case-based and other reserves since December 31, 2014.

Included in gain on asset dispositions, net for the quarter and nine month period ended December 31, 2015, respectively, are \$5.8 million and \$17.5 million of deferred gains from sale leaseback transactions. During the quarter and nine month period ended December 31, 2014, the company recognized \$4.8 million and \$12.1 million of deferred gains from sale leaseback transactions which are also included in gain on asset dispositions, net.

Asset impairments recognized for the quarter and nine month period ended December 31, 2015 increased \$8.9 million and \$53.7 million, respectively, from the same periods of fiscal 2015 primarily due to a decline in offshore support vessel values as a result of the decrease in the volume of oil and gas exploration, field development and production spending by our customers. During the third quarter of fiscal 2016 the company recognized impairments to its stacked vessel fleet of \$15.1 million.

The company reviews the vessels in its active fleet for impairment whenever events occur or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset group may not be recoverable and also performs a review of its stacked vessels not expected to return to active service every six months or whenever changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a vessel may not be recoverable. In the event that offshore E&P industry conditions continue to deteriorate, or persist at current levels, the company could be subject to additional vessel impairments in future periods.

The table below summarizes the combined fair value of the assets that incurred impairments during the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, along with the amount of impairment.

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Amount of impairment incurred	\$ 15,141	6,236	61,771	8,096
Combined fair value of assets incurring impairment	90,010	3,914	244,310	4,634

During the nine months ended December 31, 2015, the company recognized a foreign exchange loss of \$3.8 million, primarily related to the revaluation of foreign currency denominated monetary assets and liabilities to the U.S. dollar reporting currency. Additionally, during the nine months ended December 31, 2015, the entities which comprise the operations of the Sonatide joint venture in Angola recognized a foreign exchange loss of approximately \$31.4 million, including approximately \$8.4 million recognized in the third quarter, primarily as a result of the devaluation relative to the

U.S. dollar of Sonatide's Angolan kwanza-denominated bank balances. During the nine months ended December 31, 2015, the company has recognized (through equity in net earnings/(losses) of unconsolidated companies) 49% of Sonatide's total foreign exchange loss, or approximately \$15.4 million, including approximately \$4.1 million recognized in the third quarter, from the Sonatide entities.

In January 2016, the exchange rate of the Angolan kwanza versus the U.S. dollar was devalued from a ratio of approximately 135 to 1 to a ratio of approximately 158 to 1, or approximately 17%. The effect of this devaluation on the balance sheet of Sonatide would result in a further exchange loss estimated to be approximately \$17 million. The company would recognize 49% of the total foreign exchange loss, or approximately \$8 million through equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated companies.

Vessel Class Revenue and Statistics by Segment

Vessel utilization is determined primarily by market conditions and to a lesser extent by drydocking requirements. Vessel day rates are determined by the demand created largely through the level of offshore exploration, field development and production spending by energy companies relative to the supply of offshore service vessels. Suitability of equipment and the quality of service provided may also influence vessel day rates. Vessel utilization rates are calculated by dividing the number of days a vessel works during a reporting period by the number of days the vessel is available to work in the reporting period. Stacked vessels depress utilization rates because stacked vessels are considered available to work, and as such, are included in the calculation of utilization rates. Average day rates are calculated by dividing the revenue a vessel earns during a reporting period by the number of days the vessel worked in the reporting period.

Vessel utilization and average day rates are calculated on all vessels in service (which includes stacked vessels and vessels in drydock) but do not include vessels owned by joint ventures (nine vessels at December 31, 2015).

The following tables compare revenues, day-based utilization percentages and average day rates by vessel class and in total for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and for the quarter ended September 30, 2015:

	Quarter Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,		Quarter Ended September 30,
	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015
REVENUE BY VESSEL CLASS (In thousands):					
Americas fleet:					
Deepwater	\$ 49,792	94,298	191,720	267,983	61,776
Towing-supply	22,254	33,607	75,890	97,511	24,121
Other	3,917	6,649	11,735	23,056	3,313
Total	\$ 75,963	134,554	279,345	388,550	89,210
Asia/Pacific fleet:					
Deepwater	\$ 13,267	20,575	56,535	72,492	23,435
Towing-supply	5,877	13,487	22,719	45,862	8,738
Other	—	984	—	2,930	-
Total	\$ 19,144	35,046	79,254	121,284	32,173
Middle East/North Africa fleet:					
Deepwater	\$ 17,690	25,615	58,845	64,336	20,769
Towing-supply	21,795	29,441	71,898	93,435	23,914
Other	699	869	2,043	2,530	653
Total	\$ 40,184	55,925	132,786	160,301	45,336
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe fleet:					
Deepwater	\$ 30,361	81,129	124,282	262,013	39,955
Towing-supply	35,186	52,532	118,490	162,585	42,106
Other	12,070	18,940	41,195	55,855	15,351
Total	\$ 77,617	152,601	283,967	480,453	97,412
Worldwide fleet:					
Deepwater	\$ 111,110	221,617	431,382	666,824	145,935
Towing-supply	85,112	129,067	288,997	399,393	98,879
Other	16,686	27,442	54,973	84,371	19,317
Total	\$ 212,908	378,126	775,352	1,150,588	264,131
UTILIZATION:					
Americas fleet:					
Deepwater	53.6%	87.3	66.5	89.3	65.1
Towing-supply	48.9	74.5	56.7	69.1	56.5
Other	60.4	56.7	50.7	67.7	47.8
Total	52.7%	77.2	60.7	77.7	59.7
Asia/Pacific fleet:					
Deepwater	40.6%	66.9	48.4	73.3	59.9
Towing-supply	62.6	76.6	71.7	86.9	79.7
Other	—	100.0	—	100.0	—
Total	51.0%	73.9	59.0	82.3	68.3
Middle East/North Africa fleet:					
Deepwater	65.4%	89.3	69.8	80.8	73.7
Towing-supply	66.9	79.6	72.7	81.4	74.9
Other	100.0	100.0	97.2	97.3	91.9
Total	67.8%	83.2	72.9	81.9	75.2
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe fleet:					
Deepwater	50.6%	85.7	58.7	85.8	57.2
Towing-supply	58.5	78.8	63.0	77.5	63.9
Other	71.5	73.3	71.6	74.2	73.5
Total	60.4%	78.7	64.6	78.7	65.1
Worldwide fleet:					
Deepwater	52.5%	84.9	62.0	85.2	63.0
Towing-supply	58.9	77.7	65.1	77.5	67.0
Other	69.1	71.0	67.0	73.9	67.9
Total	58.4%	78.6	64.3	79.2	65.7

	Quarter Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,		Quarter Ended September 30,
	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015
AVERAGE VESSEL DAY RATES:					
Americas fleet:					
Deepwater	\$ 25,584	32,612	26,984	31,686	26,254
Towing-supply	17,071	16,890	16,797	16,932	16,003
Other	7,050	9,314	7,762	8,772	7,461
Total	\$ 19,962	24,048	21,268	23,067	20,725
Asia/Pacific fleet:					
Deepwater	\$ 27,345	35,821	33,858	39,250	34,487
Towing-supply	6,379	13,664	7,593	13,701	7,907
Other	—	10,692	—	10,653	—
Total	\$ 13,611	21,195	17,000	22,176	18,028
Middle East/North Africa fleet:					
Deepwater	\$ 20,995	24,586	21,500	24,257	20,738
Towing-supply	11,430	12,870	11,599	13,438	11,200
Other	3,800	4,723	3,779	4,727	3,737
Total	\$ 13,699	15,918	14,013	15,808	13,692
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe fleet:					
Deepwater	\$ 18,355	28,675	21,462	30,020	20,876
Towing-supply	15,565	16,859	16,225	16,879	17,009
Other	4,764	5,976	5,241	5,819	5,731
Total	\$ 12,037	16,743	13,552	17,184	13,782
Worldwide fleet:					
Deepwater	\$ 22,546	30,205	24,932	30,751	24,535
Towing-supply	13,315	15,401	13,754	15,546	13,689
Other	5,098	6,598	5,546	6,472	5,858
Total	\$ 14,589	19,024	16,077	19,045	16,039

Vessel Count, Dispositions, Acquisitions and Construction Programs

The following table compares the average number of vessels by class and geographic distribution for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and for the quarter ended September 30, 2015:

	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended		Quarter Ended
	December 31,		December 31,		September
	2015	2014	2015	2014	30,
					2015
Americas fleet:					
Deepwater	40	36	39	34	40
Towing-supply	29	29	29	30	29
Other	10	14	11	14	10
Total	79	79	79	78	79
Less stacked vessels	18	9	15	8	14
Active vessels	61	70	64	70	65
Asia/Pacific fleet:					
Deepwater	13	9	13	9	12
Towing-supply	16	14	15	14	15
Other	1	1	1	1	1
Total	30	24	29	24	28
Less stacked vessels	12	—	7	—	7
Active vessels	18	24	22	24	21
Middle East/North Africa fleet:					
Deepwater	14	13	14	12	15
Towing-supply	31	31	31	31	31
Other	2	2	2	2	2
Total	47	46	47	45	48
Less stacked vessels	5	—	4	—	4
Active vessels	42	46	43	45	44
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe fleet:					
Deepwater	36	36	36	37	36
Towing-supply	42	43	42	45	42
Other	38	47	40	47	40
Total	116	126	118	129	118
Less stacked vessel	22	7	17	6	19
Active vessels	94	119	101	123	99
Active owned or chartered vessels	215	259	230	262	229
Stacked vessels	57	16	43	14	44
Total owned or chartered vessels	272	275	273	276	273
Joint-venture and other	9	10	9	11	9
Total	281	285	282	287	282

Owned or chartered vessels include vessels stacked by the company. The company considers a vessel to be stacked if the vessel crew is furloughed or substantially reduced and limited maintenance is being performed on the vessel. The company reduces operating costs by stacking vessels when management does not foresee opportunities to profitably or strategically operate the vessels in the near future. Vessels are stacked when market conditions warrant and they are no longer considered stacked when they are returned to active service, sold or otherwise disposed. When economically practical marketing opportunities arise, the stacked vessels can be returned to active service by performing any necessary maintenance on the vessel and either rehiring or returning fleet personnel to operate the vessel. Although not currently fulfilling charters, stacked vessels are considered to be in service and are included in the calculation of the company's utilization statistics. The company had 70, 16 and 51 stacked vessels at December 31, 2015 and 2014 and September 30, 2015, respectively.

The following is a summary of net properties and equipment at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015:

	December 31, 2015		March 31, 2015	
	Number Of Vessels (B)	Carrying Value (In thousands)	Number of Vessels	Carrying Value (In thousands)
Owned vessels in active service	186	\$ 2,566,395	242	\$ 3,310,476
Stacked vessels	67	721,364	21	38,489
Marine equipment and other assets under construction		244,933		315,552
Other property and equipment (A)		74,609		81,790
Totals	253	\$ 3,607,301	263	\$ 3,746,307

(A) Other property and equipment includes eight remotely operated vehicles.

(B) Vessel count excludes vessels operated under sale leaseback agreements.

Vessel Dispositions

The company seeks opportunities to sell and/or scrap its older vessels when market conditions warrant and opportunities arise. The majority of the company's vessels are sold to buyers who do not compete with the company in the offshore energy industry. The following is a summary of the number of vessels disposed of by vessel type and segment during the nine months ended December 31:

	Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014 (A)
Number of vessels disposed by vessel type:		
Deepwater PSVs	—	1
Towing-supply:		
Towing-supply vessels	—	1
PSVs	—	8
Other	14	2
Total	14	12
Number of vessels disposed by segment:		
Americas	4	6
Middle East/North Africa	—	1
Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe	10	5
Total	14	12

(A) Excluded from fiscal 2015 dispositions are five vessels that were sold and leased back by the company.

