
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K/A
(Amendment No. 3)

CURRENT REPORT
Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d)
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): March 1, 2019 (May 7, 2018)

Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation)

001-38485
(Commission
File Number)

32-0546926
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

c/o Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC
400 Crossing Blvd., 3rd Floor
Bridgewater, New Jersey
(Address of principal executive offices)

08807
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (908) 409-6700

N/A

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

- Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
- Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
- Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
- Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an emerging growth company as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933 (§230.405 of this chapter) or Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (§240.12b-2 of this chapter).

Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Explanatory Note

As previously reported under Item 2.01 in the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the “Company”), on May 7, 2018, as amended by Amendment No. 1 on Form 8-K/A filed by the Company on May 10, 2018 and Amendment No. 2 on Form 8-K/A filed by the Company on July 12, 2018 (as so amended, the “Original Report”), the transactions (the “Business Combination Transactions”) pursuant to the Business Combination Agreement, dated as of October 17, 2017, as amended, by and among the Company, Impax Laboratories, LLC (f/k/a Impax Laboratories, Inc.) (“Impax”), K2 Merger Sub Corporation and Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC (“Amneal”), were consummated on May 4, 2018, and each of Impax and Amneal became subsidiaries of the Company.

This Amendment No. 3 should be read in conjunction with the Original Report and amends the Original Report to provide certain additional historical financial information for Impax and certain unaudited pro forma information, which was provided in the Original Report as required by Item 9.01(a) and Item 9.01(b) of Form 8-K in connection with the Business Combination Transactions and should be read in conjunction therewith. Except as set forth herein, no other modifications have been made to the Original Report.

Item 9.01 Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(a) Financial Statements of Business Acquired.

The audited consolidated balance sheets of Impax as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the related audited consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive (loss) income, changes in stockholders’ equity and cash flows for Impax for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2017, and the notes and schedule thereto, including the related report of the independent registered public accounting firm thereon are filed herewith as Exhibit 99.1 and incorporated by reference herein.

The unaudited consolidated balance sheet of Impax as of March 31, 2018, the related unaudited consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive (loss) income and cash flows for Impax for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and March 31, 2017, and the notes thereto are filed herewith as Exhibit 99.2 and incorporated by reference herein.

(b) Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Business Acquired.

“Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” of Impax for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 is filed herewith as Exhibit 99.3 and incorporated by reference herein.

(c) Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Business Acquired.

“Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” of Impax for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and March 31, 2017 is filed herewith as Exhibit 99.4 and incorporated by reference herein.

(e) Pro Forma Financial Information.

The unaudited pro forma condensed combined statements of operations of the Company for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, and the notes thereto are filed herewith as Exhibit 99.5 and incorporated by reference herein.

(f) Exhibits.

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
99.1*	<u>Audited consolidated balance sheets of Impax as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the related audited consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive (loss) income, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for Impax for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, and the notes and schedule thereto, including the related report of the independent registered public accounting firm thereon.</u>
99.2*	<u>Unaudited consolidated balance sheet of Impax as of March 31, 2018, unaudited consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive (loss) income, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for Impax for the three months ended March 31, 2018, and the notes thereto.</u>
99.3*	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Impax for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.</u>
99.4*	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Impax for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and March 31, 2017.</u>
99.5*	<u>Unaudited pro forma condensed combined statements of operations of the Company for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.</u>

* Filed herewith

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, hereunto duly authorized.

Date: March 1, 2019

AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

By: /s/ Todd P. Branning

Name: Todd P. Branning

Title: Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders
Impax Laboratories, Inc.:

Opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Impax Laboratories, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive (loss) income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes and the consolidated financial statement schedule, "Schedule II—Valuation and Qualifying Accounts" (collectively, the consolidated financial statements). In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2017, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated March 1, 2018 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Basis for Opinion

These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ KPMG LLP

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2011.

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
March 1, 2018

IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 181,778	\$ 180,133
Accounts receivable, net	240,753	257,368
Inventory, net	158,471	175,230
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	21,086	14,897
Income tax receivable	61,201	3,513
Assets held for sale	32,266	—
Total current assets	695,555	631,141
Property, plant and equipment, net	124,813	233,372
Intangible assets, net	262,467	620,466
Goodwill	207,329	207,329
Deferred income taxes, net	—	69,866
Other non-current assets	61,136	60,844
Total assets	<u>\$ 1,351,300</u>	<u>\$ 1,823,018</u>
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 81,093	\$ 58,952
Accrued expenses	248,127	244,653
Liabilities held for sale	7,170	—
Current portion of long-term debt, net	17,848	17,719
Total current liabilities	354,238	321,324
Long-term debt, net	769,524	813,545
Deferred income taxes	3,226	—
Other non-current liabilities	37,111	64,175
Total liabilities	<u>1,164,099</u>	<u>1,199,044</u>
Commitments and contingencies (Note 18)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; No shares issued or outstanding at December 31, 2017 and 2016	—	—
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 150,000,000 shares authorized; 74,234,076 issued and 73,990,347 outstanding shares at December 31, 2017; 73,948,340 issued and 73,704,611 outstanding shares at December 31, 2016	742	739
Treasury stock at cost: 243,729 shares at December 31, 2017 and 2016	(2,157)	(2,157)
Additional paid-in capital	559,632	535,056
Retained (deficit) earnings	(372,445)	98,192
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	1,429	(7,856)
Total stockholders' equity	<u>187,201</u>	<u>623,974</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 1,351,300</u>	<u>\$ 1,823,018</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Revenues:			
Impax Generics, net	\$ 549,077	\$ 606,320	\$ 710,932
Impax Specialty Pharma, net	226,710	218,109	149,537
Total revenues, net	<u>775,787</u>	<u>824,429</u>	<u>860,469</u>
Cost of revenues	535,123	486,899	500,762
Cost of revenues impairment charges	96,865	488,632	7,303
Gross profit (loss)	<u>143,799</u>	<u>(151,102)</u>	<u>352,404</u>
Operating expenses:			
Selling, general and administrative	216,270	201,830	201,287
Research and development	80,847	80,466	70,622
In-process research and development impairment charges	192,809	52,965	6,360
Fixed asset impairment charges	82,508	—	—
Change in fair value of contingent consideration	(31,048)	—	—
Patent litigation	5,105	7,819	4,567
Total operating expenses	<u>546,491</u>	<u>343,080</u>	<u>282,836</u>
(Loss) income from operations	<u>(402,692)</u>	<u>(494,182)</u>	<u>69,568</u>
Other income (expense):			
Interest expense, net	(53,412)	(40,419)	(26,226)
Reserve for Turing receivable	(3,999)	(40,312)	—
Gain on sale of assets	17,236	175	45,574
Loss on debt extinguishment	(1,215)	—	(16,903)
Net change in fair value of derivatives	—	—	(13,000)
Other, net	(6,879)	(1,587)	355
(Loss) income before income taxes	(450,961)	(576,325)	59,368
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	18,326	(104,294)	20,371
Net (loss) income	<u>\$ (469,287)</u>	<u>\$ (472,031)</u>	<u>\$ 38,997</u>
Net (loss) income per common share:			
Basic	<u>\$ (6.53)</u>	<u>\$ (6.63)</u>	<u>\$ 0.56</u>
Diluted	<u>\$ (6.53)</u>	<u>\$ (6.63)</u>	<u>\$ 0.54</u>
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:			
Basic	<u>71,856,950</u>	<u>71,147,397</u>	<u>69,640,417</u>
Diluted	<u>71,856,950</u>	<u>71,147,397</u>	<u>72,027,344</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME
(In thousands)

	<u>Years Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>
Net (loss) income	\$ (469,287)	\$ (472,031)	\$ 38,997
Other comprehensive (loss) income component:			
Currency translation adjustments	9,285	2,607	(4,454)
Comprehensive (loss) income	<u>\$ (460,002)</u>	<u>\$ (469,424)</u>	<u>\$ 34,543</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
(In thousands)

	Common Stock		Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained (deficit) Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
	Number of Shares	Par Value					
Balance, December 31, 2014	<u>71,228</u>	<u>\$ 714</u>	<u>\$ (2,157)</u>	<u>\$ 364,103</u>	<u>\$ 531,226</u>	<u>\$ (6,009)</u>	<u>\$ 887,877</u>
Net income	—	—	—	—	38,997	—	38,997
Other comprehensive loss:							
Currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	—	(4,454)	(4,454)
Exercises of stock options, issuances of restricted stock and sales of common stock under ESPP	1,698	15	—	(3,533)	—	—	(3,518)
Share-based compensation	—	—	—	28,613	—	—	28,613
Sale of warrants	—	—	—	88,320	—	—	88,320
Reclassification of derivatives to equity, net of related taxes	—	—	—	21,038	—	—	21,038
Tax benefit related to exercises of stock options and vestings of restricted stock	—	—	—	5,536	—	—	5,536
Balance, December 31, 2015	<u>72,926</u>	<u>729</u>	<u>(2,157)</u>	<u>504,077</u>	<u>570,223</u>	<u>(10,463)</u>	<u>1,062,409</u>
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(472,031)	—	(472,031)
Other comprehensive income:							
Currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	—	2,607	2,607
Exercises of stock options, issuances of restricted stock and sales of common stock under ESPP	1,022	10	—	(612)	—	—	(602)
Share-based compensation	—	—	—	32,180	—	—	32,180
Tax benefit related to exercises of stock options and vestings of restricted stock	—	—	—	(589)	—	—	(589)
Balance, December 31, 2016	<u>73,948</u>	<u>739</u>	<u>(2,157)</u>	<u>535,056</u>	<u>98,192</u>	<u>(7,856)</u>	<u>623,974</u>
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (Note 3)	—	—	—	1,350	(1,350)	—	—
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(469,287)	—	(469,287)
Other comprehensive income:							
Currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	—	9,285	9,285
Exercises of stock options, issuances of restricted stock and sales of common stock under ESPP	286	3	—	(2,855)	—	—	(2,852)
Share-based compensation	—	—	—	26,258	—	—	26,258
Other	—	—	—	(177)	—	—	(177)
Balance, December 31, 2017	<u>74,234</u>	<u>\$ 742</u>	<u>\$ (2,157)</u>	<u>\$ 559,632</u>	<u>\$ (372,445)</u>	<u>\$ 1,429</u>	<u>\$ 187,201</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net (loss) income	\$ (469,287)	\$ (472,031)	\$ 38,997
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	109,449	88,348	68,637
Non-cash interest expense	25,950	22,845	11,230
Share-based compensation expense	26,258	32,180	28,613
Deferred income taxes, net and uncertain tax positions	74,873	(127,405)	(29,558)
Intangible asset impairment charges	289,674	541,597	13,664
Reserve for Turing receivable	3,999	40,312	—
Fixed asset impairment charges	82,508	—	—
Gain on sale of assets	(17,236)	(175)	(45,574)
Loss on debt extinguishment	1,215	—	16,903
Change in fair value of contingent consideration	(31,048)	—	—
Net change in fair value of derivatives	—	—	13,000
Recognition of deferred revenue	—	—	(4,310)
Other	(1,018)	2,853	(81)
Changes in certain assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	12,552	26,771	(121,110)
Inventory	6,650	(45,561)	(14,035)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(65,576)	(573)	9,330
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	32,377	(27,949)	107,402
Other liabilities	2,882	2,638	(656)
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>84,222</u>	<u>83,850</u>	<u>92,452</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Payment for business acquisition (net of cash acquired)	(121)	(585,800)	(691,348)
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(26,749)	(49,402)	(25,199)
Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment	9,111	1,360	—
Payments for licensing agreements	(50)	(3,500)	(5,850)
Investment in cash surrender value of insurance	(4,750)	(4,750)	(4,750)
Proceeds from cash surrender value of insurance	529	—	—
Proceeds from repayment of Tolmar loan	—	15,000	—
Proceeds from sale of intangible assets	12,350	—	59,546
Maturities of short-term investments	—	—	200,064
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(9,680)</u>	<u>(627,092)</u>	<u>(467,537)</u>

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from sale of convertible notes	—	—	600,000
Proceeds from issuance of term loan	—	400,000	435,000
Repayment of term loan	(70,000)	(5,000)	(435,000)
Payment of deferred financing fees	(818)	(11,867)	(36,941)
Purchase of bond hedge derivative asset	—	—	(147,000)
Proceeds from sale of warrants	—	—	88,320
Payment of withholding taxes related to restricted stock awards	(4,231)	(9,842)	(14,990)
Proceeds from exercises of stock options and ESPP	1,379	9,239	11,472
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	<u>(73,670)</u>	<u>382,530</u>	<u>500,861</u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	773	494	(298)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1,645	(160,218)	125,478
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	180,133	340,351	214,873
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	<u>\$ 181,778</u>	<u>\$ 180,133</u>	<u>\$ 340,351</u>
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid for interest	\$ 28,374	\$ 18,139	\$ 15,365
Cash paid for income taxes, net	\$ 2,928	\$ 23,053	\$ 43,223
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing activity:			
Fair value of contingent consideration issued in business acquisition	\$ —	\$ 30,100	\$ —

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Operating and Reporting Structure

Impax Laboratories, Inc. (“Impax” or the “Company”) is a specialty pharmaceutical company that focuses on developing, manufacturing, marketing and distributing generic and branded pharmaceutical products. The Company has two reportable segments, referred to as “Impax Generics” and “Impax Specialty Pharma.” The Impax Generics division includes the Company’s legacy Global Pharmaceuticals business as well as the acquired businesses from the Company’s acquisition of Tower Holdings, Inc. (“Tower”) and its subsidiaries on March 9, 2015 (the “Tower Acquisition”).

The Impax Generics division focuses on a broad range of therapeutic areas, including products having technically challenging drug-delivery mechanisms or unique product formulations. In addition to developing solid oral dosage products, the Impax Generics division’s portfolio includes alternative dosage form products, primarily through alliance and collaboration agreements with third parties. Impax Generics develops, manufactures, sells, and distributes generic pharmaceutical products primarily through the following four sales channels: the “Impax Generics” sales channel, for generic pharmaceutical prescription products the Company sells directly to wholesalers, large retail drug chains, and others; the “Private Label” sales channel, for generic pharmaceutical over-the-counter (“OTC”) and prescription products the Company sells to unrelated third-party customers who, in turn, sell the product to third parties under their own label; the “Rx Partner” sales channel, for generic prescription products sold through unrelated third-party pharmaceutical entities under their own label pursuant to alliance agreements; and the “OTC Partner” sales channel, for generic pharmaceutical OTC products sold through unrelated third-party pharmaceutical entities under their own labels pursuant to alliance and supply agreements. Revenues from generic products are reported under the caption “Impax Generics, net.”

The Impax Specialty Pharma division includes the legacy Impax Pharmaceuticals business as well as the acquired business of Amedra Pharmaceuticals, LLC (“Amedra”) from the Tower Acquisition. The Company’s Impax Specialty Pharma division is focused on the development and promotion, through the Company’s specialty sales force, of proprietary branded pharmaceutical products for the treatment of central nervous system (“CNS”) disorders and other select specialty segments. Impax Specialty Pharma currently has one internally developed branded pharmaceutical product, Rytary® (IPX066), an extended release oral capsule formulation of carbidopa-levodopa for the treatment of Parkinson’s disease, post-encephalitic parkinsonism, and parkinsonism that may follow carbon monoxide intoxication and/or manganese intoxication, which was approved by the FDA on January 7, 2015 and which the Company began marketing in the United States (“U.S.”) in April 2015. The Company received marketing authorization from the European Commission for Numient® (the brand name of IPX066 outside of the United States) during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2015. In addition to Rytary®, Impax Specialty Pharma is also currently engaged in the sale and distribution of four other branded products; the more significant include Zomig® (zolmitriptan) products, indicated for the treatment of migraine headaches, under the terms of a Distribution, License, Development and Supply Agreement with AstraZeneca UK Limited (“AstraZeneca”) in the United States and in certain U.S. territories (as amended, the “AZ Agreement”), and Emverm® (mebendazole) 100 mg chewable tablets, indicated for the treatment of pinworm, whipworm, common roundworm, common hookworm, and American hookworm in single or mixed infections. Revenues from branded products are reported under the caption “Impax Specialty Pharma sales, net.” Impax Specialty Pharma also has a number of product candidates that are in varying stages of development. See “Note 20. Segment Information,” for financial information about our segments for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015.

Operating Locations

As of December 31, 2017, the Company owned and/or leased facilities in California, Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Taiwan, Republic of China (“R.O.C.”). In California, the Company utilizes a combination of owned and leased facilities mainly located in Hayward. The Company’s primary properties in California consist of a leased office building used as the Company’s corporate headquarters, in addition to four properties it owns, including a research and development center facility and a manufacturing facility. Additionally, the Company leases two

facilities in Hayward, utilized for additional research and development, equipment storage and quality assurance support. In Pennsylvania, the Company leases office space for sales and marketing, finance, and administrative personnel in Fort Washington. In New Jersey, the Company leases manufacturing, packaging, research and development and warehousing facilities in Middlesex and office space in Bridgewater.

During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company closed its Middlesex, New Jersey manufacturing facility and on February 6, 2018, we completed the sale of Impax Laboratories (Taiwan), Inc. (“Impax Taiwan”) through a stock and purchase agreement.

Business Combination with Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC

On October 17, 2017, the Company entered into a Business Combination Agreement (the “Business Combination Agreement”) with Atlas Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company (“Holdco”), K2 Merger Sub Corporation, a Delaware corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Holdco (“Merger Sub”), and Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC (“Amneal”). The Business Combination Agreement was unanimously approved by the board of directors of the Company on October 16, 2017. Consummation of the Transactions is subject to customary closing conditions, including, among other things, the approval of the Company’s stockholders holding a majority of the outstanding Company Common Stock entitled to vote. The Company and Amneal expect the merger to close in the first half of 2018. However, the Company cannot predict with certainty when, or if, the merger will be completed because completion of the merger is subject to conditions beyond the control of the Company.

At the closing (the “Closing”) of the transactions contemplated by the Business Combination Agreement (the “Transactions”), (i) Merger Sub will merge with and into the Company (the “Impax Merger”), with the Company surviving the Impax Merger as a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Holdco, (ii) each share of the Company’s common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the Impax Merger, other than Company Common Stock held by the Company in treasury, by Amneal or by any of their respective subsidiaries, will be converted into the right to receive one fully paid and nonassessable share of Class A common stock of Holdco, (“Holdco Class A Common Stock”), (iii) the Company will convert to a limited liability company pursuant to the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware and the Delaware Limited Liability Company Act, (iv) Holdco will contribute to Amneal all of Holdco’s equity interests in the Company to Amneal, in exchange for common units of Amneal, (v) Holdco will issue an aggregate number of shares of Class B common stock of Holdco, par value \$0.01 per share (“Holdco Class B Common Stock”, and together with Holdco Class A Common Stock, “Holdco Common Stock”) to the existing members of Amneal (the Existing “Amneal Members”) and (vi) Holdco will become the managing member of Amneal. Upon closing of the transactions, the combination will be accounted for as a business combination under the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 805, “*Business Combinations*,” with Amneal treated as the “acquirer” and Impax treated as the “acquired” company for financial reporting purposes. In connection with the Closing, Holdco will be renamed Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“New Amneal”).

Immediately following the Closing, (i) the Existing Amneal Members will hold 100% of Holdco Class B Common Stock, which, together with their common units of Amneal, will represent approximately 75% of the voting power and economic interests in New Amneal, and (ii) the Company’s stockholders immediately prior to the Closing will hold 100% of the Holdco Class A Common Stock, which will represent approximately 25% of the voting power and economic interests in New Amneal.

Consummation of the Transactions is subject to customary closing conditions, including, among other things, (i) the approval of the Company’s stockholders holding a majority of the outstanding Company Common Stock entitled to vote (the “Requisite Stockholder Approval”), (ii) expiration of the applicable waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, and (iii) NYSE listing approval for Holdco Class A Common Stock. The obligation to consummate the Transactions is also conditioned upon each party’s representations and warranties being true and correct (subject to certain materiality exceptions) and each party having performed in all material respects its obligations under the Business Combination Agreement.

The Business Combination Agreement contains customary and reciprocal representations and warranties of the Company and Amneal, many of which are subject to and qualified by materiality qualifiers. The Company and Amneal have also made customary covenants in the Business Combination Agreement regarding the operation of their respective businesses and the businesses of their respective subsidiaries in the ordinary course prior to the Closing.

The Business Combination Agreement also contains a customary “no shop” covenant prohibiting the Company from soliciting proposals for alternative proposals to acquire the Company, or providing information or participating in any discussions in connection with any such proposals. However, prior to adoption of the Business Combination Agreement by the Company’s stockholders, the Board may, in the exercise of its fiduciary duties, (i) withhold, withdraw, qualify or modify its recommendation that the Company’s stockholders adopt the Business Combination Agreement in connection with certain intervening events, or (ii) terminate the Business Combination Agreement to enter into an agreement in connection with an alternative proposal to acquire the Company that is more favorable to the Company’s stockholders from a financial point of view than the Transactions (a “Superior Proposal”), in each case, subject to complying with certain notice and other specified requirements, including giving Amneal the opportunity to propose revisions to the terms of the Transactions and the payment of the Termination Fee (as defined below).

Consummation of the Transactions is not subject to a financing condition. However, Amneal is required to use its reasonable best efforts to obtain financing to (i) fund repayment of the Company’s Notes and refinance the RBC Credit Facilities and (ii) refinance outstanding Amneal debt. The Company is required to use reasonable best efforts to provide cooperation in connection with the financing process.

The Business Combination Agreement may be terminated by each of the Company and Amneal under certain circumstances, including if the Closing does not occur on or before July 17, 2018 (the “Outside Date”). Amneal also has certain additional termination rights, including in connection with a change of the Impax Board’s recommendation that the Company’s stockholders adopt and approve the Business Combination Agreement. The Company is required to pay Amneal a termination fee of \$45.0 million (the “Termination Fee”) in connection with such a termination by Amneal, as well as under certain other circumstances, including if the Business Combination Agreement is terminated by the Company in connection with a Superior Proposal (as defined in the Business Combination Agreement). Additionally, Amneal will be entitled to reimbursement for up to \$15.0 million of its reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the Business Combination Agreement and the Transactions if the Business Combination Agreement is terminated due to the failure to obtain the Requisite Stockholder Approval.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

As of December 31, 2017, the consolidated financial statements of the Company include the accounts of the operating parent company, Impax Laboratories, Inc., its wholly owned subsidiaries, including Impax Laboratories USA, LLC, Impax Laboratories (Taiwan), Inc., ThoRx Laboratories, Inc., Impax International Holdings, Inc., Impax Holdings, LLC, Impax Laboratories (Netherlands) C.V., Impax Laboratories (Netherlands) B.V., Impax Laboratories Ireland Limited, Atlas Holdings, Inc., Lineage and Tower, including operating subsidiaries CorePharma LLC, Amedra Pharmaceuticals LLC, Mountain LLC and Trail Services, Inc., and Prohealth Biotech (Taiwan), Inc. (“Prohealth”). The Company acquired all the issued and outstanding share capital in Prohealth on October 24, 2017 and previously held a 57.54% majority ownership interest in the entity prior to such date. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“U.S. GAAP”) and the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission (“SEC”) requires the use of estimates and assumptions, based on complex judgments considered reasonable, which affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and contingent liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The most significant judgments are employed in estimates used in determining values of tangible and intangible assets, contingent consideration, legal contingencies, tax assets and tax liabilities, fair value of share-

based compensation related to equity incentive awards issued to employees and directors, and estimates used in applying the Company's revenue recognition policy, including those related to accrued chargebacks, rebates, product returns, Medicare, Medicaid, and other government rebate programs, shelf-stock adjustments, and the timing and amount of deferred and recognized revenue and deferred and amortized product manufacturing costs related to alliance and collaboration agreements. Actual results may differ from estimated results.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the presentation for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when the earnings process is complete, which under SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Topic No. 13, "Revenue Recognition" ("SAB 104"), is when revenue is realized or realizable and earned, there is persuasive evidence a revenue arrangement exists, delivery of goods or services has occurred, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured.

The Company accounts for material revenue arrangements which contain multiple deliverables in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 605-25, *Revenue Recognition—Multiple Element Arrangements* ("ASC 605-25"), which addresses the determination of whether an arrangement involving multiple deliverables contains more than one unit of accounting. A delivered item within an arrangement is considered a separate unit of accounting only if both of the following criteria are met:

- the delivered item has value to the customer on a stand-alone basis; and
- if the arrangement includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item, delivery or performance of the undelivered item is considered probable and substantially in the control of the vendor.

Under ASC Topic 605-25, if both of the criteria above are not met, then separate accounting for the individual deliverables is not appropriate. Revenue recognition for arrangements with multiple deliverables constituting a single unit of accounting is recognized generally over the greater of the term of the arrangement or the expected period of performance, either on a straight-line basis or on a modified proportional performance method.

The Company accounts for milestones related to research and development activities in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 605-28, *Revenue Recognition—Milestone Method* ("ASC 605-28"). ASC Topic 605-28 allows for the recognition of consideration, which is contingent on the achievement of a substantive milestone, in its entirety in the period the milestone is achieved. A milestone is considered to be substantive if all of the following criteria are met:

- the milestone is commensurate with either: (1) the performance required to achieve the milestone, or (2) the enhancement of the value of the delivered items resulting from the performance required to achieve the milestone;
- the milestone relates solely to past performance; and
- the milestone payment is reasonable relative to all of the deliverables and payment terms within the agreement.

Impax Generics revenues, net, and Impax Specialty Pharma revenues, net

The Impax Generics revenues, net and Impax Specialty Pharma revenues, net include revenue recognized related to shipments of generic and branded pharmaceutical products to the Company's customers, primarily drug wholesalers and retail chains. Gross sales revenue is recognized at the time title and risk of loss passes to the customer, which is generally when product is received by the customer. Net revenues may include deductions from the gross sales price related to estimates for chargebacks, rebates and administrative fees, distribution service fees, returns, shelf-stock adjustments, and other pricing adjustments. The Company records an estimate for these deductions in the same period when revenue is recognized. A description of each of these gross-to-net deductions follows.

- Chargebacks

The Company has agreements establishing contract prices for certain products with certain indirect customers, such as retail pharmacy chains, group purchasing organizations, managed care organizations, hospitals and government agencies who purchase products from drug wholesalers. The contract prices are lower than the prices the customer would otherwise pay to the wholesaler, and the price difference is referred to as a chargeback, which generally takes the form of a credit memo issued by the Company to reduce the invoiced gross selling price charged to the wholesaler. An estimated accrued provision for chargeback deductions is recognized at the time of product shipment. The primary factors considered when estimating the provision for chargebacks are the average historical chargeback credits given, the mix of products shipped, and the amount of inventory on hand at the major drug wholesalers with whom the Company does business. The Company also monitors actual chargebacks granted and compares them to the estimated provision for chargebacks to assess the reasonableness of the chargeback reserve at each quarterly balance sheet date.

- Rebates and Administrative Fees

The Company maintains various rebate and administrative fee programs with its customers in an effort to maintain a competitive position in the marketplace and to promote sales and customer loyalty. The rebates generally take the form of a credit memo to reduce the invoiced gross selling price charged to a customer for products shipped. An estimated accrued provision for rebate deductions is recognized at the time of product shipment. The primary factors the Company considers when estimating the provision for rebates are the average historical experience of aggregate credits issued, the mix of products shipped and the historical relationship of rebates as a percentage of total gross product sales, the contract terms and conditions of the various rebate programs in effect at the time of shipment, and the amount of inventory on hand at the major drug wholesalers with whom the Company does business. The Company also monitors actual rebates granted and compares them to the estimated provision for rebates to assess the reasonableness of the rebate reserve at each quarterly balance sheet date.

- Distribution Service Fees

The Company pays distribution service fees to several of its wholesaler customers related to sales of its Impax Products. The wholesalers are generally obligated to provide the Company with periodic outbound sales information as well as inventory levels of the Company's Impax Products held in their warehouses. Additionally, the wholesalers have agreed to manage the variability of their purchases and inventory levels within specified days on hand limits. An accrued provision for distribution service fees is recognized at the time products are shipped to wholesalers.

- Returns

The Company allows its customers to return product if approved by authorized personnel in writing or by telephone with the lot number and expiration date accompanying any request and if such products are returned within six months prior to or until twelve months following, the product's expiration date. The Company estimates and recognizes an accrued provision for product returns as a percentage of gross sales based upon historical experience. The product return reserve is estimated using a historical lag period, which is the time between when the product is sold and when it is ultimately returned, and estimated return rates which may be adjusted based on various assumptions including: changes to internal policies and procedures, business practices, commercial terms with customers, and the competitive position of each product; the amount of inventory in the wholesale and retail supply chain; the introduction of new products; and changes in market sales information. The Company also considers other factors, including significant market changes which may impact future expected returns, and actual product returns. The Company monitors actual returns on a quarterly basis and may record specific provisions for returns it believes are not covered by historical percentages.

- Shelf-Stock Adjustments

Based upon competitive market conditions, the Company may reduce the selling price of certain Impax Generics division products. The Company may issue a credit against the sales amount to a customer based upon their remaining inventory of the product in question, provided the customer agrees to continue to make future purchases of product from the Company. This type of customer credit is referred to as a shelf-stock adjustment, which is the difference between the initial sales price and the revised lower sales price, multiplied by an estimate of the number of product units on hand at a given date. Decreases in selling prices are discretionary decisions made by the Company in response to market conditions, including estimated launch dates of competing products and declines in market price. The Company records an estimate for shelf-stock adjustments in the period it agrees to grant such a credit memo to a customer.

- Cash Discounts

The Company offers cash discounts to its customers, generally 2% to 3% of the gross selling price, as an incentive for paying within invoice terms, which generally range from 30 to 90 days. An estimate of cash discounts is recorded in the same period when revenue is recognized.

- Medicaid and Other U.S. Government Pricing Programs

As required by law, the Company provides a rebate on drugs dispensed under the Medicaid program, Medicare Part D, TRICARE, and other U.S. government pricing programs. The Company determines its estimated government rebate accrual primarily based on historical experience of claims submitted by the various states and other jurisdictions and any new information regarding changes in the various programs which may impact the Company's estimate of government rebates. In determining the appropriate accrual amount, the Company considers historical payment rates and processing lag for outstanding claims and payments. The Company records estimates for government rebates as a deduction from gross sales, with a corresponding adjustment to accrued liabilities.

- Rx Partner and OTC Partner

The Rx Partner and OTC Partner contracts include revenue recognized under alliance and collaboration agreements between the Company and unrelated third-party pharmaceutical companies. The Company has entered into these alliance agreements to develop marketing and/or distribution relationships with its partners to fully leverage its technology platform.

The Rx Partners and OTC Partners alliance agreements obligate the Company to deliver multiple goods and/or services over extended periods. Such deliverables include manufactured pharmaceutical products, exclusive and semi-exclusive marketing rights, distribution licenses, and research and development services. In exchange for these deliverables the Company receives payments from its agreement partners for product shipments and research and development services, and may also receive other payments including royalties, profit sharing payments, and upfront and periodic milestone payments. Revenue received from the alliance agreement partners for product shipments under these agreements is not subject to deductions for chargebacks, rebates, product returns, and other pricing adjustments. Royalty and profit sharing amounts the Company receives under these agreements are calculated by the respective agreement partner, with such royalty and profit share amounts generally based upon estimates of net product sales or gross profit which include estimates of deductions for chargebacks, rebates, product returns, and other adjustments the alliance agreement partners may negotiate with their respective customers. The Company records the agreement partner's adjustments to such estimated amounts in the period the agreement partner reports the amounts to the Company.

The Company applies the updated guidance of FASB ASC Topic 605-25 to the Strategic Alliance Agreement, as amended with Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., an affiliate of Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Limited (the "Teva Agreement"). The Company looks to the underlying delivery of goods and/or services which give rise to the payment of consideration under the Teva Agreement to determine the appropriate revenue recognition. The Company initially defers consideration received as a result of research and development-related activities performed under the Teva Agreement. The Company recognizes deferred revenue on a straight-line basis over the expected period of performance for such services. Consideration received as a result of the manufacture and delivery of products under the Teva Agreement is recognized at the time title and risk of loss passes to the customer, which is generally when product is received by Teva. The Company recognizes profit share revenue in the period earned.

OTC Partner revenue was previously, related to agreements with Pfizer, Inc., formerly Wyeth LLC (“Pfizer”) and L. Perrigo Company (“Perrigo”) with respect to the supply of the Company’s over-the-counter pharmaceutical product Loratadine and Pseudoephedrine Sulfate 5 mg/120 mg 12-hour Extended Release Tablets (the “D12 Product”). Following the expiration of the Company’s obligation to supply the D12 Product to Pfizer and Perrigo as described below, the company does not currently sell any over-the-counter pharmaceutical products. The Company previously recognized profit share revenue in the period earned. During the quarter ended September 30, 2016, the Company sold the ANDAs for both the D12 Product and the Loratadine and Pseudoephedrine Sulfate 10 mg/240 mg 24-hour Extended Release Tablets, in addition to other specified assets, to Perrigo pursuant to an asset purchase agreement with Perrigo dated as of March 31, 2016 (the “Perrigo APA”). Under the terms of the Perrigo APA, the Company was required to continue to supply the D-12 Product to Pfizer and Perrigo until the date that was the earliest of (i) the date Perrigo’s manufacturing facility is approved to manufacture the D-12 Product and (ii) December 31, 2017. On November 30, 2017, the Company assigned and transferred its supply agreement with Pfizer in its entirety to Perrigo in accordance with the Perrigo APA.