Vessel and Other Deliveries and Acquisitions

During the first nine months of fiscal 2016, the company took delivery of three newly-built deepwater PSVs and one towing-supply vessel. One 268-foot, 4,000 DWT of cargo carrying capacity, deepwater PSV was constructed at an international shipyard for a total cost of \$39.5 million. Two 275-foot, 4,600 DWT of cargo carrying capacity, deepwater PSVs were constructed at different international shipyard for a total aggregate cost of \$59.4 million. In addition, a 7,145 BHP towing-supply vessel was constructed at another international shipyard for a total cost of \$16.2 million.

Vessel and Other Commitments at December 31, 2015

The table below summarizes the various commitments to acquire and construct new vessels, by vessel type, as of December 31, 2015:

(In thousands)	Number of Vessels	Shipyard Location	Delivery Dates	Total Cost	Amount Invested 12/31/15	Remaining Balance 12/31/15
Towing-supply:						
7,145 BHP Towing-supply	1	International	1/2016	\$ 16,280	13,580	2,700
Deepwater:						
261-foot PSV	2	International	4/2016, 5/2016			
275-foot PSV	1	International	1/2016			
292-foot PSV	1	International	9/2016			
300-foot PSV	2	United States	1/2017, 5/2017			
310-foot PSV	2	United States	1/2016, 4/2016			
Total Deepwater PSVs	8			335,746	231,256	104,490
Total vessel commitments	9			\$ 352,026	244,836	107,190

(A) Six additional option vessels and a fast supply boat are not included in the table above.

(B) The company is entitled to receive a refund of prior shipyard payments totaling approximately \$43 million (of which \$12 million was received in January 2016) which would offset the remaining balance of vessel commitments. See further discussion below.

In June 2015, the company entered into settlement agreements with an international shipyard, which at the time was constructing six 7,145 BHP towing-supply-class vessels and six 261-foot, 4,700 DWT tons of cargo capacity, deepwater PSVs. Under the settlement agreements, contracts for three 7,145 BHP towing-supply-class vessels were terminated, and the shipyard agreed with respect to these three cancelled contracts to (i) return to the company approximately \$36 million in aggregate installment payments, (ii) terminate the company's obligation to make any additional payments, and (iii) apply \$3.5 million of accrued interest due to the company on the returned installment amounts to offset future installment obligations on other vessels at this shipyard. Of the total \$36 million in returned installments, the shipyard returned \$24 million in June 2015 and the remaining \$12 million in July 2015. The company recorded an impairment charge of \$0.8 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2016 to write off the amounts not recoverable from the shipyard with respect to these three vessels. The company applied the \$3.5 million shipyard credit in the December quarter as an offset to other payments made to the shipyard.

In September 2015, the company entered into additional settlement agreements with the same shipyard to resolve the remaining nine vessels (three additional 7,145 BHP towing-supply-class vessels and six 261-foot, 4,700 deadweight tons of cargo capacity, deepwater PSVs) under construction. Under the settlement agreements, the company agreed to substantial discounts to the purchase price for each of these four vessels. The company took delivery of one towing-supply-class vessel in September of 2015, and another towing-supply-class vessel in January of 2016, and is expected to take delivery of two deepwater PSVs in fiscal 2017, if those vessels are completed and delivered in accordance with the underlying amended construction contracts, in the June quarter of 2016. Under the September 2015 settlement agreements, the company received separate options, but not obligations to acquire, each of the remaining five vessels, with option expiry dates ranging from November 2015 to October 2016. Under the terms of these options, if the company does not elect to take delivery of any of these vessels, (a) the company is entitled to receive the return of approximately \$31 million in aggregate installment payments (representing installment payments made to date on these five vessels) together with interest on these installments of \$3.7 million (which will be issued to the company as "shipyard credits" and applied to future installment payments on the two PSVs to be delivered) and (b) the company will be relieved of the obligation to pay the shipyard the approximately \$75 million in remaining construction payments. The purchase prices for each of the five vessels that are subject to options are unchanged by the settlement. The company declined to exercise the first of these options, and in January 2016 received \$12 million in refunded payments. The company has also taken the \$3.7 million "shipyard credit" in the December quarter as an offset against other payments made to the shipyard. The remaining four option vessels are not included in the preceding table of vessel commitments as of December 31, 2015. Each settlement agreement (except for the agreement with respect to the towing-supply vessel delivered in September 2015) was entered into subject to the consent of the Bank of China, the issuer of the refundment guarantees on all nine vessels. The Bank of China has subsequently issued consents for all eight remaining settlement agreements.

In April 2015, the company entered into negotiations with an international shipyard constructing two 275-foot, 3,800 deadweight tons of cargo capacity, deepwater PSVs to resolve issues associated with the late delivery of these vessels. In May 2015, the company settled these issues with the shipyard. Under the terms of the settlement, the company can elect to take delivery of one or both completed vessels at any time prior to June 30, 2016. That date is subject to two six month extension periods, each extension requiring the mutual consent of the company and shipyard. If the company does not elect to take delivery of one or both vessels prior to June 30, 2016 (as that date may be extended by mutual agreement), (a) the company is entitled to receive the return of \$5.4 million in aggregate installment payments per vessel together with interest on these installments (which aggregates to approximately \$12 million, or all but approximately \$1 million of the company's carrying value of the accumulated costs per vessel through March 31, 2015) and (b) the company will be relieved of the obligation to pay to the shipyard the \$21.7 million of remaining payments per vessel. The shipyard's obligation to return the \$5.4 million (plus interest) per vessel if the company elects not to take delivery of one or both vessels is secured by Bank of China refundment guarantees. These two vessels are not included in the preceding table of vessel commitments as of December 31, 2015.

The company has experienced substantial delay with one fast supply boat under construction in Brazil that was originally scheduled to be delivered in September 2009. On April 5, 2011, pursuant to the vessel construction contract, the company sent the subject shipyard a letter initiating arbitration in order to resolve disputes of such matters as the shipyard's failure to achieve payment milestones, its failure to follow the construction schedule, and its failure to timely deliver the vessel. The company has suspended construction on the vessel and both parties continue to pursue that arbitration. The company has third party credit support in the form of insurance coverage for 90% of the progress payments made on this vessel, or all but approximately \$2.4 million of the carrying value of the accumulated costs through June 30, 2015. During the first quarter of fiscal 2016, the company recorded an impairment charge of \$2.4 million (representing amounts not covered by insurance) and reclassified the remaining \$5.6 million from construction in progress to other non-current assets. This vessel is not included in the preceding table of vessel commitments as of December 31, 2015.

The table below summarizes by vessel class and vessel type the number of vessels expected to be delivered by quarter along with the expected cash outlay (in thousands) of the various remaining shipbuilding commitments:

Vessel class and type	Quarter Period Ended					
	03/16	06/16	09/16	12/16	03/17	06/17
Deepwater PSVs	2	3	1	—	1	1
Towing-supply vessels	1	—	—	—	—	—
Totals	3	3	1	—	1	1
(In thousands)						
Expected quarterly cash outlay	\$ 39,676	13,643	40,358	2,310	6,313	4,890

The company believes it has sufficient liquidity and financial capacity to support the continued investment in the remaining vessels under construction. In recent years, the company has funded vessel additions with available cash, operating cash flow, proceeds from the disposition of (generally older) vessels, revolving bank credit facility borrowings, a bank term loan, various leasing arrangements, and funds provided by the sale of senior unsecured notes as disclosed in Note (5) of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The company has approximately \$107 million in unfunded capital commitments associated with the nine vessels under construction (approximately \$64 million, net of \$43 million of expected refunds from shipyards) at December 31, 2015.

General and Administrative Expenses

Consolidated general and administrative expenses and the related percentage of total revenue for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and for the quarter ended September 30, 2015 consist of the following components:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended December 31,				Nine Months Ended December 31,				Quarter Ended September 30,	
	2015	%	2014	%	2015	%	2014	%	2015	%
Personnel	\$ 22,165	10%	27,492	7%	72,152	9%	86,756	7%	22,470	8%
Office and property	5,812	2%	7,698	2%	18,854	2%	21,827	2%	5,660	2%
Sales and marketing	1,545	1%	2,825	1%	5,086	1%	9,972	1%	1,666	1%
Professional services	4,185	2%	5,213	1%	12,625	1%	16,122	1%	4,191	2%
Other	1,891	1%	3,414	1%	8,120	1%	9,787	1%	3,299	1%
Total	\$ 35,598	16%	46,642	12%	116,837	14%	144,464	12%	37,286	14%

Segment and corporate general and administrative expenses and the related percentage of total general and administrative expenses for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and for the quarter ended September 30, 2015 were as follows:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended December 31,				Nine Months Ended December 31,				Quarter Ended September 30,	
	2015	%	2014	%	2015	%	2014	%	2015	%
Vessel operations	\$ 27,686	78%	36,024	77%	88,994	76%	110,305	76%	28,508	77%
Other operating activities	762	2%	1,207	3%	2,747	2%	3,473	3%	846	2%
Corporate	7,150	20%	9,411	20%	25,096	22%	30,686	21%	7,932	21%
Total	\$ 35,598	100%	46,642	100%	116,837	100%	144,464	100%	37,286	100%

General and administrative expenses during the quarter and nine month period ended December 31, 2015 were 24%, or \$11 million, and 19%, or \$27.6 million, lower than the same respective periods in fiscal 2015. Decreases across all components of general and administrative cost are a result of the company's continuing efforts to reduce overhead costs as a result of the downturn in the offshore oil services market. In addition, lower personnel costs are also due, in part, to lower equity based compensation expense as a result of the company's lower stock price.

Liquidity, Capital Resources and Other Matters

Under the company's principal credit arrangements, it is subject to a requirement that it maintains certain financial ratios. The company's current ratio, level of working capital and amount of cash flows from operations for any year are primarily related to fleet activity, vessel day rates and the timing of collections and disbursements. Vessel activity levels and vessel day rates are, among other things, dependent upon the supply/demand relationship for offshore vessels, which tend to follow the level of oil and natural gas exploration and production. Variations from year-to-year in these items are primarily the result of market conditions.

At December 31, 2015 the company was in compliance with all covenants set forth in its debt facilities and note indentures, however, given the current trajectory of offshore energy market conditions, which has had a corresponding negative effect on our vessel revenue and other financial metrics, it is possible that in future quarters (and possibly as early as fiscal 2017) that the company may cease being in compliance with interest coverage ratios contained in certain of its debt facilities and senior note indentures. Failure to meet the required interest coverage ratios would be an event of default under certain of our debt facilities. The company is in dialogue with the principal lenders and noteholders to obtain amendments and/or waivers of these covenants in advance of any such default occurring, with the goal of finalizing any amendments and/or waivers prior to any possible covenant breach. Any such amendments and/or waivers would require successful negotiations with our bank group and certain noteholders, and would likely require the company to make certain concessions, such as potentially

providing collateral or accepting a reduction in total borrowing capacity under the revolving credit facility. Obtaining the covenant relief that we are seeking will require the company to successfully harmonize the interests of the noteholders and the banks.

Availability of Cash

At December 31, 2015, the company had \$48 million in cash and cash equivalents, of which \$37.9 million was held by foreign subsidiaries. The company currently intends that earnings by foreign subsidiaries will be indefinitely reinvested in foreign jurisdictions in order to fund strategic initiatives (such as investment, expansion and acquisitions), fund working capital requirements and repay debt (both third-party and intercompany) of its foreign subsidiaries in the normal course of business. Moreover, the company does not currently intend to repatriate earnings of foreign subsidiaries to the United States because cash generated from the company's domestic businesses and credit available under its domestic financing facilities, as well as the repayment of intercompany liabilities from foreign subsidiaries, are currently sufficient to fund the cash needs of its operations in the United States. However, if, in the future, cash and cash equivalents held by foreign subsidiaries are needed to fund the company's operations in the United States, the repatriation of such amounts to the United States could result in a significant incremental tax liability in the period in which the decision to repatriate occurs. Payment of any incremental tax liability would reduce the cash available to the company to fund its operations by the amount of taxes paid.