- **Research Partner**

The Research Partner contract revenue results from development agreements the Company enters into with unrelated third-party pharmaceutical companies. The development agreements generally obligate the Company to provide research and development services over multiple periods. In exchange for this service, the Company generally receives upfront payments upon signing of each development agreement and is eligible to receive contingent milestone payments, payment of which is based upon the achievement of contractually specified events. Additionally, the Company may also receive royalty payments from the sale, if any, of a successfully developed and commercialized product under one of these development agreements. The Company recognizes revenue received from the achievement of contingent research and development milestones in the period such payment is earned. Royalty revenue, if any, will be recognized as current period revenue when earned.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all short-term investments with a maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents are stated at cost, which, for cash equivalents, approximates fair value due to the short-term nature. The Company is potentially subject to financial instrument concentration of credit risk through its cash and cash equivalents.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk are cash, cash equivalents and accounts receivable. Cash is held on deposit in demand accounts at large financial institutions in amounts in excess of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance coverage limit of \$250,000 per depositor, per FDIC-insured bank, per ownership category. Cash equivalents are comprised of highly-rated money market funds. The Company limits its credit risk with respect to accounts receivable by performing credit evaluations of customers when deemed necessary. The Company does not require collateral to secure amounts due from its customers.

The following tables present the percentage of total accounts receivable and gross revenues represented by the Company’s three largest customers as of and for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015:

Percent of Total Accounts Receivable	2017	2016	2015
Customer #1	44.7%	36.2%	52.4%
Customer #2	23.6%	35.6%	24.8%
Customer #3	23.4%	20.5%	14.4%
Top three largest customers	<u>91.7%</u>	<u>92.3%</u>	<u>91.6%</u>

<u>Percent of Gross Revenues</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>
Customer #1	32.9%	40.1%	45.6%
Customer #2	30.0%	28.4%	21.7%
Customer #3	25.0%	20.1%	18.8%
Top three largest customers	<u>87.9%</u>	<u>88.6%</u>	<u>86.1%</u>

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from amounts deemed to be uncollectible from its customers; these allowances are for specific amounts on certain accounts based on facts and circumstances determined on a case-by-case basis.

Inventory

Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Cost is determined using a standard cost method, and the cost flow assumption is first in, first out ("FIFO") flow of goods. Standard costs are revised annually, and significant variances between actual costs and standard costs are apportioned to inventory and cost of goods sold based upon inventory turnover. Costs include materials, labor, quality control, and production overhead. Inventory is adjusted for short-dated, unmarketable inventory equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by the Company, additional inventory write-downs may be required. Consistent with industry practice, the Company may build pre-launch inventories of certain products which are pending required approval from the FDA and/or resolution of patent infringement litigation, when, in the Company's assessment, such action is appropriate to prepare for the anticipated commercial launch and FDA approval is expected in the near term and /or the related litigation will be resolved in the Company's favor. The Company accounts for all costs of idle facilities, excess freight and handling costs, and wasted materials (spoilage) as a current period charge in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Assets Held for Sale

The Company classifies its long-lived assets to be sold as held for sale in the period (i) it has approved and committed to a plan to sell the asset, (ii) the asset is available for immediate sale in its present condition, (iii) an active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to sell the asset have been initiated, (iv) the sale of the asset is probable, (v) the asset is being actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value, and (vi) it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn. The Company initially measures a long-lived asset that is classified as held for sale at the lower of its carrying value or fair value less any costs to sell. Any loss resulting from this measurement is recognized in the period in which the held for sale criteria are met. Conversely, gains are not recognized on the sale of a long-lived asset until the date of sale. Upon designation as an asset held for sale, the Company stops recording depreciation expense on the asset. The Company assesses the fair value of a long-lived asset less any costs to sell at each reporting period and until the asset is no longer classified as held for sale.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred and costs of improvements and renewals are capitalized. Costs incurred in connection with the construction or major renovation of facilities, including interest directly related to such projects, are capitalized as construction in progress. Depreciation is recognized using the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the related assets, which are generally 40 years for buildings, 10 to 15 years for building improvements, eight to 10 years for equipment, and four to 10 years for office furniture and equipment. Land and construction-in-progress are not depreciated.

Intangible Assets

The Company's intangible assets include both finite lived and indefinite-lived assets. Finite lived intangible assets, consisting of marketed product rights and royalties received from product sales by the Company's third party partners, are amortized over the estimated useful life of the asset based on the pattern in which the economic benefits are expected to be consumed or otherwise used up or, if that pattern is not readily determinable, on a straight-line basis. Indefinite-lived intangible assets consist of acquired in process research and development ("IPR&D") product rights and acquired future royalty rights to be paid based on other companies' net sales of products not yet approved. IPR&D assets acquired in a business combination are considered indefinite-lived until the completion or abandonment of the associated research and development efforts. Amortization over the estimated useful life will commence at the time of the respective product's launch. If FDA approval to market the product is not obtained, the Company will immediately expense the related capitalized cost.

Finite lived intangible assets are tested for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the asset may not be recoverable. All of the Company's indefinite-lived intangible assets are tested for impairment at least annually during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year, or more often if indicators of impairment are present. Impairment testing requires management to estimate the future undiscounted cash flows of an intangible asset using assumptions believed to be reasonable, but which are unpredictable and inherently uncertain. Actual future cash flows may differ from the estimates used in the impairment testing. The Company recognizes an impairment loss when and to the extent that the estimated fair value of an intangible asset is less than its carrying value.

Goodwill

In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 350, "*Goodwill and Other Intangibles*," rather than recording periodic amortization, goodwill is subject to an annual assessment for impairment by applying a fair value based test. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the reporting unit's carrying value, including goodwill, then goodwill is considered not impaired, making further analysis not required. The Company considers the Impax Generics division and the Impax Specialty Pharma division operating segments to each be a reporting unit. The Company attributes \$59.7 million of goodwill to the Impax Specialty Pharma division and \$147.6 million of goodwill to the Impax Generics division.

The Company concluded the carrying value of goodwill was not impaired as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 as the fair value of the Impax Specialty Pharma division and the Impax Generics division exceeded their carrying value at each date. The Company performs its annual impairment test in the fourth quarter of each year. In the fourth quarter of 2017, the Company determined that it was not more likely than not that the fair value of goodwill was less than its carrying value. As a result, the Company did not perform a quantitative analysis. In the fourth quarter of 2016, the Company performed a quantitative analysis and estimated the fair value of the Impax Specialty Pharma division and the Impax Generics division using a discounted cash flow model for both the reporting unit and the enterprise, as well as earnings and revenue multiples per common share outstanding for enterprise fair value. In addition, on a quarterly basis, the Company performs a review of its business operations to determine whether events or changes in circumstances have occurred that could have a material adverse effect on the estimated fair value of each reporting unit, and thus indicate a potential impairment of the goodwill carrying value. If such events or changes in circumstances were deemed to have occurred, the Company would perform an interim impairment analysis, which may include the preparation of a discounted cash flow model, or consultation with one or more valuation specialists, to analyze the impact, if any, on our assessment of the reporting unit's fair value.

Derivatives

The Company generally does not use derivative instruments or engage in hedging activities in its ordinary course of business. Prior to June 30, 2015, the Company had no derivative assets or liabilities and did not engage in any hedging activities. As a result of the Company's June 30, 2015 issuance of the convertible senior notes described in "Note 10. Debt", the conversion option of the notes temporarily met the criteria for an embedded derivative liability which required bifurcation and separate accounting. Concurrently with the issuance of the notes, the Company entered into a series of convertible note hedge and warrant transactions which in combination are designed to reduce the potential dilution to the Company's stockholders and/or offset the cash payments the

Company is required to make in excess of the principal amount upon conversion of the notes. See “Note 11. Stockholders’ Equity” for additional information regarding the note hedge transactions and warrant transactions. While the warrants sold were classified as equity and recorded in additional paid-in capital, the call options purchased were temporarily classified as a bond hedge derivative asset on the Company’s consolidated balance sheet. The Company engaged a third-party valuation firm with expertise in valuing financial instruments to determine the fair value of the bond hedge derivative asset and conversion option derivative liability at each reporting period. The Company’s consolidated balance sheets reflected the fair value of the derivative asset and liability as of the reporting date, and changes in the fair value were reflected in current period earnings, as appropriate. As result of the amendment to the Company’s Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of the Company’s common stock discussed in “Note 11. Stockholders’ Equity,” both the derivative asset and liability were reclassified to additional paid-in capital. The Company had no derivative assets or liabilities and did not engage in any hedging activities during the years ended December 31, 2017 or 2016.

Contingencies

In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to loss contingencies, such as legal proceedings and claims arising out of its business, covering a wide range of matters, including, among others, patent litigation, stockholder lawsuits, and product and clinical trial liability. The Company records accruals for such loss contingencies when it is probable a liability will have been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company does not recognize gain contingencies until realized. The Company records an accrual for legal costs in the period incurred. A discussion of contingencies is included in “Note 18. Commitments and Contingencies” and “Note 19. Legal and Regulatory Matters”.

Deferred Financing Costs

The Company capitalizes direct costs incurred to obtain debt financing and amortizes these costs to interest expense using the effective interest method over the term of the debt. These costs are recorded as a debt discount and the unamortized costs are netted against the related debt on the Company’s consolidated balance sheets. For line-of-credit arrangements with no outstanding borrowing, the costs incurred to obtain the credit facility are amortized to interest expense using the straight-line method over the term of the line-of-credit arrangement. The unamortized balance is included in other assets on the Company’s consolidated balance sheets.

Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs

Shipping and handling fees related to sales transactions are recorded as selling expense. Shipping costs were \$7.0 million, \$3.7 million and \$2.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development activities are expensed as incurred and consist of self-funded research and development costs and costs associated with work performed by other participants under collaborative research and development agreements.

Share-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based employee compensation arrangements in accordance with provisions of FASB ASC Topic 718 “*Stock Compensation*.” Under FASB ASC Topic 718, the Company recognizes the grant date fair value of stock-based employee compensation as expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the grant. The Company uses the Black Scholes option pricing model to determine the grant date fair value of employee stock options. The fair value of restricted stock awards is equal to the closing price of the Company’s stock on the date such award was granted.

Effective January 1, 2017, the Company adopted Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2016-09 “Compensation—Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting” and elected to eliminate the use of a forfeiture rate estimate in the determination of share-based compensation expense for restricted stock awards using the modified retrospective transition method. Adoption of the new guidance using this method resulted in a \$1.4 million charge to opening retained earnings for 2017.

Income Taxes

The Company provides for income taxes using the asset and liability method as required by FASB ASC Topic 740, “*Income Taxes*.” This approach recognizes the amount of federal, state, local and foreign taxes payable or refundable for the current year, as well as deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences of events recognized in the consolidated financial statements and income tax returns. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are adjusted to recognize the effects of changes in tax laws or enacted tax rates in the period during which they are signed into law. FASB ASC Topic 740 requires an assessment of whether valuation allowances are needed against deferred tax assets based upon consideration of all available evidence using a more likely than not standard. See “Note 16. Income Taxes” for further discussion of the Company’s valuation allowances.

FASB ASC Topic 740, Sub-topic 10 “*Tax Positions*,” defines the criterion an individual tax position must meet for any part of the benefit of the tax position to be recognized in financial statements prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Under FASB ASC Topic 740, Sub-topic 10, the Company may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based solely on the technical merits of the tax position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a tax position should be measured based on the largest benefit having a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the tax authority. Additionally, FASB ASC Topic 740, Sub-topic 10 provides guidance on measurement, de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. In accordance with the disclosure requirements of FASB ASC Topic 740, Sub-topic 10, the Company’s policy on income statement classification of interest and penalties related to income tax obligations is to include such items as part of total interest expense and other expense, respectively.

Other Comprehensive Income

The Company follows the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 220, “*Comprehensive Income*,” which establishes standards for the reporting and display of comprehensive income and its components. Comprehensive income is defined to include all changes in equity during a period except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners. The Company recorded foreign currency translation gains and losses, which are reported as comprehensive income. Foreign currency translation gains (losses) for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 were \$9.3 million, \$2.6 million and \$(4.5) million, respectively.

Foreign Currency Translation

The Company translates the assets and liabilities of the Taiwan dollar functional currency of Prohealth and its wholly-owned subsidiary Impax Laboratories (Taiwan), Inc. into the U.S. dollar reporting currency using exchange rates in effect at the end of each reporting period. The revenues and expenses of these entities are translated using an average of the rates in effect during the reporting period. Gains and losses from these translations are recorded as currency translation adjustments included in the consolidated statements of comprehensive (loss) income and the consolidated statements of changes in stockholders’ equity.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting Guidance Issued Not Yet Adopted

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers*” (Topic 606) regarding the accounting for and disclosures of revenue recognition, with an effective date for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016. This update provided a single comprehensive model for accounting for revenue from contracts with customers. The model requires that revenue recognized reflect the actual consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for the goods or services defined in the contract, including in situations with multiple performance obligations. In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date*,” which deferred the effective date of the

previously issued revenue recognition guidance by one year. The guidance is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017. In April 2016 and May 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-10, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing*” and ASU 2016-12, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients*,” respectively. Both of these updates provide improvements and clarification to the previously issued revenue recognition guidance. The new standard can be adopted using one of two methods: the full retrospective method, which requires the standard to be applied to each prior period presented, or the modified retrospective method, which requires the cumulative effect of adoption to be recognized as an adjustment to opening retained earnings in the period of adoption. The Company adopted the new revenue recognition standard as of January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective method. The Company has substantially completed its analysis of the impact that adoption will have on its consolidated financial statements. The majority of the Company’s revenue relates to the sale of finished products to various customers, and the adoption will not have an impact on revenue recognized from these transactions. The Company has also evaluated the impact on certain less significant transactions involving third-party collaborations and other arrangements, whereby the Company will recognize revenue earlier under the new standard. The Company has estimated that a cumulative effect adjustment of approximately \$0.5 million will be recognized as of January 1, 2018 to reflect the recognition of revenue related to the Company’s profit sharing agreements. During fiscal year 2018, the Company will disclose the amount by which revenue was affected for each period presented. In addition, the new standard will require changes to the Company’s processes and controls and the Company has identified and designed changes to processes and controls to ensure readiness.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, “*Leases*” (Topic 842), with guidance regarding the accounting for and disclosure of leases. The update requires lessees to recognize all leases, including operating leases, with a term greater than 12 months on the balance sheet. This update also requires lessees and lessors to disclose key information about their leasing transactions. The guidance will be effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that this guidance will have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. The Company’s expects the implementation of this standard to have an impact on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures as it has aggregate future minimum lease payments of \$28.1 million as of December 31, 2017 under the current portfolio of non-cancelable leases for land, office space, and manufacturing, warehouse and research and development facilities with various expiration dates between January 2018 and December 2027. The Company anticipates recognition of additional assets and corresponding liabilities related to these leases on its consolidated balance sheet.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): “*Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments*,” with guidance intended to reduce the diversity in practice regarding how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented and classified within the statement of cash flows. The update addresses eight specific cash flow issues including debt prepayment or debt extinguishment costs, the settlement of zero-coupon debt instruments or other debt instruments with coupon interest rates that are insignificant in relation to the effective interest rate of the borrowing, contingent consideration payments made after a business combination, proceeds from the settlement of insurance claims, proceeds from the settlement of corporate-owned life insurance policies (COLIs) (including bank-owned life insurance policies (BOLIs)), distributions received from equity method investees, beneficial interests in securitization transactions, and separately identifiable cash flows and application of the predominance principle. The guidance is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The adoption of this guidance will not have any impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In October 2016, the FASB issued ASU-2016-16, Income Taxes (Topic 740): “*Intra-Entity Transfers of Assets Other Than Inventory*,” with guidance intended to more faithfully represent the economics of intra-entity asset transfers. The update clarifies that entities must recognize the income tax consequences of intra-entity asset transfers, other than inventory, when the transfer occurs. The guidance is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The adoption of this guidance will not have any impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU-2017-01, Business Combinations (Topic 805): “*Clarifying the Definition of a Business*,” with guidance intended to assist entities in evaluating whether transactions should be accounted for as acquisitions (or disposals) of businesses. The update provides a screen to determine whether an integrated set of assets and activities constitute a business. If the screen is not met, the guidance (1) requires that to

be considered a business, a set must include, at a minimum, an input and a substantive process that together significantly contribute to the ability to create output and (2) removes the evaluation of whether a market participant could replace the missing elements. The guidance is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and will be applied prospectively. The Company adopted this guidance as of January 1, 2018 and the guidance will not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-09, Compensation—Stock Compensation (Topic 718): “*Scope of Modification Accounting*,” which provides guidance about which changes to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award require an entity to apply modification accounting in Topic 718. The guidance is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted. The amendments in this ASU are applied prospectively to an award modified on or after the adoption date. The Company adopted this guidance as of January 1, 2018 and the guidance will not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Recently Adopted Accounting Guidance

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-11, Inventory (Topic 330): “*Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory*,” with guidance regarding the accounting for and measurement of inventory. The update requires that inventory measured using first-in, first-out (“FIFO”) shall be measured at the lower of cost and net realizable value. When there is evidence that the net realizable value of inventory is lower than its cost, the difference shall be recognized as a loss in earnings in the period in which it occurs. The guidance is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company adopted this guidance during the first quarter of 2017, and it did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-06, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 915): “*Contingent Put and Call Options in Debt Instruments*,” with guidance regarding the accounting for embedded derivatives related to debt contracts. The update clarifies that determining whether the economic characteristics of a put or call are clearly and closely related to its debt host requires only an assessment of the four-step decision sequence outlined in FASB ASC paragraph 815-15-25-24. The update also indicates that entities are not required to separately assess whether the contingency itself is clearly and closely related. The guidance will be effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company adopted this guidance during the first quarter of 2017, and it did not have an effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, Compensation—Stock Compensation (Topic 718): “*Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting*,” with guidance regarding the simplification of accounting for share-based payment award transactions. The update changes the accounting for such areas as the accounting and cash flow classification for excess tax benefits and deficiencies; forfeitures; and tax withholding requirements and cash flow classification. The guidance is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company adopted the new guidance effective January 1, 2017 and elected to eliminate the use of a forfeiture rate estimate in the determination of share-based compensation expense for restricted stock awards using the modified retrospective transition method, which resulted in a \$1.4 million charge to opening retained earnings for 2017. In addition, the Company is now presenting the cash paid for tax withholdings on stock options exercised and restricted stock awards vested retrospectively in cash flows from financing activities as opposed to the historical presentation in cash flows from operating activities. The adoption resulted in an increase to net cash from operations and decrease net cash provided by financing of \$9.3 million and \$20.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Excess tax benefits or deficiencies, historically recorded to additional paid-in capital, are recorded to income tax expense as they occur on a prospective basis.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-03, “*Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*” (Topic 250) and Investments—Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323), which add to and amend SEC paragraphs pursuant to the SEC Staff Announcements at the September 22, 2016 and November 17, 2016 Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) meetings. The guidance provides additional disclosure requirements regarding the impact of recently issued accounting standards on the financial statements of a registrant when such standards are adopted in a future period. The Company adopted this guidance during the first quarter of 2017, and it did not have an effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU-2017-04, “Intangibles—Goodwill and Other” (Topic 350): “Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment,” which removes the second step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. In order to reduce the cost and complexity of testing goodwill for impairment, entities are now only required to perform a one-step quantitative impairment test and to record the amount of goodwill impairment as the excess of a reporting unit’s carrying amount over its fair value, not to exceed the total amount of goodwill allocated to the reporting unit. The new guidance does not amend the optional qualitative assessment of a reporting unit to determine if the quantitative impairment test is necessary. Entities should apply the guidance on a prospective basis and disclose the nature of and reason for the change in accounting principle upon transition. The guidance will be effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2019, with early adoption permitted for interim or annual goodwill impairment tests performed after January 1, 2017. The Company adopted this guidance during the first quarter of 2017, and it did not have an effect on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

3. BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS

Teva Transaction

On August 3, 2016, the Company completed its previously announced acquisition of (A) certain assets related to (i) 15 then currently marketed generic pharmaceutical products, (ii) one then approved generic product and two then tentatively approved strengths of a then currently marketed product, which at the time of the closing had not yet launched, (iii) one pipeline generic product and one pipeline strength of a then currently marketed product, which at the time of the closing were pending approval by the FDA and (iv) one generic product then under development, and (B) the return to the Company of its full commercial rights to its then pending ANDA for the generic equivalent to Concerta[®] (methylphenidate hydrochloride), a product the Company previously partnered with Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. (“Teva USA”) (collectively, the products and pipeline products and the assets related thereto in (A) and (B), the “Acquired Product Lines” and the transactions related thereto the “Teva Transaction”), pursuant to (x) an Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 20, 2016, as amended on June 30, 2016, with Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. (“Teva”), acting directly or through its affiliates (the “Teva APA”), (y) an Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 20, 2016, as amended on June 30, 2016, with affiliates of Allergan plc (“Allergan”), (the “Allergan APA” and collectively with the Teva APA, the “APAs”), and (z) a Termination Agreement, dated as of June 20, 2016, between the Company and Teva USA, terminating each party’s rights and obligations with respect to methylphenidate hydrochloride under the Strategic Alliance Agreement, dated June 27, 2001, as amended between the Company and Teva USA. The aggregate purchase price for the Acquired Product Lines pursuant to the terms of the Teva APA and the Allergan APA, including the upfront payment to Teva in accordance with the Termination Agreement, was \$585.8 million in cash at closing. The Company is also obligated to make future payments to Teva of up to \$40.0 million under the terms of the Termination Agreement, payable upon the achievement of specified commercialization events related to methylphenidate hydrochloride.

The Company financed the Teva Transaction utilizing cash on hand and \$400.0 million, the full amount of borrowing available, from its Term Loan Facility with Royal Bank of Canada, as discussed in “Note 11. Debt.” The Company incurred acquisition-related costs for the Teva Transaction of \$3.1 million and \$0.6 million during for the years ended December 31, 2016, and 2015, respectively, which are included in selling, general, and administrative expenses in the Company’s consolidated statements of operations.

The acquisition of the foregoing currently marketed and pipeline products fits with the Company’s strategic priorities of maximizing its Generics Division’s platform and optimizing research and development opportunities. Through the Teva Transaction, the Company expanded its portfolio of difficult-to-manufacture or limited-competition products and maximized utilization of its existing manufacturing facilities.

As part of the closing of the Teva Transaction, the Company, Teva and Allergan agreed to certain transition related services pursuant to which the Company agreed to manage the payment process for certain commercial chargebacks and rebates on behalf of Teva and Allergan related to products each of Teva and Allergan sold into the channel prior to the closing date. On August 18, 2016, the Company received a payment totaling \$42.4 million from Teva and Allergan, which represented their combined estimate of the amount of commercial chargebacks and rebates to be paid by the Company on their behalf to wholesalers who purchased products from Teva and Allergan prior to the closing. Pursuant to the agreed upon transition services, Teva and Allergan are obligated to reimburse the Company for additional payments related to chargebacks and rebates made on their behalf in excess of the

\$42.4 million. If the total payments made by the Company on behalf of Teva and Allergan are less than \$42.4 million, the Company is obligated to refund the difference to Teva and/or Allergan. As of December 31, 2017, the Company had paid \$29.1 million on behalf of Teva and Allergan related to chargebacks and rebates as described above and \$13.3 million remained in accrued expenses on the consolidated balance sheet.

Purchase Accounting and Consideration

FASB ASC Topic 805, *Business Combinations* (“ASC 805”) defines a business as consisting of inputs and processes applied to those inputs that have the ability to create outputs. The Company has determined that the Acquired Product Lines meet the definition of a business and, accordingly, has accounted for the Teva Transaction as a business combination under the acquisition method of accounting.

The following is an estimate of the purchase price for the Teva Transaction as of the closing date of August 3, 2016 (in thousands):

	Estimated Fair Value
Purchase price per the APAs	\$ 575,800
Upfront payment pursuant to Termination Agreement	10,000
Total cash consideration	585,800
Fair value of contingent consideration pursuant to Termination Agreement (1)	30,100
Total consideration transferred	<u>\$ 615,900</u>

- (1) The contingent consideration arrangement pursuant to the Termination Agreement potentially requires the Company to pay up to \$40.0 million of additional consideration to Teva upon the achievement of specified commercialization events related to methylphenidate hydrochloride. The \$30.1 million fair value of the potential contingent consideration payments recognized on the acquisition date was estimated by applying a probability-weighted expected return methodology. The Company conducted a review of the underlying inputs and assumptions at December 31, 2017, and based on timing and probability of the product launch, and corresponding number of competitors expected to be in the market at both launch and the one-year anniversary of launch, the Company concluded that the fair value of its contingent consideration was \$0.

Recognition and Measurement of Assets Acquired at Fair Value

The Company has allocated the purchase price for the Teva Transaction based upon the estimated fair value of the assets acquired at the date of acquisition.

The following is an estimate of the fair value of the intangible and tangible assets acquired in connection with the Teva Transaction on the closing date of August 3, 2016 (in thousands):

	Estimated Fair Value	Weighted-Average Estimated Useful Life
Marketed product rights	\$ 455,529	19 years
Acquired IPR&D product rights (1)	157,503	n/a
Total intangible assets	613,032	
Inventory—raw materials	2,868	
Total assets acquired	<u>\$ 615,900</u>	

- (1) IPR&D refers to the Company’s in-process research and development product rights. Pursuant to the Termination Agreement, Teva returned to the Company its full commercial rights to its then pending ANDA for the generic equivalent to Concerta[®] (methylphenidate hydrochloride), a product the Company previously partnered with Teva USA under a Strategic Alliance Agreement dated June 27, 2001, as amended. As a result, the Company recognized an intangible asset of \$78.9 million related to the reacquired IPR&D. The Company engaged a third-party valuation specialist to measure the value of the reacquired product right using a discounted cash flow analysis. The asset was determined to be indefinite-lived based on the market participant methodology prescribed in ASC 805.

The estimated fair value of the IPR&D and identifiable intangible assets was determined using the “income approach,” which is a valuation technique that provides an estimate of the fair value of an asset based on market participant expectations of the cash flows an asset would generate over its remaining useful life. The assumptions, including the expected projected cash flows, utilized in the purchase price allocation and in determining the purchase price were based on management’s best estimates as of the closing date of the Teva Transaction on August 3, 2016. Some of the more significant assumptions inherent in the development of those asset valuations include the estimated net cash flows for each year for each asset or product (including net revenues, cost of sales, research and development costs, selling and marketing costs and working capital / contributory asset charges), the appropriate discount rate to select in order to measure the risk inherent in each future cash flow stream, the assessment of each asset’s life cycle, the potential regulatory and commercial success risks, competitive trends impacting the asset and each cash flow stream, as well as other factors. The discount rate used to arrive at the present value at the closing date of the intangible assets was 6.7%. No assurances can be given that the underlying assumptions used to prepare the discounted cash flow analysis will not change. For these and other reasons, actual results may vary significantly from estimated results. During the year ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company recognized impairment charges of \$213.9 million and \$308.4 million, respectively, related to the intangible assets from the Teva Transaction as described in “Note 8. Intangible Assets and Goodwill.”

Revenues and Earnings for Acquired Product Lines

Included in the Company’s consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2016 were revenues of \$44.8 million and a net loss of \$244.7 million (including \$308.4 million of impairment charges—See “Note 8. Intangible Assets and Goodwill”), representing the results of operations for the Acquired Product Lines from the Teva Transaction from the August 3, 2016 closing date through December 31, 2016.

Unaudited Pro Forma Results of Operations

The unaudited pro forma combined results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 (assuming the closing of the Teva Transaction occurred on January 1, 2015) are as follows (in thousands):

	<u>Years Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>
Total revenues	\$ 927,593	\$ 1,025,598
Net (loss) income	(450,190)	70,057

The pro forma adjustments reflected herein include only those adjustments that are directly attributable to the Teva Transaction, factually supportable and expected to have a continuing impact on the Company. The pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of the actual results of operations had the closing of the Teva Transaction taken place on January 1, 2015. Furthermore, the pro forma results do not purport to project the future results of operations of the Company.

The unaudited pro forma information reflects primarily the following adjustments:

- Adjustments to amortization expense related to identifiable intangible assets acquired;
- Adjustments to interest expense to reflect the Company’s Term Loan Facility (described in “Note 10. Debt”); and
- Adjustments to selling, general and administrative expense related to transaction costs directly attributable to the transaction include the elimination of \$3.1 million of charges in the pro forms results for the year ended December 31, 2016 which have been included in the pro forma results for the year ended December 31, 2015.

All of the items above were adjusted for the applicable tax impact.

Tower Acquisition

On March 9, 2015, the Company completed the Tower Acquisition for a purchase price of \$691.3 million, net of \$41.5 million of cash acquired and including the repayment of indebtedness of Tower and Lineage and post-closing working capital adjustments. The privately-held companies specialized in the development, manufacture and commercialization of complex generic and branded pharmaceutical products. The Tower Acquisition included the Company's acquisition of all of the outstanding shares of common stock of Tower and Lineage, pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of October 8, 2014, by and among the Company, Tower, Lineage, Roundtable Healthcare Partners II, L.P., Roundtable Healthcare Investors II, L.P., and the other parties thereto, including holders of certain options and warrants to acquire the common stock of Tower or Lineage. In connection with the Tower Acquisition, the options and warrants of Tower and Lineage that were outstanding at the time of the acquisition were cancelled. The Company incurred acquisition-related costs of \$10.9 million, of which \$6.7 million were incurred during the year ended December 31, 2015 and were included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the Company's consolidated statement of operations for that period. In connection with the Tower Acquisition, the Company recorded an accrual for severance and related termination costs of \$2.4 million during 2015 related to the elimination of approximately 10 positions at the acquired companies. The Company paid all severance and related termination costs related to the Tower Acquisition as of the end of the second quarter of 2016.

The Tower Acquisition allowed the Company to expand its commercialized generic and branded product portfolios. The Company has also leveraged its sales and marketing organization to promote the marketed products acquired.

Purchase Accounting and Consideration

The Company has accounted for the Tower Acquisition as a business combination under the acquisition method of accounting. The Company allocated the purchase price for the transaction based upon the fair value of net assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition.

Recognition and Measurement of Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed at Fair Value

The following tables summarize the final fair values of the tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Tower Acquisition at the closing date, net of cash acquired of \$41.5 million (in thousands):

Accounts receivable (1)	\$ 56,851
Inventory	31,259
Income tax receivable and other prepaid expenses	2,407
Property, plant and equipment	27,540
Intangible assets	632,600
Intangible assets held for sale	4,000
Goodwill	179,755
Deferred income taxes	37,041
Other non-current assets	3,844
Total assets acquired	<u>975,297</u>
Current liabilities	67,584
Deferred tax liabilities	210,005
Other non-current liabilities	6,360
Total liabilities assumed	<u>283,949</u>
Cash paid, net of cash acquired	\$ <u>691,348</u>

- (1) The accounts receivable acquired in the Tower Acquisition had a fair value of \$56.9 million, including an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$9.0 million, which represented the Company's best estimate on March 9, 2015 (the closing date of the transaction) of the contractual cash flows not expected to be collected by the acquired companies.

Intangible Assets

The following table identifies the Company's allocations, by category, of the Tower Acquisition purchase price to the intangible assets acquired as of the closing date (in thousands):

	Estimated Fair Value	Weighted-Average Estimated Useful Life (years)
Marketed product rights	\$ 381,100	13
Royalty rights	80,800	12
Acquired IPR&D product rights	170,700	n/a
Total intangible assets	<u>\$ 632,600</u>	

The estimated fair value of the IPR&D and identifiable intangible assets was determined using the "income approach," which is a valuation technique that provides an estimate of the fair value of an asset based on market participant expectations of the cash flows an asset would generate over its remaining useful life. Some of the more significant assumptions inherent in the development of those asset valuations include the estimated net cash flows for each year for each asset or product (including net revenues, cost of sales, research and development costs, selling and marketing costs and working capital / contributory asset charges), the appropriate discount rate to select in order to measure the risk inherent in each future cash flow stream, the assessment of each asset's life cycle, the potential regulatory and commercial success risks, competitive trends impacting the asset and each cash flow stream as well as other factors. The discount rates used to arrive at the present value at the acquisition date of currently marketed products was 15%. For in-process research and development, the discount rate used was 16% to reflect the internal rate of return and incremental commercial uncertainty in the cash flow projections. No assurances can be given that the underlying assumptions used to prepare the discounted cash flow analysis will not change. For these and other reasons, actual results may vary significantly from estimated results.

Goodwill

The Company recorded approximately \$179.8 million of goodwill in connection with the Tower Acquisition, some of which will not be tax-deductible. Goodwill of \$59.7 million was assigned to the Impax Specialty Pharma segment and \$120.1 million was assigned to the Impax Generics segment. Factors that contributed to the Company's recognition of goodwill include the Company's intent to expand its generic and branded pharmaceutical product portfolios and to acquire certain benefits from the Tower and Lineage product pipelines, in addition to the anticipated synergies that the Company expects to generate from the acquisition.

Unaudited Pro Forma Results of Operations

The unaudited pro forma combined results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2015 (assuming the closing of the Tower Acquisition occurred on January 1, 2014) are as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2015
Total revenues	\$ 892,906
Net income	\$ 54,285

The pro forma adjustments reflected herein include only those adjustments that are directly attributable to the Tower Acquisition, factually supportable and expected to have a continuing impact on the Company. The pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of the actual results of operations had the closing of the Tower Acquisition taken place on January 1, 2014. Furthermore, the pro forma results do not purport to project the future results of operations of the Company.