Our objective in financing our business is to maintain adequate financial resources and access to sufficient levels of liquidity. Cash and cash equivalents, future net cash provided by operating activities and the company's revolving credit facilities provide the company, in our opinion, with sufficient liquidity to meet our liquidity requirements, including repayment of debt that becomes due and required payments on vessel construction currently in progress.

Indebtedness

Revolving Credit and Term Loan Agreement. In May 2015, the company amended and extended its existing credit facility. The amended credit agreement matures in June 2019 and provides for a \$900 million, five-year credit facility consisting of (i) a \$600 million revolving credit facility and (ii) a \$300 million term loan facility.

Borrowings under the credit facility are unsecured and bear interest at the company's option at (i) the greater of prime or the federal funds rate plus 0.25 to 1.00%, or (ii) Eurodollar rates, plus margins ranging from 1.25 to 2.00% based on the company's consolidated funded debt to capitalization ratio. Commitment fees on the unused portion of the facilities range from 0.15 to 0.30% based on the company's funded debt to total capitalization ratio. The credit facility requires that the company maintain a ratio of consolidated debt to consolidated total capitalization that does not exceed 55%, and maintain a consolidated interest coverage ratio (essentially consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, for the four prior fiscal quarters to consolidated interest charges, including capitalized interest, for such period) of not less than 3.0 to 1.0. All other terms, including the financial and negative covenants, are customary for facilities of its type and consistent with the prior agreement in all material respects.

The company had \$300 million in term loan borrowings outstanding at December 31, 2015 (whose fair value approximates the carrying value because the borrowings bear interest at variable rates), and has the entire \$600 million available under the revolver to fund future liquidity needs at December 31, 2015. The company had \$300 million of term loan borrowings and \$20 million of revolver borrowings outstanding at March 31, 2015.

September 2013 Senior Notes. On September 30, 2013, the company executed a note purchase agreement for \$500 million and issued \$300 million of senior unsecured notes to a group of institutional investors. The company issued the remaining \$200 million of senior unsecured notes to a group of institutional investors on November 15, 2013. A summary of these outstanding notes at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, is as follows:

(In thousands, except weighted average data)	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2015
Aggregate debt outstanding	\$ 500,000	500,000
Weighted average remaining life in years	7.6	8.4
Weighted average coupon rate on notes outstanding	4.86%	4.86%
Fair value of debt outstanding	425,950	516,879

The multiple series of notes totaling \$500 million were issued with maturities ranging from approximately seven to 12 years. The notes may be retired before their respective scheduled maturity dates subject only to a customary make-whole provision. The terms of the notes require that the company maintain a ratio of consolidated debt to consolidated total capitalization that does not exceed 55% and maintain a ratio of consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest charges, including capitalized interest, of not less than 3.0 to 1.0.

August 2011 Senior Notes. On August 15, 2011, the company issued \$165 million of senior unsecured notes to a group of institutional investors. A summary of these outstanding notes at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, is as follows:

(In thousands, except weighted average data)	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2015
Aggregate debt outstanding	\$ 165,000	165,000
Weighted average remaining life in years	4.8	5.6
Weighted average coupon rate on notes outstanding	4.42%	4.42%
Fair value of debt outstanding	147,081	167,910

The multiple series of notes were originally issued with maturities ranging from approximately eight to 10 years. The notes may be retired before their respective scheduled maturity dates subject only to a customary make-whole provision. The terms of the notes require that the company maintain a ratio of consolidated debt to consolidated total capitalization that does not exceed 55%.

September 2010 Senior Notes. In fiscal 2011, the company executed a note purchase agreement and issued \$425 million of senior unsecured notes to a group of institutional investors. A summary of the aggregate amount of these outstanding notes at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, is as follows:

(In thousands, except weighted average data)	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2015
Aggregate debt outstanding	\$ 382,500	425,000
Weighted average remaining life in years	4.3	4.6
Weighted average coupon rate on notes outstanding	4.35%	4.25%
Fair value of debt outstanding	344,634	431,296

The multiple series of these notes were originally issued with maturities ranging from five to 12 years. The notes may be retired before their respective scheduled maturity dates subject only to a customary make-whole provision. The terms of the notes require that the company maintain a ratio of consolidated debt to consolidated total capitalization that does not exceed 55%.

Included in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, is an after-tax loss of \$1.3 million (\$1.3 million pre-tax), and \$1.8 million (\$2.6 million pre-tax), respectively, related to cash flow hedges purchased in connection with the September 2010 senior note offering, which met the effectiveness criteria and their acquisition costs are amortized to interest expense over the term of the individual notes matching the term of the hedges to interest expense.

July 2003 Senior Notes. In July 2003, the company entered into a note purchase agreement and issued \$300 million of senior unsecured notes to a group of institutional investors. The remaining amounts outstanding were fully paid in July 2015. A summary of the aggregate amount of these outstanding notes at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, is as follows:

(In thousands, except weighted average data)	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2015
Aggregate debt outstanding	\$ —	35,000
Weighted average remaining life in years	—	0.3
Weighted average coupon rate on notes outstanding	—	4.61%
Fair value of debt outstanding	—	35,197

Troms Offshore Debt. In May 2015, Troms Offshore entered into a \$31.3 million, U.S. dollar denominated, 12 year unsecured borrowing agreement which matures in April 2027 and is secured by a company guarantee. The loan requires semi-annual principal payments of \$1.3 million (plus accrued interest) and bears interest at a fixed rate of 2.92% plus a premium based on Tidewater Inc.'s consolidated funded indebtedness to total capitalization ratio (currently equal to 1.30% for a total all-in rate of 4.22%).

In March 2015, Troms Offshore entered into a \$29.5 million, U.S. dollar denominated, 12 year unsecured borrowing agreement which matures in January 2027 and is secured by a company guarantee. The loan requires semi-annual principal payments of \$1.2 million (plus accrued interest) and bears interest at a fixed rate of 2.91% plus a premium based on Tidewater Inc.'s consolidated funded indebtedness to total capitalization ratio (currently equal to 1.30% for a total all-in rate of 4.21%).

A summary of U.S. dollar denominated Troms Offshore borrowings outstanding at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015 are as follows:

(In thousands)	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2015
May 2015 notes (A):		
Amount outstanding	\$ 30,033	—
Fair value of debt outstanding (Level 2)	30,047	—
March 2015 notes (A):		
Amount outstanding	\$ 28,259	29,488
Fair value of debt outstanding (Level 2)	28,265	29,501

(A) Notes require semi-annual principal payments

In January 2014, Troms Offshore entered into a 300 million NOK denominated, 12 year unsecured borrowing agreement which matures in January 2026. The loan requires semi-annual principal payments of 12.5 million NOK (plus accrued interest) and bears interest at a fixed rate of 2.31% plus a premium based on the company's consolidated funded indebtedness to total capitalization ratio (currently equal to 1.50% for a total all-in rate of 3.81%).

In May 2012, Troms Offshore entered into a 204.4 million NOK denominated borrowing agreement which matures in May 2024. The loan requires semi-annual principal payments of 8.5 million NOK (plus accrued interest), bears interest at a fixed rate of 6.38% and is secured by certain guarantees and various types of collateral, including a vessel. In January 2014, the loan was amended to, among other things, change the interest rate to a fixed rate equal to 3.88% plus a premium based on Tidewater's funded indebtedness to capitalization ratio (currently equal to 1.50% for a total all-in rate of 5.38%), change the borrower, change the export creditor guarantor, and replace the vessel security with a company guarantee.

A summary of Norwegian Kroner (NOK) denominated Troms Offshore borrowings outstanding at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, and their U.S. dollar equivalents are as follows:

(In thousands)	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2015
3.81% January 2014 notes (A):		
NOK denominated	262,500	275,000
U.S. dollar equivalent	\$ 29,606	34,234
Fair value in U.S. dollar equivalent (Level 2)	29,612	34,226
5.38% May 2012 notes (A):		
NOK denominated	144,840	161,880
U.S. dollar equivalent	\$ 16,336	20,152
Fair value in U.S. dollar equivalent (Level 2)	16,329	19,924

(A) Notes require semi-annual principal payments.

Each of the four Troms Offshore Debt tranches (two U.S. dollar denominated and two NOK denominated) require that the company maintain a ratio of consolidated debt to consolidated total capitalization that does not exceed 55%, and maintain a consolidated interest coverage ratio (essentially consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, for the four prior fiscal quarters to consolidated interest charges, including capitalized interest, for such period) of not less than 3.0 to 1.0.

In May 2012, Troms Offshore entered into a 35 million NOK denominated borrowing agreement with a shipyard which matured in May 2015 and has been repaid.

In June 2013, Troms Offshore entered into a 25 million NOK denominated borrowing agreement a Norwegian Bank, which was scheduled to mature in June 2019. This borrowing was extinguished early in September 2015 for approximately \$3 million. The company recognized a \$0.1 million gain on the early repayment in the second quarter of fiscal 2016.

Troms Offshore had an aggregate of 45 million NOK, or approximately \$5.6 million outstanding in floating rate debt at March 31, 2015 (whose fair value approximated the carrying value because the borrowings bear interest at variable NIBOR rates plus a margin). These floating rate borrowings were repaid as of December 31, 2015

Debt Costs

The company capitalizes a portion of its interest costs incurred on borrowed funds used to construct vessels. Interest and debt costs incurred, net of interest capitalized, for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31, are as follows:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Interest and debt costs incurred, net of interest capitalized	\$ 13,312	12,239	39,741	37,927
Interest costs capitalized	2,513	3,638	8,280	9,920
Total interest and debt costs	\$ 15,825	15,877	48,021	47,847

Common Stock Repurchase Program

In May 2014, the company's Board of Directors authorized the company to spend up to \$200 million to repurchase shares of its common stock in open-market or privately-negotiated transactions. In May 2015, the company's Board of Directors authorized an extension of its May 2014 common stock repurchase program from its original expiration date of June 30, 2015 to June 30, 2016. In fiscal 2015, \$100 million was used to repurchase common stock under the May 2014 share repurchase program. No shares were repurchased by the company during the period from March 31, 2015 to December 31, 2015, and as of the end of this period \$100 million remained authorized and available to repurchase shares under the May 2014 share repurchase program.

In January 2016, the company suspended the common stock repurchase program.

The aggregate dollar outlay for common stock repurchased, along with the number of shares repurchased, and average price paid per share, for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31 is as follows:

(In thousands, except share and per share data)	Quarter Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Aggregate dollar outlay for common stock repurchased	\$ —	99,999	—	99,999
Shares of common stock repurchased	—	2,841,976	—	2,841,976
Average price paid per common share	\$ —	35.19	—	35.19

Dividends

The declaration of dividends is at the discretion of the company's Board of Directors, and will depend on the company's financial results, cash requirements, future prospects, and other factors deemed relevant by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors declared the following dividends for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31:

(In thousands, except dividend per share)	Quarter Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Dividends declared	11,811	12,029	34,965	37,229
Dividend per share	0.25	0.25	0.75	0.75

In January 2016, the company suspended the quarterly dividend program.

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities for any period will fluctuate according to the level of business activity for the applicable period.

Net cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended December 31, is as follows:

(In thousands)	2015	Change	2014
Net loss	\$ (78,766)	(22,309)	(56,457)
Depreciation and amortization	137,058	6,908	130,150
Provision for deferred income taxes	192	77,470	(77,278)
Gain on asset dispositions, net	(19,345)	(6,253)	(13,092)
Asset impairments	61,771	53,675	8,096
Goodwill impairment	—	(283,699)	283,699
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	(9,741)	83,544	(93,285)
Changes in due to/from affiliate, net	68,177	(10,704)	78,881
Other non-cash items	32,047	17,202	14,845
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 191,393	(84,166)	275,559

Cash flows from operations decreased 31%, or \$84.2 million, to \$191.4 million, during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 as compared to \$275.6 million during the nine months ended December 31, 2014 due to a \$22.3 million increase in our net loss during the first nine months of fiscal 2016 as compared to the same period of fiscal 2015. This increase in net loss is primarily due to lower revenues caused by the decline in offshore oil and gas exploration and drilling activity levels. As compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2015, the company has also experienced a decrease in cash flows from changes in the due to/from affiliate balance of \$10.7 million which is primarily attributable to lower levels of collections received from our Angolan operation which is included within our Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe segment. For additional information refer to the Sonatide Joint Venture disclosure in Management's Discussion and Analysis of this Form 10-Q.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended December 31, is as follows:

(In thousands)	2015	Change	2014
Proceeds from the sale of assets	\$ 8,428	3,268	5,160
Proceeds from the sale/leaseback of assets	—	(110,694)	110,694
Additions to properties and equipment	(152,225)	79,460	(231,685)
Refunds from cancelled vessel construction contracts	36,190	36,190	—
Other	(210)	(337)	127
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (107,817)	7,887	(115,704)

Investing activities for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 used \$107.8 million of cash, which is primarily attributed to \$152.2 million of additions to properties and equipment, net of refunds received from a shipyard related to

vessel contracts which were cancelled due to late delivery. See "Vessels and Other Commitments" under Note (7) in the Notes to the Financial Statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this report. Additions to properties and equipment included \$14.1 million in capitalized upgrades to existing vessels and equipment, \$136.5 million for the construction of offshore support vessels, and \$1.6 million in other properties and equipment purchases.

Investing activities for the nine months ended December 31, 2014 used \$115.7 million of cash, which is primarily attributed to \$231.7 million of additions to properties and equipment and partially offset by proceeds from the sale/leasebacks of five vessels of \$110.7 million. Additions to properties and equipment were comprised of approximately \$18.3 million in capitalized major repair costs, \$197.5 million for the construction of offshore support vessels, \$12.8 for the purchase of ROVs, and \$3.1 million in other properties and equipment purchases.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended December 31, is as follows:

(In thousands)	2015	Change	2014
Principal payment on long-term debt	\$ (109,163)	(81,957)	(27,206)
Debt borrowings	31,338	11,338	20,000
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	—	(1,025)	1,025
Cash dividends	(35,378)	1,619	(36,997)
Repurchases of common stock	—	99,999	(99,999)
Other	(961)	(1,312)	351
Net cash used in financing activities	\$ (114,164)	28,662	(142,826)

Financing activities for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 used \$114.2 million of cash, primarily due to principal payments on debt which consisted of \$77.5 million of payments on senior notes, \$20 million of payments on the revolving line of credit and \$11.7 million of scheduled semiannual principal payments on the debt of our wholly-owned Norwegian subsidiary as well as the quarterly payment of common stock dividends of \$0.25 per common share. Partially offsetting these uses of cash were \$31.3 million additional borrowings related to our wholly-owned Norwegian subsidiary.

Financing activities for the nine months ended December 31, 2014 used \$142.8 million of cash, primarily due to the repurchase of 2,841,976 shares of common stock at an average price per share of \$35.19 and the quarterly payment of common stock dividends of \$0.25 per common share. During the nine-month period ended December 31, 2014 the company borrowed and repaid \$20.0 million from the revolving line of credit. In addition, approximately \$7.2 million was used to make scheduled payments on Norwegian Kroner denominated borrowings.

Other Liquidity Matters

Vessel Construction. The company has successfully replaced the vast majority of the older vessels in its fleet with fewer, larger and more efficient vessels that have a more extensive range of capabilities. These efforts are expected to continue through the delivery of the remaining nine vessels currently under construction, with the company anticipating that it will use some portion of its future operating cash flows and existing borrowing capacity in order to complete the fleet renewal and modernization program.

In June 2015, the company entered into settlement agreements with an international shipyard, which at the time was constructing six 7,145 BHP towing-supply-class vessels and six 261-foot, 4,700 DWT tons of cargo capacity, deepwater PSVs. Under the settlement agreements, contracts for three 7,145 BHP towing-supply-class vessels were terminated, and the shipyard agreed with respect to these three cancelled contracts to (i) return to the company approximately \$36 million in aggregate installment payments, (ii) terminate the company's obligation to make any additional payments, and (iii) apply \$3.5 million of accrued interest due to the company on the returned installment amounts to offset future installment obligations on other vessels at this shipyard. Of the total \$36 million in returned installments, the shipyard returned \$24 million in June 2015 and the remaining \$12 million in July 2015. The company recorded an impairment charge of \$0.8 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2016 to write off the amounts not recoverable from the shipyard with respect to these three vessels. The company applied the \$3.5 million shipyard credit in the December quarter as an offset to other payments made to the shipyard.

In September 2015, the company entered into additional settlement agreements with the same shipyard to resolve the remaining nine vessels (three additional 7,145 BHP towing-supply-class vessels and six 261-foot, 4,700 deadweight tons of cargo capacity, deepwater PSVs) under construction. Under the settlement agreements, the company agreed to substantial discounts to the purchase price for each of these four vessels. The company took delivery of one towing-supply-class vessel in September of 2015, and another towing-supply-class vessel in January of 2016, and is expected to take delivery of two deepwater PSVs in fiscal 2017, if those vessels are completed and delivered in accordance with the underlying amended construction contracts, in the June quarter of 2016. Under the September 2015 settlement agreements, the company received separate options, but not obligations to acquire, each of the remaining five vessels, with option expiry dates ranging from November 2015 to October 2016. Under the terms of these options, if the company does not elect to take delivery of any of these vessels, (a) the company is entitled to receive the return of approximately \$31 million in aggregate installment payments (representing installment payments made to date on these five vessels) together with interest on these installments of \$3.7 million (which will be issued to the company as “shipyard credits” and applied to future installment payments on the two PSVs to be delivered) and (b) the company will be relieved of the obligation to pay the shipyard the approximately \$75 million in remaining construction payments. The purchase prices for each of the five vessels that are subject to options are unchanged by the settlement. The company declined to exercise the first of these options, and in January 2016 received \$12 million in refunded payments. The company has also taken the \$3.7 million “shipyard credit” in the December quarter as an offset against other payments made to the shipyard. The remaining four option vessels are not included in the preceding table of vessel commitments as of December 31, 2015. Each settlement agreement (except for the agreement with respect to the towing-supply vessel delivered in September 2015) was entered into subject to the consent of the Bank of China, the issuer of the refundment guarantees on all nine vessels. The Bank of China has subsequently issued consents for all eight remaining settlement agreements.

In April 2015, the company entered into negotiations with an international shipyard constructing two 275-foot, 3,800 deadweight tons of cargo capacity, deepwater PSVs to resolve issues associated with the late delivery of these vessels. In May 2015, the company settled these issues with the shipyard. Under the terms of the settlement, the company can elect to take delivery of one or both completed vessels at any time prior to June 30, 2016. That date is subject to two six month extension periods, each extension requiring the mutual consent of the company and shipyard. If the company does not elect to take delivery of one or both vessels prior to June 30, 2016 (as that date may be extended by mutual agreement), (a) the company is entitled to receive the return of \$5.4 million in aggregate installment payments per vessel together with interest on these installments (which aggregates to approximately \$12 million or all but approximately \$1 million of the company's carrying value of the accumulated costs per vessel through March 31, 2015) and (b) the company will be relieved of the obligation to pay to the shipyard the \$21.7 million of remaining payments per vessel. The shipyard's obligation to return the \$5.4 million (plus interest) per vessel if the company elects not to take delivery of one or both vessels is secured by Bank of China refundment guarantees. These two vessels are not included in the table of vessel commitments as of December 31, 2015.

The company has experienced substantial delay with one fast supply boat under construction in Brazil that was originally scheduled to be delivered in September 2009. On April 5, 2011, pursuant to the vessel construction contract, the company sent the subject shipyard a letter initiating arbitration in order to resolve disputes of such matters as the shipyard's failure to achieve payment milestones, its failure to follow the construction schedule, and its failure to timely deliver the vessel. The company has suspended construction on the vessel and both parties continue to pursue that arbitration. The company has third party credit support in the form of insurance coverage for 90% of the progress payments made on this vessel, or all but approximately \$2.4 million of the carrying value of the accumulated costs through June 30, 2015. During the first quarter of fiscal 2016, the company recorded an impairment charge of \$2.4 million (representing amounts not covered by insurance) and reclassified the remaining \$5.6 million from construction in progress to other non-current assets. This vessel is not included in the table of vessel commitments as of December 31, 2015.

The company generally requires shipyards to provide third party credit support in the event that vessels are not completed and delivered timely and in accordance with the terms of the shipbuilding contracts. That third party credit support typically guarantees the return of amounts paid by the company and generally takes the form of refundment guarantees or standby letters of credit issued by major financial institutions generally located in the country of the shipyard. While the company seeks to minimize its shipyard credit risk by requiring these instruments, the ultimate return of amounts paid by the company in the event of shipyard default is still subject to the creditworthiness of the shipyard and the provider of the credit support, as well as the company's ability to pursue successfully legal action to compel payment of these instruments. When third party credit support that is acceptable to the company is not available or cost effective, the company endeavors to limit its credit risk by minimizing pre-delivery payments and through other contract terms with the shipyard.

Merchant Navy Officers Pension Fund. On July 15, 2013, a subsidiary of the company was placed into administration in the United Kingdom. Joint administrators were appointed to administer and distribute the subsidiary's assets to the subsidiary's creditors. The vessels owned by the subsidiary had become aged and were no longer economical to operate, which has caused the subsidiary's main business to decline in recent years. Only one vessel generated revenue as of the date of the administration. As part of the administration, the company agreed to acquire seven vessels from the subsidiary (in exchange for cash) and to waive certain intercompany claims. The purchase price valuation for the vessels, all but one of which were stacked, was based on independent, third party appraisals of the vessels.

The company previously reported that a subsidiary of the company is a participating employer in an industry-wide multi-employer retirement fund in the United Kingdom, known as the Merchant Navy Officers Pension Fund (MNOFF). The subsidiary that participates in the MNOFF is the entity that was placed into administration in the U.K. The MNOFF is that subsidiary's largest creditor, and has claimed as an unsecured creditor in the administration. The company believed that the administration was in the best interests of the subsidiary and its principal stakeholders, including the MNOFF. The MNOFF indicated that it did not object to the insolvency process and that, aside from asserting its claim in the subsidiary's administration and based on the company's representations of the financial status and other relevant aspects of the subsidiary, the MNOFF will not pursue the subsidiary in connection with any amounts due or which may become due to the MNOFF.

In December 2013, the administration was converted to a liquidation. That conversion allowed for an interim cash liquidation distribution to be made to the MNOFF. The conversion is not expected to have any impact on the company. The final meeting of creditors is scheduled for mid-February 2016, and the liquidation is expected to be completed in calendar 2016. The company believes that the liquidation will resolve the subsidiary's participation in the MNOFF. The company also believes that the ultimate resolution of this matter will not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Brazilian Customs. In April 2011, two Brazilian subsidiaries of Tidewater were notified by the Customs Office in Macae, Brazil that they were jointly and severally being assessed fines of 155 million Brazilian reais (approximately \$39 million as of September 30, 2015). The assessment of these fines is for the alleged failure of these subsidiaries to obtain import licenses with respect to 17 Tidewater vessels that provided Brazilian offshore vessel services to Petrobras, the Brazilian national oil company, over a three-year period ending December 2009. After consultation with its Brazilian tax advisors, Tidewater and its Brazilian subsidiaries believe that vessels that provide services under contract to the Brazilian offshore oil and gas industry are deemed, under applicable law and regulations, to be temporarily imported into Brazil, and thus exempt from the import license requirement. The Macae Customs Office has, without a change in the underlying applicable law or regulations, taken the position that the temporary importation exemption is only available to new, and not used, goods imported into Brazil and therefore it was improper for the company to deem its vessels as being temporarily imported. The fines have been assessed based on this new interpretation of Brazilian customs law taken by the Macae Customs Office.