The unaudited pro forma information reflects primarily the following adjustments:

- Adjustments to amortization expense related to identifiable intangible assets acquired;
- Adjustments to depreciation expense related to property, plant and equipment acquired;
- Adjustments to interest expense to reflect the long-term debt held by Tower and Lineage paid out and eliminated at the closing and the Company's Senior Secured Credit Facilities (described in "Note 10. Debt");
- Adjustments to cost of revenues related to the fair value adjustments in inventory sold, including elimination of \$6.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015;
- Adjustments to selling, general and administrative expense related to the elimination of severance and retention costs of \$3.4 million incurred as part of the transaction;
- Adjustments to selling, general and administrative expense related to transaction costs directly attributable to the transaction include the elimination of \$12.2 million of charges in the pro forma results for the year ended December 31, 2015; and
- Adjustments to reflect the elimination of \$2.3 million in commitment fees related to the Company's \$435.0 million term loan with Barclays Bank PLC (described in "Note 10. Debt") that were incurred during the year ended December 31, 2015.

All of the items above were adjusted for the applicable tax impact.

4. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying values of cash equivalents, accounts receivable, prepaid expenses and other current assets, and accounts payable in the Company's consolidated balance sheets approximated their fair values as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 due to their short-term nature.

Certain of the Company's financial instruments are measured at fair value using a three-level hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. This hierarchy maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs. The three levels of inputs used to measure fair value are as follows:

- Level 1—Inputs are quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.
- Level 2—Inputs are quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; or model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable.
- Level 3—Inputs are unobservable and reflect the Company's own assumptions, based on the best information available, including the Company's own data.

The carrying amounts and fair values of the Company's financial instruments as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 are indicated below (in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2017				
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurement Based on		
Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)			Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Assets					
Deferred Compensation Plan assets (1)	\$ 43,023	\$ 43,023	\$ —	\$ 43,023	\$ —
Liabilities					
Term Loan Facility due August 2021, current portion (2)	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ —	\$ 20,000	\$ —
Term Loan Facility due August 2021, long-term portion (2)	\$ 305,000	\$ 305,000	\$ —	\$ 305,000	\$ —
2% Convertible Senior Notes due June 2022 (3)	\$ 600,000	\$ 579,378	\$ 579,378	\$ —	\$ —

	As of December 31, 2017				
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurement Based on		
Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)			Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Deferred Compensation Plan liabilities (1)	\$ 33,413	\$ 33,413	\$ —	\$ 33,413	\$ —
Contingent consideration, long-term portion (4)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

	As of December 31, 2016				
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurement Based on		
Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)			Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Assets					
Deferred Compensation Plan assets (1)	\$ 37,382	\$ 37,382	\$ —	\$ 37,382	\$ —
Liabilities					
Term Loan Facility due August 2021, current portion (2)	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ —	\$ 20,000	\$ —
Term Loan Facility due August 2021, long-term portion (2)	\$ 375,000	\$ 375,000	\$ —	\$ 375,000	\$ —
2% Convertible Senior Notes due June 2022 (3)	\$ 600,000	\$ 469,800	\$ 469,800	\$ —	\$ —
Deferred Compensation Plan liabilities (1)	\$ 28,582	\$ 28,582	\$ —	\$ 28,582	\$ —
Contingent consideration, long-term portion (4)	\$ 31,048	\$ 31,048	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 31,048

- (1) The Deferred Compensation Plan liabilities are non-current liabilities recorded at the value of the amount owed to the plan participants, with changes in value recognized as compensation expense in the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The calculation of the Deferred Compensation Plan obligation is derived from observable market data by reference to hypothetical investments selected by the participants and is included in the line item captioned "Other non-current liabilities" on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The Company invests participant contributions in corporate-owned life insurance ("COLI") policies, for which the cash surrender value is included in the line item captioned "Other non-current assets" on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.
- (2) The difference between the amount shown as the carrying value in the above tables and the amount shown on the Company's consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 represents the unaccreted discount related to deferred debt issuance costs.
- (3) The difference between the amount shown as the carrying value in the above tables and the amount shown on the Company's consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2017 and 2016 represents the unaccreted discounts related to deferred debt issuance costs and bifurcation of the conversion feature of the notes.
- (4) Under the terms of the Termination Agreement related to the Teva Transaction as described in "Note 3. Business Acquisitions.", the Company could be contractually obligated to make payments up to \$40.0 million based on the achievement of certain commercial and time-based milestones associated with its methylphenidate hydrochloride product. A discounted cash flow valuation model was used to value the contingent consideration using significant unobservable inputs, including the probability and timing of successful product launch, the expected number of product competitors in the market at the time of launch (as defined in the Termination Agreement) and the expected number of such competitors in the market on the one-year launch anniversary date. The Company conducted a review of the underlying inputs and assumptions at December 31, 2017, and based on timing and probability of the product launch, and corresponding number of competitors expected to be in the market at both launch and the one-year anniversary of launch, the Company concluded that the fair value of its contingent consideration is \$0.

The following table presents the changes in Level 3 instruments measured on a recurring basis for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 (in thousands):

Contingent consideration	Years Ended December 31,	
	2017	2016
Beginning balance	\$ 31,048	\$ —
Completion of Teva Transaction August 3, 2016	—	30,100
Change in fair value included in earnings	(31,048)	948
Ending balance	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 31,048</u>

5. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

The composition of accounts receivable, net is as follows (in thousands):

	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Gross accounts receivable (1)	\$ 634,059	\$ 794,173
Less: Rebate reserve	(181,611)	(293,816)
Less: Chargeback reserve	(136,891)	(151,978)
Less: Distribution services reserve	(11,037)	(18,318)
Less: Discount reserve	(14,344)	(17,957)
Less: Uncollectible accounts reserve (2)	(49,423)	(54,736)
Accounts receivable, net	<u>\$ 240,753</u>	<u>\$ 257,368</u>

- (1) Includes estimated \$44.3 million and \$40.3 million as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, receivable due from Turing Pharmaceuticals AG (“Turing”) for reimbursement of Daraprim[®] chargebacks and Medicaid rebate liabilities pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement between the Company and Turing dated August 7, 2015 (the “Turing APA”). In accordance with the terms of the Turing APA and in accordance with federal laws and regulations, the Company receives, and is initially responsible for processing and paying (subject to reimbursement by Turing), all chargebacks and rebates resulting from utilization by Medicaid, Medicare and other federal, state and local government programs, health plans and other health care providers for products sold under the Company’s labeler code. Under the terms of the Turing APA, Turing is responsible for liabilities related to chargebacks and rebates that arise as a result of Turing’s marketing or selling related activities in connection with Daraprim[®]. Refer to “Note 19. Legal and Regulatory Matters” for a description of the Company’s suit against Turing related to, among other matters, Turing’s failure to reimburse the Company for chargebacks and Medicaid rebate liabilities when due.
- (2) As a result of the uncertainty of collection from Turing that developed during the first quarter of 2016, the Company recorded a reserve of \$48.0 million as of March 31, 2016, which represented the full amount of the estimated receivable due from Turing. During the fourth quarter of 2016, the Company received a \$7.7 million payment from Turing. During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company increased the reserve balance by a net \$4.0 million, consisting of a \$5.0 million increase in the reserve resulting from additional Medicaid rebate claims received during the period and a \$1.0 million reduction in the reserve balance resulting from payments received from Turing during the period. As of December 31, 2017, the \$44.3 million estimated receivable due from Turing was fully reserved.

A roll-forward of the rebate and chargeback reserves activity for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 is as follows (in thousands):

Rebate reserve	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Beginning balance	\$ 293,816	\$ 265,229	\$ 88,812
Acquired balances	—	—	75,447
Provision recorded during the period for Impax Generics rebates	642,447	756,774	571,642
Credits issued during the period for Impax Generics rebates	<u>(754,652)</u>	<u>(728,187)</u>	<u>(470,672)</u>

Rebate reserve	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Ending balance	\$ 181,611	\$ 293,816	\$ 265,229

The payment mechanisms for rebates in the Impax Generics and Impax Specialty Pharma divisions are different, which impacts the location on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. Impax Generics rebates are classified as "Accounts receivable, net" on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. Impax Specialty Pharma rebates are classified as "Accrued expenses" on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

Chargeback reserve	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Beginning balance	\$ 151,978	\$ 102,630	\$ 43,125
Acquired balances	—	—	24,532
Provision recorded during the period	1,212,039	1,011,400	833,157
Credits issued during the period	(1,227,126)	(962,052)	(798,184)
Ending balance	\$ 136,891	\$ 151,978	\$ 102,630

6. INVENTORY

Inventory, net of carrying value reserves, as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Raw materials	\$ 63,732	\$ 53,808
Work in-process	3,046	3,280
Finished goods	104,187	130,879
Total inventory	170,965	187,967
Less: Non-current inventory	12,494	12,737
Total inventory-current, net	\$ 158,471	\$ 175,230

Inventory carrying value reserves were \$71.6 million and \$38.0 million as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Included in the \$71.6 million of inventory reserves at December 31, 2017 was a pre-launch product inventory reserve of \$20.5 million, primarily related to colesevelam, recognized during the third quarter of 2017.

The Company recognizes pre-launch inventories at the lower of its cost or the expected net selling price. Cost is determined using a standard cost method, which approximates actual cost, and assumes a FIFO flow of goods. Costs of unapproved products are the same as approved products and include materials, labor, quality control, and production overhead. When the Company concludes FDA approval is expected within approximately six months, the Company will generally begin to schedule manufacturing process validation studies as required by the FDA to demonstrate the production process can be scaled up to manufacture commercial batches. Consistent with industry practice, the Company may build quantities of pre-launch inventories of certain products pending required final FDA approval and/or resolution of patent infringement litigation, when, in the Company's assessment, such action is appropriate to prepare for the anticipated commercial launch, FDA approval is expected in the near term, and/or the related litigation will be resolved in the Company's favor. The capitalization of unapproved pre-launch inventory involves risks, including, among other items, FDA approval of product may not occur; approvals may require additional or different testing and/or specifications than used for unapproved inventory; and, in cases where the unapproved inventory is for a product subject to litigation, the litigation may not be resolved or settled in favor of the Company. If any of these risks were to materialize and the launch of the unapproved product delayed or prevented, then the net carrying value of unapproved inventory may be partially or fully reserved. Generally, the selling price of a generic pharmaceutical product is at discount from the corresponding brand product selling price. Typically, a generic drug is easily substituted for the corresponding branded product, and once a generic product is

approved, the pre-launch inventory is typically sold within the subsequent three months. If the market prices become lower than the product inventory carrying costs, then the pre-launch inventory value is reduced to such lower market value. If the inventory produced exceeds the estimated market acceptance of the generic product and becomes short-dated, a carrying value reserve will be recorded. In all cases, the carrying value of the Company's pre-launch product inventory is lower than the respective estimated net selling prices. The carrying value of unapproved inventory less reserves was \$19.3 million and \$29.2 million at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

To the extent inventory is not scheduled to be utilized in the manufacturing process and/or sold within twelve months of the balance sheet date, it is included as a component of other non-current assets. Amounts classified as non-current inventory consist of raw materials, net of valuation reserves. Raw materials generally have a shelf life of approximately three to five years, while finished goods generally have a shelf life of approximately two years.

7. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	<u>December 31, 2017</u>	<u>December 31, 2016</u>
Land	\$ 3,500	\$ 5,603
Buildings and improvements	96,775	174,303
Equipment	82,442	143,818
Office furniture and equipment	11,082	15,767
Construction-in-progress	46,622	50,191
Property, plant and equipment, gross	240,421	389,682
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(115,608)	(156,310)
Property, plant and equipment, net	<u>\$ 124,813</u>	<u>\$ 233,372</u>

Depreciation expense was \$38.3 million, \$29.1 million and \$25.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Unpaid vendor invoices relating to purchases of property, plant and equipment of \$3.1 million, \$4.0 million and \$4.5 million, which were accrued as of December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively, have been excluded from the purchase of property, plant, and equipment and the change in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of cash flows.

During the third quarter of 2017, the Company sold a storage warehouse in Hayward, California for \$8.8 million in cash proceeds, representing the gross proceeds of \$9.4 million less fees and costs related to the sale of approximately \$0.6 million. Prior to the sale, the net book value of the storage warehouse was \$4.1 million and was included in the Impax Generics segment. The gain of \$4.7 million is included in gain on sale of assets in the Company's consolidated statement of operations.

During 2017, the Company closed its Middlesex, New Jersey manufacturing facility and in early 2018, the Company sold CorePharma, LLC, its wholly owned subsidiary that held the leases to the site. The Company additionally announced during 2017 that it had entered into a stock and asset purchase agreement with Bora Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd., pursuant to which the Company agreed to sell Impax Laboratories (Taiwan), Inc., its wholly owned subsidiary which owns the manufacturing facility in Taiwan, R.O.C. The sale of Impax Taiwan subsequently closed in February 2018. Refer to "Note 15. Restructurings" for disclosures relating to these assets.

8. INTANGIBLE ASSETS AND GOODWILL

Intangible Assets

The Company's intangible assets include both finite lived and indefinite-lived assets. Finite lived intangible assets, consisting of marketed product rights and royalties received from product sales by the Company's third party partners, are amortized over the estimated useful life of the asset based on the pattern in which the economic

benefits are expected to be consumed or otherwise used up or, if that pattern is not readily determinable, on a straight-line basis. The remaining weighted-average amortization period for the Company's finite lived intangible assets not yet fully amortized is 6.6 years as of December 31, 2017. Indefinite-lived intangible assets consist of acquired IPR&D product rights and acquired future royalty rights to be paid based on other companies' net sales of products not yet approved. IPR&D assets acquired in a business combination are considered indefinite-lived until the completion or abandonment of the associated research and development efforts. Amortization over the estimated useful life will commence at the time of the respective product's launch. If FDA approval to market the product is not obtained, the Company will immediately expense the related capitalized cost.

Finite lived intangible assets are tested for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the asset may not be recoverable. All of the Company's indefinite-lived intangible assets are tested for impairment at least annually during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year, or more often if indicators of impairment are present. Impairment testing requires management to estimate the future undiscounted cash flows of an intangible asset using assumptions believed to be reasonable, but which are unpredictable and inherently uncertain. Actual future cash flows may differ from the estimates used in the impairment testing. The Company recognizes an impairment loss when and to the extent that the estimated fair value of an intangible asset is less than its carrying value.

The following tables show the gross carrying values and accumulated amortization, where applicable, of the Company's intangible assets by type for the consolidated balance sheets presented (in thousands):

	Marketed Product Rights			IPR&D and Royalties	Total Company
	Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization	Intangible Assets, Net	Non-amortized Value	Intangible Assets, Net
Balance as of December 31, 2015	\$ 460,875	\$ (83,095)	\$ 377,780	\$ 224,240	\$ 602,020
Additions (1)	455,529	—	455,529	161,003	616,532
Amortization	—	(56,489)	(56,489)	—	(56,489)
Commercial Launch (2)	97,300	—	97,300	(97,300)	—
Impairment Charge (3)	(488,632)	—	(488,632)	(52,965)	(541,597)
Balance as of December 31, 2016	525,072	(139,584)	385,488	234,978	620,466
Additions	—	—	—	50	50
Amortization	—	(68,375)	(68,375)	—	(68,375)
Commercial Launch (2)	4,216	—	4,216	(4,216)	—
Divestiture (4)	(2,414)	2,414	—	—	—
Impairment Charge (3)	(96,865)	—	(96,865)	(192,809)	(289,674)
Balance as of December 31, 2017	\$ 430,009	\$ (205,545)	\$ 224,464	\$ 38,003	\$ 262,467

- (1) During the first quarter of 2016, the Company capitalized \$3.5 million of milestone payments due to an affiliate of Teva under the terms of the Mebendazole Product Agreement related to the FDA's approval and the Company's subsequent commercial launch of Emverm[®] (mebendazole) 100 mg chewable tablets. See "Note 17. Alliance and Collaboration Agreements" for additional information related to the Mebendazole Product Agreement.

During the third quarter of 2016, the Company recorded \$613.0 million of intangible asset additions as a result of the Teva Transaction, of which \$455.5 million were amortized, finite-lived marketed product rights and \$157.5 million were non-amortized, indefinite-lived acquired IPR&D product rights. Refer to "Note 3. Business Acquisitions" for additional information on the Teva Transaction.

Pursuant to the Termination Agreement related to the Teva Transaction, the Company reacquired its full commercial rights to its then pending ANDA for the generic equivalent to Concerta[®] (methylphenidate hydrochloride), a product candidate the Company had acquired in the Tower Acquisition that the Company had previously partnered with Teva USA, by terminating each party's rights and obligations with respect to such product under the Strategic Alliance Agreement between the Company and Teva, as amended. Pursuant to the terms of the Strategic Alliance Agreement, each party would retain 50% of the gross profit realized

upon sales of the product following approval. As such the Company's 50% interest in the product was previously considered a non-amortized, indefinite-lived acquired future royalty right owing to the fact that Teva would sell the product upon receiving FDA approval and pay the Company 50% of the gross profit realized. Upon the Company's reacquisition of the full rights in this product pursuant to the Termination Agreement, the \$70.8 million asset value of the Company's 50% interest, determined at the time of the Tower Acquisition, was transferred to non-amortized, indefinite-lived acquired IPR&D products rights, as reflected in the tables above.

- (2) During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company commercially launched two products acquired as IPR&D as part of the Teva Transaction and Tower Acquisition and, as a result, transferred the \$4.2 million asset value from non-amortized, indefinite-lived acquired IPR&D product rights to amortized, finite lived marketed product rights. These assets will be amortized over an estimated useful life ranging from seven to eight years based on the pattern of economic benefit expected to be realized through 2025.

As of December 31, 2015, the Emverm[®] acquired IPR&D product right had a carrying value of \$82.8 million, which was the fair value assigned by the Company during the purchase price allocation accounting for the Tower Acquisition. As a result of the Company's commercial launch of the product during the first quarter of 2016, the Company transferred the total \$86.3 million of asset value from non-amortized, indefinite-lived acquired IPR&D product rights to amortized, finite-lived marketed product rights and began amortization of the asset. The Emverm[®] marketed product right intangible asset will be amortized over an estimated useful life of nine years based on the pattern of economic benefit expected to be realized through 2024.

In addition to the intangible asset additions resulting from the Teva Transaction as described above, during the third quarter of 2016, the Company also commercially launched two products, resulting in the transfer of \$11.0 million of asset value from non-amortized, indefinite-lived acquired IPR&D product rights to amortized, finite-lived marketed products rights.

- (3) For the year ended December 31, 2017 the Company recognized a total of \$289.7 million of intangible asset impairment charges, of which \$96.9 million were recognized in cost of revenues impairment charges and \$192.8 million were recognized in in-process research and development impairment charges on the Company's consolidated statement of operations.

The \$192.8 million in-process research and development impairment charge was attributable to four products, most of which were acquired in the Teva Transaction. The Company incurred a full impairment charge of \$149.7 million during the fourth quarter of 2017 related to the Company's AB-rated methylphenidate hydrochloride (generic equivalent to Concerta) product. The validation efforts for the product, produced by the Company's third party manufacturer, were not immediately successful and will require additional time and effort which is anticipated to result in a delay in the launch of up to 12-15 months. The delayed launch is currently expected to result in reduced volume and lower pricing than originally anticipated due to increased competition, resulting in significantly lower expected future cash flows. The Company also reduced the forecasted market share for another IPR&D product due to the introduction of a similar product by a competitor which administers the same active drug ingredient but with a different mode of delivery resulting in a \$37.0 million impairment charge incurred during the fourth quarter of 2017. The remainder of the impairment charges were primarily related to the delayed launch of two products which are currently expected to result in reduced volume after launch due to increased competition.

The \$96.9 million cost of revenue impairment charge for currently marketed products was attributable to eight currently marketed products. The Company experienced even further price and volume erosion throughout the year without an offsetting increase in customer demand, resulting in significantly lower expected future cash flows. The impairment charge was related to six of the products acquired as part of the Teva Transaction and two products acquired as part of the Tower Acquisition.

During the second quarter of 2016, the Company recognized a total of \$1.5 million of charges within cost of revenues impairment charges on the Company's consolidated statement of operations related to two currently marketed products, which were acquired as part of the Tower Acquisition, primarily due to active pharmaceutical ingredient ("API") supply issues and minimal sales activity, resulting in immediate discontinuation of one product and rapid phase-out of the other. Additionally, one of the Company's IPR&D generic products, also acquired as part of the Tower Acquisition, was determined to be impaired as a result of the commercial launch of a competitor's generic product, resulting in a \$1.0 million charge to in-process research and development impairment charges on the Company's consolidated statement of operations.

Upon closing the Teva Transaction on August 3, 2016, the Company initiated the process of transferring and securing Teva's and Allergan's customers for the acquired products to its account. The Company assumed certain price concessions would occur following the closing, however, the Company elected to take additional price reductions on certain of the acquired products in order to retain key customers. These reductions produced significantly lower than expected operating cash flows from the Acquired Product Lines and triggered an impairment analysis. The Company's impairment analysis for the third quarter of 2016 resulted in the recognition of a total \$251.0 million non-cash impairment charge to earnings. Of the total \$251.0 million impairment charge, \$248.0 million was recorded in cost of revenues impairment charges and \$3.0 million was recorded in in-process research and development impairment charges, each in the Company's consolidated statement of operations for the third quarter of 2016.

Certain other non-cash impairment charges unrelated to the Teva Transaction were also recorded in the third quarter of 2016. During the third quarter of 2016, the Company also recognized a total of \$34.2 million of intangible asset impairment charges, of which \$8.5 million was recognized in cost of revenues impairment charges on the Company's consolidated statement of operations and attributable to the full impairment of three marketed products and one third-party partnered product where the Company received royalties from the sale of such product. The affected products were manufactured in the Company's Middlesex, New Jersey facility, which the Company is in the process of closing as discussed in "Note 15. Restructurings." The products were discontinued for several reasons, including the inability to efficiently transfer technology to another manufacturing site, the inability to continue to secure API from third parties on a timely basis, and/or minimal current and projected sales activity. The remaining \$25.7 million of impairment charges recognized by the Company during the third quarter of 2016 were recognized in in-process research and development impairment charges and related to two of the Company's IPR&D product rights acquired in the Tower Acquisition due to delays in expected start of commercialization and lower pricing amid highly competitive market conditions, resulting in lower expected future cash flows.

During the fourth quarter of 2016, the Company recognized a total of \$253.9 million of intangible asset impairment charges, of which \$230.6 million were recognized in cost of revenues impairment charges and \$23.3 million were recognized in in-process research and development impairment charges on the Company's consolidated statement of operations. More than half of the total impairment charges incurred during the fourth quarter of 2016 was attributable to the Company's epinephrine auto-injector product, which was acquired as part of the Tower Acquisition. The impairment charge on the epinephrine auto-injector product was triggered by current and projected price degradation as a result of changes in the pricing environment and additional competition. The Company also experienced even further price reductions on certain of the products acquired as part of the Teva Transaction during the fourth quarter of 2016, resulting in \$57.4 million of additional intangible asset impairment charges, of which \$53.7 million was recorded to cost of revenues impairment charges and \$3.7 million was recorded to in-process research and development impairment charges. In addition, the Company recognized \$36.3 million of intangible asset impairment related to its anthelmintic product franchise, of which \$24.3 million was recorded to cost of revenues impairment charges and \$12.0 million was recorded to in-process research and development impairment charges. The \$24.3 million charge was attributable to lower than expected script volume for Emverm[®]. The \$12.0 million charge recorded to in-process research and development during the fourth quarter of 2016 was attributable to a decision by the Company's management during the fourth quarter of 2016 to cease development on a next-generation version of Albenza[®] as a result of continued difficulties sourcing the API. The remainder of the fourth quarter of 2016 impairment charges were primarily attributable to the products acquired as part of the Tower Acquisition and resulted from lower current and/or forecasted pricing amid highly competitive market conditions, resulting in lower forecasted future cash flows.

- (4) During the second quarter of 2017, the Company divested 29 ANDAs and one NDA for non-strategic approved generic products, the vast majority of which were not marketed, and all acquired as part of the Tower Acquisition, for gross proceeds of \$12.0 million. These intangible assets had a fully amortized gross carrying value of \$2.4 million at the time of the sale. The Company incurred \$0.1 million of legal expense in connection with the divestiture, resulting in a net gain on sale of \$11.9 million recognized as gain on sale of assets on the Company's consolidated statement of operations.

Amortization

The Company recognized amortization expense of \$68.4 million, \$56.5 million and \$40.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively, in cost of revenues in the consolidated statements of operations presented.

The following table shows the expected future amortization of the Company's finite lived intangible assets as of December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

For the years ending December 31,	Amortization Expense
2018	\$ 56,431
2019	46,771
2020	36,140
2021	23,778
2022	19,701
Thereafter	41,643
Total	\$ 224,464

Sale of Daraprim® to Turing

In July 2015, the Company received an unsolicited offer from Turing to purchase the U.S. rights to Daraprim®, one of the marketed products acquired in the Tower Acquisition, as well as the active pharmaceutical ingredient for the product and the finished goods inventory on hand. The sale closed on August 7, 2015, and the Company received proceeds of \$55.5 million at closing. The net book value of the Daraprim® product rights at the time of sale was \$9.3 million, and the Company recognized a gain on the sale of the intangible asset of \$45.6 million, net of expenses. Pursuant to the terms of the Asset Purchase Agreement between the Company and Turing dated August 7, 2015 (the "Turing APA"), the Company also granted a limited license to sell the existing Daraprim® product under the Company's labeler code with the Company's trade dress.

In accordance with the terms of the Turing APA and in accordance with federal laws and regulations, the Company received and was initially responsible for processing and paying (subject to reimbursement by Turing), all chargebacks and rebates resulting from utilization by Medicaid, Medicare and other federal, state and local governmental programs, health plans and other health care providers for product sold under the Company's labeler code. Under the terms of the Turing APA, Turing is responsible for liabilities related to chargebacks and rebates that arise as a result of Turing's marketing or selling related activities in connection with Daraprim®.

Goodwill

Goodwill had a carrying value on the Company's consolidated balance sheets of \$207.3 million and \$207.3 million as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. As of December 31, 2017, the Company attributed \$147.6 million and \$59.7 million to the Impax Generics division and the Impax Specialty Pharma division, respectively. The Company concluded based on the results of the annual testing performed that the carrying value of goodwill was not impaired as of December 31, 2017 or 2016.

9. ACCRUED EXPENSES

The following table sets forth the Company's accrued expenses (in thousands):

	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Payroll-related expenses	\$ 38,415	\$ 37,986
Product returns	76,293	72,888
Accrued shelf stock	7,525	7,032
Government rebates	73,970	72,063

	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Legal and professional fees	14,005	8,395
Estimated Teva and Allergan chargebacks and rebates (1)	13,277	14,813
Accrued profit sharing and royalty expenses	8,373	13,642
Other	16,269	17,834
Total accrued expenses	\$ 248,127	\$ 244,653

- (1) As discussed in “Note 3. Business Acquisitions,” in connection with the Teva Transaction, the Company, Teva and Allergan agreed to certain transition related services pursuant to which the Company agreed to manage the payment process for certain commercial chargebacks and rebates on behalf of Teva and Allergan related to products each of Teva and Allergan sold into the channel prior to the Company’s acquisition of the products. On August 18, 2016, the Company received a payment totaling \$42.4 million from Teva and Allergan, which represented their combined estimate of the amount of commercial chargebacks and rebates to be paid by the Company on their behalf to wholesalers who purchased products from Teva and Allergan prior to the closing. Pursuant to the agreed upon transition services, Teva and Allergan are obligated to reimburse the Company for additional payments related to chargebacks and rebates for products they sold into the channel prior to the closing and made on their behalf in excess of the \$42.4 million. If the total payments made by the Company on behalf of Teva and Allergan are less than \$42.4 million, the Company is obligated to refund the difference to Teva and/or Allergan. As of December 31, 2017, the Company had paid \$29.1 million related to chargebacks and rebates as described above and \$13.3 million remained in accrued expenses on the Company’s consolidated balance sheet.

Product Returns

The Company maintains a return policy to allow customers to return product within specified guidelines. The Company estimates a provision for product returns as a percentage of gross sales based upon historical experience for sales made through its Impax Generics and Impax Specialty Pharma sales channels. Sales of product under the Private Label, Rx Partner and OTC Partner alliance, collaboration and supply agreements are not subject to returns.

A rollforward of the return reserve activity for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 is as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Returns reserve			
Beginning balance	\$ 72,888	\$ 48,950	\$ 27,174
Acquired balances	—	—	11,364
Provision related to sales recorded in the period	47,709	52,383	43,967
Credits issued during the period	(44,304)	(28,445)	(33,555)
Ending balance	<u>\$ 76,293</u>	<u>\$ 72,888</u>	<u>\$ 48,950</u>

10. DEBT

Royal Bank of Canada Credit Facilities

On August 3, 2016, the Company entered into a restatement agreement with Royal Bank of Canada, as administrative agent, and the lenders and guarantors party thereto (the “Restatement Agreement”). The Restatement Agreement amends and restates the Company’s existing Revolving Credit Facility Agreement (as amended and restated and amended to date, the “Amended and Restated Credit Agreement”) to, among other things, (i) add a term loan feature to allow for the borrowing of up to \$400.0 million of term loans (the “Term Loan Facility”) by the Company in accordance with the terms of the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, (ii) increase the aggregate principal amount of revolving loans permitted under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the “Revolving Credit Facility,” and, together with the Term Loan Facility, the “RBC Credit Facilities”), from \$100.0 million to

\$200.0 million; and (iii) extend the maturity date of the Revolving Credit Facility from August 4, 2020 to August 3, 2021. On March 27, 2017, the Company entered into Amendment No. 1 by and among the Company, Royal Bank of Canada, as administrative agent, and the lenders party thereto (the "Amendment") to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.

Borrowings under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement will accrue interest at a rate equal to LIBOR or the base rate, plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin may be increased or reduced by 0.25% based on the Company's total net leverage ratio. Up to \$12.5 million of the Revolving Credit Facility is available for issuance of letters of credit and any such letters of credit will reduce the amount available under the Revolving Credit Facility on a dollar-for-dollar basis. The Company is required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders on the average daily unused portion of the Revolving Credit Facility at 0.50% or 0.375% per annum, depending on the Company's total net leverage ratio.

The Amended and Restated Credit Agreement contains certain negative covenants (subject to exceptions, materiality thresholds and other allowances) including, without limitation, negative covenants that limit the Company's and its restricted subsidiaries' ability to incur additional debt, guarantee other obligations, grant liens on assets, make loans, acquisitions or other investments, dispose of assets, make optional payments in connection with or modify certain debt instruments, pay dividends or make other payments on capital stock, engage in mergers or consolidations, enter into arrangements that restrict the Company's and its restricted subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends or grant liens, engage in transactions with affiliates, or change its fiscal year. Prior to the effective date of the Amendment on March 27, 2017, the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement also included a financial maintenance covenant whereby the Company must not permit its total net leverage ratio in any 12-month period to exceed 5.00:1.00, as tested at the end of each fiscal quarter. Effective as of March 27, 2017 and pursuant to the Amendment, the total net leverage ratio financial covenant was replaced with a new senior secured net leverage ratio financial covenant. Pursuant to the Amendment, the Company must not permit its senior secured net leverage ratio to exceed 2.50:1.00 and the interest coverage ratio to be less than 3.00:1.00, in each case in any 12-month period, as tested at the end of each fiscal quarter. The Company was in compliance with all of its covenants under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement as of December 31, 2017.

The Amended and Restated Credit Agreement contains events of default, including, without limitation (subject to customary grace periods and materiality thresholds), events of default upon (i) the failure to make payments pursuant to the terms of the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, (ii) violation of covenants, (iii) incorrectness of representations and warranties, (iv) cross-default and cross-acceleration to other material indebtedness, (v) bankruptcy events, (vi) material monetary judgments (to the extent not covered by insurance), (vii) certain matters arising under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, that could reasonably be expected to result in a material adverse effect, (viii) the actual or asserted invalidity of the documents governing the RBC Credit Facilities, any material guarantees or the security interests (including priority thereof) required under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement and (ix) the occurrence of a change of control (as defined therein). Upon the occurrence of certain events of default, the obligations under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement may be accelerated and any remaining commitments thereunder may be terminated.

The full amount of proceeds from the Term Loan Facility of \$400.0 million, along with \$196.4 million of cash were used to finance the Teva Transaction (including transaction costs) at closing on August 3, 2016. As of December 31, 2017, \$199.7 million Revolving Credit Facility remains available to the Company for working capital and other general corporate purposes.

In connection with the Term Loan Facility, the Company incurred \$11.0 million of debt issuance costs for banking, legal and accounting fees and other expenses during the third quarter of 2016. In connection with the Amendment, the Company incurred \$0.8 million of debt issuance costs for banking fees during the first quarter of 2017. These debt issuance costs were recorded on the Company's consolidated balance sheet as a reduction to the current and long-term portions of debt related to the Term Loan Facility. These deferred debt issuance costs will be accreted to interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method. In connection with the increase in the aggregate principal amount of revolving loans permitted under the Revolving Credit Facility, the Company incurred \$0.8 million of debt issuance costs for banking fees which were recorded as an asset with current and long-term portions on the Company's consolidated balance sheet. These deferred debt issuance costs, in addition to the \$0.3 million balance remaining from the initial \$100.0 million revolving credit facility, will be amortized to interest expense over the term of the Revolving Credit Facility using the straight-line method.