After consultation with its Brazilian tax advisors, the company believes that the assessment is without legal justification and that the Macae Customs Office has misinterpreted applicable Brazilian law on duties and customs. The company is vigorously contesting these fines (which it has neither paid nor accrued) and has already obtained success in the majority of cases. This has reduced the initial fines from 155 million reais down to 33 million reais (approximately \$8.3 million as of December 31, 2015). The company believes that it has a high probability of success with respect to overturning the remaining fines. The remaining fines are still subject to a secondary administrative appeals board hearing, but the company believes that its previous success will be helpful in that upcoming hearing. The company believes that the ultimate resolution of this matter will not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Legal Proceedings.

Arbitral Award for the Taking of the Company's Venezuelan Operations. On March 13, 2015, the three member tribunal constituted under the rules of the World Bank's International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes ("ICSID") awarded subsidiaries of the company compensation, including accrued interest and costs, for the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela's ("Venezuela") expropriation of the investments of those subsidiaries in Venezuela. The award, issued in accordance with the provisions of the Venezuela-Barbados Bilateral Investment Treaty ("BIT"), represented \$46.4 million for the fair market value of the company's principal Venezuelan operating subsidiary, plus interest from May 8, 2009 to the date of payment of that amount accruing at an annual rate of 4.5% compounded quarterly (\$16.1 million as of December 31, 2015) and \$2.5 million for reimbursement of legal and other costs expended by the company in

connection with the arbitration. The aggregate award is therefore \$65 million as of December 31, 2015. The nature of the investments expropriated and the progress of the ICSID proceeding were previously reported by the company in prior filings.

The company is committed to taking appropriate steps to enforce and collect the award, which is enforceable in any of the 150 member states that are party to the ICSID Convention. As an initial step, the company was successful in having the award recognized and entered on March 16, 2015 as a final judgment by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. In July 2015, Venezuela applied to ICSID to annul the award and obtained a provisional stay of enforcement. In August 2015, ICSID formed an annulment committee and the first hearing of the committee took place on November 23, 2015. At that hearing, the committee heard arguments on the company's motion to lift the provisional stay of enforcement with respect to all or a substantial portion of the award during the pendency of the annulment proceedings. Even in the absence of a stay of enforcement, the company recognizes that collection of the award may present significant practical challenges, particularly in the short term. Because the award has yet to be satisfied and post-award annulment proceedings are pending, the net impact of these matters on the company cannot be reasonably estimated at this time and the company has not recognized a gain related to these matters as of December 31, 2015.

Nigeria Marketing Agent Litigation. In October 2012, Tidewater Inc. notified its Nigerian marketing agent, Phoenix Tide Offshore Nigeria Limited, that it was discontinuing its relationship with the marketing agent and two of its principals (H.H. The Otonba Ayora Dr. Bola Kuforiji-Olubi, OON and Olutokunbo Afolabi Kuforiji). The company entered into a new strategic relationship with a different Nigerian marketing agent that it believes will better serve the company's long term interests in Nigeria. This new strategic relationship is currently functioning as the company intended.

On March 1, 2013, Tidewater filed suit in the London Commercial Court against Phoenix Tide Offshore Nigeria Limited, its prior marketing agent for breach of the agent's obligations under contractual agreements between the parties. The alleged breach involves actions of the Nigerian marketing agent to discourage various affiliates of TOTAL S.A. from paying approximately \$16 million (including U.S. dollar denominated invoices and Naira denominated invoices which have been adjusted for the devaluation of the Naira relative to the U.S. dollar) due to Tidewater for vessel services performed in Nigeria. Shortly after the London Commercial Court filing, TOTAL commenced interpleader proceedings in Nigeria naming the Nigerian agent and the company as respondents and seeking an order which would allow TOTAL to deposit those monies with a Nigerian court for the respondents to resolve. On April 25, 2013, Tidewater filed motions in the Nigerian Federal High Court to stop the interpleader proceedings in Nigeria or alternatively stay them until the resolution of the suit filed in London. The company will continue to actively pursue the collection of those monies. On April 30, 2013, the Nigerian marketing agent filed a separate suit in the Nigerian Federal High Court naming Tidewater and certain TOTAL affiliates as defendants. The suit seeks various declarations and orders, including a claim for the monies that are subject to the above interpleader proceedings, and other relief. The company is seeking dismissal of this suit and otherwise intends to vigorously defend against the claims made. On or about December 30, 2014, the company received notice that the Nigerian marketing agent had filed an action in the Nigerian Federal High court seeking to prevent the continuation of the proceedings initiated by Tidewater in the London Commercial Court. The company intends to vigorously defend that action.

The company has not reserved for this receivable and believes that the ultimate resolution of this matter will not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Various legal proceedings and claims are outstanding which arose in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability, if any, with respect to these actions, will not have a material adverse effect on the company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Contractual Obligations and Other Commercial Commitments

A discussion regarding the company's vessel construction commitments is disclosed in the "Vessel Count, Dispositions, Acquisitions and Construction Programs" section above. The company did not have any other material changes in its contractual obligations and commercial commitments other than in the ordinary course of business since the end of fiscal 2015 except as noted below. The following table summarizes the changes to the company's consolidated contractual obligations as of December 31, 2015 for the remaining months of fiscal 2016, and the next four fiscal years and thereafter, and the effect such obligations, inclusive of interest costs, are expected to have on the company's liquidity and cash flows in future periods:

(In thousands)	Payments Due by Fiscal Year						
	Total	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	More Than 5 Years
Vessel construction obligations	\$ 107,190	39,676	62,624	4,890	—	—	—
Total obligations	\$ 107,190	39,676	62,624	4,890	—	—	—

Refer to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 for additional information regarding the company's contractual obligations and commercial commitments.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Sale/Leasebacks

As of December 31, 2015, the future minimum lease payments for the vessels under the operating lease terms are as follows:

Fiscal year ending (In thousands)	Fiscal 2015	Fiscal 2014	Total
	Sale/Leaseback	Sale/Leaseback	
Remaining three months of 2016	\$ 2,371	5,220	7,591
2017	9,485	20,879	30,364
2018	9,604	23,485	33,089
2019	10,234	24,800	35,034
2020	11,497	25,519	37,016
Thereafter	30,866	39,744	70,610
Total future lease payments	\$ 74,057	139,647	213,704

Goodwill

The company historically performed its annual goodwill impairment test at the reporting unit level using carrying amounts as of December 31 or more frequently if events and circumstances indicated that goodwill might be impaired.

During the quarter ended December, 31, 2014 the company performed its annual goodwill impairment assessment and determined that the rapid and significant decline in crude oil and natural gas prices (which occurred and accelerated throughout the latter part of the company's third quarter of fiscal 2015), and the expected short to intermediate term effect that the downturn might have on levels of exploration and production activity would likely have a negative effect on average day rates and utilization levels of the company's vessels. Expected future cash flow analyses using the projected average day rates and utilization levels in this new commodity pricing environment were included in the company's valuation models and indicated that the fair values of the Americas and Sub-Saharan Africa/Europe reporting units were less than their respective carrying values. A goodwill impairment charge of \$283.7 million, to write-off the company's remaining goodwill, was recorded during the quarter ended December 31, 2014.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2015, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 28, 2015, describes the accounting policies that are critical to reporting the company's financial position and operating results and that require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the discussion contained in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2015, regarding these critical accounting policies.

New Accounting Pronouncements

For information regarding the effect of new accounting pronouncements, refer to Note (10) of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this report.

Effects of Inflation

Day-to-day operating costs are generally affected by inflation. Because the energy services industry requires specialized goods and services, general economic inflationary trends may not affect the company's operating costs. The major impact on operating costs is the level of offshore exploration, field development and production spending by energy exploration and production companies. As spending increases, prices of goods and services used by the energy industry and the energy services industry will increase. Future increases in vessel day rates may shield the company from the inflationary effects on operating costs.

The company's newer technologically sophisticated vessels generally require a greater number of specially trained fleet personnel than the company's older, smaller vessels.

The price of steel peaked in 2011 due to increased worldwide demand for the metal, which demand has since declined due to the weakening of steel consumption and global economic industrial activity as a whole. If the price of steel declines, the cost of new vessels will result in lower capital expenditures and depreciation expenses, which taken by themselves would increase our future operating profits.

Environmental Compliance

During the ordinary course of business, the company's operations are subject to a wide variety of environmental laws and regulations that govern the discharge of oil and pollutants into navigable waters. Violations of these laws may result in civil and criminal penalties, fines, injunction and other sanctions. Compliance with the existing governmental regulations that have been enacted or adopted regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment has not had, nor is expected to have, a material effect on the company. Environmental laws and regulations are subject to change however, and may impose increasingly strict requirements and, as such, the company cannot estimate the ultimate cost of complying with such potential changes to environmental laws and regulations.

The company is also involved in various legal proceedings that relate to asbestos and other environmental matters. The amount of ultimate liability, if any, with respect to these proceedings is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. The company is proactive in establishing policies and operating procedures for safeguarding the environment against any hazardous materials aboard its vessels and at shore-based locations. Whenever possible, hazardous materials are maintained or transferred in confined areas in an attempt to ensure containment if an accident were to occur.

In addition, the company has established operating policies that are intended to increase awareness of actions that may harm the environment.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk refers to the potential losses arising from changes in interest rates, foreign currency fluctuations and exchange rates, equity prices and commodity prices including the correlation among these factors and their volatility. The company is primarily exposed to interest rate risk and foreign currency fluctuations and exchange risk. The company enters into derivative instruments only to the extent considered necessary to meet its risk management objectives and does not use derivative contracts for speculative purposes.

Interest Rate Risk and Indebtedness

Changes in interest rates may result in changes in the fair market value of the company's financial instruments, interest income and interest expense. The company's financial instruments that are exposed to interest rate risk are its cash equivalents and long-term borrowings. Due to the short duration and conservative nature of the cash equivalent investment portfolio, the company does not expect any material loss with respect to its investments. The book value for cash equivalents is considered to be representative of its fair value.

Revolving Credit and Term Loan Agreement

Please refer to the "Liquidity, Capital Resources and Other Matters" section of this report for a discussion on the company's revolving credit and term loan agreement and outstanding senior notes.

At December 31, 2015, the company had a \$300 million outstanding term loan. The fair market value of this debt approximates the carrying value because the borrowings bear interest at variable rates which currently approximate 1.7% percent (1.5% margin plus 0.20% Eurodollar rate). A one percentage point change in the Eurodollar interest rate on the \$300 million term loan at December 31, 2015 would change the company's interest costs by approximately \$3 million annually.

Senior Notes

Please refer to the "Liquidity, Capital Resources and Other Matters" section of this report for a discussion on the company's outstanding senior notes debt.