For the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company recognized \$17.7 million of interest expense related to the Term Loan Facility, of which \$15.5 million was cash and \$2.2 million was non-cash accretion of the debt discount recorded for deferred debt issuance costs. For the period of August 3, 2016 through December 31, 2016, the Company recognized \$6.9 million of interest expense related to the Term Loan Facility, of which \$6.0 million was cash and \$0.9 million was non-cash accretion of the debt discount recorded for deferred debt issuance costs. As of December 31, 2017, the Term Loan Facility had a carrying value of \$317.5 million, of which \$17.8 million is classified as current debt and \$299.7 million is classified as long-term debt on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The Term Loan Facility requires the Company to make quarterly principal payments of \$5.0 million beginning from December 2016 through June 2021, and the remaining principal balance is payable in August 2021. As of December 31, 2017, the outstanding principal amount for the Term Loan Facility was \$325.0 million.

Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt—Voluntary Prepayment of \$50.0 Million of Principal—RBC Term Loan Facility

On February 28, 2017, the Company made a voluntary prepayment in the amount of \$50.3 million under its Term Loan Facility, representing \$50.0 million of principal amount and \$0.3 million of accrued interest thereon. As a result of this voluntary prepayment, for the quarter ended March 31, 2017, the Company recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$1.2 million to write-off a pro rated portion of the related unaccreted debt issuance costs.

2% Convertible Senior Notes due June 2022

On June 30, 2015, the Company issued an aggregate principal amount of \$600.0 million of 2.00% Convertible Senior Notes due June 2022 (the "Notes") in a private placement offering, which are the Company's senior unsecured obligations. The Notes were issued pursuant to an Indenture dated June 30, 2015 (the "Indenture") between the Company and Wilmington Trust, N.A., as trustee. The Indenture includes customary covenants and sets forth certain events of default after which the Notes may be due and payable immediately. The Notes will mature on June 15, 2022, unless earlier redeemed, repurchased or converted. The Notes bear interest at a rate of 2.00% per year, and interest is payable semiannually in arrears on June 15 and December 15 of each year, beginning on December 15, 2015.

The conversion rate for the Notes is initially set at 15.7858 shares per \$1,000 of principal amount, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of \$63.35 per share of the Company's common stock. If a Make-Whole Fundamental Change (as defined in the Indenture) occurs or becomes effective prior to the maturity date and a holder elects to convert its Notes in connection with the Make-Whole Fundamental Change, the Company is obligated to increase the conversion rate for the Notes so surrendered by a number of additional shares of the Company's common stock as prescribed in the Indenture. Additionally, the conversion rate is subject to adjustment in the event of an equity restructuring transaction such as a stock dividend, stock split, spinoff, rights offering, or recapitalization through a large, nonrecurring cash dividend ("standard antidilution provisions," per FASB ASC 815-40).

Contracts in Entity's Own Equity ("ASC 815-40").

The Notes are convertible at the option of the holders at any time prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding December 15, 2021 only under the following circumstances:

- (i) If during any calendar quarter commencing after the quarter ending September 30, 2015 (and only during such calendar quarter) the last reported sale price of the Company's common stock for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter is greater than 130% of the conversion price on each applicable trading day; or
- (ii) If during the five business day period after any 10 consecutive trading day period (the "measurement period") in which the trading price per \$1,000 of principal amount of Notes for each trading day of the measurement period was less than 98% of the product of the last report sale price of the Company's common stock and the conversion rate on each such trading day; or

(iii) Upon the occurrence of corporate events specified in the Indenture.

On or after December 15, 2021 until the close of business on the second scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date, the holders may convert their Notes at any time, regardless of the foregoing circumstances. The Company may satisfy its conversion obligation by paying or delivering, as the case may be, cash, shares of the Company's common stock, or a combination of cash and shares of the Company's common stock, at the Company's election and in the manner and subject to the terms and conditions provided in the Indenture.

Concurrently with the offering of the Notes and using a portion of the proceeds from the sale of the Notes, the Company entered into a series of convertible note hedge and warrant transactions (the "Note Hedge Transactions" and "Warrant Transactions") which are designed to reduce the potential dilution to the Company's stockholders and/or offset the cash payments the Company is required to make in excess of the principal amount upon conversion of the Notes. The Note Hedge Transactions and Warrant Transactions are separate transactions, in each case, entered into by the Company with a financial institution and are not part of the terms of the Notes. These transactions will not affect any holder's rights under the Notes, and the holders of the Notes have no rights with respect to the Note Hedge Transactions and Warrant Transactions. See "Note 11. Stockholders' Equity" for additional information.

At the June 30, 2015 issuance date of the Notes, the Company did not have the necessary number of authorized but unissued shares of its common available to share-settle the conversion option of the Notes. Therefore, in accordance with guidance found in FASB ASC 470-20, *Debt with Conversion and Other Options*, and FASB Topic ASC 815-15, *Embedded Derivatives*, the conversion option of the Notes was deemed an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation from the Notes (host contract) and separate accounting as a derivative liability. The fair value of the conversion option derivative liability at June 30, 2015 was \$167.0 million, which was recorded as a reduction to the carrying value of the debt and will be accreted to interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method. Although the Company subsequently amended the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the authorized number of shares of the Company's common stock in December 2015, the debt discount remained and continues to be accreted to interest expense. See "Note 11. Stockholders' Equity" for additional information.

In connection with the issuance of the Notes, the Company incurred \$18.7 million of debt issuance costs for banking, legal and accounting fees and other expenses. This amount was also recorded on the Company's balance sheet as a reduction to the carrying value of the debt and is being accreted to interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method.

For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company recognized \$35.5 million and \$33.8 million, respectively, of interest expense related to the Notes, of which \$12.0 million and \$12.0 million, respectively, was cash and \$23.5 million and \$21.8 million, respectively, was non-cash accretion of the debt discounts recorded. As the Notes mature in 2022, they have been classified as long-term debt on the Company's consolidated balance sheets, with a carrying value of \$469.9 million and \$446.4 million as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt—Barclays \$435.0 million Term Loan

In connection with the Tower Acquisition during the first quarter of 2015, the Company entered into a \$435.0 million senior secured term loan facility (the "Barclays Term Loan") and a \$50.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility (the "Barclays Revolver" and collectively with the Barclays Term Loan, the "Barclays Senior Secured Credit Facilities"), pursuant to a credit agreement, dated as of March 9, 2015, by and among the Company, the lenders party thereto from time to time and Barclays Bank PLC ("Barclays"), as administrative and collateral agent (the "Barclays Credit Agreement"). In connection with the Barclays Senior Secured Credit Facilities, the Company incurred debt issuance costs for banking, legal and accounting fees and other expenses of \$17.8 million, which were previously reflected as a discount to the carrying value of the debt on the Company's consolidated balance sheet in accordance with ASU 2015-03. Prior to repayment of the Barclays Term Loan on June 30, 2015, this debt discount was accreted to interest expense over the term of the loan using the effective interest rate method.

On June 30, 2015, the Company used \$436.4 million of the proceeds from the sale of the Notes to repay the \$435.0 million of principal and \$1.4 million of accrued interest due on its Barclays Term Loan under the Barclays Credit Agreement. In connection with this repayment of the loan, for the quarter ended June 30, 2015, the Company recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$16.9 million related to the unaccrued portion of the debt discount.

For the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company incurred total interest expense related to the Barclays Term Loan of \$10.7 million, of which \$9.8 million was cash and \$0.9 million was non-cash accretion of the debt discount recorded. In addition, included in interest expense for 2015 is a \$2.3 million ticking fee paid to Barclays during the first quarter of 2015, prior to the funding of the Barclays Senior Secured Credit Facilities on March 9, 2015, to lock in the financing terms from the lenders' commitment of the Barclays Term Loan until the actual allocation of the loan occurred at the closing of the Tower Acquisition.

Future principal maturities of December 31, 2017 are as follows (in thousands):

<u>Years ending December 31,</u>	
2018	\$ 20,000
2019	20,000
2020	20,000
2021	265,000
2022	600,000
Total	<u>\$ 925,000</u>

11. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Preferred Stock

Pursuant to its Restated Certificate of Incorporation (the "Certificate of Incorporation"), the Company is authorized to issue 2,000,000 shares of "blank check" preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share, which enables the Board of Directors, from time to time, to create one or more new series of preferred stock. Each series of preferred stock issued can have the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions designated by the Board of Directors. The issuance of any new series of preferred stock could affect, among other things, the dividend, voting, and liquidation rights of the Company's common stock. The Company had no preferred stock issued or outstanding as of December 31, 2017 or 2016.

Common Stock

Pursuant to its Certificate of Incorporation, the Company is authorized to issue 150,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, of which 74,234,076 shares have been issued and 73,990,347 shares were outstanding as of December 31, 2017. In addition, the Company had reserved for issuance the following amounts of shares of its common stock for the purposes described below as of December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

Shares issued	74,234
Stock options outstanding (1)	3,175
Conversion of Notes payable (2)	9,471
Warrants outstanding (see below)	9,471
Total shares of common stock issued and reserved for issuance	<u>96,351</u>

(1) See "Note 13. Share-Based Compensation"

(2) See "Note 10. Debt"

Warrants

As discussed in “Note 10. Debt”, on June 30, 2015, the Company entered into a series of Note Hedge Transactions and Warrant Transactions with a financial institution which are designed to reduce the potential dilution to the Company’s stockholders and/or offset the cash payments the Company is required to make in excess of the principal amount upon conversion of the Notes. Pursuant to the Warrant Transactions, the Company sold to a financial institution 9.47 million warrants to purchase the Company’s common stock, for which it received proceeds of \$88.3 million. The warrants have an exercise price of \$81.277 per share (subject to adjustment), are immediately exercisable, and have an expiration date of September 15, 2022.

Additional Paid-In Capital

Pursuant to the Note Hedge Transactions, the Company purchased from a financial institution 0.6 million call options on the Company’s common stock, for which it paid consideration of \$147.0 million. Each call option entitles the Company to purchase 15.7858 shares of the Company’s common stock at an exercise price of \$63.35 per share, is immediately exercisable, and has an expiration date of June 15, 2022, subject to earlier exercise. At the time of the Note Hedge Transactions, because of an insufficient number of authorized but unissued shares of the Company’s common stock, these call options did not meet the criteria for equity classification under FASB ASC Topic 815-40, *Derivatives and Hedging* and were accounted for as a derivative asset.

As of December 8, 2015, pursuant to the Company’s amendment to its Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock, the call options purchased pursuant to the Note Hedge Transactions (formerly a derivative asset) and the conversion option of the Notes (formerly an embedded derivative liability) were reclassified to equity in additional paid-in capital. The net effect of the reclassification of these derivatives was a \$21.0 million, net of tax, increase in additional paid-in capital reflected on the Company’s December 31, 2015 consolidated balance sheet.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company recognized in its consolidated statement of operations \$13.0 million of net expense related to the change in the fair value of the former derivative asset and liability. There was no comparable expense recognized in 2016 or 2017.

12. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The Company’s basic earnings per common share (“EPS”) is computed by dividing net (loss) income available to the Company’s common stockholders (as presented on the consolidated statements of operations) by the weighted-average number of shares of the Company’s common stock outstanding during the period. The Company’s restricted stock awards (non-vested shares) are issued and outstanding at the time of grant but are excluded from the Company’s computation of weighted-average shares outstanding in the determination of basic EPS until vesting occurs.

For purposes of calculating diluted EPS, the denominator includes both the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding and the number of common stock equivalents if the inclusion of such common stock equivalents would be dilutive. Dilutive common stock equivalents potentially include warrants, stock options and non-vested restricted stock awards using the treasury stock method and the number of shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Company’s outstanding convertible notes payable. In the case of the Company’s outstanding convertible notes payable, the diluted EPS calculation is further affected by an add-back of interest expense, net of tax, to the numerator under the assumption that the interest would not have been incurred if the convertible notes had been converted into common stock.

The following is a reconciliation of basic and diluted net (loss) income per share of common stock for the three years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Basic (Loss) Earnings Per Common Share:			
Net (loss) income	\$ (469,287)	\$ (472,031)	\$ 38,997
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	71,857	71,147	69,640
Basic (loss) earnings per share	\$ (6.53)	\$ (6.63)	\$ 0.56
Diluted (Loss) Earnings Per Common Share:			
Net (loss) income	\$ (469,287)	\$ (472,031)	\$ 38,997
Add-back of interest expense on outstanding convertible notes payable, net of tax	— (1)	— (1)	— (2)
Adjusted net (loss) income	\$ (469,287)	\$ (472,031)	\$ 38,997
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	71,857	71,147	69,640
Weighted-average incremental shares related to assumed exercise of warrants, stock options, vesting of non-vested shares and ESPP share issuance	— (3)	— (4)	2,387 (5)
Weighted-average incremental shares assuming conversion of outstanding notes payable	— (1)	— (1)	— (2)
Diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding	71,857 (3)	71,147 (4)	72,027 (6)
Diluted net (loss) income per share	\$ (6.53)	\$ (6.63)	\$ 0.54

- (1) For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company incurred a net loss, which cannot be diluted, so basic and diluted loss per common share were the same. Accordingly, there were no numerator or denominator adjustments related to the Company's outstanding Notes.
- (2) The numerator and denominator adjustments related to the Company's convertible notes payable were excluded from the computation because the add-back of interest expense, net of tax, to the numerator had a greater effect on the quotient than the inclusion of the incremental shares assuming conversion of the convertible notes payable in the denominator, resulting in anti-dilution.
- (3) For the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company incurred a net loss, which cannot be diluted, so basic and diluted loss per common share were the same. As of December 31, 2017, shares issuable but not included in the Company's calculation of diluted EPS, which could potentially dilute future earnings, included 9.47 million warrants outstanding, 9.47 million shares for conversion of outstanding Notes payable, 3.2 million stock options outstanding and 1.9 million non-vested restricted stock awards.
- (4) For the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company incurred a net loss, which cannot be diluted, so basic and diluted loss per common share were the same. As of December 31, 2016, shares issuable but not included in the Company's calculation of diluted EPS, which could potentially dilute future earnings, included 9.47 million warrants outstanding, 9.47 million shares for conversion of outstanding Notes payable, 2.2 million stock options outstanding and 2.2 million non-vested restricted stock awards.
- (5) As of December 31, 2015, the approximately 9.47 million warrants outstanding have been excluded from the denominator of the diluted EPS computation under the treasury stock method because the exercise price of the warrants exceeds the average market price of the Company's common stock for the period, so inclusion in the calculation would be anti-dilutive.
- (6) As of December 31, 2015, shares issuable but not included in the Company's calculation of diluted EPS, which could potentially dilute future earnings, included 9.47 million for warrants outstanding, 9.47 million shares for conversion of outstanding Notes payable, 1.7 million stock options outstanding and 1.5 million non-vested restricted stock awards.

13. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company recognizes the grant date fair value of each option and share of restricted stock over its vesting period. Stock options and restricted stock awards are granted under the Company's Fourth Amended and Restated 2002 Equity Incentive Plan and generally vest over a four year period and, in the case of stock options, have a term of 10 years.

Impax Laboratories, Inc. Fourth Amended and Restated 2002 Equity Incentive Plan (“2002 Plan”)

The aggregate number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance pursuant to the Company’s 2002 Plan is 18,050,000 shares. There were 2,324,997, 2,233,393 and 2,394,433 stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and 1,861,489, 2,160,127 and 2,146,498 non-vested restricted stock awards outstanding as of December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively, under the 2002 Plan.

Impax Laboratories, Inc. 1999 Equity Incentive Plan (“1999 Plan”)

The aggregate number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance pursuant to the Company’s 1999 Plan is 5,000,000 shares. There were 0, 938 and 10,938 stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively, under the 1999 Plan. The Company has ceased granting equity awards under the 1999 Plan.

Awards Granted Out of Plan—CEO Inducement

On March 27, 2017, the Company granted Paul M. Bisaro, its new President and Chief Executive Officer, an option to purchase 850,000 shares of the Company’s common stock pursuant to the terms of his Employment Agreement dated as of March 24, 2017 with the Company. The grant was made in accordance with NASDAQ’s employment inducement grant exemption and therefore was not granted under a stockholder approved plan. The grant is subject to the terms of an option agreement with Mr. Bisaro to evidence the award. There were 850,000 stock options outstanding related to this grant as of December 31, 2017.

The following table summarizes all of the Company’s stock option activity for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015:

Stock Options	Number of Shares Under Option	Weighted-Average Exercise Price per Share
Outstanding at December 31, 2014	3,042,180	\$ 14.78
Options granted	406,950	41.27
Options exercised	(1,042,198)	9.87
Options forfeited	(1,561)	16.70
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	2,405,371	21.39
Options granted	572,625	12.27
Options exercised	(477,910)	19.09
Options forfeited	(265,755)	35.88
Outstanding at December 31, 2016	2,234,331	22.67
Options granted	1,198,726	12.21
Options exercised	(74,643)	10.22
Options forfeited	(183,417)	33.07
Outstanding at December 31, 2017	3,174,997	18.36
Options exercisable at December 31, 2017	1,634,133	\$ 19.63

In May 2016, a retiring member of the Company’s Board of Directors exercised vested stock options on a cashless basis, whereby the Company withheld 19,022 shares to cover the \$0.6 million of proceeds due to the Company, representing the aggregate exercise price of the options.

As of December 31, 2017, stock options outstanding and exercisable had average remaining contractual lives of 6.70 years and 5.20 years, respectively. Also, as of December 31, 2017, stock options outstanding and exercisable each had aggregate intrinsic values of \$9.9 million and \$4.6 million, respectively, and restricted stock awards outstanding had an aggregate intrinsic value of \$31.0 million.

The Company grants restricted stock to certain eligible employees as a component of its long-term incentive compensation program. The restricted stock award grants are made in accordance with the Company's 2002 Plan and are issued and outstanding at the time of grant but are subject to forfeiture if the vesting conditions are not met. A summary of the non-vested restricted stock awards is as follows:

<u>Restricted Stock Awards</u>	<u>Non-Vested Restricted Stock Awards</u>	<u>Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value</u>
Non-vested at December 31, 2014	2,327,176	\$ 23.61
Granted	973,742	45.40
Vested	(930,159)	22.64
Forfeited	(224,261)	29.01
Non-vested at December 31, 2015	2,146,498	33.20
Granted	1,245,184	31.77
Vested	(893,190)	28.97
Forfeited	(338,365)	33.87
Non-vested at December 31, 2016	2,160,127	34.02
Granted	980,419	13.89
Vested	(730,160)	31.99
Forfeited	(548,897)	30.27
Non-vested at December 31, 2017	<u>1,861,489</u>	<u>\$ 25.36</u>

Included in the 730,160 shares of restricted stock vested during the year ended December 31, 2017 are 268,512 shares with a weighted-average fair value of \$15.77 per share that were withheld for tax withholding obligations upon vesting of such awards from stockholders who elected to net share settle such tax withholding obligation. Included in the 893,190 shares of restricted stock vested during the year ended December 31, 2016 are 335,423 shares with a weighted-average fair value of \$27.69 per share that were withheld for tax withholding purposes upon vesting of such awards from stockholders who elected to net share settle such tax withholding obligation. Included in the 930,159 shares of restricted stock vested during the year ended December 31, 2015 are 370,449 shares with a weighted-average fair value of \$40.48 per share that were withheld for tax withholding purposes upon vesting of such awards from stockholders who elected to net share settle such tax withholding obligation.

As of December 31, 2017, the Company had 1,932,375 shares available for issuance for either stock options or restricted stock awards under the 2002 Plan. Although there were also 296,921 shares available for issuance under the 1999 Plan, the Company has ceased granting equity awards under this plan. Additionally, the Company had 1,501,351 shares available for issuance under its 2001 Non-Qualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended ("ESPP"). The Company's Board of Directors has determined that the final purchase period prior to December 31, 2017 would be the final purchase period under the ESPP, and the ESPP was terminated thereafter.

As of December 31, 2017, the Company had total unrecognized share-based compensation expense of \$41.8 million related to all of its share-based awards, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.75 years. The intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 was \$0.5 million, \$5.8 million and \$33.0 million, respectively. The total fair value of restricted stock which vested during the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 was \$23.4 million, \$25.9 million and \$21.1 million, respectively.

The Company estimated the fair value of each stock option award on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	Years Ended December 31,								
	2017			2016			2015		
Volatility (range)	46.5%	—	49.2%	38.1%	—	40.3%	39.9%	—	40.1%
Volatility (weighted average)	48.1%			38.3%			40.0%		
Risk-free interest rate (range)	1.9%	—	2.2%	1.2%	—	1.9%	0.8%	—	1.8%
Risk-free interest rate (weighted average)	2.1%			1.4%			1.7%		
Dividend yield	— %			— %			— %		
Weighted-average expected life (years)	6.18			6.14			6.18		
Weighted average grant date fair value	\$ 5.93			\$ 12.27			\$ 17.08		

The Company estimated the fair value of each stock option award on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, wherein expected volatility is based on historical volatility of the Company's common stock. The expected term calculation is based on the "simplified" method described in SAB No. 107, Share-Based Payments and SAB No. 110, Share-Based Payment, as the result of the simplified method provides a reasonable estimate in comparison to actual experience. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield at the date of grant for an instrument with a maturity that is commensurate with the expected term of the stock options. The dividend yield of zero is based on the fact that the Company has never paid cash dividends on its common stock and has no present intention to pay cash dividends. Options granted under each of the above plans generally vest over four years and have a term of 10 years.

The amount of share-based compensation expense recognized by the Company is as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Manufacturing expenses	\$ 4,975	\$ 6,364	\$ 4,479
Research and development	16,174	5,697	5,996
Selling, general and administrative	5,109	20,119	18,138
Total	<u>\$26,258</u>	<u>\$32,180</u>	<u>\$28,613</u>

The after tax impact of recognizing the share-based compensation expense related to FASB ASC Topic 718 on basic earnings per common share was \$0.30, \$0.31 and \$0.20 for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and diluted earnings per common share was \$0.30, \$0.31 and \$0.20 for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The Company recognized a deferred tax benefit, before consideration of tax valuation allowances, of \$4.8 million, \$9.6 million and \$9.2 million in the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively, related to share-based compensation expense recorded for non-qualified employee stock options and restricted stock awards.

The Company's policy is to issue new shares to satisfy stock option exercises and to grant restricted stock awards.

Share based Compensation Expense related to Former Executives

In December 2016, the Company announced that G. Frederick Wilkinson and the Company mutually agreed that Mr. Wilkinson would separate from his positions as President and Chief Executive Officer of Impax and resign as a member of the Board of Directors of the Company, effective December 19, 2016. In connection with his separation from the Company, Mr. Wilkinson and the Company entered into a General Release and Waiver dated as of December 19, 2016 (the "General Release and Waiver"). The General Release and Waiver provided for 12 month accelerated vesting of certain of Mr. Wilkinson's stock options and shares of restricted stock in accordance with the terms therein. As a result, during the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company recorded \$0.5 million of accelerated expense related to the accelerated vesting of certain of Mr. Wilkinson's outstanding stock options and restricted stock.

The Company appointed Mr. Wilkinson as its President and Chief Executive Officer effective as of April 29, 2014. In accordance with Mr. Wilkinson's appointment and pursuant to Mr. Wilkinson's employment agreement with the Company, the Company granted to Mr. Wilkinson 150,000 shares of the Company's restricted stock with a grant date fair value of \$3.9 million, which vested as to one-third of the underlying shares on each of the six, 12 and 18 month anniversaries of April 29, 2014. Mr. Wilkinson also received pursuant to his employment agreement with the Company an award of 375,000 shares of restricted stock. The performance goals were achieved during fiscal year 2015 and pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement, 50% of Mr. Wilkinson's performance-based restricted stock vested in 2015 and 50% vested in 2016. The Company valued these restricted stock awards subject to performance-based vesting using a Monte Carlo simulation and recognized the \$7.6 million value of these awards over the longer of the derived or explicit service period, which was two years.

14. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

401(k) Defined Contribution Plan

The Company sponsors a 401(k) defined contribution plan covering all employees. Participants are permitted to contribute up to 25% of their eligible annual pre-tax compensation up to established federal limits on aggregate participant contributions. The Company matches 100% of the employee contributions up to a maximum of 5% of employee compensation. Discretionary profit-sharing contributions made by the Company, if any, are determined annually by the Board of Directors. Participants are 100% vested in discretionary profit-sharing and matching contributions made by the Company after three years of service, and are 25% and 50% vested after one and two years of service, respectively. There were \$6.1 million, \$7.4 million and \$3.7 million in matching contributions and no discretionary profit-sharing contributions made under this plan for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

In February 2001, the Board of Directors approved the 2001 Non-Qualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP"), with a 500,000 share reservation. The purpose of the ESPP was to enhance employee interest in the success and progress of the Company by encouraging employee ownership of common stock of the Company. The ESPP provided the opportunity to purchase the Company's common stock at a 15% discount to the market price through payroll deductions or lump sum cash investments. Under the ESPP plan, for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, the Company sold shares of its common stock to its employees in the amount of 50,185, 29,612 and 35,275, respectively, for net proceeds of \$0.6 million, \$0.7 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. The Company's Board of Directors determined that the final purchase period prior to December 31, 2017 would be the final purchase period under the ESPP, and the ESPP was terminated thereafter.

Deferred Compensation Plan

In February 2002, the Board of Directors approved the Executive Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan ("ENQDCP") effective August 15, 2002 covering executive level employees of the Company as designated by the Board of Directors. Participants can defer up to 75% of their base salary and quarterly sales bonus and up to 100% of their annual performance based bonus. The Company matches 50% of employee deferrals up to 10% of base salary and bonus compensation. The maximum total match by the Company cannot exceed 5% of total base and bonus compensation. Participants are vested in the employer match contribution at 20% each year, with 100% vesting after five years of employment. Participants can earn a return on their deferred compensation based on hypothetical investments in investment funds. Changes in the market value of the participant deferrals and earnings thereon are reflected as an adjustment to the liability for deferred compensation with an offset to compensation expense. There were \$1.0 million, \$1.0 million and \$1.1 million in matching contributions under the ENQDCP for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The deferred compensation liability is a non-current liability recorded at the value of the amount owed to the ENQDCP participants, with changes in the value of such amounts recognized as compensation expense in the consolidated statements of operations. The calculation of the deferred compensation obligation is derived from observable market data by references to hypothetical investments selected by the participants and is included in the line item captioned "Other liabilities" on the consolidated balance sheets. The Company invests in corporate owned life insurance ("COLI") policies, of which the cash surrender value is included in the line item captioned "Other assets" on the consolidated balance sheets. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company had a cash surrender value asset of \$43.0 million and \$37.4 million, respectively, and a deferred compensation liability of \$33.4 million and \$28.6 million, respectively, which approximated fair value. The asset representing the cash surrender value of the corporate owned life insurance and the deferred compensation liability are both Level 2 fair value measurements.

15. RESTRUCTURINGS

Consolidation and Improvement Plan

On May 10, 2017, the Company announced that it initiated a series of actions designed to improve manufacturing and research and development ("R&D") efficiencies, capitalize on growth opportunities, improve profitability and mitigate current challenges. The actions include:

- Consolidating all of Generic R&D and U.S. manufacturing and packing operations to the Company's Hayward, California facility;
- Continuing the previously announced closure of the Middlesex, New Jersey manufacturing site, which will now include the closure of the Middlesex Generic R&D site as further discussed below under "Middlesex, New Jersey Manufacturing and Packaging Operations" and "Middlesex, New Jersey Generic R&D";
- Reorganizing certain functions including quality, engineering and supply chain operations as further described below under "Technical Operations Reduction-in-Force";
- Reviewing strategic alternatives for the Company's Taiwan facility, including a sale of the facility as further described below under "Sale of Impax Laboratories (Taiwan), Inc." and
- Rationalizing the generic portfolio to eliminate low-value products and streamline operations such as the Company's divestment during the second quarter of 2017 of 29 ANDAs and one NDA for approved non-strategic generic products, the vast majority of which were not marketed, and all acquired as part of the Tower Acquisition, as described in "Note 8. Intangible Assets and Goodwill."

By consolidating activities as outlined above, the Company expects to achieve cost savings and operating efficiency benefits while maintaining the infrastructure and expertise needed to capitalize on product and pipeline strengths. The Company currently expects to incur estimated charges for each initiative as described below. There are no charges currently expected to be incurred related to the rationalization of the generic product portfolio.

Middlesex, New Jersey Manufacturing and Packaging Operations

In March 2016, the Company's Board of Directors approved a plan of restructuring designed to reduce costs, improve operating efficiencies and enhance the Company's long-term competitive position by closing the Company's Middlesex, New Jersey manufacturing and packaging site and transferring the products and the functions performed there to the Company's other facilities or to third-party manufacturers. This restructuring was expected to take up to two years to complete. As a result of the restructuring, 215 positions were eliminated.

The Company incurred aggregate pre-tax charges of \$43.4 million in connection with this plan through the year ended 2017 and does not anticipate any significant future charges. The following is a summary of the cumulative charges incurred by major type of cost (in thousands):

<u>Type of Cost</u>	<u>Cumulative Amount Incurred</u>
Employee retention and severance payments	\$ 12,725
Technical transfer of products	9,544
Asset impairment and accelerated depreciation charges	20,900
Facilities lease terminations and asset retirement obligations	209
Legal and professional fees	12
Total estimated restructuring charges	<u>\$ 43,390</u>

Employee retention and severance payments are being accrued over the estimated service period. For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company recorded expense of \$16.3 million and \$27.1 million, respectively, to general and administrative expense in the Corporate and Other segment on the consolidated statements of operations.

A rollforward of the charges incurred to general and administrative expense for the year ended December 31, 2016 is as follows (in thousands):

	<u>Balance as of December 31, 2015</u>	<u>Expensed /Accrued Expense</u>	<u>Cash Payments</u>	<u>Non-Cash Items</u>	<u>Balance as of December 31, 2016</u>
Employee retention and severance payments	\$ —	\$ 6,636	\$ (691)	\$ —	\$ 5,945
Technical transfer of products	—	6,573	(6,573)	—	—
Asset impairment and accelerated depreciation charges	—	13,678	—	(13,678)	—
Facilities lease terminations and asset retirement obligations	—	209	—	—	209
Legal and professional fees	—	12	(12)	—	—
Total	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 27,108</u>	<u>\$ (7,276)</u>	<u>\$ (13,678)</u>	<u>\$ 6,154</u>

A rollforward of the charges incurred to general and administrative expense for the year ended December 31, 2017 is as follows (in thousands):

	<u>Balance as of December 31, 2016</u>	<u>Expensed /Accrued Expense</u>	<u>Cash Payments</u>	<u>Non-Cash Items</u>	<u>Balance as of December 31, 2017</u>
Employee retention and severance payments	\$ 5,945	\$ 6,089	\$ (4,648)	\$ —	\$ 7,386
Technical transfer of products	—	2,671	(2,671)	—	—
Asset impairment and accelerated depreciation charges	—	7,525	—	(7,525)	—
Facilities lease terminations and asset retirement obligations	209	—	—	—	209
Total	<u>\$ 6,154</u>	<u>\$ 16,285</u>	<u>\$ (7,319)</u>	<u>\$ (7,525)</u>	<u>\$ 7,595</u>

For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company recognized a liability of \$7.6 and \$6.2 million, respectively, in accrued expenses on the Company's consolidated balance sheet and anticipates remaining payments to be made through early 2018.

Middlesex, New Jersey Generic R&D

In May 2017, as part of its Consolidation and Improvement Plan, the Company announced its plan to close its Middlesex, New Jersey Generic R&D site and consolidate all Generic R&D activities to its Hayward, California facility. As a result, the Company eliminated a total of 31 positions in Middlesex. In connection with this Generic R&D consolidation, the Company incurred aggregate pre-tax charges for employee termination benefits, program termination costs and accelerated depreciation charges of \$3.4 million through the end of 2017. For the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company recorded \$3.0 million of employee termination benefits and program termination costs and \$0.4 million for accelerated depreciation charges, all to research and development on the consolidated statement of operations. As of December 31, 2017, \$3.0 million of employee termination benefits and program termination costs had been paid.

Sale of Middlesex, New Jersey Assets

In the fourth quarter of 2017, management completed an evaluation of the assets located at the Company's Middlesex, New Jersey facilities in accordance with ASC 360—Property, Plant and Equipment (“ASC 360”) to determine whether all of the “held for sale” criteria under subsection 360-10-45-9 had been met. Based upon management's evaluation of the criteria under ASC 360, the Middlesex, New Jersey assets were determined to meet all of the “held for sale” criteria. As a result, the Company completed an impairment assessment related to the net book value of the assets of \$5.6 million and based upon the estimated fair value less estimated costs to sell the assets the Company recorded a fixed asset impairment charges of \$3.3 million in the Generic segment of its consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2017.

On January 16, 2018, the Company sold all of its outstanding membership interests in CorePharma LLC, its wholly owned subsidiary, including certain specified assets within the entity, to a third party for a purchase price of \$2.2 million.

Technical Operations Reduction-in-Force

In March 2017, the Company's management determined that a reduction-in-force was necessary in the Company's technical operations group in order to achieve greater operational efficiencies and to further streamline the organization. The Company identified 48 positions for elimination as of December 31, 2017. In connection with this reduction-in-force, the Company incurred aggregate pre-tax charges for employee termination benefits and other associated costs of \$3.7 million through the end of 2017. For the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company recorded \$3.7 million of employee termination benefits and other associated costs to cost of revenues in the Impax Generics segment on the consolidated statement of operations. As of December 31, 2017, \$2.0 million had been paid and \$1.7 million of employee termination benefits were included in accrued expenses on the Company's consolidated balance sheet and the Company estimates that all payments will be made by early 2018.

Sale of Impax Laboratories (Taiwan), Inc.