Because the senior notes outstanding at December 31, 2015 bear interest at fixed rates, interest expense would not be impacted by changes in market interest rates. The following table discloses how the estimated fair value of our respective senior notes, as of December 31, 2015, would change with a 100 basis-point increase or decrease in market interest rates:

(In thousands)	Outstanding Value	Estimated Fair Value	100 Basis Point Increase	100 Basis Point Decrease
September 2013	\$ 500,000	425,950	401,462	452,353
August 2011	165,000	147,081	141,156	153,317
September 2010	382,500	344,634	332,135	357,757
Total	\$ 1,047,500	917,665	874,753	963,427

Troms Offshore Debt

Troms Offshore had 407.3 million NOK, or \$45.9 million, as well as \$58.3 million of U.S. denominated outstanding fixed rate debt at December 31, 2015. The following table discloses how the estimated fair value of the fixed rate Troms Offshore notes, as of December 31, 2015, would change with a 100 basis-point increase or decrease in market interest rates:

(In thousands)	Outstanding Value	Estimated Fair Value	100 Basis Point Increase	100 Basis Point Decrease
Total	\$ 104,234	104,253	99,680	109,157

Foreign Exchange Risk

The company's financial instruments that can be affected by foreign currency fluctuations and exchange risks consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, trade receivables and trade payables denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. The company periodically enters into spot and forward derivative financial instruments as a hedge against foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities, currency commitments, or to lock in desired interest rates. Spot derivative financial instruments are short-term in nature and settle within two business days. The fair value of spot derivatives approximates the carrying value due to the short-term nature of this instrument, and as a result, no gains or losses are recognized. Forward derivative financial instruments are generally longer-term in nature but generally do not exceed one year. The accounting for gains or losses on forward contracts is dependent on the nature of the risk being hedged and the effectiveness of the hedge.

As of December 31, 2015, Sonatide maintained the equivalent of approximately \$95 million of Angola kwanza-denominated deposits in Angolan banks, largely related to customer receipts that had not yet been converted to U.S. dollars, expatriated and then remitted to the company. During the nine months ended December 31, 2015, the entities which comprise the operations of the Sonatide joint venture recognized a foreign exchange loss of approximately \$31.4 million, including approximately \$8.4 million recognized in the third quarter, primarily as a result of the devaluation of Sonatide's Angolan kwanza denominated bank accounts relative to the U.S. dollar. The company has recognized 49% of the total foreign exchange loss, or approximately \$15.4 million, including approximately \$4.1 million recognized in the third quarter, from the Sonatide entities through equity in net earnings/(losses) of unconsolidated companies. Any further devaluation in the Angolan kwanza relative to the U.S. dollar would result in foreign exchange losses for Sonatide to the extent the Angolan kwanza-denominated asset balances were in excess of kwanza-denominated liabilities, a portion of which will be borne by the company as a 49% owner of Sonatide. Sonatide may be able to mitigate this exposure, but a hypothetical ten percent devaluation of the kwanza relative to the U.S. dollar on a net kwanza-denominated asset balance of \$100 million would cause our equity in net earnings of unconsolidated companies to be reduced by \$4.9 million.

In January 2016, the exchange rate of the Angolan kwanza versus the U.S. dollar was devalued from a ratio of approximately 135 to 1 to a ratio of approximately 158 to 1, or approximately 17%. The effect of this devaluation on the balance sheet of Sonatide would result in a further exchange loss estimated to be approximately \$17 million. The company would recognize 49% of the total foreign exchange loss, or approximately \$8 million through equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated companies.

Derivatives

The company had no outstanding spot contracts at December 31, 2015. The company had two foreign exchange spot contracts outstanding at March 31, 2015, which had a notional value of \$2.3 million and settled by April 1, 2015.

At December 31, 2015, the company had 14 Norwegian kroner (NOK) forward contracts outstanding, which are generally intended to hedge the company's foreign exchange exposure relating to its NOK denominated notes payable as disclosed in Note (5). The forward contracts have expiration dates between January 14, 2016 and November 10, 2016. The combined change in fair value of the forward contracts was \$1.8 million, all of which was recorded as a foreign exchange loss during the nine months ended December 31, 2015, because the forward contracts did not qualify as hedge instruments. All changes in fair value of the forward contracts were recorded in earnings. The company did not have any forward contracts outstanding at March 31, 2015.

Other

Due to the company's international operations, the company is exposed to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations and exchange rate risks on all charter hire contracts denominated in foreign currencies. For some of our international contracts, a portion of the revenue and local expenses are incurred in local currencies with the result that the company is at risk of changes in the exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and foreign currencies. We generally do not hedge against any foreign currency rate fluctuations associated with foreign currency contracts that arise in the normal course of business, which exposes us to the risk of exchange rate losses. To minimize the financial impact of these items the company attempts to contract a significant majority of its services in U.S. dollars. In addition, the company attempts to minimize its financial impact of these risks by matching the currency of the company's operating costs with the currency of the revenue streams when considered appropriate. The company continually monitors the currency exchange risks associated with all contracts not denominated in U.S. dollars.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

CEO and CFO Certificates

Included as exhibits to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are "Certifications" of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer. The first form of certification is required in accordance with Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. This section of the Quarterly Report contains the information concerning the controls evaluation referred to in the Section 302 Certifications and this information should be read in conjunction with the Section 302 Certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed with the objective of ensuring that all information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), such as this report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its chief executive and chief financial officers, or person performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. However, any control system, no matter how well conceived and followed, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.

The company evaluated, under the supervision and with the participation of the company's management, including the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the design and operation of the company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, as amended), as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the company's Chief Executive Officer along with the company's Chief Financial Officer concluded that the company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in the company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2015, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Arbitral Award for the Taking of the Company's Venezuelan Operations

On March 13, 2015, the three member tribunal constituted under the rules of the World Bank's International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes ("ICSID") awarded subsidiaries of the company compensation, including accrued interest and costs, for the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela's ("Venezuela") expropriation of the investments of those subsidiaries in Venezuela. The award, issued in accordance with the provisions of the Venezuela-Barbados Bilateral Investment Treaty ("BIT"), represented \$46.4 million for the fair market value of the company's principal Venezuelan operating subsidiary, plus interest from May 8, 2009 to the date of payment of that amount accruing at an annual rate of 4.5% compounded quarterly (\$16.1 million as of December 31, 2015) and \$2.5 million for reimbursement of legal and other costs expended by the company in connection with the arbitration. The aggregate award is therefore \$64.3 million as of September 30, 2015. The nature of the investments expropriated and the progress of the ICSID proceeding were previously reported by the company in prior filings.

The company is committed to taking appropriate steps to enforce and collect the award, which is enforceable in any of the 150 member states that are party to the ICSID Convention. As an initial step, the company was successful in having the award recognized and entered on March 16, 2015 as a final judgment by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. In July 2015, Venezuela applied to ICSID to annul the award and obtained a provisional stay of enforcement. In August 2015, ICSID formed an annulment committee and the first hearing of the committee took place on November 23, 2015. At that hearing, the committee heard arguments on the company's motion to lift the provisional stay of enforcement with respect to all or a substantial portion of the award during the pendency of the annulment proceedings. Even in the absence of a stay of enforcement, the company recognizes that collection of the award may present significant practical challenges, particularly in the short term. Because the award has yet to be satisfied and post-award annulment proceedings are pending, the net impact of these matters on the company cannot be reasonably estimated at this time and the company has not recognized a gain related to these matters as of December 31, 2015.

Nigeria Marketing Agent Litigation

In October 2012, Tidewater Inc. notified its Nigerian marketing agent, Phoenix Tide Offshore Nigeria Limited, that it was discontinuing its relationship with the marketing agent and two of its principals (H.H. The Otunba Ayora Dr. Bola Kuforiji-Olubi, OON and Olutokunbo Afolabi Kuforiji). The company entered into a new strategic relationship with a different Nigerian marketing agent that it believes will better serve the company's long term interests in Nigeria. This new strategic relationship is currently functioning as the company intended.

On March 1, 2013, Tidewater filed suit in the London Commercial Court against Phoenix Tide Offshore Nigeria Limited, its prior marketing agent for breach of the agent's obligations under contractual agreements between the parties. The alleged breach involves actions of the Nigerian marketing agent to discourage various affiliates of TOTAL S.A. from paying approximately \$16 million (including U.S. dollar denominated invoices and Naira denominated invoices which have been adjusted for the devaluation of the Naira relative to the U.S. dollar) due to Tidewater for vessel services performed in Nigeria. Shortly after the London Commercial Court filing, TOTAL commenced interpleader proceedings in Nigeria naming the Nigerian agent and the company as respondents and seeking an order which would allow TOTAL to deposit those monies with a Nigerian court for the respondents to resolve. On April 25, 2013, Tidewater filed motions in the Nigerian Federal High Court to stop the interpleader proceedings in Nigeria or alternatively stay them until the resolution of the suit filed in London. The company will continue to actively pursue the collection of those monies. On April 30, 2013, the Nigerian marketing agent filed a separate suit in the Nigerian Federal High Court naming Tidewater and certain TOTAL affiliates as defendants. The suit seeks various declarations and orders, including a claim for the monies that are subject to the above interpleader proceedings, and other relief. The company is seeking dismissal of this suit and otherwise intends to vigorously defend against the claims made. On or about December 30, 2014, the company received notice that the Nigerian marketing agent had filed an action in the Nigerian Federal High court seeking to prevent the continuation of the proceedings initiated by Tidewater in the London Commercial Court. The company intends to vigorously defend that action.

The company has not reserved for this receivable and believes that the ultimate resolution of this matter will not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Other Items

Various legal proceedings and claims are outstanding which arose in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability, if any, with respect to these actions, will not have a material adverse effect on the company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. Information related to various commitments and contingencies, including legal proceedings, is disclosed in Note (7) of Notes to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this report.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes to the risk factors as previously disclosed in Item 1A in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2015, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 28, 2015.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. RESERVED

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The information required by this Item 6 is set forth in the Index to Exhibits accompanying this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized

TIDEWATER INC.

(Registrant)

Date: February 3, 2016

/s/ Jeffrey M. Platt

Jeffrey M. Platt
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated.

Date: February 3, 2016

/s/ Quinn P. Fanning

Quinn P. Fanning
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: February 3, 2016

/s/ Craig J. Demarest

Craig J. Demarest
Vice President, Principal Accounting Officer and Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Tidewater Inc. (filed with the Commission as Exhibit 3(a) to the company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1993, File No. 1-6311).
3.2	Tidewater Inc. Amended and Restated Bylaws dated May 17, 2012 (filed with the Commission as Exhibit 3.2 to the company's current report on Form 8-K on May 22, 2012, File No. 1-6311).
4.1	Note Purchase Agreement, dated July 1, 2003, by and among Tidewater Inc., certain of its subsidiaries, and certain institutional investors (filed with the Commission as Exhibit 4 to the company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003, File No. 1-6311).
4.2	Note Purchase Agreement, dated September 9, 2010, by and among Tidewater Inc., certain of its subsidiaries, and certain institutional investors (filed with the Commission as Exhibit 10.1 to the company's current report on Form 8-K on September 15, 2010, File No. 1-6311).
4.3	Note Purchase Agreement, dated September 30, 2013, by and among Tidewater Inc., certain of its subsidiaries, and certain institutional investors (filed with the Commission as Exhibit 10.1 to the company's current report on Form 8-K on October 3, 2013, File No. 1-6311).
10.1* +	Amendment Number Five to the Tidewater Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan.
10.2* +	Tidewater Inc. Directors Restricted Stock Unit Program.
15*	Letter re Unaudited Interim Financial Information
31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1*	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase.
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase.
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase.
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase.

* Filed herewith

+ Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

**AMENDMENT NUMBER FIVE
TO THE
TIDEWATER INC. SUPPLEMENTAL EXECUTIVE RETIREMENT PLAN**

PREAMBLE

WHEREAS , Tidewater Inc. (“Company”) is the sponsor of the Tidewater Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (“Plan”), which was adopted effective July 1, 1991;

WHEREAS , the Plan has been amended from time to time, and was restated effective January 1, 2008, and most recently amended by Amendment Number Four executed December 27, 2010;

WHEREAS , the Board of Directors of the Company delegated to the Employee Benefits Committee (the “Committee”) the authority to approve all amendments that do not effect material substantive changes to the terms of the Plan or that are strictly procedural as determined by the Committee; however, any amendment that would result in a material increase in the cost of the Plan to the Company or to the benefits provided shall be considered to be a material substantive amendment;

WHEREAS, Committee wishes to amend the Plan to provide that a Participant who has a change in status as an officer of Tidewater Inc. will continue to be eligible for benefits , provided such Participant continues to serve as an officer of Tidewater Inc. or an Affiliated Company;

WHEREAS, the Committee wishes to amend the Plan to provide that all references to the Retirement Plan, the Retirement Plan account balance and Retirement Plan definitions shall be replaced with references from the Tidewater Savings and Retirement Plan;

WHEREAS , the Committee wishes to amend the Plan to (i) clarify the form of payment following changes in marital status and (ii) provide a guaranteed period of payment to the Employee who continues employment past age 65 and there is no spouse upon the Employee’s death;

NOW, THEREFORE , the Committee hereby amends the Plan, effective January 1, 2015, to read as follows:

I.