In May 2017, as part of its Consolidation and Improvement Plan, the Company announced that it was reviewing strategic alternatives for its Taiwan facility, including a sale of the facility to a qualified buyer capable of reliably producing Rytary[®] in accordance with FDA requirements as the Company's third party contract manufacturer (“CMO”) or, in the alternative, a closure of the facility following the completion of the technology transfer process to allow Rytary[®] to be manufactured either in the Company's Hayward, California facility or at a CMO. Following this announcement, management completed an evaluation of the Taiwan facility in accordance with ASC 360 to determine whether all of the “held for sale” criteria under subsection 360-10-45-9 had been met. Based upon the evaluation of the criteria, including management's assessment of whether it was probable that a sale to a qualified buyer could be completed within one year, the Taiwan facility was determined to be “held and used” as of May 31, 2017.

Following the “held and used” determination, management next evaluated the Taiwan facility for recoverability. Recoverability of property is evaluated by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset or asset group to the future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset or asset group. As the activities at the Taiwan facility were primarily focused on manufacturing Rytary[®], which product represented the majority of the unit volume produced and cash flows generated, the Taiwan facility was included in the Impax Specialty Pharma asset group. Based upon the cash flows expected to be generated by the Impax Specialty Pharma asset group, management determined that there was no impairment of the asset group which included the Taiwan facility as of May 31, 2017.

As of May 31, 2017, the remaining useful life of the Taiwan facility was estimated to be two years, which was based on the estimated time required to complete the technology transfer process for Rytary[®] and reflected the new pattern of consumption of the expected benefits of the facility. The Company will recognize accelerated depreciation expense on a straight-line basis through May 31, 2019 to write the building and equipment associated with the Taiwan facility down to their estimated salvage values. For the year ended December 31, 2017 the Company recorded accelerated depreciation of \$9.1 million.

After May 31, 2017 the Company continued to assess whether the Taiwan facility met the ASC 360 criteria. In the fourth quarter of 2017 based upon management's valuation of the criteria the Taiwan facility was determined to meet all of the "held for sale" criteria. As a result, excluding assets and liabilities subject to customary working capital adjustment, the Company completed an impairment assessment of the net book value of \$91.7 million related to the net assets to be sold, and based upon an estimated fair value less estimated costs to sell for the net assets, the Company recorded an asset impairment charge of \$74.1 million in the Company's consolidated statement of operations, of which \$73.6 million related to property, plant and equipment. The remaining assets and liabilities associated with the Taiwan entity, which were part of the Impax Specialty Pharma segment, were reclassified as held for sale.

The following table provides the components of assets and liabilities of the Taiwan operations held for sale as of December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

	December 31, 2017
Current assets	\$ 11,527
Property, plant and equipment	18,500
Assets held for sale	<u>\$ 30,027</u>
Current liabilities	<u>\$ 7,170</u>
Liabilities held for sale	<u>\$ 7,170</u>

On December 19, 2017, the Company entered into a stock and asset purchase agreement with Bora Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd. ("Bora") pursuant to which Bora agreed to acquire the outstanding shares of Impax Laboratories (Taiwan), Inc. for \$18.5 million in cash plus reimbursement for the closing working capital, subject to adjustment as defined in the agreement. The closing of the sale was completed on February 6, 2018.

Hayward, California Technical Operations and R&D

In November 2015, the Company's management assessed the headcount in the technical operations and research and development groups in Hayward, California, primarily as a result of the resolution of the warning letter at the Hayward facility, and determined that a reduction-in-force was necessary to adjust the headcount to the operating conditions of the post-warning letter resolution environment. The Company eliminated 27 positions and recorded an accrual in the Impax Generics segment for severance and related employee termination benefits of \$2.5 million during the quarter ended December 31, 2015. As of December 31, 2017, \$2.3 million has been paid, and the Company currently expects the remainder of this balance to be paid by early 2018.

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Packaging and Distribution Operations

On June 30, 2015, the Company committed to a plan of restructuring of its packaging and distribution operations and as a result of this plan, the Company closed its Philadelphia packaging site and all Company-wide distribution operations were outsourced to United Parcel Services during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015. The Company eliminated 93 positions and recorded an accrual for severance and related employee termination benefits of \$2.6 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2015. As of June 30, 2016, the full \$2.6 million had been paid.

16. INCOME TAXES

The Company is subject to federal, state and local income taxes in the United States, and income taxes in Taiwan, R.O.C., the Republic of Ireland and the Netherlands. The provision for (benefit from) income taxes is comprised of the following (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Current:			
Federal taxes	\$ (55,844)	\$ 21,386	\$ 48,078
State taxes	(372)	266	2,286
Foreign taxes	639	1,377	(442)
Total current tax (benefit) expense	<u>(55,577)</u>	<u>23,029</u>	<u>49,922</u>
Deferred:			
Federal taxes	\$ 73,357	\$ (133,387)	\$ (23,605)
State taxes	(371)	5,502	(5,733)
Foreign taxes	917	562	(213)
Total deferred tax expense (benefit)	<u>73,903</u>	<u>(127,323)</u>	<u>(29,551)</u>
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	<u>\$ 18,326</u>	<u>\$ (104,294)</u>	<u>\$ 20,371</u>

A reconciliation of the difference between the tax provision (benefit) at the federal statutory rate and actual income taxes on income before income taxes, which includes federal, state, and other income taxes, is as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2017		2016		2015	
(Loss) income before income taxes	<u>\$ (450,961)</u>		<u>\$ (576,325)</u>		<u>\$ 59,368</u>	
Tax (benefit) provision at the federal statutory rate	(157,836)	35.0%	(201,714)	35.0%	20,779	35.0%
Increase (decrease) in tax rate resulting from:						
Tax rate differential and permanent items on foreign income	662	(0.2)%	186	— %	412	0.7%
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	(8,291)	1.8%	(7,394)	1.3%	365	0.6%
State research and development credits	(1,324)	0.3%	(1,767)	0.3%	(2,357)	(4.0)%
Federal research and development credits	(1,243)	0.3%	(2,213)	0.4%	(2,672)	(4.5)%
Share-based compensation	5,471	(1.2)%	1,768	(0.3)%	968	1.6%
Executive compensation	543	(0.1)%	(761)	0.1%	3,140	5.3%
Domestic manufacturing deduction	—	— %	(1,286)	0.2%	(1,422)	(2.4)%
Other permanent book/tax differences	(1,846)	0.4%	(258)	— %	2,003	3.4%
Provision for uncertain tax positions	(807)	0.2%	337	— %	184	0.3%
Revision of prior years' estimates	1,371	(0.3)%	(792)	0.1%	859	1.5%
Taiwan rural area investment tax credit	—	— %	—	— %	(2,134)	(3.6)%
Impact on gross deferred net assets from 2017 Tax Reform Act	100,065	(22.2)%	—	— %	—	— %
Foreign withholding tax	1,534	(0.3)%	—	— %	—	— %
Other, net	2,888	(0.7)%	842	(0.1)%	246	0.4%
Valuation allowance	77,139	(17.1)%	108,758	(18.9)%	—	— %
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	<u>\$ 18,326</u>	<u>(4.1)%</u>	<u>\$ (104,294)</u>	<u>18.1%</u>	<u>\$ 20,371</u>	<u>34.3%</u>

Deferred income taxes result from temporary differences between the financial statement carrying values and the tax bases of the Company's assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets principally result from certain accruals and reserves currently not deductible for tax purposes, acquired product rights and intangibles, capitalized legal and share based compensation expense. Deferred tax liabilities principally result from acquired product rights and intangibles and the use of accelerated depreciation methods for income tax purposes.

A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. When determining the amount of net deferred tax assets that are more likely than not to be realized, the Company assesses all available positive and negative evidence. This evidence includes, but is not limited to, scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, prior earnings history, projected future earnings, carry-back and carry-forward periods and the feasibility of ongoing tax strategies that could potentially enhance the likelihood of the realization of a deferred tax asset. The weight given to the positive and negative evidence is commensurate with the extent the evidence may be objectively verified. As such, it is generally difficult for positive evidence regarding projected future taxable income (exclusive of reversing taxable temporary differences and carryforwards) to outweigh objective negative evidence of recent financial reporting losses for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Based on an evaluation of both the positive and negative evidence available, the Company determined that it was necessary to establish a valuation allowance against all of the net deferred tax assets for the year ended December 31, 2017 and against a significant portion of the net deferred tax assets for the year ended December 31, 2016. Given the objectively verifiable negative evidence of a three-year cumulative loss which, under the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 740 is a significant element of negative evidence that is difficult to overcome, and the weighting of all available positive evidence, the Company excluded projected taxable income from the assessment of income that could be used as a source of taxable income to realize the deferred tax assets. The valuation allowance recorded against the consolidated net deferred tax asset in 2017 and 2016 were \$185.9 million and \$108.8 million, respectively.

The components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
Deferred tax assets:		
Accrued expenses	\$ 60,069	\$ 114,825
Inventory reserves	17,602	15,873
Net operating loss carryforwards	2,518	2,302
Depreciation and amortization	2,657	651
Acquired product rights and intangibles	118,168	128,401
Capitalized legal fees	6,695	10,231
Credit carryforwards	11,205	8,453
Share based compensation expense	3,535	6,371
Sale of subsidiary	7,794	—
Other	495	525
Deferred tax assets	<u>230,738</u>	<u>287,632</u>
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Tax depreciation and amortization in excess of book amounts	3,808	5,428
Acquired product rights and intangibles	35,698	95,517
Derivative	3,411	6,192
Foreign withholding tax	1,824	—
Other	3,326	1,871
Deferred tax liabilities	<u>48,067</u>	<u>109,008</u>
Deferred tax assets (liabilities), net	182,671	178,624
Valuation allowance	<u>(185,897)</u>	<u>(108,758)</u>
Deferred tax assets (liabilities), net after valuation allowance	<u>\$ (3,226)</u>	<u>\$ 69,866</u>

A rollforward of unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 is as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Unrecognized tax benefits beginning of year	\$6,425	\$ 5,680	\$ 6,517
Gross change for current year positions	328	549	1,079
Gross change for prior period positions	(105)	1,318	(673)
Gross change due to Tower Acquisition	—	—	1,037
Decrease due to expiration of statutes of limitations	(972)	—	—
Decrease due to settlements and payments	—	(1,122)	(2,280)
Unrecognized tax benefits end of year	<u>\$5,676</u>	<u>\$ 6,425</u>	<u>\$ 5,680</u>

The amount of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 was \$5.7 million, \$6.4 million and \$5.7 million, respectively, of which \$5.0 million, \$5.3 million and \$4.3 million would impact the Company's effective tax rate, respectively, if recognized. The Company currently does not believe that the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits will increase or decrease significantly over the next 12 months. Interest expense related to income taxes is included in "Interest expense, net" on the consolidated statements of operations. Net interest expense related to unrecognized tax benefits for the year ended December 31, 2017 was \$(24,000), compared to \$125,000 in 2016. Accrued interest expense as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 was \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. Income tax penalties are included in "Other income (expense)" on the consolidated statements of operations. Accrued tax penalties of \$0.6 million were booked in 2015 related to the 2010-2011 California audit and were paid in 2016.

Tower Holdings, Inc. (“Tower”) is currently under audit for federal income tax by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) for the tax year ended March 9, 2015, which pre-dates the Company’s acquisition of Tower. The Company and the former stockholders of Tower are currently cooperating with the IRS in connection with the audit. Under the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement related to the Tower Acquisition, the Company is not responsible for pre-acquisition income tax liabilities. Neither the Company nor any of its other affiliates is currently under audit for federal income tax.

Through March 31, 2017, no provision had been made for U.S. federal deferred income taxes on the excess of the amount for financial reporting over the tax basis of investments in foreign subsidiary since it had been the current intention of management to indefinitely reinvest the undistributed earnings in the foreign subsidiary.

As of June 30, 2017, following management’s announcement in May 2017 that it was reviewing potential options to either sell or close the Taiwan manufacturing facility and dissolve operations at Impax Taiwan, the Company changed its assertion related to the accumulated unremitted foreign earnings of its Taiwan subsidiary. The Company was no longer able to assert under ASC 740-30-25 that the unremitted foreign earnings are indefinitely reinvested outside the United States. Accordingly, the Company has recorded a deferred tax liability associated with remitting these earnings back to the United States.

Effect of 2017 Tax Reform Act

On December 22, 2017, the 2017 Tax Reform Act was signed into law. Among other things, the 2017 Tax Reform Act permanently lowers the corporate tax rate to 21% from the existing maximum rate of 35%, effective for tax years including or commencing January 1, 2018. As a result of the reduction of the corporate tax rate to 21%, U.S. GAAP require companies to re-value their deferred tax assets and liabilities as of the date of enactment, with resulting tax effects accounted for in the reporting period of enactment.

In connection with the Company’s initial analysis of the impact of the 2017 Tax Reform Act, the Company recorded a discrete net tax benefit of \$0.4 million in the period ending December 31, 2017. This net tax benefit primarily consisted of the corporate rate reduction of \$0.5 million and a net expense for the Transition Tax (as described below) of \$0.1 million.

Although the Company is able to make a reasonable estimate of the impact of the reduction in its corporate tax rate, due to the 2017 Tax Reform Act, the Company’s estimate may be affected by other analyses related to the 2017 Tax Reform Act, including, but not limited to, the Company’s calculation of deemed repatriation of deferred foreign income and the state tax effect of adjustments made to federal temporary differences. The deemed repatriation transition tax, also referred to as the “Transition Tax”, is a tax on previously untaxed accumulated and current earnings and profits (“E&P”) of a company’s foreign subsidiaries. To determine the amount of the Transition Tax, the Company determined, in addition to other factors, the amount of post-1986 E&P of the Company’s relevant subsidiaries—including Impax Laboratories (Netherlands) CV, Impax (Netherlands) BV, Impax Laboratories Ireland Limited, and Impax Taiwan Inc,—as well as the amount of non-U.S. income taxes paid on such earnings. As such, the Company has made a reasonable estimate of the Transition Tax and recorded a Transition Tax obligation of \$0.1 million, however, the Company continues to gather additional information to more precisely compute the amount of the Transition Tax. The Company continues to evaluate legislative changes, regulations, and notices regarding the applicable mechanics of the relevant rules impacting the estimate of the Transition Tax, and, the Company continues to evaluate cash versus non-cash earnings and profits, as the rates differ for the two different categories of earnings and profits.

17. ALLIANCE AND COLLABORATION AGREEMENTS

The Company has entered into several alliance, collaboration, license and distribution agreements, and similar agreements with respect to certain of its products and services, with unrelated third-party pharmaceutical companies. The consolidated statements of operations include revenue recognized under agreements the Company has entered into to develop marketing and/or distribution relationships with its partners to fully leverage the technology platform and revenue recognized under development agreements which generally obligate the Company to provide research and development services over multiple periods.

The Company's alliance and collaboration agreements often include milestones and provide for milestone payments upon achievement of these milestones. Generally, the milestone events contained in the Company's alliance and collaboration agreements coincide with the progression of the Company's products and technologies from pre-commercialization to commercialization.

The Company groups pre-commercialization milestones in its alliance and collaboration agreements into clinical and regulatory categories, each of which may include the following types of events:

Clinical Milestone Events:

- *Designation of a development candidate* . Following the designation of a development candidate, generally, IND-enabling animal studies for a new development candidate take 12 to 18 months to complete.
- *Initiation of a Phase I clinical trial* . Generally, Phase I clinical trials take one to two years to complete.
- *Initiation or completion of a Phase II clinical trial* . Generally, Phase II clinical trials take one to three years to complete.
- *Initiation or completion of a Phase III clinical trial* . Generally, Phase III clinical trials take two to four years to complete.
- *Completion of a bioequivalence study* . Generally, bioequivalence studies take three months to one year to complete.

Regulatory Milestone Events:

- *Filing or acceptance of regulatory applications for marketing approval such as a New Drug Application in the United States or Marketing Authorization Application in Europe* . Generally, it takes six to 12 months to prepare and submit regulatory filings and two months for a regulatory filing to be accepted for substantive review.
- *Marketing approval in a major market, such as the United States or Europe* . Generally it takes one to three years after an application is submitted to obtain approval from the applicable regulatory agency.
- *Marketing approval in a major market, such as the United States or Europe for a new indication of an already-approved product* . Generally it takes one to three years after an application for a new indication is submitted to obtain approval from the applicable regulatory agency.

Commercialization Milestone Events:

- *First commercial sale in a particular market , such as in the United States or Europe* .
- *Product sales in excess of a pre-specified threshold , such as annual sales exceeding \$100 million* . The amount of time to achieve this type of milestone depends on several factors including but not limited to the dollar amount of the threshold, the pricing of the product and the pace at which customers begin using the product.

License and Distribution Agreement with Shire

In January 2006, the Company entered into a License and Distribution Agreement with an affiliate of Shire Laboratories, Inc., which was subsequently amended ("Prior Shire Agreement"), under which the Company received a non-exclusive license to market and sell an authorized generic of Shire's Adderall XR[®] product ("AG Product") subject to certain conditions, but in any event by no later than January 1, 2010. The Company commenced sales of the AG Product in October 2009. On February 7, 2013, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated License and Distribution Agreement with Shire (the "Amended and Restated Shire Agreement"), which amended and restated the Prior Shire Agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Amended and Restated Shire Agreement, the

Company is required to pay to Shire a specified profit share based on sales of the AG Product and a specified profit share based on sales of our generic Adderall XR[®] product. The Company began selling our generic Adderall XR[®] product during the second quarter of 2016. The Company accrued a profit share payable to Shire of \$2.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2017, based on sales of its generic Adderall XR[®] product and reflecting adjustments for returns and government rebates from its previous sales of the AG Product and of \$7.5 million and \$19.5 million during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, based on sales of the AG Product and the Company's generic Adderall XR[®] product, in each case with a corresponding charge included in the cost of revenues line in the consolidated statements of operations.

Development, Supply and Distribution Agreement with Tolmar, Inc.

In June 2012, the Company entered into the Tolmar Agreement with Tolmar. Under the terms of the Tolmar Agreement, Tolmar granted to the Company an exclusive license to commercialize up to 11 generic topical prescription drug products, including 10 currently approved products in the United States and its territories; the parties agreed in 2015 to terminate development efforts of one product under the Tolmar Agreement that had been pending approval at the FDA. Under the terms of the Tolmar Agreement, Tolmar is responsible for developing and manufacturing the products, and the Company is responsible for marketing and sale of the products. As of December 31, 2017, the Company was currently marketing and selling four approved products. The Company is required to pay a profit share to Tolmar on sales of each product commercialized pursuant to the terms of the Tolmar Agreement.

The Company paid Tolmar a \$21.0 million upfront payment upon signing of the agreement and, pursuant to the terms of the agreement, is also required to make payments to Tolmar up to an aggregate amount of \$25.0 million upon the achievement of certain specified milestone events. As of December 31, 2017, the Company had paid a total of \$20.0 million to Tolmar upon the achievement of certain specified milestone events, including \$12.0 million upon the achievement of a regulatory milestone event and \$5.0 million upon the achievement of a commercialization event, and does not currently expect to make any additional milestone payments under the agreement. The Company is required to pay a profit share to Tolmar on sales of the topical products, of which it accrued a profit share payable to Tolmar of \$10.0 million, \$36.4 million and \$77.7 million during the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively, with a corresponding charge included in the cost of revenues line in the Company's consolidated statement of operations.

The Company entered into a Loan and Security Agreement with Tolmar in March 2012 (the "Tolmar Loan Agreement"), under which the Company agreed to lend to Tolmar one or more loans through December 31, 2014, in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$15.0 million. The outstanding principal amount of, including any accrued and unpaid interest on, the loans under the Tolmar Loan Agreement are payable by Tolmar beginning from March 31, 2017 through March 31, 2020 or the maturity date, in accordance with the terms therein. Pursuant to the Tolmar Loan Agreement, Tolmar could prepay all or any portion of the outstanding balance of the loans prior to the maturity date without penalty or premium. In May 2016, Tolmar repaid in full the \$15.0 million due to the Company under the Tolmar Loan Agreement.

Strategic Alliance Agreement with Teva

The Company is a party to a Strategic Alliance Agreement dated as of June 27, 2001 with Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. ("Teva USA"), an affiliate of Teva, which was subsequently amended ("Teva Agreement"). The Teva Agreement commits the Company to develop and manufacture, and Teva to distribute, a specified number of controlled release generic pharmaceutical products ("generic products"), each for a 10-year period. As of December 31, 2017, the Company was supplying Teva with oxybutynin extended release tablets (Ditropan XL[®] 5 mg, 10 mg and 15 mg extended release tablets); the other products under the Teva Agreement have either been returned to the Company, are being manufactured by Teva at its election, were voluntarily withdrawn from the market or the Company's obligations to supply such product had expired or were terminated in accordance with the Teva Agreement.

In January 2012, the Company entered into the AZ Agreement with AstraZeneca and the parties subsequently entered into a First Amendment to the AZ Agreement dated May 31, 2016 (as amended, the “AZ Amendment”). Under the terms of the AZ Agreement, AstraZeneca granted to the Company an exclusive license to commercialize the tablet, orally disintegrating tablet and nasal spray formulations of Zomig[®] (zolmitriptan) products for the treatment of migraine headaches in the United States and in certain U.S. territories, except during an initial transition period when AstraZeneca fulfilled all orders of Zomig[®] products on the Company’s behalf and AstraZeneca paid to the Company the gross profit on such Zomig[®] products. Under the terms of the AZ Amendment, under certain conditions and depending on the nature and terms of the study agreed to with the FDA, the Company agreed to conduct, at its own expense, the juvenile toxicity study and pediatric study required by the FDA under the Pediatric Research Equity Act (“PREA”) for approval of the nasal formulation of Zomig[®] for the acute treatment of migraine in pediatric patients ages six through eleven years old, as further described in the study protocol mutually agreed to by the parties (the “PREA Study”). In consideration for the Company conducting the PREA Study at its own expense, the AZ Amendment provides for the total royalty payments payable by the Company to AstraZeneca on net sales of Zomig[®] products under the AZ Agreement to be reduced by certain specified amounts beginning from the quarter ended June 30, 2016 and through the quarter ended December 31, 2020, with such reduced royalty amounts totaling an aggregate amount of \$30.0 million. In the event the royalty reduction amounts exceed the royalty payments payable by the Company to AstraZeneca pursuant to the AZ Agreement in any given quarter, AstraZeneca will be required to pay the Company an amount equal to the difference between the royalty reduction amount and the royalty payment payable by the Company to AstraZeneca. The Company’s commitment to perform the PREA Study may be terminated, without penalty, under certain circumstances as set forth in the AZ Amendment.

In May 2013, the Company’s exclusivity period for branded Zomig[®] tablets and orally disintegrating tablets expired and the Company launched authorized generic versions of those products in the United States. As discussed above, pursuant to the AZ Amendment, the total royalty payments payable by the Company to AstraZeneca on net sales of Zomig[®] products under the AZ Agreement is reduced by certain specified amounts beginning from the quarter ended June 30, 2016 and through the quarter ended December 31, 2020, with such reduced royalty amounts totaling an aggregate amount of \$30.0 million. The Company accrued a royalty payable to AstraZeneca of \$17.8 million, \$17.2 million and \$16.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively, with a corresponding charge included in the cost of revenues line on the consolidated statements of operations.

Mebendazole Product Acquisition Agreement with Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.

In August 2013, the Company, through its Amedra Pharmaceuticals subsidiary, entered into a product acquisition agreement (the “Mebendazole Product Acquisition Agreement”) with Teva pursuant to which the Company acquired the assets (including the ANDA and other regulatory materials) and related liabilities related to Teva’s mebendazole tablet product in all dosage forms. Pursuant to the Mebendazole Product Acquisition Agreement, the Company was required to pay certain milestone payments up to an aggregate amount of \$3.5 million upon the approval and launch of the mebendazole tablet product; the Company paid the \$3.5 million to Teva during the quarter ended March 31, 2016 upon the FDA’s approval and the Company’s subsequent launch of Emverm[®] (mebendazole) 100 mg chewable tablets. The Company is also obligated to pay Teva a royalty payment based on net sales of Emverm[®], including a specified annual minimum royalty payment, subject to customary reductions and the other terms and conditions set forth in the Mebendazole Product Acquisition Agreement.

18. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Executive Employment Agreements

The Company is a party to employment and separation agreements with certain members of its executive management team that provide for severance and other payments following termination of their employment for various reasons.

Lease Agreements

The Company leases land, office space, manufacturing, warehouse and research and development facilities, and equipment under non-cancelable operating leases expiring between January 2018 and December 2027. Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 was \$5.2 million, \$4.9 million and \$4.1 million, respectively. The Company recognizes rent expense on a straight-line basis over the lease period. The Company also leases certain equipment under various non-cancelable operating leases with various expiration dates between April 2018 and July 2022. Future minimum lease payments under the non-cancelable operating leases are as follows (in thousands):

Years ending December 31,	
2018	\$ 5,575
2019	3,740
2020	2,578
2021	2,551
2022	2,585
Thereafter	11,113
Total minimum lease payments	<u>\$28,142</u>

Purchase Order Commitments

As of December 31, 2017, the Company had \$108.1 million of open purchase order commitments, primarily for raw materials. The terms of these purchase order commitments are generally less than one year in duration.

19. LEGAL AND REGULATORY MATTERS

Patent Litigation

There is substantial litigation in the pharmaceutical, biological, and biotechnology industries with respect to the manufacture, use, and sale of new products which are the subject of conflicting patent and intellectual property claims. One or more patents often cover the brand name products for which the Company is developing generic versions and the Company typically has patent rights covering the Company's branded products.

Under federal law, when a drug developer files an ANDA for a generic drug seeking approval before expiration of a patent, which has been listed with the FDA as covering the brand name product, the developer must certify its product will not infringe the listed patent(s) and/or the listed patent is invalid or unenforceable (commonly referred to as a "Paragraph IV" certification). Notices of such certification must be provided to the patent holder, who may file a suit for patent infringement within 45 days of the patent holder's receipt of such notice. If the patent holder files suit within the 45 day period, the FDA can review and approve the ANDA, but is prevented from granting final marketing approval of the product until a final judgment in the action has been rendered in favor of the generic drug developer, or 30 months from the date the notice was received, whichever is sooner. The Company's generic products division is typically subject to patent infringement litigation brought by branded pharmaceutical manufacturers in connection with the Company's Paragraph IV certifications seeking an order delaying the approval of the Company's ANDA until expiration of the patent(s) at issue in the litigation. Likewise, the Company's branded products division is currently involved in patent infringement litigation against generic drug manufacturers who have filed Paragraph IV certifications to market their generic drugs prior to expiration of the Company's patents at issue in the litigation.

The uncertainties inherent in patent litigation make the outcome of such litigation difficult to predict. For the Company's generic products division, the potential consequences in the event of an unfavorable outcome in such litigation include delaying launch of its generic products until patent expiration. If the Company were to launch its generic product prior to successful resolution of a patent litigation, the Company could be liable for potential damages measured by the profits lost by the branded product manufacturer rather than the profits earned by the Company if we are found to infringe a valid, enforceable patent. For the Company's branded products division, an unfavorable outcome may significantly accelerate generic competition ahead of expiration of the patents covering the Company's branded products. All such litigation typically involves significant expense.

The Company is generally responsible for all of the patent litigation fees and costs associated with current and future products not covered by its alliance and collaboration agreements. The Company has agreed to share legal expenses with respect to third-party and Company products under the terms of certain of the alliance and collaboration agreements. The Company records the costs of patent litigation as expense in the period when incurred for products it has developed, as well as for products which are the subject of an alliance or collaboration agreement with a third-party.

Although the outcome and costs of the asserted and unasserted claims is difficult to predict, based on the information presently known to management, the Company does not currently expect the ultimate liability, if any, for such matters to have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Patent Infringement Litigation

Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc. and Grunenthal GmbH v. Impax Laboratories, Inc. and ThoRx Laboratories, Inc. (Oxymorphone hydrochloride); Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc. and Grunenthal GmbH v. Impax Laboratories, Inc. (Oxymorphone hydrochloride)

In November 2012, Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Grunenthal GmbH filed suit against ThoRx Laboratories, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (“ThoRx”), and the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York alleging patent infringement based on the filing of ThoRx’s ANDA relating to Oxymorphone hydrochloride, Extended Release tablets, 5 mg, 7.5 mg, 10 mg, 15 mg, 20 mg, 30 mg and 40 mg, generic to Opana ER[®]. In January 2013, Endo filed a separate suit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York alleging patent infringement based on the filing of the Company’s ANDA relating to the same products. ThoRx and the Company filed an answer and counterclaims to the November 2012 suit and the Company filed an answer and counterclaims with respect to the January 2013 suit. A bench trial was completed in April 2015. In June 2016, the Court entered an amended judgment in both cases that the products described in the Company’s and ThoRx’s ANDAs would, if marketed, infringe certain claims of the patents asserted by Endo and Grunenthal. The Court also found that the asserted claims of patents owned by Endo were not invalid, but that the asserted claims of patents owned by Grunenthal were invalid. As a result, the Court enjoined the Company and ThoRx from marketing their products until expiration of the Endo patents in 2023. The Company and ThoRx are appealing the Court’s judgment.

In November 2014, Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc. and Mallinckrodt LLC filed suit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware making additional allegations of patent infringement based on the filing of the Company’s Oxymorphone hydrochloride ANDA described above. Also in November 2014, Endo and Mallinckrodt filed a separate suit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware making additional allegations of patent infringement based on the filing of ThoRx’s Oxymorphone hydrochloride ANDA described above. ThoRx and the Company filed an answer and counterclaim to those suits in which they are named as a defendant. The cases are currently stayed.

Impax Laboratories Inc., et al. v. Lannett Holdings, Inc. and Lannett Company (Zomig[®])

In July 2014, the Company filed suit against Lannett Holdings, Inc. and Lannett Company (collectively, “Lannett”) in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, alleging patent infringement based on the filing of the Lannett ANDA relating to Zolmitriptan Nasal Spray, 5mg, generic to Zomig[®] Nasal Spray. The case went to trial in September 2016. On March 29, 2017, the District Court issued a Trial Opinion finding the asserted patents valid and infringed. On April 17, 2017, the District Court entered a Final Judgment and Injunction that, inter alia, bars FDA approval of Lannett’s proposed generic product prior to May 29, 2021. On May 12, 2017, Lannett filed a Notice of Appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Briefing of Lannett’s appeal has been completed and oral argument is scheduled for April 5, 2018.

Impax Laboratories Inc., et al. v. Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. (Zomig®)

On September 23, 2016, the Company filed suit against Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. (“Par”) in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, alleging patent infringement based on the filing of the Par ANDA relating to Zolmitriptan Nasal Spray, 2.5 mg and 5 mg, generic to Zomig® Nasal Spray. On October 12, 2016, the parties stipulated to stay the case pending the outcome of the related case, Impax Laboratories Inc., et al. v. Lannett matter described above. On April 24, 2017, the parties stipulated that the stay shall remain in effect until the Impax Laboratories Inc., et al. v. Lannett matter is fully resolved. As such, Par has not yet filed an answer or counterclaims to the Company’s complaint. The 30-month stay of approval for applicable to the Par ANDA has been tolled pending resumption of this case.

Impax Laboratories Inc., et al. v. Actavis Laboratories, Inc. and Actavis Pharma Inc. (Rytary®)

In September 2015, the Company filed suit against Actavis Laboratories, Inc. and Actavis Pharma Inc. (collectively, “Actavis”) in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, alleging patent infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,094,427; 8,377,474; 8,454,998; 8,557,283; 9,089,607; 9,089,608, based on the filing of the Actavis ANDA relating to carbidopa and levodopa extended release capsules, generic to Rytary®. The Company filed related actions alleging infringement of later-issued U.S. Patent No. 9,463,246 in December 2016 and of later-issued U.S. Patent No. 9,533,046 in May 2017. Both related actions were consolidated with the lead action. On December 15, 2017, the Patent and Trademark Office issued an Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate canceling all claims of the ‘427 patent; the parties subsequently stipulated to dismiss with prejudice all claims and counterclaims relating to the ‘427 patent. Fact discovery and claim construction briefing have concluded and a claim construction hearing was held on April 26, 2017. On May 9, 2017, the District Court issued a decision interpreting certain claim terms in dispute in the litigation. Subject to reservation of all rights to appeal the Court’s May 9, 2017 decision, the parties stipulated to dismiss without prejudice all claims and counterclaims relating to the ‘474, ‘998, and ‘607 patents, and the Court entered an order recognizing this stipulation on June 8, 2017. The parties have completed expert discovery and Actavis filed a summary judgment motion on October 23, 2017. Briefing on the summary judgment motion is complete and an oral hearing is scheduled for February 27, 2018. On February 20, 2018, the Court issued an order setting trial for March 6, 2018. On February 23, 2018, the parties filed a joint letter requesting a trial date in the first two weeks of May 2018. The Court has not yet responded to the parties’ letter.

Impax Laboratories, Inc. v. Sandoz Inc. (Rytary®)

On March 31, 2017, the Company filed suit against Sandoz Inc. in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, alleging infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,094,427; 8,377,474; 8,454,998; 8,557,283; 9,089,607; 9,089,608; 9,463,246; and 9,533,046, based on the filing of Sandoz’s ANDA relating to carbidopa and levodopa extended release capsules, generic to Rytary®. Sandoz has not yet answered or otherwise responded to the Complaint.

Impax Laboratories, Inc. v. Zydus Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. and Cadila Healthcare Ltd. (Rytary®)

On December 21, 2017, the Company filed suit against Zydus Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. and Cadila Healthcare Ltd. (collectively, “Zydus”) in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,089,608, based on the filing of Zydus’s ANDA relating to carbidopa and levodopa extended release capsules, generic to Rytary®. Zydus has not yet answered or otherwise responded to the Complaint.

Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, et al. v. Impax Laboratories, Inc. (Apixiban)

On April 10, 2017, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company and Pfizer Inc. filed suit against the Company in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware alleging patent infringement based on the filing of the Company’s ANDA related to Apixaban Tablets, 2.5 mg and 5 mg, generic to Eliquis®. The Company responded to the complaint on June 2, 2017 and Plaintiffs further responded on June 22, 2017. On September 22, 2017, the parties jointly filed a proposed schedule with the Court, proposing that the Company’s case and a number of related cases be consolidated. On November 3, 2017, the Court consolidated the related cases and set the case schedule. Trial is scheduled for October 15, 2019.

On June 26, 2017, Biogen MA Inc. filed suit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware alleging patent infringement based on the filing of the Company's ANDA relating to Dimethyl Fumarate 120 and 240 mg capsules, generic to Tecfidera®. The Company answered the complaint on October 16, 2017. On February 2, 2019, the Court consolidated the related cases and set the case schedule. Trial is scheduled for December 9, 2019.

Other Litigation Related to the Company's Business

Solodyn® Antitrust Class Actions

From July 2013 to January 2016, 18 complaints were filed as class actions on behalf of direct and indirect purchasers, as well as by certain direct purchasers, against manufacturers of the brand drug Solodyn® and its generic equivalents, including the Company.

On July 22, 2013, Plaintiff United Food and Commercial Workers Local 1776 & Participating Employers Health and Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On July 23, 2013, Plaintiff Rochester Drug Co-Operative, Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On August 1, 2013, Plaintiff International Union of Operating Engineers Local 132 Health and Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on behalf of itself and others similarly situated. On August 29, 2013, this Plaintiff withdrew its complaint from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and on August 30, 2013, re-filed the same complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On August 9, 2013, Plaintiff Local 274 Health & Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On August 12, 2013, Plaintiff Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 25 Health & Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On August 27, 2013, Plaintiff Fraternal Order of Police, Fort Lauderdale Lodge 31, Insurance Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On August 29, 2013, Plaintiff Heather Morgan, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On August 30, 2013, Plaintiff Plumbers & Pipefitters Local 178 Health & Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On September 9, 2013, Plaintiff Ahold USA, Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On September 24, 2013, Plaintiff City of Providence, Rhode Island, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On October 2, 2013, Plaintiff International Union of Operating Engineers Stationary Engineers Local 39 Health & Welfare Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On October 7, 2013, Painters District Council No. 30 Health and Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On October 25, 2013, Plaintiff Man-U Service Contract Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On March 13, 2014, Plaintiff Allied Services Division Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On March 19, 2014, Plaintiff NECA-IBEW Welfare Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On February 25, 2014, the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ordered the pending actions transferred to the District of Massachusetts for coordinated pretrial proceedings, as In Re Solodyn (Minocycline Hydrochloride) Antitrust Litigation.

On March 26, 2015, Walgreen Co., The Kruger Co., Safeway Inc., HEB Grocery Company L.P., Albertson's LLC, direct purchasers, filed a separate complaint in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania. On April 8, 2015, the Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation ordered the action be transferred to the District of Massachusetts, to be coordinated or consolidated with the coordinated proceedings. The original complaint filed by the plaintiffs asserted claims only against defendant Medicis. On October 5, 2015, the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint asserting claims against the Company and the other generic defendants.

On April 16, 2015, Rite Aid Corporation and Rite Aid Hdqtrs. Corp, direct purchasers, filed a separate complaint in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania. On May 1, 2015, the Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation ordered the action be transferred to the District of Massachusetts, to be coordinated or consolidated with the coordinated proceedings. The original complaint filed by the plaintiffs asserted claims only against defendant Medicis. On October 5, 2015, the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint asserting claims against the Company and the other generic defendants.

On January 25, 2016, CVS Pharmacy, Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a separate complaint in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania. On February 11, 2016, the Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation ordered the action to be transferred to the District of Massachusetts to be coordinated or consolidated with the coordinated proceedings.

The consolidated amended complaints allege that Medicis engaged in anticompetitive schemes by, among other things, filing frivolous patent litigation lawsuits, submitting frivolous Citizen Petitions, and entering into anticompetitive settlement agreements with several generic manufacturers, including the Company, to delay generic competition of Solodyn[®] and in violation of state and federal antitrust laws. Plaintiffs seek, among other things, unspecified monetary damages and equitable relief, including disgorgement and restitution. On August 14, 2015, the District Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to dismiss the consolidated amended complaints. On October 16, 2017, the Court certified the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' and End-Payor Plaintiffs' classes. On October 30, 2017, the Company filed a petition for interlocutor appeal challenging the Court's certification of the End-Payor Plaintiffs' class. On January 25, 2018, the Court denied Plaintiffs' and the Company's summary judgment motions. Trial is currently set for March 12, 2018.

Opana ER[®] FTC Antitrust Suit

On February 25, 2014, the Company received a Civil Investigative Demand ("CID") from the FTC concerning its investigation into the drug Opana[®] ER and its generic equivalents. On March 30, 2016, the FTC filed a complaint against the Company, Endo, and others in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, alleging that the Company and Endo violated antitrust laws when they entered into a June 2010 co-promotion and

development agreement and a June 2010 settlement agreement that resolved patent litigation in connection with the submission of the Company's ANDA for generic original Opana® ER. In July 2016, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint, and a motion to sever the claims regarding Opana® ER from claims with respect to a separate settlement agreement that was challenged by the FTC. On October 20, 2016, the Court granted the motion to sever, formally terminating the suit against the Company, with an order that the FTC re-file no later than November 3, 2016 and dismissed the motion to dismiss as moot. On October 25, 2016, the FTC filed a notice of voluntary dismissal. On January 19, 2017, the FTC filed a Part 3 Administrative complaint against the Company with similar allegations regarding the Company's June 2010 settlement agreement with Endo that resolved patent litigation in connection with the submission of the Company's ANDA for generic original Opana® ER. The Company filed its answer to the Administrative Complaint on February 7, 2017. Trial concluded on November 15, 2017. Post-trial briefing is complete and closing arguments were held February 15, 2018. A decision is pending.

Opana ER® Antitrust Class Actions

From June 2014 to April 2015, 14 complaints were filed as class actions on behalf of direct and end-payor (indirect) purchasers, as well as by certain direct purchasers, against the manufacturer of the brand drug Opana ER® and the Company.

On June 4, 2014, Plaintiff Fraternal Order of Police, Miami Lodge 20, Insurance Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On June 4, 2014, Plaintiff Rochester Drug Co-Operative, Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On June 6, 2014, Plaintiff Value Drug Company, a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on behalf of itself and others similarly situated. On June 26, 2014, this Plaintiff withdrew its complaint from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and on July 16, 2014, re-filed the same complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On June 19, 2014, Plaintiff Wisconsin Masons' Health Care Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On July 17, 2014, Plaintiff Massachusetts Bricklayers, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On August 11, 2014, Plaintiff Pennsylvania Employees Benefit Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On September 19, 2014, Plaintiff Meijer Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On October 3, 2014, Plaintiff International Union of Operating Engineers, Local 138 Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On November 17, 2014, Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company d/b/a Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On December 12, 2014, the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ordered the pending actions transferred to the Northern District of Illinois for coordinated pretrial proceedings, as In Re Opana ER Antitrust Litigation.

On December 19, 2014, Plaintiff Kim Mahaffay, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California, Alameda County, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated. On January 27, 2015, the Defendants removed the action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

On January 12, 2015, Plaintiff Plumbers & Pipefitters Local 178 Health & Welfare Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On March 26, 2015 Walgreen Co., The Kruger Co., Safeway Inc., HEB Grocery Company L.P., Albertson's LLC, direct purchasers, filed a separate complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

On April 23, 2015, Rite Aid Corporation and Rite Aid Hdqtrs. Corp, direct purchasers, filed a separate complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

In each case, the complaints allege that Endo engaged in an anticompetitive scheme by, among other things, entering into an anticompetitive settlement agreement with the Company to delay generic competition of Opana ER[®] and in violation of state and federal antitrust laws. Plaintiffs seek, among other things, unspecified monetary damages and equitable relief, including disgorgement and restitution. Consolidated amended complaints were filed on May 4, 2015 by direct purchaser plaintiffs and end-payor (indirect) purchaser plaintiffs.

On July 3, 2015, defendants filed motions to dismiss the consolidated amended complaints, as well as the complaints of the "Opt-Out Plaintiffs" (Walgreen Co., The Kruger Co., Safeway Inc., HEB Grocery Company L.P., Albertson's LLC, Rite Aid Corporation and Rite Aid Hdqtrs. Corp.).

On February 1, 2016, CVS Pharmacy, Inc. filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. The parties agreed that CVS Pharmacy, Inc. would be bound by the court's ruling on the defendants' motion to dismiss the Opt-Out Plaintiffs' complaints.

On February 10, 2016, the court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to dismiss the end-payor purchaser plaintiffs' consolidated amended complaint, and denied defendants' motion to dismiss the direct purchaser plaintiffs' consolidated amended complaint. The end-payor purchaser plaintiffs have filed a second consolidated amended complaint and the Company has moved to dismiss certain state law claims.

On February 25, 2016, the court granted defendants' motion to dismiss the Opt-Out Plaintiffs' complaints, with leave to amend. The Opt-Out Plaintiffs and CVS Pharmacy, Inc. have filed amended complaints and the Company has filed its answer.

Discovery is ongoing. No trial date has been scheduled.

United States Department of Justice Investigations

Previously on November 6, 2014, the Company disclosed that one of its sales representatives received a grand jury subpoena from the Antitrust Division of the United States Justice Department (the "Justice Department"). In connection with this same investigation, on March 13, 2015, the Company received a grand jury subpoena from the Justice Department requesting the production of information and documents regarding the sales, marketing, and pricing of certain generic prescription medications. In particular, the Justice Department's investigation currently focuses on four generic medications: digoxin tablets, terbutaline sulfate tablets, prilocaine/lidocaine cream, and calcipotriene topical solution. The Company has been cooperating and intends to continue cooperating with the investigation. However, no assurance can be given as to the timing or outcome of the investigation.

On July 14, 2014, the Company received a subpoena and interrogatories (the “Subpoena”) from the State of Connecticut Attorney General (“Connecticut AG”) concerning its investigation into sales of the Company’s generic product, digoxin. According to the Connecticut AG, the investigation is to determine whether anyone engaged in a contract, combination or conspiracy in restraint of trade or commerce which has the effect of (i) fixing, controlling or maintaining prices or (ii) allocating or dividing customers or territories relating to the sale of digoxin in violation of Connecticut state antitrust law. The Company intends to cooperate with the Connecticut AG in producing documents and information in response to the Subpoena. To the knowledge of the Company, no proceedings by the Connecticut AG have been initiated against the Company at this time; however no assurance can be given as to the timing or outcome of this investigation.

In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation

From March 2016 to April 2017, 22 complaints were filed as class actions on behalf of direct and indirect purchasers against manufacturers of generic digoxin and doxycycline and the Company alleging a conspiracy to fix, maintain and/or stabilize prices of these generic products. From January 2017 to April 2017, three complaints were filed on behalf of indirect purchasers against manufacturers of generic lidocaine/prilocaine and the Company alleging a conspiracy to fix, maintain and/or stabilize prices of these generic products.

On March 2, 2016, Plaintiff International Union of Operating Engineers Local 30 Benefits Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated. The plaintiff filed an amended complaint on June 9, 2016.

On March 25, 2016, Plaintiff Tulsa Firefighters Health and Welfare Trust, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On March 25, 2016, Plaintiff NECA-IBEW Welfare Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On April 4, 2016, Plaintiff Pipe Trade Services MN, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On April 25, 2016, Plaintiff Edward Carpinelli, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On April 27, 2016, Plaintiff Fraternal Order of Police, Miami Lodge 20, Insurance Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On May 2, 2016, Plaintiff Nina Diamond, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On May 5, 2016, Plaintiff UFCW Local 1500 Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On May 6, 2016, Plaintiff Minnesota Laborers Health and Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On May 12, 2016, Plaintiff the City of Providence, Rhode Island, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On May 18, 2016, Plaintiff KPH Healthcare Services, Inc. a/k/a Kinney Drugs, Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On May 19, 2016, Plaintiff Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On June 8, 2016, Plaintiff United Food & Commercial Workers and Employers Arizona Health and Welfare Trust, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On June 17, 2016, Plaintiff Ottis McCrary, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On June 20, 2016, Plaintiff Rochester Drug Co-Operative, Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On June 27, 2016, Plaintiff César Castillo Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On June 29, 2016, Plaintiff Plumbers & Pipefitters Local 33 Health and Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On July 1, 2016, Plaintiff Plumbers & Pipefitters Local 178 Health and Welfare Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On July 15, 2016, Plaintiff Ahold USA, Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On September 7, 2016, Plaintiff United Here Health, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On September 20, 2016, Plaintiff Valerie Velardi, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On January 13, 2017, Plaintiff International Union of Operating Engineers Local 30 Benefits Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated against manufacturers of generic lidocaine/prilocaine and the Company alleging a conspiracy to fix, maintain and/or stabilize prices of this generic drug.

On April 17, 2017, Plaintiff UFCW Local 1500 Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated against manufacturers of generic lidocaine/prilocaine and the Company alleging a conspiracy to fix, maintain and/or stabilize prices of this generic drug.

On April 25, 2017, Plaintiff Louisiana Health Service Indemnity Company, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated against manufacturers of generic lidocaine/prilocaine and the Company alleging a conspiracy to fix, maintain and/or stabilize prices of this generic drug.

On May 19, 2016, several indirect purchaser plaintiffs filed a motion with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation to transfer and consolidate the actions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The Judicial Panel ordered the actions consolidated in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and ordered that the actions be renamed “*In re Generic Digoxin and Doxycycline Antitrust Litigation*”. On January 27, 2017, plaintiffs filed two consolidated class action complaints. With respect to doxycycline, the plaintiffs dropped their allegations against the Company. On March 28, 2017, the Company, separately and along with other defendants, filed motions to dismiss the digoxin class action complaint. On April 6, 2017, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ordered the consolidation of all civil actions involving allegations of antitrust conspiracies in the generic pharmaceutical industry regarding 18 generic drugs to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The consolidated actions have been renamed *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*. On October 6, 2017, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the digoxin complaint. Briefing on the motion to dismiss is complete and a decision is pending.

On January 19, 2018, Plaintiffs The Kroger Co., Albertsons Companies, LLC, and H.E. Butt Grocery Company L.P., opt-outs, filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania against 35 companies, including the Company, alleging a conspiracy to fix, maintain and/or stabilize prices of thirty drugs and specifically digoxin and lidocaine/prilocaine with respect to the Company. No schedule has been set.

AWP Litigation

On December 30, 2015, Plumbers’ Local Union No. 690 Health Plan and others similarly situated filed a class action against several generic drug manufacturers, including the Company, in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, First Judicial District of Pennsylvania, Civil Trial Division, alleging that the Company and others violated the law, including the Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection law, by inflating the Average Wholesale Price (“AWP”) of certain generic drugs. The case has since been removed to federal court in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. By virtue of an amended complaint filed on March 29, 2016, the suit has been amended to comprise a nationwide class of third party payors that allegedly reimbursed or purchased certain generic drugs based on AWP and to assert causes of action under the laws of other states in addition to Pennsylvania. On May 17, 2016, this case was stayed. On January 18, 2017, the Company, along with the other defendants, filed a joint motion to dismiss the complaint. On September 15, 2017, the Court dismissed the complaint with prejudice. The time period to file an appeal has elapsed.

On February 5, 2016, Delaware Valley Health Care Coalition filed a lawsuit based on substantially similar allegations in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, First Judicial District of Pennsylvania, Civil Trial Division that seeks declaratory judgment. On May 20, 2016, this case was stayed pending resolution of the federal court action described above.

Impax Laboratories, Inc. v. Turing Pharmaceuticals AG

On May 2, 2016, the Company filed suit against Turing Pharmaceuticals AG (“Turing”) in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York alleging breach of the terms of the contract by which Turing purchased from the Company the right to sell the drug Daraprim[®], as well as the right to sell certain Daraprim[®] inventory (the “Purchase Agreement”). Specifically, the Company seeks (i) a declaratory judgment that the Company may revoke Turing’s right to sell Daraprim[®] under the Company’s labeler code and national drug codes; (ii) specific performance to require Turing to comply with its obligations under the Purchase Agreement for past due reports and for reports going forward; and (iii) money damages to remedy Turing’s failure to reimburse the Company for chargebacks and Medicaid rebate liability when due, currently in excess of \$40.9 million, and for future amounts that may be due. Turing has filed its answer and a counterclaim against the Company alleging breach of contract and breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing. Discovery is closed. On October 14, 2016, the Company filed a motion for summary judgment. The District Court issued its order on September 29, 2017. The Court found that Turing breached the Purchase Agreement by failing to reimburse the Company for Medicaid rebate liability, however, the Court also found that the Company breached the Purchase Agreement by not filing a restatement with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services at Turing’s request. Therefore, the Company was not entitled to damages. On October 13, 2017, the Company filed a Motion for Clarification / Reconsideration of the Summary Judgment Order. Briefing on the motion is complete and a decision is pending.

Telephone Consumer Protection Act Cases

On January 31, 2017, Plaintiff Family Medicine Pharmacy LLC filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Alabama on behalf of itself and others similarly situated against the Company alleging violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, as amended by the Junk Fax Prevention Act of 2005 (the “Telephone Consumer Protection Act”). On March 27, 2017, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the complaint and plaintiff filed an amended complaint on April 10, 2017. On July 18, 2017, the parties reached an agreement in principle regarding the class settlement. On September 29, 2017, the District Court preliminarily approved the proposed class settlement. The Court is scheduled to hold a hearing on March 6, 2018 regarding the final approval of the proposed class settlement.

On February 14, 2017, Plaintiff Medicine To Go Pharmacies, Inc. filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey on behalf of itself and others similarly situated against the Company alleging violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act. On April 17, 2017, the Company filed a motion to dismiss, transfer, or stay this case in light of the first-filed case described above. This case was transferred to the Southern District of Alabama. On September 15, 2017, the Court stayed this matter pending the final approval of the class settlement described above.

Securities Class Action

On April 17, 2017, Lead Plaintiff New York Hotel Trades Council & Hotel Association of New York City, Inc. Pension Fund filed an amended class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on behalf of itself and others similarly situated against the Company alleging violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5. The Company filed its motion to dismiss the amended complaint on June 1, 2017 and briefing has been completed.

Shareholder Derivative Action

On February 22, 2017, Plaintiff Ed Lippman filed a shareholder derivative complaint in the Superior Court for the State of California in the County of Alameda on behalf of the Company against former executives, a current executive, and certain current members of the board of directors alleging breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, and corporate waste. This matter has been stayed pending the securities class action referenced above.

Teva v. Impax Laboratories, Inc.

On February 15, 2017, Plaintiffs Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. and Teva Pharmaceuticals Curacao N.V. (“Teva”) filed a Praecipe to Issue Writ of Summons and Writ of Summons (precursor to a complaint) in the Philadelphia County Court of Common Pleas against the Company alleging that the Company breached the Strategic Alliance Agreement between the parties by not indemnifying Teva in its two litigations with GlaxoSmithKline LLC regarding Wellbutrin® XL. The Company filed a Motion to Disqualify Teva’s counsel related to the matter, and on August 23, 2017, the Court denied the Company’s motion. Following the Court’s order, Teva filed its complaint. The Company has filed its appeal regarding the disqualification order, and oral argument will be held on April 10, 2018. The matter is currently stayed.

California Wage and Hour Class Action

On August 3, 2017, Plaintiff Emielou Williams filed a class action complaint in the Superior Court for the State of California in the County of Alameda on behalf of herself and others similarly situated against the Company alleging violation of California Business and Professions Code section 17200 by violating various California wage and hour laws. On October 10, 2017, the Company filed a Demurrer and Motion to Strike Class Allegations. On December 12, 2017, the Court overruled the Company’s Demurrer to Plaintiff’s individual claims, however, it struck all of Plaintiff’s class allegations. Discovery is ongoing.

On December 12, 2017 and December 14, 2017, Plaintiffs Susan Vana and David Stone, respectively, filed class action complaints in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated against the Company alleging violations of Sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 generally alleging that the Registration Statement on Form S-4 related to the proposed business combination with Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC (“Amneal”) contains false and misleading statements and/or omissions concerning the financial projections of the Company, Amneal, and New Amneal; Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC’s valuation analyses and Fairness Opinions relating to the Company and Amneal; potential conflicts of interest associated with one of the Company’s financial advisors and the proposed business combination with Amneal; and background information of the proposed business combination, including confidentiality agreements entered into by the Company in connection with the proposed business combination. No schedule has been set.

20. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company has two reportable segments, Impax Generics and Impax Specialty Pharma. Impax Generics develops, manufactures, sells, and distributes generic pharmaceutical products, primarily through the following sales channels: the Impax Generics sales channel for sales of generic prescription products directly to wholesalers, large retail drug chains, and others; the Private Label Product sales channel for generic over-the-counter and prescription products sold to unrelated third-party customers who, in turn, sell the products under their own label; the Rx Partner sales channel for generic prescription products sold through unrelated third-party pharmaceutical entities under their own label pursuant to alliance agreements; and the OTC Partner sales channel for over-the-counter products sold through unrelated third-party pharmaceutical entities under their own labels pursuant to alliance and supply agreements. Revenues from generic products are reported under the caption “Impax Generics, net.”

Impax Specialty Pharma is engaged in the development, sale and distribution of proprietary brand pharmaceutical products that the Company believes represent improvements to already-approved pharmaceutical products addressing central nervous system (“CNS”) disorders and other select specialty segments. Impax Specialty Pharma currently has one internally developed branded pharmaceutical product, Rytary[®] (IPX066), an extended release oral capsule formulation of carbidopa-levodopa for the treatment of Parkinson’s disease, post-encephalitic parkinsonism, and parkinsonism that may follow carbon monoxide intoxication and/or manganese intoxication, which was approved by the FDA on January 7, 2015 and which the Company launched in April 2015. In November 2015, the European Commission granted marketing authorization for Numient[®] (IPX066) (referred to as Rytary[®] in the United States). The review of the Numient[®] application was conducted under the centralized licensing procedure as a therapeutic innovation, and authorization is applicable in all 28 member states of the European Union, as well as Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway. Impax Specialty Pharma is also engaged in the sale and distribution of four other branded products including Zomig[®] (zolmitriptan) products, indicated for the treatment of migraine headaches, under the terms of the AZ Agreement with AstraZeneca in the United States and in certain U.S. territories, and Emverm[®] (mebendazole) 100 mg chewable tablets, indicated for the treatment of pinworm, whipworm, common roundworm, common hookworm, and American hookworm in single or mixed infections.

Revenues from branded products are reported under the caption “Impax Specialty Pharma, net.” Impax Specialty Pharma also has a number of product candidates that are in varying stages of development.

The Company’s chief operating decision maker evaluates the financial performance of the Company’s segments based upon segment income (loss) before income taxes. Items below income (loss) from operations are not reported by segment, since they are excluded from the measure of segment profitability reviewed by the Company’s chief operating decision maker. Additionally, general and administrative expenses, certain selling expenses, certain litigation settlements, and non-operating income and expenses are included in “Corporate and Other.” The Company does not report balance sheet information by segment since it is not reviewed by the Company’s chief operating decision maker. The accounting policies for the Company’s segments are the same as those described above in the discussion of “Revenue Recognition” and in “Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.” The Company has no inter-segment revenue.

The tables below present segment information reconciled to total Company financial results, with segment operating income or loss including gross profit less direct research and development expenses and direct selling expenses as well as any litigation settlements, to the extent specifically identified by segment (in thousands):

	Impax Generics	Impax Specialty Pharma	Corporate and Other	Total Company
Year Ended December 31, 2017				
Revenues, net	\$ 549,077	\$226,710	\$ —	\$ 775,787
Cost of revenues	454,911	80,212	—	535,123
Cost of revenues impairment charges	96,865	—	—	96,865
Selling, general and administrative	28,294	67,949	120,027	216,270
Research and development	63,245	17,602	—	80,847
In-process research and development impairment charges	192,809	—	—	192,809
Fixed assets impairment charges	8,380	74,128	—	82,508
Change in fair value of contingent consideration	(31,048)	—	—	(31,048)
Patent litigation	827	4,278	—	5,105
(Loss) before income taxes	(265,206)	(17,459)	(168,296)	(450,961)
Year Ended December 31, 2016				
Revenues, net	\$ 606,320	\$218,109	\$ —	\$ 824,429
Cost of revenues	417,316	69,583	—	486,899
Cost of revenues impairment charges	464,319	24,313	—	488,632
Selling, general and administrative	20,508	61,448	119,874	201,830
Research and development	61,980	18,486	—	80,466
In-process research and development impairment charges	27,765	25,200	—	52,965
Patent litigation	829	6,990	—	7,819
(Loss) income before income taxes	(386,397)	12,089	(202,017)	(576,325)
Year Ended December 31, 2015				
Revenues, net	\$ 710,932	\$149,537	\$ —	\$ 860,469
Cost of revenues	442,742	58,020	—	500,762
Cost of revenues impairment charges	7,303	—	—	7,303
Selling, general and administrative	29,641	52,427	119,219	201,287
Research and development	52,478	18,144	—	70,622
In-process research and development impairment charges	6,360	—	—	6,360
Patent litigation	2,942	1,625	—	4,567
Income (loss) before income taxes	169,466	19,321	(129,419)	59,368

Significant Products

The Company generally consolidates net revenue by “product family,” meaning that it consolidates net revenue from products containing the same active ingredient(s) irrespective of dosage strength, delivery method or packaging size. The Company’s significant product families, as determined based on net revenue, and their percentage of the Company’s consolidated net revenue for each of the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 are set forth in the tables below (in thousands):

Segment	Product Family	2017	
		\$	%
Impax Generics	Epinephrine Auto-Injector family (generic Adrenacllick [®])	\$ 113,931	15% (1)
Impax Specialty Pharma	Rytary [®] family	\$ 91,637	12% (2)
Impax Generics	Oxymorphone HCl ER family	\$ 68,587	9% (3)
Impax Generics	Budesonide family	\$ 51,548	7% (4)
Impax Generics	Zomig family	\$ 51,115	7% (5)

Segment	Product Family	2016	
		\$	%
Impax Generics	Epinephrine Auto-Injector family (generic Adrenacllick [®])	\$ 91,572	11% (1)
Impax Specialty Pharma	Rytary [®] family	\$ 73,833	9% (2)
Impax Generics	Oxymorphone HCl ER family	\$ 72,661	9% (3)
Impax Generics	Diclofenac Sodium Gel family (generic Solaraze [®])	\$ 69,035	8% (6)
Impax Generics	Fenofibrate family	\$ 64,001	8% (7)

Segment	Product Family	2015	
		\$	%
Impax Generics	Diclofenac Sodium Gel family (generic Solaraze [®])	\$ 148,610	17% (6)
Impax Generics	Amphetamine Salts ER (CII) family (generic Adderall [®])	\$ 106,252	12% (8)
Impax Generics	Fenofibrate family	\$ 93,458	11% (7)
Impax Generics	Metaxalone family (generic Skelaxin)	\$ 69,876	8% (9)
Impax Generics	Oxymorphone HCl ER family	\$ 59,175	7% (3)

- (1) Epinephrine Auto-Injector (generic Adrenacllick[®]) product family consists of the injector product in two different strengths and is indicated in the emergency treatment of allergic reactions (Type 1) including anaphylaxis.
- (2) Rytary[®] product family consists of the capsules product in four different strengths and is indicated for the treatment of Parkinson’s disease, post-encephalitic parkinsonism, and parkinsonism that may follow carbon monoxide intoxication or manganese intoxication.
- (3) Oxymorphone Hydrochloride Extended Release product family consists of the oxymorphone hydrochloride extended release tablet formulation of the product in seven different strengths and is indicated for the management of pain severe enough to require daily, around-the-clock, long-term opioid treatment and for which alternative treatment options are inadequate.
- (4) Budesonide Inhalation Suspension (generic Pulmicort Respules[®]) product family consists of two products strengths and is indicated for the maintenance treatment of asthma.
- (5) Zomig[®] product family consists of products in tablet, orally disintegrating tablet, and nasal spray dosage forms in six different strengths and is indicated for the acute treatment of migraine with or without aura in adults and pediatric patients 12 years of age or older.
- (6) Diclofenac Sodium Gel (generic Solaraze[®]) product family consists of one product strength and is indicated for the topical treatment of actinic keratosis.
- (7) Fenofibrate product family consists of products in both capsule and tablet dosage forms in seven different strengths and is indicated as adjunctive therapy to diet to reduce elevated LDL-C, Total-C, Triglycerides and Apo B, and to increase HDL-C in adult patients with primary hypercholesterolemia or mixed dyslipidemia (Fredrickson Types IIa and IIb); and also indicated as adjunctive therapy to diet for treatment of adult patients with hypertriglyceridemia (Fredrickson Types IV and V hyperlipidemia).
- (8) Amphetamine Salts extended release capsules, CII (generic Adderall XR[®]) product family consists of the capsules product in six different strengths and is indicated for the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder.

- (9) Metaxalone (generic Skelaxin[®]) product family consists of the tablet product in two different strengths and is indicated as an adjunct to rest, physical therapy, and other measures for the relief of discomforts associated with acute, painful musculoskeletal conditions.

Foreign Operations

The Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, Impax Laboratories (Taiwan), Inc., constructed a manufacturing facility in Taiwan which was utilized for manufacturing, warehouse, and administrative functions, as well as some limited research and development activities. On the Company's consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2017, Impax Laboratories (Taiwan), Inc. represented \$22.9 million of net carrying value of assets, which are included in assets and liabilities held for sale. See "Note 15. Restructurings" for additional information related to the sale of the Taiwan operations in the first quarter of 2018.

21. SUPPLEMENTARY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Unaudited)

Selected financial information for the quarterly periods noted is as follows:

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)	2017 Quarters Ended			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
Revenue:				
Impax Generics sales, gross	\$ 635,897	\$ 663,167	\$ 622,252	\$ 584,374
Less:				
Chargebacks	298,744	286,092	281,835	302,394
Rebates	164,792	170,398	162,914	144,344
Product returns	9,733	15,210	7,003	4,657
Other credits	28,481	40,578	19,402	20,036
Impax Generics sales, net	134,147	150,889	151,098	112,943
Impax Specialty Pharma sales, gross	84,133	84,238	107,407	111,918
Less:				
Chargebacks	9,828	8,967	14,121	10,058
Rebates	4,483	4,682	5,914	6,198
Product returns	1,844	1,416	3,614	4,234
Other credits	17,722	17,980	28,464	21,461
Impax Specialty Pharma revenues, net	50,256	51,193	55,294	69,967
Total revenues	184,403	202,082	206,392	182,910
Gross profit	24,891	72,406	34,033	12,469
Net loss	\$ (98,431)	\$ (20,417)	\$ (49,369)	\$ (301,070)
Net loss per common share:				
Basic	\$ (1.37)	\$ (0.28)	\$ (0.69)	\$ (4.18)
Diluted	\$ (1.37)	\$ (0.28)	\$ (0.69)	\$ (4.18)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:				
Basic	71,594,472	71,803,920	71,924,592	72,098,533
Diluted	71,594,472	71,803,920	71,924,592	72,098,533

Quarterly computations of net loss per share amounts are made independently for each quarterly reporting period, and the sum of the per share amounts for the quarterly reporting periods may not equal the per share amounts for the year-to-date reporting period.

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)	2016 Quarters Ended			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
Revenue:				
Impax Generics sales, gross	\$ 614,176	\$ 532,968	\$ 658,099	\$ 690,674
Less:				
Chargebacks	217,354	197,864	252,303	308,253
Rebates	185,476	178,097	183,347	211,359
Product returns	11,913	10,237	16,151	7,920
Other credits	29,354	25,075	30,978	23,916
Impax Generics revenues, net	170,079	121,695	175,320	139,226
Impax Specialty Pharma sales, gross	82,073	81,254	77,841	108,121
Less:				
Chargebacks	6,111	8,826	5,439	15,253
Rebates	2,853	2,430	3,556	3,016
Product returns	1,508	1,279	574	2,802
Other credits	16,172	17,824	15,683	27,854
Impax Specialty Pharma revenues, net	55,429	50,895	52,589	59,196
Total revenues	225,508	172,590	227,909	198,422
Gross profit (loss)	102,590	72,984	(165,426)	(161,250)
Net loss	\$ (10,408)	\$ (2,701)	\$ (179,337)	\$ (279,585)
Net loss per common share:				
Basic	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (2.51)	\$ (3.91)
Diluted	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (2.51)	\$ (3.91)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:				
Basic	70,665,394	71,100,123	71,331,247	71,487,071
Diluted	70,665,394	71,100,123	71,331,247	71,487,071

Quarterly computations of net loss per share amounts are made independently for each quarterly reporting period, and the sum of the per share amounts for the quarterly reporting periods may not equal the per share amounts for the year-to-date reporting period.

SCHEDULE II, VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
(In thousands)

<u>Column A</u>	<u>Column B</u>	<u>Column C</u>		<u>Column D</u>	<u>Column E</u>
Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Charge to Costs and Expenses	Charge to Other Accounts	Deductions	Balance at End of Period
<u>For the Year Ended December 31, 2015:</u>					
Reserve for bad debts	\$ 515	5,122	9,550*	—	\$ 15,187
<u>For the Year Ended December 31, 2016:</u>					
Reserve for bad debts	\$ 15,187	41,213	—	(1,664)	\$ 54,736
<u>For the Year Ended December 31, 2017:</u>					
Reserve for bad debts	\$ 54,736	3,804	—	(9,117)	\$ 49,423

* Represents reserve for bad debts acquired.