Article 4, **Eligibility** , is amended and restated to read as follows:

To be eligible to participate in this Plan, an Employee must satisfy the following conditions, (a) and (b):

- (a) The Employee must be a Participant in the Pension Plan or Retirement Plan;
- (b) The Employee must serve as the Chief Executive Officer, the President, Chief Operating Officer, a Vice President or the Corporate Controller of the Employer.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, an Eligible Employee who is a Participant shall continue to accrue a benefit hereunder without regard to whether his status as an officer of Tidewater Inc. has changed, provided such Participant continues to serve as an officer of Tidewater Inc. or an Affiliated Company. To the extent necessary, a Participant will receive additional periods of service in order to obtain a Supplemental Pension Benefit that is determined in accordance with the preceding sentence.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Board of Directors, upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee, may in its discretion determine to increase benefits hereunder, credit an Eligible Employee with an additional period of service hereunder, or change the date (but not retroactively) on which benefits cease to accrue for an Employee or terminating Employee. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the Plan may not be amended to preclude the participation in the Plan, on the same basis as other Eligible Employees, of the person serving on October 1, 1999 as the Chief Executive Officer, the President, a Vice President or the Corporate Controller of the Employer, as long as such person continues to serve in such position or in any equivalent or higher position.

An Employee who satisfies the above conditions is referred to as an “Eligible Employee.” An Eligible Employee who accrues a benefit under this Plan is referred to as a “Participant.” **Effective March 4, 2010, no employee shall become a new Participant in this Plan.**

II.

All references to the “Retirement Plan” are changed to read “Tidewater Savings and Retirement Plan” and the following provisions are restated to reflect this change: paragraph (a)(ii)(A) and paragraph (d) of Article 6, **Amount of Supplemental Pension Benefit for Eligible Employees who are not covered under the Pension Plan**, to read as follows:

- (a)(ii)(A) contribution of 3% of compensation, as defined in the Tidewater Savings and Retirement Plan, commencing no earlier than the first month following one year of employment; such contributions are assumed made to the Tidewater Savings and Retirement Plan at the end of the plan year;
- (d) An Eligible Employee who is not entitled to benefits under Section 5.02 of the Tidewater Savings and Retirement Plan is not entitled to supplemental pension benefits under this Article (except as otherwise provided at Article 5 and in a Change of Control Agreement, if any, between the Eligible Employee and the Employer).

III.

The following paragraphs are added after the third paragraph under Section 8.1, **Time and Form of Payout**, to read as follows:

Nonqualified plans are subject to Section 409A of the Code and, other than as stated herein, exempt from ERISA spousal consent requirements. The spousal consent rules will apply to any change in the form of payment but only as to the spouse, if any, who was married to the Participant at the time of an initial election and married to the Participant when new elections or changes to past elections are made. A joint and survivor annuity will change to a single life annuity when there is a change in marital status. If a single life annuity or a non-annuity form of payment has been elected, the form of payment is not affected by a change in marital status. Only the spouse at the time of the time of the initial election will have spousal consent rights and only while married to the Participant. Any change in form of payment is subject to the Irrevocable Election provisions at Section 8.2.

IV.

Section 8.5, **Payment following Death**, is amended and restated to read as follows:

If the Employee's spouse is surviving at the Employee's death, the spouse will receive a 50% survivor spouse annuity. If there is no spouse at the Employee's death, a benefit will not be paid. However, if the Employee continues employment past age 65 and there is no spouse at the Employee's death, his beneficiary or estate will receive a benefit for a 20 year guaranteed term. The benefit will be determined as if the Employee had retired on the date of his death. The benefit will commence as of the first of the month following the Employee's death. The non-spouse beneficiary's benefit is actuarially adjusted to reflect the length of the payment period. If (i) the beneficiary or beneficiaries, should die before such total guaranteed number of payments have been made, the remaining payments will be made to the estate of such beneficiary, or beneficiaries (or, if designated by the payee, to a secondary beneficiary or beneficiaries), or (ii) there is no surviving designated beneficiary upon the payee's death, any remaining guaranteed payments will be made to the payee's estate. The Committee may in its discretion elect to convert the benefit to an Actuarially Equivalent (as defined in the Pension Plan) single lump sum, subject to irrevocable election provisions of Code Section 409A and applicable guidance. If the Employee's death is after the benefits have commenced, within the six month delay period, or other administrative delay following an event that triggers a payment obligation, the benefits will continue based upon the applicable form and this provision will not apply.

Signatures are on the next page.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF , the parties hereto have caused this amendment to be executed this 30th day of December, 2015.

WITNESSES: TIDEWATER INC.

By: /s/ Joseph M. Bennett
Joseph M. Bennett
Executive VP and Chief Investor

Relations Officer

{N2291916.3}

**TIDEWATER INC.
DIRECTORS RESTRICTED STOCK UNIT PROGRAM**

1. Purpose of the Plan.

The purpose of the Tidewater Inc. Directors RSU Program is to promote the interests of Tidewater Inc. (the “Company”) and its stockholders by strengthening the Company’s ability to attract, motivate, and retain directors of experience and ability, and to encourage the highest level of director performance by providing directors with a proprietary interest in the Company’s financial success and growth.

2. Definitions.

Certain terms used herein are defined as follows:

2.1 “Award” means an award of RSUs pursuant to this Program and the applicable Equity Plan.

2.2 “Award Notice” means any written or electronic notice of grant, evidencing any Award.

2.3 “Board” means the Board of Directors of the Company.

2.4 “Change of Control” means a “Change of Control” as defined in the applicable Equity Plan, provided such event also constitutes a change in ownership or effective control of the Company or a change in the ownership of a substantial portion of the Company’s assets, as such terms are defined in Section 409A.

2.5 “Committee” means the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board or a subcommittee thereof. The Committee shall consist of not fewer than two members of the Board, each of whom shall qualify as a “non-employee director” under Rule 16b-3 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or any successor rule.

2.6 “Common Stock” means the common stock, \$0.10 par value per share, of the Company.

2.7 “Company” or “Tidewater” means Tidewater Inc., a Delaware corporation.

2.8 “Compensation Amount” shall mean the dollar amount of compensation that each Director shall receive in the form of RSUs each year, issued under the Equity Plan. The initial Compensation Amount shall be \$115,000 and may be changed from time to time by the Committee.

2.9 “Director” means a member of the Board who is not employed by the Company or any of its subsidiaries.

2.10 “ Equity Plan ” means the Tidewater Inc. 2014 Stock Incentive Plan, as it may be amended from time to time in accordance with its terms, or any successor equity incentive plan of the Company as approved by the Company’s stockholders, as in effect on the date of grant of a given Award.

2.11 “ Fair Market Value ” means (a) if the Common Stock is listed on an established stock exchange or any automated quotation system that provides sale quotations, the closing sale price for a share of the Common Stock on such exchange or quotation system on the applicable date, or if no sale of the Common Stock shall have been made on that day, the closing sale price on the next preceding day on which there was a sale of the Common Stock; (b) if the Common Stock is not listed on any exchange or quotation system, but bid and asked prices are quoted and published, the mean between the quoted bid and asked prices on the applicable date, and if bid and asked prices are not available on such day, the closing sale price on the next preceding day on which such prices were available; and (c) if the Common Stock is not regularly quoted, the fair market value of a share of Common Stock on the applicable date as established by the Committee in good faith.

2.12 “ Immediate Family Members ” mean a Participant’s spouse and any natural or adopted children and grandchildren of the Participant or his or her spouse.

2.13 “ Participant ” means a Director who receives an Award pursuant to this Program and the Equity Plan.

2.14 “ Program ” means this Tidewater Inc. Directors RSU Program, as it may be amended, restated, supplemented, or otherwise modified from time to time in accordance with its terms.

2.15 “ Restricted Stock Unit ” or “ RSU ” means the right to future delivery of a share of Common Stock granted as part of an Award under, and pursuant to the terms and conditions of, Section 4 of this Program and the Equity Plan.

2.16 “ RSU Account ” means the bookkeeping account maintained for each Participant and maintained in accordance with Section 5.3 and the applicable Equity Plan that reflects (a) all RSUs granted to him or her as part of an Award pursuant to this Program and the Equity Plan, (b) all RSUs added as a result of dividend equivalents deemed to be reinvested in RSUs, and (c) any cash, securities, or property distributions that were not deemed reinvested in additional RSUs.

2.17 “ Section 409A ” means Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and the regulations and guidance issued thereunder.

2.18 “ Separation from Service ” means “separation from service” as a Director as such term is defined under Section 409A.

3. Administration of the Program.

3.1 Administrator. The Program shall be administered by the Committee, which shall have the power to interpret the Program and, subject to its provisions, to prescribe, amend and rescind Program rules and to make all determinations necessary for the Program’s

administration. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Board shall have the authority to amend or discontinue the Program as provided in Section 8.

3.2 Committee’s Authority. All action taken by the Committee in the administration and interpretation of the Program shall be final and binding upon all parties. No member of the Committee or of the Board will be liable for any action or determination made in good faith by the Committee or the Board with respect to the Program or any RSUs.

3.3 Automatic Grants Only. Neither the Committee nor the Board has the authority to make discretionary grants of RSUs under the Program, although, for the avoidance of doubt, each retains all authority granted pursuant to the Equity Plan. Grants of RSUs under this Program shall be made only as provided in Section 4.

4. Grant of RSUs.

4.1 Source of Shares. All Awards and the issuance of shares of Common Stock in settlement of the RSUs subject to Award granted pursuant to this Program shall be made under, and subject to all of the terms and conditions of, the Equity Plan, which shall be incorporated by reference into each Award Notice. In the event of any inconsistency between the Equity Plan and Award Notice on the one hand and this Program on the other, the Equity Plan and Award Notice shall control. This Program does not constitute a separate source of shares of Common Stock for equity awards to Directors.

4.2 Automatic Annual Grant. Beginning on March 31, 2017 and on each succeeding March 31 that the Program remains in effect, each Participant who has served as a Director since the preceding March 31 shall be automatically granted, pursuant to the Equity Plan and this Program, an Award consisting of a number of RSUs having an aggregate value equal to the Compensation Amount for that year. The number of RSUs to be granted each year will be determined by dividing the Compensation Amount by the Fair Market Value of a share of Common Stock on the applicable March 31 and rounding up to the nearest whole number of RSUs. Each such Award shall be compensation for the fiscal year ending on that grant date.