IMPAX LABORATORIES, LLC
(formerly IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.)
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited; In thousands, except share and per share data)

	<u>March 31, 2018</u>	<u>December 31, 2017</u>
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 104,192	\$ 181,778
Accounts receivable, net	226,373	240,753
Inventory, net	158,591	158,471
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	20,211	21,086
Income tax receivable	68,294	61,201
Assets held for sale	—	32,266
Total current assets	<u>577,661</u>	<u>695,555</u>
Property, plant and equipment, net	123,288	124,813
Intangible assets, net	248,994	262,467
Goodwill	207,329	207,329
Other non-current assets	63,626	61,136
Total assets	<u>\$ 1,220,898</u>	<u>\$ 1,351,300</u>
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 65,261	\$ 81,093
Accrued expenses	268,676	248,127
Liabilities held for sale	—	7,170
Current portion of long-term debt, net	17,859	17,848
Total current liabilities	<u>351,796</u>	<u>354,238</u>
Long-term debt, net	771,216	769,524
Deferred income taxes	660	3,226
Other non-current liabilities	37,623	37,111
Total liabilities	<u>1,161,295</u>	<u>1,164,099</u>
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 17 & 18)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 2,000,000 shares authorized; No shares issued or outstanding at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017	—	—
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 150,000,000 shares authorized; 74,080,636 issued and 73,836,907 outstanding shares at March 31, 2018; 74,234,076 issued and 73,990,347 outstanding shares at December 31, 2017	741	742
Treasury stock at cost: 243,729 shares at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017	(2,157)	(2,157)
Additional paid-in capital	563,974	559,632
Accumulated deficit	(503,004)	(372,445)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	49	1,429
Total stockholders' equity	<u>59,603</u>	<u>187,201</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 1,220,898</u>	<u>\$ 1,351,300</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited interim consolidated financial statements.

IMPAX LABORATORIES, LLC
(formerly IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited; In thousands, except share and per share data)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2018	2017
Revenues:		
Impax Generics, net	\$ 83,141	\$ 134,147
Impax Specialty Pharma, net	59,214	50,256
Total revenues	142,355	184,403
Cost of revenues	112,075	120,232
Cost of revenues impairment charges	—	39,280
Gross profit	30,280	24,891
Operating expenses:		
Selling, general and administrative	57,323	47,055
Research and development	12,296	22,489
In-process research and development impairment charges	—	6,079
Litigation, settlements and related charges	85,537	1,072
Total operating expenses	155,156	76,695
Loss from operations	(124,876)	(51,804)
Other income (expense):		
Interest expense, net	(13,692)	(13,226)
Loss on sale of assets	(385)	—
Loss on debt extinguishment	—	(1,215)
Other, net	731	(1,285)
Loss before income taxes	(138,222)	(67,530)
(Benefit from) provision for income taxes	(7,290)	30,901
Net loss	\$ (130,932)	\$ (98,431)
Net loss per common share:		
Basic	\$ (1.81)	\$ (1.37)
Diluted	\$ (1.81)	\$ (1.37)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:		
Basic	72,265,794	71,594,472
Diluted	72,265,794	71,594,472

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited interim consolidated financial statements.

IMPAX LABORATORIES, LLC
(formerly IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS
(Unaudited; In thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2018	2017
Net loss	\$ (130,932)	\$ (98,431)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax:		
Change in foreign currency translation adjustments	(531)	8,655
Foreign currency translation adjustments reclassified to other non-operating income	(849)	—
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(1,380)	8,655
Comprehensive loss	<u>\$ (132,312)</u>	<u>\$ (89,776)</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited interim consolidated financial statements.

IMPAX LABORATORIES, LLC
(formerly IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited; In thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2018	2017
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (130,932)	\$ (98,431)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	17,977	25,751
Non-cash interest expense	6,763	6,312
Share-based compensation expense	4,816	6,957
Deferred income taxes, net and uncertain tax positions	(2,591)	32,195
Intangible asset impairment charges	—	45,359
Loss on sale of assets	385	—
Loss on debt extinguishment	—	1,215
Other	53	(245)
Changes in certain assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	14,754	43,033

Inventory	2,460	(19,153)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(8,513)	(6,525)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	8,811	806
Other liabilities	537	2,531
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	<u>(85,480)</u>	<u>39,805</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(3,958)	(8,679)
Proceeds from cash surrender value of life insurance policy	—	529
Proceeds from sale of assets	17,755	—
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	<u>13,797</u>	<u>(8,150)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Repayment of term loan	(5,000)	(55,000)
Payment of deferred financing fees	—	(818)
Payment of withholding taxes related to restricted stock awards	(982)	(448)
Proceeds from exercises of stock options and ESPP	505	170
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(5,477)</u>	<u>(56,096)</u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(426)	1,560
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	<u>(77,586)</u>	<u>(22,881)</u>
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	181,778	180,133
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	<u>\$ 104,192</u>	<u>\$ 157,252</u>
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 4,393	\$ 3,871
Cash paid for income taxes	2,329	3,500

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited interim consolidated financial statements.

IMPAX LABORATORIES, LLC
(formerly IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.)
NOTES TO INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Impax Laboratories, LLC (“Impax” or the “Company”), formerly known as Impax Laboratories, Inc., is a specialty pharmaceutical company that focuses on developing, manufacturing, marketing and distributing generic and branded pharmaceutical products. The Company has two reportable segments, referred to as “Impax Generics” and “Impax Specialty Pharma.” The Company owns and/or leases facilities in California, Pennsylvania, and New Jersey. In California, the Company utilizes a combination of owned and leased facilities mainly located in Hayward for manufacturing and research and development. The Company’s facilities in Pennsylvania and New Jersey are primarily marketing, finance and administrative.

Effective on May 4, 2018, the Company completed its previously announced business combination with Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC (“Amneal”) pursuant to the Business Combination Agreement dated October 17, 2017, as amended on November 21, 2017 and December 16, 2017 (the “BCA”) with Atlas Holdings, Inc., (now Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc., as described below) a Delaware corporation and a then wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company (“Holdco”), K2Merger Sub Corporation, a Delaware corporation and a then wholly-owned subsidiary of Holdco (“Merger Sub”), and Amneal. The BCA was unanimously approved by the board of directors of the Company on October 16, 2017 and approved by the Company’s shareholders on March 27, 2018.

At the closing of the transactions contemplated by the BCA on May 4, 2018, (i) Merger Sub merged with and into the Company (the “Impax Merger”), with the Company surviving the Impax Merger as a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Holdco, (ii) each share of the Company’s common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (“Company Common Stock”), issued and outstanding immediately prior to the Impax Merger, other than Company Common Stock held by the Company in treasury, by Amneal or by any of their respective subsidiaries, was converted into their right to receive one fully paid and nonassessable share of Class A common stock of Holdco, par value \$0.01 per share (“Holdco Class A Common Stock”), (iii) the Company converted to a limited liability company pursuant to the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware and the Delaware Limited Liability Company Act, (iv) Holdco contributed to Amneal all of Holdco’s equity interests in the Company to Amneal, in exchange for common units of Amneal (the “Contribution”), (v) Holdco issued an aggregate number of shares of Class B common stock of Holdco, par value \$0.01 per share (“Holdco Class B Common Stock”, and together with Holdco Class A Common Stock, “Holdco Common Stock”) to the existing members of Amneal (the “Amneal Members”) and (vi) Holdco became the managing member of Amneal. In connection with the Closing, Holdco was renamed Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“New Amneal”).

Immediately following the Closing, (i) the Amneal Members held 100% of Holdco Class B Common Stock, which, together with their common units of Amneal, represented approximately 75% of the voting power and economic interests in New Amneal, and (ii) the Company’s stockholders immediately prior to the Closing held 100% of the Holdco Class A Common Stock, which represented approximately 25% of the voting power and economic interests in New Amneal.

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared from the books and records of Impax Laboratories, Inc., the legal entity that existed as of March 31, 2018 prior to the conversion to a limited liability company (“LLC”) noted above, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the

United States (“U.S. GAAP”) for interim financial information and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X promulgated by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), which permit reduced disclosures for interim periods. All adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the accompanying balance sheets and statements of operations, comprehensive loss, and cash flows have been made. Although these interim consolidated financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required for complete annual financial statements, management believes the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. Unaudited interim results of operations and cash flows are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year. Unaudited interim consolidated financial statements and footnotes should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, as filed with the SEC, wherein a more complete discussion of significant accounting policies and certain other information can be found.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Recently Adopted Accounting Guidance

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2014-09, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers*” (Topic 606) regarding the accounting for and disclosures of revenue recognition, with an effective date for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016. This update provided a single comprehensive model for accounting for revenue from contracts with customers. The model requires that revenue recognized reflect the actual consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for the goods or services defined in the contract, including in situations with multiple performance obligations. In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date*,” which deferred the effective date of the previously issued revenue recognition guidance by one year. The guidance is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017. In April 2016 and May 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-10, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing*” and ASU 2016-12, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients*,” respectively. Both of these updates provide improvements and clarification to the previously issued revenue recognition guidance. The new standard can be adopted using one of two methods: the full retrospective method, which requires the standard to be applied to each prior period presented, or the modified retrospective method, which requires the cumulative effect of adoption to be recognized as an adjustment to opening retained earnings in the period of adoption. Under Topic 606, the Company will recognize revenue earlier on certain of its less significant transactions involving third-party collaborations and other arrangements. The Company adopted the new guidance effective January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective transition method, which resulted in a \$0.4 million charge to opening retained earnings for 2018 which otherwise would have been recognized as revenue in the three months ended March 31, 2018. There was no effect to total revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2018 as a result of adopting the new accounting on January 1, 2018. The amounts as reported in these unaudited consolidated financial statements were the same as the amounts would have been if the previous accounting guidance was in effect.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): “*Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments*,” with guidance intended to reduce the diversity in practice regarding how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented and classified within the statement of cash flows. The update addresses eight specific cash flow issues including debt prepayment or debt extinguishment costs, the settlement of zero-coupon debt instruments or other debt instruments with coupon interest rates that are insignificant in relation to the effective interest rate of the borrowing, contingent consideration payments made after a business

combination, proceeds from the settlement of insurance claims, proceeds from the settlement of corporate-owned life insurance policies (COLIs) (including bank-owned life insurance policies (BOLIs)), distributions received from equity method investees, beneficial interests in securitization transactions, and separately identifiable cash flows and application of the predominance principle. The Company adopted this guidance as of January 1, 2018 and the guidance did not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In October 2016, the FASB issued ASU-2016-16, Income Taxes (Topic 740): "*Intra-Entity Transfers of Assets Other Than Inventory*," with guidance intended to more faithfully represent the economics of intra-entity asset transfers. The update clarifies that entities must recognize the income tax consequences of intra-entity asset transfers, other than inventory, when the transfer occurs. The Company adopted this guidance as of January 1, 2018 and the guidance did not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU-2017-01, Business Combinations (Topic 805): "*Clarifying the Definition of a Business*," with guidance intended to assist entities in evaluating whether transactions should be accounted for as acquisitions (or disposals) of businesses. The update provides a screen to determine whether an integrated set of assets and activities constitute a business. If the screen is not met, the guidance (1) requires that to be considered a business, a set must include, at a minimum, an input and a substantive process that together significantly contribute to the ability to create output and (2) removes the evaluation of whether a market participant could replace the missing elements. The guidance is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and will be applied prospectively. The Company adopted this guidance as of January 1, 2018 and the guidance did not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-09, Compensation—Stock Compensation (Topic 718): "*Scope of Modification Accounting*," which provides guidance about which changes to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award require an entity to apply modification accounting in Topic 718. The guidance is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The amendments in this ASU are applied prospectively to an award modified on or after the adoption date. The Company adopted this guidance as of January 1, 2018 and the guidance did not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Accounting Guidance Issued Not Yet Adopted

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, "*Leases*" (Topic 842), with guidance regarding the accounting for and disclosure of leases. The update requires lessees to recognize all leases, including operating leases, with a term greater than 12 months on the balance sheet. This update also requires lessees and lessors to disclose key information about their leasing transactions. The guidance will be effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that this guidance will have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. The Company's expects the implementation of this standard to have an impact on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures as it has aggregate future minimum lease payments of \$28.1 million as of December 31, 2017 under the current portfolio of non-cancelable leases for land, office space, and manufacturing, warehouse and research and development facilities with various expiration dates between January 2018 and December 2027. The Company anticipates recognition of additional assets and corresponding liabilities related to these leases on its consolidated balance sheet.

3. REVENUE RECOGNITION

The Company adopted Accounting Standards Codification 606, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* (“Topic 606”), as of January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective transition method. Topic 606 prescribes a five step model for recognizing revenue which includes (i) identifying contracts with customers; (ii) identifying performance obligations; (iii) determining the transaction price; (iv) allocating the transaction price and (v) recognizing revenue.

Product Revenue, net

The Impax Generics revenues, net and Impax Specialty Pharma revenues, net include revenue recognized related to shipments of generic and branded pharmaceutical products to the Company’s customers, primarily drug wholesalers and retail chains. Gross sales revenue is recognized at the time title and risk of loss passes to the customer, which is generally when product is received by the customer.

Net product revenues may include deductions from the gross sales price related to estimates for chargebacks, rebates and administrative fees, distribution service fees, returns, shelf-stock adjustments, and other pricing adjustments. The Company records an estimate for these deductions as variable consideration in the same period when revenue is recognized. A description of each of these gross-to-net deductions follows.

- **Chargebacks** - The Company has agreements establishing contract prices for certain products with certain indirect customers, such as retail pharmacy chains, group purchasing organizations, managed care organizations, hospitals and government agencies who purchase products from drug wholesalers. The contract prices are lower than the prices the customer would otherwise pay to the wholesaler, and the price difference is referred to as a chargeback, which generally takes the form of a credit memo issued by the Company to reduce the invoiced gross selling price charged to the wholesaler. An estimated accrued provision for chargeback deductions is recognized at the time of product shipment. The primary factors considered when estimating the provision for chargebacks are the average historical chargeback credits given, the mix of products shipped, and the amount of inventory on hand at the major drug wholesalers with whom the Company does business. The Company also monitors actual chargebacks granted and compares them to the estimated provision for chargebacks to assess the reasonableness of the chargeback reserve at each quarterly balance sheet date.
- **Rebates and Administrative Fees** - The Company maintains various rebate and administrative fee programs with its customers in an effort to maintain a competitive position in the marketplace and to promote sales and customer loyalty. The rebates generally take the form of a credit memo to reduce the invoiced gross selling price charged to a customer for products shipped. An estimated accrued provision for rebate deductions is recognized at the time of product shipment. The primary factors the Company considers when estimating the provision for rebates are the average historical experience of aggregate credits issued, the mix of products shipped and the historical relationship of rebates as a percentage of total gross product sales, the contract terms and conditions of the various rebate programs in effect at the time of shipment, and the amount of inventory on hand at the major drug wholesalers with whom the Company does business. The Company also monitors actual rebates granted and compares them to the estimated provision for rebates to assess the reasonableness of the rebate reserve at each quarterly balance sheet date.

-
- **Distribution Service Fees** - The Company pays distribution service fees to several of its wholesaler customers related to sales of its Impax Products. The wholesalers are generally obligated to provide the Company with periodic outbound sales information as well as inventory levels of the Company's Impax Products held in their warehouses. Additionally, the wholesalers have agreed to manage the variability of their purchases and inventory levels within specified days on hand limits. An accrued provision for distribution service fees is recognized at the time products are shipped to wholesalers.
 - **Returns** - The Company allows its customers to return for credit expired product under the terms of its published Returns Goods Policy. The Company estimates and recognizes an accrued provision for product returns as a percentage of gross sales based upon historical experience. The product return reserve is estimated using a historical lag period, which is the time between when the product is sold and when it is ultimately returned, and estimated return rates which may be adjusted based on various assumptions including: changes to internal policies and procedures, business practices, commercial terms with customers, and the competitive position of each product; the amount of inventory in the wholesale and retail supply chain; the introduction of new products; and changes in market sales information. The Company also considers other factors, including significant market changes which may impact future expected returns and actual product returns. The Company monitors actual returns on a quarterly basis and may record specific provisions for returns it believes are not covered by historical percentages.
 - **Shelf-Stock Adjustments** - Based upon competitive market conditions, the Company may reduce the selling price of certain Impax Generics division products. The Company may issue a credit against the sales amount to a customer based upon their remaining inventory of the product in question, provided the customer agrees to continue to make future purchases of product from the Company. This type of customer credit is referred to as a shelf-stock adjustment, which is the difference between the initial sales price and the revised lower sales price, multiplied by an estimate of the number of product units on hand at a given date. Decreases in selling prices are discretionary decisions made by the Company in response to market conditions, including estimated launch dates of competing products and declines in market price. The Company records an estimate for shelf-stock adjustments in the period it agrees to grant such a credit memo to a customer.
 - **Cash Discounts** - The Company offers cash discounts to its customers, generally 2% to 3% of the gross selling price, as an incentive for paying within invoice terms, which generally range from 30 to 90 days. An estimate of cash discounts is recorded in the same period when revenue is recognized.
 - **Medicaid and Other U.S. Government Pricing Programs** - As required by law, the Company provides a rebate on drugs dispensed under the Medicaid program, Medicare Part D, TRICARE, and other U.S. government pricing programs. The Company determines its estimated government rebate accrual primarily based on historical experience of claims submitted by the various states and other jurisdictions and any new information regarding changes in the various programs which may impact the Company's estimate of government rebates. In determining the appropriate accrual amount, the Company considers historical payment rates and processing lag for outstanding claims and payments. The Company records estimates for government rebates as a deduction from gross sales, with a corresponding adjustment to accrued liabilities.

Profit share and other revenues

The Company has entered into agreements, where it licenses certain rights to its products to customers. For arrangements that include sales-based royalties, the Company recognizes revenue when the related sales occur.

4. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying values of cash equivalents, accounts receivable, prepaid expenses and other current assets, and accounts payable in the Company's consolidated balance sheets approximated their fair values as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 due to their short-term nature.

Certain of the Company's financial instruments are measured at fair value using a three-level hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. This hierarchy maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs. The three levels of inputs used to measure fair value are as follows:

- Level 1 - Inputs are quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.
- Level 2 - Inputs are quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; or model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable.
- Level 3 - Inputs are unobservable and reflect the Company's own assumptions, based on the best information available, including the Company's own data.

The carrying amounts and fair values of the Company's financial instruments at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 are indicated below (in thousands):

	As of March 31, 2018				
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurement Based on		
			Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets					
Deferred Compensation Plan asset (1)	\$ 42,594	\$ 42,594	\$ —	\$ 42,594	\$ —
Liabilities					
Term Loan Facility due August 2021, current portion (2)	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ —	\$ 20,000	\$ —
Term Loan Facility due August 2021, long-term portion (2)	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ —	\$ 300,000	\$ —
2% Convertible Senior Notes due June 2022 (3)	\$ 600,000	\$ 597,000	\$ 597,000	\$ —	\$ —
Deferred Compensation Plan liabilities (1)	\$ 33,899	\$ 33,899	\$ —	\$ 33,899	\$ —
Contingent consideration (4)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

As of December 31, 2017

	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurement Based on		
			Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets					
Deferred Compensation Plan asset (1)	\$ 43,023	\$ 43,023	\$ —	\$ 43,023	\$ —
Liabilities					
Term Loan Facility due August 2021, current portion (2)	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ —	\$ 20,000	\$ —
Term Loan Facility due August 2021, long-term portion (2)	\$ 305,000	\$ 305,000	\$ —	\$ 305,000	\$ —
2% Convertible Senior Notes due June 2022 (3)	\$ 600,000	\$ 579,378	\$ 579,378	\$ —	\$ —
Deferred Compensation Plan liabilities (1)	\$ 33,413	\$ 33,413	\$ —	\$ 33,413	\$ —
Contingent consideration (4)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

- (1) The Deferred Compensation Plan liabilities are non-current liabilities recorded at the value of the amount owed to the plan participants, with changes in value recognized as compensation expense in the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The calculation of the Deferred Compensation Plan obligation is derived from observable market data by reference to hypothetical investments selected by the participants and is included in the line items captioned "Other non-current liabilities" on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The Company invests participant contributions in corporate-owned life insurance policies (COLIs), for which the cash surrender value is included in the line item captioned "Other non-current assets" on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.
- (2) The difference between the amount shown as the carrying value in the above tables and the amount shown on the Company's consolidated balance sheets at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 represents the unaccreted discount related to deferred debt issuance costs.
- (3) The difference between the amount shown as the carrying value in the above tables and the amount shown on the Company's consolidated balance sheets at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 represents the unaccreted discounts related to deferred debt issuance costs and bifurcation of the conversion feature of the notes.

- (4) Under the terms of the Termination Agreement which was effective August 3, 2016 and was executed as part of our acquisition of certain assets from Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. and Allergan plc, the (“Teva Transaction”), the Company could be contractually obligated to make payments up to \$40.0 million based on the achievement of certain commercial and time-based milestones associated with its methylphenidate hydrochloride product. A discounted cash flow calculation model was used to value the contingent consideration using significant unobservable inputs, including the probability and timing of successful product launch, the expected number of product competitors in the market at the time of launch (as defined in the Termination Agreement) and the expected number of such competitors in the market on the one-year launch anniversary date. The Company conducted a review of the underlying inputs and assumptions at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, and based on timing and probability of the product launch, and corresponding number of competitors expected to be in the market at both launch and the one-year anniversary of launch, the Company concluded that the fair value of its contingent consideration was zero.

5. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

The composition of accounts receivable, net is as follows (in thousands):

	<u>March 31, 2018</u>	<u>December 31, 2017</u>
Gross accounts receivable (1)	\$ 512,113	\$ 634,059
Less: Rebate reserve	(112,019)	(181,611)
Less: Chargeback reserve	(100,516)	(136,891)
Less: Distribution services reserve	(16,545)	(11,037)
Less: Discount reserve	(10,801)	(14,344)
Less: Uncollectible accounts reserve (2)	(45,859)	(49,423)
Accounts receivable, net	<u>\$ 226,373</u>	<u>\$ 240,753</u>

- (1) Includes estimated \$44.3 million as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, receivable due from Turing Pharmaceuticals AG (“Turing”) for reimbursement of Daraprim[®] chargebacks and Medicaid rebate liabilities pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement between the Company and Turing dated August 7, 2015 (the “Turing APA”). In accordance with the terms of the Turing APA and in accordance with federal laws and regulations, the Company receives, and is initially responsible for processing and paying (subject to reimbursement by Turing), all chargebacks and rebates resulting from utilization by Medicaid, Medicare and other federal, state and local government programs, health plans and other health care providers for products sold under the Company’s labeler code. Under the terms of the Turing APA, Turing is responsible for liabilities related to chargebacks and rebates that arise as a result of Turing’s marketing or selling related activities in connection with Daraprim[®]. Refer to “Note 18. Legal and Regulatory Matters” for a description of the Company’s suit against Turing related to, among other matters, Turing’s failure to reimburse the Company for chargebacks and Medicaid rebate liabilities when due.

- (2) As a result of the uncertainty of collection from Turing that developed during the first quarter of 2016, the Company recorded a reserve of \$48.0 million as of March 31, 2016, which represented the full amount of the estimated receivable due from Turing. During the fourth quarter of 2016, the Company received a \$7.7 million payment from Turing. During the three month period ended March 31, 2018, there were no changes to the \$44.3 million estimated receivable due from Turing that was fully reserved.

A rollforward of the rebate and chargeback reserves activity for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and the year ended December 31, 2017 is as follows (in thousands):

<u>Rebate reserve</u>	<u>Three Months Ended March 31, 2018</u>	<u>Year Ended December 31, 2017</u>
Beginning balance	\$ 181,611	\$ 293,816
Provision recorded during the period for Impax Generics rebates	104,339	642,447
Credits issued during the period for Impax Generics rebates	(173,931)	(754,652)
Ending balance	<u>\$ 112,019</u>	<u>\$ 181,611</u>

The payment mechanisms for rebates in the Impax Generics and Impax Specialty Pharma divisions are different, which impacts the location on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. Impax Generics rebates are classified as "Accounts receivable, net" on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. Impax Specialty Pharma rebates are classified as "Accrued expenses" on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

<u>Chargeback reserve</u>	<u>Three Months Ended March 31, 2018</u>	<u>Year Ended December 31, 2017</u>
Beginning balance	\$ 136,891	\$ 151,978
Provision recorded during the period	248,590	1,212,039
Credits issued during the period	(284,965)	(1,227,126)
Ending balance	<u>\$ 100,516</u>	<u>\$ 136,891</u>

6. INVENTORY

Inventory, net of carrying value reserves, as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
Raw materials	\$ 65,275	\$ 63,732
Work in process	12,510	3,046
Finished goods	94,272	104,187
Total inventory	172,057	170,965
Less: Non-current inventory	13,466	12,494
Total inventory - current	<u>\$ 158,591</u>	<u>\$ 158,471</u>

Inventory carrying value reserves were \$55.0 million and \$71.6 million at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. The carrying value of unapproved inventory less reserves was \$15.7 million and \$19.3 million at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

7. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation, consists of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
Land	\$ 3,500	\$ 3,500
Buildings and improvements	94,608	96,775
Equipment	82,639	82,442
Office furniture and equipment	10,527	11,082
Construction-in-progress	46,990	46,622
Property, plant and equipment, gross	238,264	240,421
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(114,976)	(115,608)
Property, plant and equipment, net	<u>\$ 123,288</u>	<u>\$ 124,813</u>

Depreciation expense was \$2.9 million and \$7.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Unpaid vendor invoices relating to purchases of property, plant and equipment of \$0.6 million and \$4.3 million, which were accrued as of March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, have been excluded from the purchase of property, plant, and equipment and the change in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of cash flows.

8. INTANGIBLE ASSETS AND GOODWILL

Intangible Assets

Changes in the amount of intangible assets for the three months ended March 31, 2018 are set forth in the table below. The table shows the gross carrying values and accumulated amortization, where applicable, of the Company's intangible assets by type for the Company's consolidated balance sheets presented (in thousands):

	Marketed Product Rights		Intangible Assets, Net	IPR&D and Royalties	Total Company
	Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization		Non-amortized Value	Intangible Assets, Net
Balance as of December 31, 2017	\$ 430,009	\$ (205,545)	\$224,464	\$ 38,003	\$ 262,467
Additions	—	—	—	1,000	1,000
Amortization	—	(14,473)	(14,473)	—	(14,473)
Balance as of March 31, 2018	<u>\$ 430,009</u>	<u>\$ (220,018)</u>	<u>\$209,991</u>	<u>\$ 39,003</u>	<u>\$ 248,994</u>

Amortization

The Company recognized amortization expense of \$14.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and \$17.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2017, in cost of revenues in the consolidated statements of operations presented.

Impairment

The Company did not recognize any intangible asset impairment charges during the first quarter of 2018.

During the first quarter of 2017, the Company recognized a total of \$45.4 million of intangible asset impairment charges, of which \$39.3 million was recognized in cost of revenues impairment charges and \$6.1 million was recognized in in-process research and development impairment charges on the Company's consolidated statement of operations. The \$45.4 million impairment charge was almost entirely attributable to three products, two of which are currently marketed products and one of which is an IPR&D product, all acquired as part of the Teva Transaction. For the currently marketed products, the impairment charge was the result of continued significant price and volume erosion during the first quarter of 2017 without an offsetting increase in customer demand, resulting in significantly lower expected future cash flows. For the IPR&D product, the impairment charge was the result of increased estimated research and development expenses and a delay in the anticipated product launch due to a change in the regulatory strategy to secure FDA approval of such product.

Goodwill

Goodwill had a carrying value on the Company's consolidated balance sheets of \$207.3 million at both March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017. At March 31, 2018, the Company attributed \$147.6 million and \$59.7 million to the Impax Generics division and the Impax Specialty Pharma division, respectively.

9. ACCRUED EXPENSES

The following table sets forth the Company's accrued expenses (in thousands):

	<u>March 31, 2018</u>	<u>December 31, 2017</u>
Payroll-related expenses	\$ 16,267	\$ 38,415
Product returns	80,781	76,293
Accrued shelf stock	13,165	7,525
Government rebates	52,934	73,970
Accrued litigation settlements (1)	51,900	4,900
Legal and professional fees	12,185	14,005
Estimated Teva and Allergan chargebacks and rebates (2)	13,277	13,277
Accrued profit sharing and royalty expenses	11,713	8,373
Other	16,454	11,369
Total accrued expenses	<u>\$ 268,676</u>	<u>\$ 248,127</u>

- (1) See "Note 18. Legal and Regulatory Matters" for a description of the claims and settlements.
- (2) In connection with our August 2016 acquisition of certain assets from Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. ("Teva") and Allergan plc ("Allergan") in the Teva Transaction, the Company agreed to manage the payment process for certain commercial chargebacks and rebates on behalf of Teva and Allergan related to products each of Teva and Allergan sold into the channel prior to the Company's acquisition of the products. On August 18, 2016, the Company received a payment totaling \$42.4 million from Teva and Allergan, which represented their combined estimate of the amount of commercial chargebacks and rebates to be paid by the Company on their behalf to wholesalers who purchased products from Teva and Allergan prior to the closing. Pursuant to the agreed upon transition services, Teva and Allergan are obligated to reimburse the Company for additional payments related to chargebacks and rebates for products they sold into the channel prior to the closing and made on their behalf in excess of the \$42.4 million. If the total payments made by the Company on behalf of Teva and Allergan are less than \$42.4 million, the Company is obligated to refund the difference to Teva and/or Allergan. As of March 31, 2018, the Company had paid \$29.1 million related to chargebacks and rebates on behalf of Teva and/or Allergan as described above and \$13.3 million remained in accrued expenses on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

Product Returns

The Company maintains a return policy to allow customers to return product within specified guidelines. The Company estimates a provision for product returns as a percentage of gross sales based upon historical experience for sales made through its Impax Generics and Impax Specialty Pharma sales channels. Sales of product under the Private Label, Rx Partner and OTC Partner alliance, collaboration and supply agreements are not subject to returns.

A rollforward of the return reserve activity for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and the year ended December 31, 2017 is as follows (in thousands):

<u>Returns reserve</u>	<u>Three Months Ended March 31, 2018</u>	<u>Year Ended December 31, 2017</u>
Beginning balance	\$ 76,293	\$ 72,888
Provision related to sales recorded in the period	19,709	47,709
Credits issued during the period	(15,221)	(44,304)
Ending balance	<u>\$ 80,781</u>	<u>\$ 76,293</u>

10. DEBT

Royal Bank of Canada Credit Facilities

On August 3, 2016, the Company entered into a restatement agreement with Royal Bank of Canada, as administrative agent, and the lenders and guarantors party thereto (the “Restatement Agreement”). The Restatement Agreement amends and restates the Company’s existing Revolving Credit Facility Agreement (as amended and restated and amended to date, the “Amended and Restated Credit Agreement”) to, among other things, (i) add a term loan feature to allow for the borrowing of up to \$400.0 million of term loans (the “Term Loan Facility”) by the Company in accordance with the terms of the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, (ii) increase the aggregate principal amount of revolving loans permitted under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the “Revolving Credit Facility,” and, together with the Term Loan Facility, the “RBC Credit Facilities”), from \$100.0 million to \$200.0 million, and (iii) extend the maturity date of the Revolving Credit Facility from August 4, 2020 to August 3, 2021. On March 27, 2017, the Company entered into Amendment No. 1 by and among the Company, Royal Bank of Canada, as administrative agent, and the lenders party thereto (the “Amendment”) to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.

Borrowings under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement will accrue interest at a rate equal to LIBOR or the base rate, plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin may be increased or reduced by 0.25% based on the Company’s total net leverage ratio. Up to \$12.5 million of the Revolving Credit Facility is available for issuance of letters of credit and any such letters of credit will reduce the amount available under the Revolving Credit Facility on a dollar-for-dollar basis. The Company is required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders on the average daily unused portion of the Revolving Credit Facility at 0.50% or 0.375% per annum, depending on the Company’s total net leverage ratio.

The Amended and Restated Credit Agreement contains certain negative covenants (subject to exceptions, materiality thresholds and other allowances) including, without limitation, negative covenants that limit the Company’s and its restricted subsidiaries’ ability to incur additional debt, guarantee other obligations, grant liens on assets, make loans, acquisitions or other investments, dispose of assets, make optional payments in connection with or modify certain debt instruments, pay dividends or make other payments on capital stock, engage in mergers or consolidations, enter into arrangements that restrict the Company’s and its restricted subsidiaries’ ability to pay dividends or grant liens, engage in transactions with affiliates, or change its fiscal year. Prior to the effective date of the Amendment on March 27, 2017, the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement also included a financial

maintenance covenant whereby the Company must not permit its total net leverage ratio in any 12-month period to exceed 5.00:1.00, as tested at the end of each fiscal quarter. Effective as of March 27, 2017 and pursuant to the Amendment, the total net leverage ratio financial covenant was replaced with a new senior secured net leverage ratio financial covenant. Pursuant to the Amendment, the Company must not permit its senior secured net leverage ratio to exceed 2.50:1.00 and the interest coverage ratio to be less than 3.00:1.00, in each case in any 12-month period, as tested at the end of each fiscal quarter. The Company was in compliance with all of its covenants under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement as of March 31, 2018.