4.3 Pro Rata Grants for Partial Year Service.

(a) While the Plan remains in effect, a person who becomes a Director during the fiscal year shall be automatically granted, pursuant to the Equity Plan and this Program, an Award consisting of a *pro rata* number of RSUs on the following March 31, calculated as follows (rounding up to the nearest whole number):

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 \text{Compensation Amount} & \times & \begin{array}{l} \text{Number of calendar days between the date} \\ \text{the person becomes a Director and the} \\ \text{following March 31} \\ \hline 365 \end{array} & = & \begin{array}{l} \text{Pro rata portion of} \\ \text{Compensation} \\ \text{Amount} \end{array} \\
 \\
 \begin{array}{l} \text{Pro rata portion of} \\ \hline \text{Compensation Amount} \\ \text{Fair Market Value of a share} \\ \text{of Common Stock on the March 31} \\ \text{following the date the new} \end{array} & & & & \text{=Number of RSUs granted}
 \end{array}$$

Director joined the Board

(b) While the Plan remains in effect, a person who has a Separation from Service as a Director during the fiscal year for any reason shall be automatically granted, pursuant to the Equity Plan and this Program, an Award consisting of a *pro rata* number of RSUs effective on the date the Director has a Separation from Service, calculated as follows (rounding up to the nearest whole number):

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Compensation Amount} \times \frac{\text{Number of calendar days between the} \\ & \text{preceding March 31 and the date the } \underline{\text{person}} \\ & \text{ceased to be a Director}}{365} = \text{Pro rata portion of} \\ & \text{Compensation} \\ & \text{Amount} \\ & \frac{\text{Pro rata portion of} \\ & \underline{\text{Compensation Amount}}}{\text{Fair Market Value of a share} \\ & \text{of Common Stock on the date the} \\ & \text{Director has a Separation} \\ & \text{from Service}} = \text{Number of RSUs granted} \end{aligned}$$

(c) While the Plan remains in effect, in the event of a Change of Control during the fiscal year, a Director shall be automatically granted, pursuant to the Equity Plan and this Program, an Award consisting of a *pro rata* number of RSUs immediately prior to the effectiveness of the Change of Control, calculated as follows (rounding up to the nearest whole number):

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Compensation Amount} \times \frac{\text{Number of calendar days} \\ & \text{between the preceding} \\ & \text{March 31 and the date of} \\ & \text{the } \underline{\text{Change of Control}}}{365} = \text{Pro rata portion of} \\ & \text{Compensation Amount} \\ & \frac{\text{Pro rata portion of} \\ & \underline{\text{Compensation Amount}}}{\text{Fair Market Value of a share} \\ & \text{of Common Stock on the date} \\ & \text{preceding the Change of Control}} = \text{Number of RSUs granted} \end{aligned}$$

5. Terms and Conditions of RSUs.

5.1 Subject to the terms, conditions, and restrictions set forth in this Program or in an Award Notice, each RSU granted subject to an Award pursuant to this Program and the Equity Plan represents the right to automatically receive from the Company one share of Common Stock. Each RSU will be vested immediately upon grant, but delivery of the share of Common Stock will be deferred as provided in this Section 5.

5.2 As provided in this Section 5.2, settlement and payout of each Award shall be made upon the earlier to occur of (1) a Change of Control; (2) the date(s) provided on the

deferral election form (the “ Deferral Election Form ”) submitted by the Director or, if no such election is made, the default date provided in Section 5.2(c); or (3) the Director’s death.

(a) Any Deferral Election Form must be submitted prior to the beginning of the calendar year in which the services for which such Award is to be granted are performed. For example, a deferral election for an Award to be issued March 31, 2017 for services rendered from April 1, 2016 through March 31, 2017 must be completed, executed, and delivered to the Company no later than December 31, 2015. A new Director may make an initial deferral election, with respect to compensation for services to be performed after the election, within 30 days after the date the Director first joins the Board and is eligible to participate in the Plan.

(b) The Deferral Election Form permits each Director to elect to receive settlement of an Award (i) on his or her Termination Date; (ii) on any one of the first five anniversaries of the date of grant, provided the Director at the time of the election has met the Company’s then-current Stock Ownership Guidelines; or (iii) the earlier to occur of (i) or (ii) (the earliest selected date for such Award, the “ Trigger Date ”). The Director may elect to receive settlement and payout of such Award either (x) all at once on the 15th day following the Trigger Date or (y) in substantially equal annual installments over a period of no less than two and no more than five years beginning on the 15th day following the Trigger Date and continuing on the applicable number of anniversary dates of such initial payment date.

(c) If a Participant does not submit an initial Deferral Election Form, the Participant shall be deemed to have chosen to receive settlement of the Award on the 15th day following his or her Termination Date. Once a Participant submits a Deferral Election Form with respect to a particular Award, such election will remain in force for Awards granted in future years unless he or she revokes that election by submitting a new Deferral Election Form within the timeframe required by Section 5.2(a).

(d) Notwithstanding any election made by a Participant, (i) in the event of a Change of Control, all outstanding Awards shall settle and be paid out in shares of Common Stock to each Participant at the closing of the Change of Control and (ii) in the event of a Participant’s death, all of his or her outstanding Awards shall be settle and be paid out in shares of Common Stock in accordance with Section 7.5 within 15 days of the Participant’s death.

(e) After the deadline for filing a Deferral Election Form has passed with respect to a given Award, a Participant may elect to further defer receipt of the payout of the RSUs in that Award for an additional five-year period by completing a subsequent Deferral Election Form. Any such election must comply with the rules of Section 409A governing subsequent deferral elections, including that (i) the election will not take effect for at least 12 months, (ii) the election must be made at least 12 months prior to the scheduled payment date, and (iii) the new date for payout must be at least five years after the scheduled payment date.

5.3 Each Award shall be credited to an RSU Account for each Participant. Each RSU Account shall reflect the number of RSUs awarded to the Participant in a given Award, as such number may be adjusted under the terms of the Equity Plan, as well as any additional RSUs, cash, or other property credited as a result of dividend equivalents, administered as follows:

(a) The RSU Account shall be for recordkeeping purposes only, and no assets or other amounts shall be set aside from the Company's general assets with respect to such RSU Account.

(b) If the Company declares a cash dividend payable any time between the time an RSU is granted to a Participant and the date the RSU settles in shares of Common Stock, the Participant shall be credited with an amount equal to any cash that would have been received as a dividend had his or her outstanding RSUs been shares of Common Stock as of the record date with respect to which such cash dividend is to be paid. The Company shall credit such amount to the Participant's RSU Account, converting it into additional RSUs based on the Fair Market Value of a share of Common Stock on the date of payment, rounding to the nearest whole RSU.

(c) If dividends are declared and paid in the form of shares of Common Stock rather than cash, then each RSU Account shall be credited with one additional RSU for each share of Common Stock that would have been received as a dividend had the Participant's outstanding RSUs been shares of Common Stock on such date.

(d) Except as otherwise provided in this Section 5.3, if any dividends or distributions are made in securities or property other than cash or shares of Common Stock, each RSU Account shall be credited with the Fair Market Value, in cash, of any such dividends or distributions that would have been received had the Participant's outstanding RSUs been shares of Common Stock on such date. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Committee may, in its discretion, deposit in each Participant's RSU Account the securities or property comprising such dividend or distribution in lieu of crediting the RSU Account with the cash Fair Market Value of such dividend or distribution.

(e) Any additional RSUs credited via dividend equivalents or any other securities or property credited to a Participant's Account as provided in this Section 5.3 shall settle and be paid out at the same time and on the same terms as the RSUs to which they relate.

5.4 Once shares of Common Stock have been issued to a Participant in settlement of his or her RSUs, the Participant is free to hold or dispose of such shares, subject to applicable securities laws and any internal Company policy then in effect and applicable to the Participant, such as Tidewater's Policy Statement on Insider Trading and Director Stock Ownership Guidelines. Notwithstanding the foregoing and except as provided in Section 5.3, an RSU shall not entitle the Participant to any incidents of ownership (including, without limitation, dividend and voting rights) in any share of Common Stock.

5.5 Notwithstanding anything in this Program to the contrary, the Company may elect to settle an RSU in whole or in part in cash if, as of the date of payment, an insufficient number of shares of Common Stock remain available for grant under the Equity Plan.

6. Adjustment Provisions.

In the event of any recapitalization, reclassification, stock dividend, stock split, combination of shares or other change in the Common Stock, the number of outstanding RSUs granted pursuant to this Program and the Equity Plan and credited to an RSU Account shall be equitably adjusted in proportion to the change in outstanding shares of Common Stock, as provided in the applicable Equity Plan.

7. General Provisions.

7.1 Nothing in the Plan or in any instrument executed pursuant to the Plan will confer upon any Participant any right to continue as a Director or affect the right of the Company to terminate the services of any Participant.

7.2 No Awards, RSUs, or rights to payments or shares of Common Stock hereunder may be transferred, pledged, assigned or otherwise encumbered by a Participant except:

(a) by will;

(b) by the laws of descent and distribution; or

(c) (i) to Immediate Family Members, (ii) to a partnership in which the Participant and/or Immediate Family Members, or entities in which the Participant and/or Immediate Family Members are the sole owners, members or beneficiaries, as appropriate, are the sole partners, (iii) to a limited liability company in which the Participant and/or Immediate Family Members, or entities in which the Participant and/or Immediate Family Members are the sole owners, members or beneficiaries, as appropriate, are the sole members, (iv) to a trust for the sole benefit of the participant and/or Immediate Family Members, or (v) to a charity or other non-profit organization.

Any attempted assignment, transfer, pledge, hypothecation or other disposition of an Award, RSU, or right to payment thereunder or levy of attachment, or similar process upon an Award, RSU, or right to payment hereunder not specifically permitted herein, shall be null and void and without effect.

7.3 Each Award shall be evidenced by an Award Notice, including terms and conditions consistent with this Program and the Equity Plan, as the Committee may determine.

7.4 The Program is intended to comply with the requirements of Section 409A and shall be construed accordingly.

7.5 Each Participant shall have the right, at any time, to designate a Beneficiary or Beneficiaries to receive, in the event of the Participant's death, those benefits payable under the Program and the Equity Plan. The Beneficiary(ies) designated under the Program may be the same as or different from the Beneficiary designation made under any other plan of the Company. If a Participant fails to designate a Beneficiary, or if all designated Beneficiaries predecease the Participant or die prior to complete distribution of the Participant's benefits, then the Participant's designated Beneficiary shall be deemed to be his surviving spouse. If the Participant has no surviving spouse, the benefits remaining under the Program and the Equity Plan shall be payable to the executor or personal representative of the Participant's estate. The payment of benefits under this Program and the Equity Plan to a Beneficiary shall fully and completely discharge the Company and the Committee from all further obligations under this Program and the Equity Plan with respect to the Participant.

7.6 Participants and their Beneficiaries, heirs, successors and assigns shall have no legal or equitable rights, interests, or claims in any property or assets of the Company. For purposes of the payment of benefits under this Program, any and all of the Company's assets,

February 3, 2016

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Tidewater Inc.

We have reviewed, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the unaudited interim financial information of Tidewater Inc. and subsidiaries for the periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, as indicated in our report dated February 3, 2016; because we did not perform an audit, we expressed no opinion on that information.

We are aware that our report referred to above, which is included in your Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2015, is incorporated by reference in Registration Statements No. 333-66054, No. 333-136407, No. 333-164847, and No. 333-201954 on Form S-8.

We also are aware that the aforementioned report, pursuant to Rule 436(c) under the Securities Act of 1933, is not considered a part of the Registration Statement prepared or certified by an accountant or a report prepared or certified by an accountant within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of that Act.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New Orleans, Louisiana

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14 OR 15d-14 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Jeffrey M. Platt, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Tidewater Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a - 15(e) and 15d - 15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 3, 2016

/s/ Jeffrey M. Platt

Jeffrey M. Platt

President and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14 OR 15d-14 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Quinn P. Fanning, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Tidewater Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a - 15(e) and 15d - 15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 3, 2016

/s/ Quinn P. Fanning

Quinn P. Fanning

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Tidewater Inc. (the "company") for the quarter ending December 31, 2015 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), We, Jeffrey M. Platt, President and Chief Executive Officer, and Quinn P. Fanning, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, each hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of his knowledge:

- 1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the company

Date: February 3, 2016

/s/ Jeffrey M. Platt

Jeffrey M. Platt

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: February 3, 2016

/s/ Quinn P. Fanning

Quinn P. Fanning

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement has been provided to the company and will be retained by the company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

The certification the registrant furnishes in this exhibit is not deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that Section. Registration Statements or other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission shall not incorporate this exhibit by reference, except as otherwise expressly stated