The Amended and Restated Credit Agreement contains events of default, including, without limitation (subject to customary grace periods and materiality thresholds), events of default upon (i) the failure to make payments pursuant to the terms of the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, (ii) violation of covenants, (iii) incorrectness of representations and warranties, (iv) cross-default and cross-acceleration to other material indebtedness, (v) bankruptcy events, (vi) material monetary judgments (to the extent not covered by insurance), (vii) certain matters arising under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, that could reasonably be expected to result in a material adverse effect, (viii) the actual or asserted invalidity of the documents governing the RBC Credit Facilities, any material guarantees or the security interests (including priority thereof) required under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement and (ix) the occurrence of a change of control (as defined therein). Upon the occurrence of certain events of default, the obligations under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement may be accelerated and any remaining commitments thereunder may be terminated.

The full amount of proceeds from the Term Loan Facility of \$400.0 million, along with \$196.4 million of cash were used to finance the Teva Transaction (including transaction costs) at closing on August 3, 2016. As of March 31, 2018, \$199.7 million Revolving Credit Facility remained available to the Company for working capital and other general corporate purposes.

In connection with the Term Loan Facility, the Company incurred \$11.0 million of debt issuance costs for banking, legal and accounting fees and other expenses during the third quarter of 2016. In connection with the Amendment, the Company incurred \$0.8 million of debt issuance costs for banking fees during the first quarter of 2017. These debt issuance costs were recorded on the Company's consolidated balance sheet as a reduction to the current and long-term portions of debt related to the Term Loan Facility. These deferred debt issuance costs will be accreted to interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method. In connection with the increase in the aggregate principal amount of revolving loans permitted under the Revolving Credit Facility, the Company incurred \$0.8 million of debt issuance costs for banking fees which were recorded as an asset with current and long-term portions on the Company's consolidated balance sheet. These deferred debt issuance costs, in addition to the \$0.3 million balance remaining from the initial \$100.0 million revolving credit facility, will be amortized to interest expense over the term of the Revolving Credit Facility using the straight-line method.

For the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, the Company recognized \$4.7 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, of interest expense related to the Term Loan Facility, of which \$4.2 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, was cash and \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, was non-cash accretion of the debt discount recorded for deferred debt issuance costs. As of March 31, 2018, the Term Loan Facility had a carrying value of \$313.0 million, of which \$17.9 million is classified as current debt and \$295.1 million is classified as long-term debt on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The Term Loan Facility requires the Company to make quarterly principal payments of \$5.0 million beginning December 2016 through June 2021, and the remaining principal balance is payable in August 2021. As of March 31, 2018, the outstanding principal amount for the Term Loan Facility was \$320.0 million.

Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt—Voluntary Prepayment of \$50.0 Million of Principal—RBC Term Loan Facility

On February 28, 2017, the Company made a voluntary prepayment in the amount of \$50.3 million under its Term Loan Facility, representing \$50.0 million of principal amount and \$0.3 million of accrued interest thereon. As a result of this voluntary prepayment, for the quarter ended March 31, 2017, the Company recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$1.2 million to write-off a pro rated portion of the related unaccreted debt issuance costs.

2% Convertible Senior Notes due June 2022

On June 30, 2015, the Company issued an aggregate principal amount of \$600.0 million of 2.00% Convertible Senior Notes due June 2022 (the “Notes”) in a private placement offering, which are the Company’s senior unsecured obligations. The Notes were issued pursuant to an Indenture dated June 30, 2015 (the “Indenture”) between the Company and Wilmington Trust, N.A. as trustee. The Indenture includes customary covenants and sets forth certain events of default after which the Notes may be due and payable immediately. The Notes will mature on June 15, 2022, unless earlier redeemed, repurchased or converted. The Notes bear interest at a rate of 2.00% per year, and interest is payable semiannually in arrears on June 15 and December 15 of each year, beginning on December 15, 2015.

The conversion rate for the Notes is initially set at 15.7858 shares per \$1,000 of principal amount, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of \$63.35 per share of the Company’s common stock. If a Make-Whole Fundamental Change (as defined in the Indenture) occurs or becomes effective prior to the maturity date and a holder elects to convert its Notes in connection with the Make-Whole Fundamental Change, the Company is obligated to increase the conversion rate for the Notes so surrendered by a number of additional shares of the Company’s common stock as prescribed in the Indenture. Additionally, the conversion rate is subject to adjustment in the event of an equity restructuring transaction such as a stock dividend, stock split, spinoff, rights offering, or recapitalization through a large, nonrecurring cash dividend (“standard antidilution provisions,” per FASB ASC 815-40).

On November 6, 2017, the Company entered into a supplemental indenture (the “First Supplemental Indenture”) to the Indenture. The First Supplemental Indenture was entered into to effectuate certain amendments to the Indenture in connection with the consummation of Impax’s consent solicitation with respect to the Notes on October 30, 2017, seeking consents from holders of the Notes to the proposed amendments as set forth in the First Supplemental Indenture. See “Note 1. Basis of Presentation” for additional information on the BCA with Amneal. The First Supplemental Indenture (a) amends a covenant in the Indenture relating to the Company’s corporate existence, (b) allows the Company to satisfy its reporting requirements by providing reports of any parent entity, (c) adds a provision to the Indenture requiring the Company to make and consummate a tender offer for any outstanding notes under the Indenture, and (d) expressly authorizes the Company to consummate the transactions contemplated by the BCA.

Contracts in Entity’s Own Equity (“ASC 815-40”)

The Notes are convertible at the option of the holders at any time prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding December 15, 2021 only under the following circumstances:

-
- (i) If during any calendar quarter commencing after the quarter ending September 30, 2015 (and only during such calendar quarter) the last reported sale price of the Company's common stock for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter is greater than 130% of the conversion price on each applicable trading day; or
 - (ii) If during the five business day period after any 10 consecutive trading day period (the "measurement period") in which the trading price per \$1,000 of principal amount of Notes for each trading day of the measurement period was less than 98% of the product of the last report sale price of the Company's common stock and the conversion rate on each such trading day; or
 - (iii) Upon the occurrence of corporate events specified in the Indenture.

On or after December 15, 2021 until the close of business on the second scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date, the holders may convert their Notes at any time, regardless of the foregoing circumstances. The Company may satisfy its conversion obligation by paying or delivering, as the case may be, cash, shares of the Company's common stock, or a combination of cash and shares of the Company's common stock, at the Company's election and in the manner and subject to the terms and conditions provided in the Indenture.

Concurrently with the offering of the Notes and using a portion of the proceeds from the sale of the Notes, the Company entered into a series of convertible note hedge and warrant transactions (the "Note Hedge Transactions" and "Warrant Transactions") which are designed to reduce the potential dilution to the Company's stockholders and/or offset the cash payments the Company is required to make in excess of the principal amount upon conversion of the Notes. The Note Hedge Transactions and Warrant Transactions are separate transactions, in each case, entered into by the Company with a financial institution and are not part of the terms of the Notes. These transactions will not affect any holder's rights under the Notes, and the holders of the Notes have no rights with respect to the Note Hedge Transactions and Warrant Transactions. See "Note 11. Stockholders' Equity" for additional information.

At the June 30, 2015 issuance date of the Notes, the Company did not have the necessary number of authorized but unissued shares of its common stock available to share-settle the conversion option of the Notes. Therefore, in accordance with guidance found in FASB ASC 470-20, *Debt with Conversion and Other Options*, and FASB ASC Topic 815-15, *Embedded Derivatives*, the conversion option of the Notes was deemed an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation from the Notes (host contract) and separate accounting as a derivative liability. The fair value of the conversion option derivative liability at June 30, 2015 was \$167.0 million, which was recorded as a reduction to the carrying value of the debt and will be accreted to interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method. Although the Company subsequently amended the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the authorized number of shares of the Company's common stock in December 2015, the debt discount remained and continues to be accreted to interest expense. See "Note 11. Stockholders' Equity" for additional information.

In connection with the issuance of the Notes, the Company incurred \$18.7 million of debt issuance costs for banking, legal and accounting fees and other expenses. This amount was also recorded on the Company's consolidated balance sheets as a reduction to the carrying value of the debt and is being accreted to interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method.

For the three months ended March 31, 2018 and March 31, 2017, the Company recognized \$9.2 million and \$8.7 million, respectively, of interest expense related to the Notes, of which \$3.0 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, was cash and \$6.2 million and \$5.7 million, respectively, was non-cash accretion of the debt discounts recorded. As the Notes mature in 2022, they have been classified as long-term debt on the Company's consolidated balance sheets, with a carrying value of \$476.0 million and \$469.9 million as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. Accrued interest payable on the Notes of \$3.5 million as of March 31, 2018 and \$0.5 million as of December 31, 2017 is included in accrued expenses on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

11. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Preferred Stock

Pursuant to its Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended (the "Certificate of Incorporation"), the Company is authorized to issue 2,000,000 shares of "blank check" preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share, which enables the Board of Directors, from time to time, to create one or more new series of preferred stock. Each series of preferred stock issued can have the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions designated by the Board of Directors. The issuance of any new series of preferred stock could affect, among other things, the dividend, voting, and liquidation rights of the Company's common stock. The Company had no preferred stock issued or outstanding as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017.

Common Stock

Pursuant to its Certificate of Incorporation, the Company is authorized to issue 150,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, of which 74,080,636 shares have been issued and 73,836,907 shares were outstanding as of March 31, 2018. In addition, the Company had reserved for issuance the following amounts of shares of its common stock for the purposes described below as of March 31, 2018 (in thousands):

Shares issued	74,081
Stock options outstanding(1)	3,042
Conversion of Notes payable (2)	9,471
Warrants outstanding (see below)	9,471
Total shares of common stock issued and reserved for issuance	<u>96,065</u>

(1) See "Note 13. Share-based Compensation."

(2) See "Note 10. Debt."

Warrants

As discussed in “Note 10. Debt,” on June 30, 2015, the Company entered into a series of Note Hedge Transactions and Warrant Transactions with a financial institution which are designed to reduce the potential dilution to the Company’s stockholders and/or offset the cash payments the Company is required to make in excess of the principal amount upon conversion of the Notes. Pursuant to the Warrant Transactions, the Company sold to a financial institution 9.47 million warrants to purchase the Company’s common stock, for which it received proceeds of \$88.3 million. The warrants have an exercise price of \$81.277 per share (subject to adjustment), are immediately exercisable, and have an expiration date of September 15, 2022.

Additional Paid-in Capital

Pursuant to the Note Hedge Transactions, the Company purchased from a financial institution 0.6 million call options on the Company’s common stock, for which it paid consideration of \$147.0 million. Each call option entitles the Company to purchase 15.7858 shares of the Company’s common stock at an exercise price of \$63.35 per share, is immediately exercisable, and has an expiration date of June 15, 2022, subject to earlier exercise. At the time of the Note Hedge Transactions, because of an insufficient number of authorized but unissued shares of the Company’s common stock, these call options did not meet the criteria for equity classification under ASC 815-40 and were accounted for as a derivative asset.

Retained Earnings

Effective January 1, 2018, the Company adopted FASB ASU 2014-09, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers*” (Topic 606) regarding the accounting for and disclosures of revenue recognition. The Company adopted the new guidance using the modified retrospective transition method, which resulted in a \$0.4 million charge to opening retained earnings for 2018.

12. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The Company’s basic earnings per common share (“EPS”) is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to the Company’s common stockholders (as presented on the consolidated statements of operations) by the weighted-average number of shares of the Company’s common stock outstanding during the period. The Company’s restricted stock awards (non-vested shares) are issued and outstanding at the time of grant but are excluded from the Company’s computation of weighted-average shares outstanding in the determination of basic EPS until vesting occurs.

For purposes of calculating diluted EPS, the denominator includes both the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding and the number of common stock equivalents if the inclusion of such common stock equivalents would be dilutive. Dilutive common stock equivalents potentially include warrants, stock options and non-vested restricted stock awards using the treasury stock method and the number of shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Company’s outstanding convertible notes payable. In the case of the Company’s outstanding convertible notes payable, the diluted EPS calculation is further affected by an add-back of interest expense, net of tax, to the numerator under the assumption that the interest would not have been incurred if the convertible notes had been converted into common stock.

The following is a reconciliation of basic and diluted net loss per share of common stock for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2018	2017
Basic Loss Per Common Share:		
Net loss	\$ (130,932)	\$ (98,431)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	72,266	71,594
Basic loss per share	\$ (1.81)	\$ (1.37)
Diluted Loss Per Common Share :		
Net loss	\$ (130,932)	\$ (98,431)
Add-back of interest expense on outstanding convertible notes payable, net of tax	— (1)	— (1)
Adjusted net loss	\$ (130,932)	\$ (98,431)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	72,266	71,594
Weighted-average incremental shares related to assumed exercise of warrants and stock options, vesting of non-vested shares and ESPP share issuance	— (2)	— (2)
Weighted-average incremental shares assuming conversion of outstanding notes payable	— (1)	— (1)
Diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding	72,266(2)	71,594(2)
Diluted loss per share	\$ (1.81)	\$ (1.37)

- (1) For the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, the Company incurred a net loss, which cannot be diluted, so basic and diluted loss per common share were the same. Accordingly, there were no numerator or denominator adjustments related to the Company's outstanding Notes.
- (2) For the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, the Company incurred a net loss, which cannot be diluted, so basic and diluted loss per common share were the same. As of March 31, 2018, shares issuable but not included in the Company's calculation of diluted EPS, which could potentially dilute future earnings, included 9.47 million warrants outstanding, 9.47 million shares for conversion of outstanding Notes payable, 3.04 million stock options outstanding and 1.56 million non-vested restricted stock awards. As of March 31, 2017, shares issuable but not included in the Company's calculation of diluted EPS, which could potentially dilute future earnings, include 9.47 million warrants outstanding, 9.47 million shares for conversion of outstanding Notes payable, 3.34 million stock options outstanding and 2.21 million non-vested restricted stock awards.

13. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company recognizes the grant date fair value of each option and share of restricted stock over its vesting period. Stock options and restricted stock awards are granted under the Company's Fourth Amended and Restated 2002 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2002 Plan") and generally vest over a four year period and, in the case of stock options, have a term of 10 years.

Impax Laboratories, Inc. Fourth Amended and Restated 2002 Equity Incentive Plan

The aggregate number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance pursuant to the Company's 2002 Plan is 18,050,000 shares. There were 2,192,269 and 2,324,997 stock options outstanding as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively, and 1,560,684 and 1,861,489 non-vested restricted stock awards outstanding as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively, under the 2002 Plan.

Impax Laboratories, Inc. 1999 Equity Incentive Plan ("1999 Plan")

The aggregate number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance pursuant to the Company's 1999 Plan is 5,000,000 shares. There were zero stock options outstanding as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, under the 1999 Plan. The Company has ceased granting equity awards under the 1999 Plan.

Awards Granted Out of Plan—CEO Inducement

On March 27, 2017, the Company granted Paul M. Bisaro, its new President and Chief Executive Officer, an option to purchase 850,000 shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to the terms of his Employment Agreement dated as of March 24, 2017 with the Company. The grant was made in accordance with NASDAQ's employment inducement grant exemption and therefore was not granted under a stockholder approved plan. The grant is subject to the terms of an option agreement with Mr. Bisaro to evidence the award. There were 850,000 stock options outstanding related to this grant as of March 31, 2018.

The following table summarizes all of the Company's stock option activity for the current year through March 31, 2018:

Stock Options	Number of Shares Under Option	Weighted- Average Exercise Price per Share
Outstanding at December 31, 2017	3,174,997	\$ 18.36
Options exercised	(127,648)	9.28
Options forfeited	(5,080)	8.38
Outstanding at March 31, 2018	<u>3,042,269</u>	\$ 18.76
Options exercisable at March 31, 2018	<u>1,875,982</u>	\$ 20.08

As of March 31, 2018, stock options outstanding and exercisable had average remaining contractual lives of 6.59 years and 5.70 years, respectively. Also, as of March 31, 2018, stock options outstanding and exercisable each had aggregate intrinsic values of \$13.7 million and \$7.1 million, respectively, and restricted stock awards outstanding had an aggregate intrinsic value of \$30.4 million.

The Company grants restricted stock to certain eligible employees as a component of its long-term incentive compensation program. The restricted stock award grants are made in accordance with the Company's 2002 Plan and are issued and outstanding at the time of grant but are subject to forfeiture if the vesting conditions are not met. A summary of the non-vested restricted stock awards is as follows:

<u>Restricted Stock Awards</u>	<u>Number of Restricted Stock Awards</u>	<u>Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value</u>
Non-vested at December 31, 2017	1,861,489	\$ 25.36
Vested	(103,144)	26.54
Forfeited	(197,661)	26.15
Non-vested at March 31, 2018	<u>1,560,684</u>	\$ 25.19

Included in the 103,144 shares of restricted stock vested during the three months ended March 31, 2018 are 49,427 shares with a weighted-average fair value of \$20.39 per share that were withheld for income tax withholding purposes upon vesting of such awards from stockholders who elected to net share settle such tax withholding obligation.

As of March 31, 2018, the Company had 2,130,036 shares available for issuance of either stock options or restricted stock awards under the 2002 Plan. Although there were also 296,921 shares available for issuance under the 1999 Plan, the Company has ceased granting equity awards under this plan. Additionally, the Company had 1,499,596 shares available for issuance under its 2001 Non-Qualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended ("ESPP"). The Company's Board of Directors terminated the ESPP effective after the expiration of the final purchase period prior to December 31, 2017.

As of March 31, 2018, the Company had total unrecognized share-based compensation expense of \$33.0 million related to all of its share-based awards, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.5 years. The intrinsic value of options exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 was \$11.8 million and immaterial, respectively. The total fair value of restricted stock which vested during the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 was \$2.7 million and \$2.3 million, respectively.

The Company estimated the fair value of each stock option award on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, wherein expected volatility is based on historical volatility of the Company's common stock. The expected term calculation is based on the "simplified" method described in SAB No. 107, Share-Based Payment, and SAB No. 110, Share-Based Payment, as the result of the simplified method provides a reasonable estimate in comparison to actual experience. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield at the date of grant for an instrument with a maturity that is commensurate with the expected term of the stock options. The dividend yield of zero is based on the fact that the Company has never paid cash dividends on its common stock, and has no present intention to pay cash dividends. Options granted under each of the above plans generally vest over four years and have a term of 10 years.

The amount of share-based compensation expense recognized by the Company is as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2018	2017
Cost of revenues	\$ 520	\$ 1,584
Selling, general and administrative	3,196	1,423
Research and development	1,100	3,950
Total	<u>\$ 4,816</u>	<u>\$ 6,957</u>

14. RESTRUCTURINGS

Consolidation and Improvement Plan

On May 10, 2017, the Company announced that it has initiated a series of actions designed to improve manufacturing and research and development (“R&D”) efficiencies, capitalize on growth opportunities, improve profitability and mitigate current challenges. The actions include:

- Consolidating all of Generic R&D, U.S. manufacturing and packing operations to its Hayward, California facility;
- Continuing the previously announced closure of the Middlesex, New Jersey manufacturing site, which will now include the closure of the Middlesex Generic R&D site as further discussed below under “Middlesex, New Jersey Manufacturing and Packaging Operations” and “Middlesex, New Jersey Generic R&D”;
- Reorganizing certain functions including quality, engineering and supply chain operations as further described below under “Technical Operations Reduction-in-Force”;
- Reviewing strategic alternatives for the Company’s Taiwan facility, including a sale of the facility as further described below under “Sale of Impax Laboratories (Taiwan), Inc.” and
- Rationalizing the generic portfolio to eliminate low-value products and streamline operations such as the Company’s divestment during the second quarter of 2017 of 29 ANDAs and one NDA for approved non-strategic generic products, the vast majority of which were not marketed, and all acquired as part of the Tower Acquisition, as described above under “Note 8. Intangible Assets and Goodwill.”

By consolidating activities as outlined above, the Company expects to achieve cost savings and operating efficiency benefits while maintaining the infrastructure and expertise needed to capitalize on product and pipeline strengths. The Company currently expects to incur estimated charges for each initiative as described below. There are no charges currently expected to be incurred related to the rationalization of the generic product portfolio.

Middlesex, New Jersey Manufacturing and Packaging Operations

In March 2016, the Company's Board of Directors approved a plan of restructuring designed to reduce costs, improve operating efficiencies and enhance the Company's long-term competitive position by closing the Company's Middlesex, New Jersey manufacturing and packaging site and transferring the products and the functions performed there to the Company's other facilities or to third-party manufacturers. As of March 31, 2018 this plan has been completed. As a result of the restructuring, 215 positions were eliminated.

The Company incurred aggregate pre-tax charges of \$43.6 million in connection with this plan through the period ended March 31, 2018 and does not anticipate any future charges. The following is a summary of the cumulative charges incurred by major type of cost (in thousands):

<u>Type of Cost</u>	<u>Amount Incurred</u>
Employee retention and severance payments	\$ 12,752
Technical transfer of products	9,716
Asset impairment and accelerated depreciation charges	20,900
Facilities lease terminations and asset retirement obligations	209
Legal and professional fees	9
Total estimated restructuring charges	<u>\$ 43,586</u>

Employee retention and severance payments are being accrued over the estimated service period. For the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 the Company recorded expense of \$0.2 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, to cost of revenues on the consolidated statement of operations.

For the three months ended March 31, 2018 and March 31, 2017, the Company incurred charges to general and administrative expenses as follows (in thousands):

	<u>Three Months Ended March 31,</u>	
	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
Employee retention and severance payments	\$ 25	\$ 1,480
Technical transfer of products	172	1,188
Asset impairment and accelerated depreciation charges	—	1,561
Facilities lease terminations and asset retirement obligations	—	93
Total	<u>\$ 197</u>	<u>\$ 4,322</u>

A rollforward of the charges incurred to general and administrative expense for the three months ended March 31, 2018 is as follows (in thousands):

	Balance as of December 31, 2017	Expensed/ Accrued Expense	Cash Payments	Non-Cash Items	Balance as of March 31, 2018
Employee retention and severance payments	\$ 7,386	\$ 25	\$ (7,073)	\$ —	\$ 338
Technical transfer of products	—	172	(172)	—	—
Legal and professional fees	209	—	—	—	209
Total	<u>\$ 7,595</u>	<u>\$ 197</u>	<u>\$ (7,245)</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 547</u>

As of March 31, 2018 the Company recognized a liability of \$0.5 million, in accrued expenses on the Company's consolidated balance sheet and anticipates remaining payments to be made through the second quarter of 2018.

Middlesex, New Jersey Generic R&D

In May 2017, as part of its Consolidation and Improvement Plan, the Company announced its plan to close its Middlesex, New Jersey Generic R&D site and consolidate all Generic R&D activities to its Hayward, California facility. As a result, the Company eliminated a total of 31 positions in Middlesex. In connection with this Generic R&D consolidation, the Company incurred aggregate pre-tax charges for employee termination benefits, program termination costs and accelerated depreciation charges of \$3.4 million through the end of 2017. As of March 31, 2018, \$2.8 million of employee termination benefits and program termination costs had been paid.

Sale of Middlesex, New Jersey Assets

In the fourth quarter of 2017, management completed an evaluation of the assets located at the Company's Middlesex, New Jersey facilities in accordance with ASC 360—Property, Plant and Equipment ("ASC 360") to determine whether all of the "held for sale" criteria under subsection 360-10-45-9 has been met. Based upon management's evaluation of the criteria under ASC 360, the Middlesex, New Jersey assets were determined to meet all of the "held for sale" criteria. As a result, the Company completed an impairment assessment related to the new book value of the assets of \$5.6 million and based upon the estimated fair value less estimated costs to sell the assets the Company recorded a fixed asset impairment charges of \$3.3 million in the Generic segment of its consolidated statement of operation for the year ended December 31, 2017.

On January 16, 2018, the Company sold all of its outstanding membership interest in CorePharma LLC, its wholly-owned subsidiary that held certain assets and leases to the Middlesex, New Jersey facility, including certain specified assets within the entity, to a third party for a purchase price of \$2.2 million and received the cash during the first quarter of 2018.

Technical Operations Reduction-in-Force

In March 2017, the Company's management determined that a reduction-in-force was necessary in the Company's technical operations group in order to achieve greater operational efficiencies and to further streamline the organization. The Company identified 48 positions for elimination and recognized all expense as of December 31, 2017. In connection with this reduction-in-force, the Company incurred aggregate pre-tax charges for employee termination benefits and other associated costs of \$3.7 million through the end of 2017. For the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, the Company recorded \$0.0 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, of employee termination benefits and other associated costs to cost of revenues on the consolidated statement of operations. The accrued balance of \$1.7 million as of December 31, 2017 was paid during the first quarter of 2018.

Sale of Impax Laboratories (Taiwan), Inc.

In May 2017, as part of its Consolidation and Improvement Plan, the Company announced that it was reviewing strategic alternatives for its Taiwan facility, including a sale of the facility to a qualified buyer capable of reliably producing Rytary® in accordance with FDA requirements as the Company's CMO or, in the alternative, a closure of the facility following the completion of the technology transfer process to allow Rytary® to be manufactured either in the Company's Hayward, California facility or at a CMO. Following this announcement, management completed an evaluation of the Taiwan facility in accordance with ASC 360 to determine whether all of the "held for sale" criteria under subsection 360-10-45-9 had been met. Based upon the evaluation of the criteria, including management's assessment of whether it was probable that a sale to a qualified buyer could be completed within one year, the Taiwan facility was determined to be "held and used" as of May 31, 2017.

Following the "held and used" determination, management next evaluated the Taiwan facility for recoverability. Recoverability of property is evaluated by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset or asset group to the future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset or asset group. As the activities at the Taiwan facility were primarily focused on manufacturing Rytary®, which product represented the majority of the unit volume produced and cash flows generated, the Taiwan facility was included in the Impax Specialty Pharma asset group. Based upon the cash flows expected to be generated by the Impax Specialty Pharma asset group, management determined that there was no impairment of the asset group which included the Taiwan facility as of May 31, 2017.

As of May 31, 2017, the remaining useful life of the Taiwan facility was estimated to be two years, which was based on the estimated time required to complete the technology transfer process for Rytary® and reflected the new pattern of consumption of the expected benefits of the facility. The Company will recognize accelerated depreciation expense on a straight-line basis through May 31, 2019 to write the building and equipment associated with the Taiwan facility down to their estimated salvage values. For the year ended December 31, 2017 the Company recorded accelerated depreciation of \$9.1 million.

After May 31, 2017 the Company continued to assess whether the Taiwan facility met the ASC 360 criteria. In the fourth quarter of 2017 based upon management's valuation of the criteria the Taiwan facility was determined to meet all of the "held for sale" criteria. As a result, excluding assets and liabilities subject to customary working capital adjustment, the Company completed an impairment assessment of the net book value of \$91.7 million related to the net assets to be sold, and based upon an estimated fair value less estimated costs to sell for the net assets, the Company recorded an asset impairment charge of \$74.1 million in the Company's consolidated statement of operations, of which \$73.6 million related to property, plant and equipment. The remaining assets and liabilities associated with the Taiwan entity, which were part of the Impax Specialty Pharma segment, were reclassified as held for sale.

On February 6, 2018, the Company completed its sale of the outstanding shares of Impax Laboratories (Taiwan), Inc. to Bora Pharmaceuticals C. Ltd (“Bora”) for \$16.5 million in cash, a \$2.0 million note receivable and customary working capital settlement. In connection with closing this transaction and settling working capital, the Company recorded an additional loss on disposal of \$1.2 million primarily related to final working capital adjustments. As a result of the sale, the Company reclassified foreign currency translation adjustments, a gain, of \$0.8 million from accumulated other comprehensive income to loss on sale of assets on the consolidated statement of operations.

15. INCOME TAXES

The Company has historically calculated the provision for income taxes during interim reporting periods by applying an estimate of the annual effective tax rate for the full fiscal year to “ordinary” income or loss (pretax income or loss excluding unusual or infrequently occurring discrete items) for the reporting period. For the three months ended March 31, 2017, however, the Company began using the discrete effective tax rate method to calculate taxes. The Company had determined that since small changes in estimated “ordinary” income (or loss) would result in significant changes in the estimated annual effective tax rate, the historical method would not provide a reliable estimate for the three months ended March 31, 2017.

During the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, the Company recognized an aggregate consolidated tax benefit of (\$7.3) million and aggregate consolidated tax expense of \$30.9 million, respectively, for U.S. domestic and foreign income taxes. The effective tax rates for the three month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 were 5.3% and (45.8)%, respectively. The amount of tax benefit recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and the tax expense recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2017 reflect the Company’s estimates as of such dates using the discrete effective tax rate method.

Management assesses the available positive and negative evidence to estimate whether sufficient future taxable income will be generated to permit the use of the existing deferred tax assets. A significant piece of objective evidence that management evaluated was the cumulative loss incurred over the three year period ended December 31, 2017. Such objective evidence limits management’s ability to consider other subjective evidence, such as projected taxable income.

On the basis of this evaluation, as of December 31, 2017, the Company recorded a valuation allowance of \$185.9 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2018, the Company considered new evidence, both positive and negative, that could impact the Company’s assessment with regard to future realization of deferred tax assets. Based on the cumulative loss over the three year period ended March 31, 2018, an additional valuation allowance in the amount of \$22.6 million was recorded against the gross deferred tax asset balance for a total valuation allowance of \$208.5 million as of March 31, 2018.

Although the Company continued to be in a three year cumulative loss as of the first quarter 2018 and incurred a loss in the first quarter of 2018, the Company recorded a tax benefit of (\$7.3) million due to its ability to fully utilize the carryback of the \$20.7 million capital loss on the sale of its Taiwan subsidiary in February 2018. Under the Internal Revenue Code’s ordering of losses rules, the capital loss amount displaced the Net Operating Loss (NOL) previously utilized and the amount is essentially converted into an NOL before being carried back three years. This \$20.7 million capital loss carryback loss was able to be benefited at the 35% rate in the 2015 carryback year.

16. ALLIANCE AND COLLABORATION AGREEMENTS

The Company has entered into several alliance, collaboration, license and distribution agreements, and similar agreements with respect to certain of its products and services, with unrelated third-party pharmaceutical companies. The consolidated statements of operations include revenue recognized under agreements the Company has entered into to develop marketing and/or distribution relationships with its partners to fully leverage the technology platform and revenue recognized under development agreements which generally obligate the Company to provide research and development services over multiple periods.

The Company's alliance and collaboration agreements often include milestones and provide for milestone payments upon achievement of these milestones. Generally, the milestone events contained in the Company's alliance and collaboration agreements coincide with the progression of the Company's products and technologies from pre-commercialization to commercialization.

The Company groups pre-commercialization milestones in its alliance and collaboration agreements into clinical and regulatory categories, each of which may include the following types of events:

Clinical Milestone Events:

- *Designation of a development candidate* . Following the designation of a development candidate, generally, IND-enabling animal studies for a new development candidate take 12 to 18 months to complete.
- *Initiation of a Phase I clinical trial* . Generally, Phase I clinical trials take one to two years to complete.
- *Initiation or completion of a Phase II clinical trial* . Generally, Phase II clinical trials take one to three years to complete.
- *Initiation or completion of a Phase III clinical trial* . Generally, Phase III clinical trials take two to four years to complete.
- *Completion of a bioequivalence study* . Generally, bioequivalence studies take three months to one year to complete.

Regulatory Milestone Events:

- *Filing or acceptance of regulatory applications for marketing approval such as a New Drug Application in the United States or Marketing Authorization Application in Europe* . Generally, it takes six to 12 months to prepare and submit regulatory filings and two months for a regulatory filing to be accepted for substantive review.
- *Marketing approval in a major market, such as the United States or Europe* . Generally it takes one to three years after an application is submitted to obtain approval from the applicable regulatory agency.
- *Marketing approval in a major market, such as the United States or Europe for a new indication of an already-approved product* . Generally it takes one to three years after an application for a new indication is submitted to obtain approval from the applicable regulatory agency.

Commercialization Milestone Events:

- *First commercial sale in a particular market, such as in the United States or Europe.*
- *Product sales in excess of a pre-specified threshold, such as annual sales exceeding \$100.0 million.* The amount of time to achieve this type of milestone depends on several factors including but not limited to the dollar amount of the threshold, the pricing of the product and the pace at which customers begin using the product.

License and Distribution Agreement with Shire

In January 2006, the Company entered into a License and Distribution Agreement with an affiliate of Shire Laboratories, Inc., which was subsequently amended (“Prior Shire Agreement”), under which the Company received a non-exclusive license to market and sell an authorized generic of Shire’s Adderall XR[®] product (“AG Product”) subject to certain conditions, but in any event by no later than January 1, 2010. The Company commenced sales of the AG Product in October 2009. On February 7, 2013, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated License and Distribution Agreement with Shire (the “Amended and Restated Shire Agreement”), which amended and restated the Prior Shire Agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Amended and Restated Shire Agreement, the Company is required to pay to Shire a specified profit share based on sales of the AG Product and a specified profit share based on sales of the Company’s generic Adderall XR[®] product. The Company began selling its generic Adderall XR[®] product during the second quarter of 2016. The Company accrued a profit share payable to Shire of \$0.1 million and \$0.8 million during the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, based on sales of the AG Product and the Company’s generic Adderall XR[®] product, in each case with a corresponding charge included in the costs of revenues line on the consolidated statements of operations.

Development, Supply and Distribution Agreement with Tolmar, Inc.

In June 2012, the Company entered into the Tolmar Agreement with Tolmar. Under the terms of the Tolmar Agreement, Tolmar granted to the Company an exclusive license to commercialize up to 11 generic topical prescription drug products, including 10 currently approved products in the United States and its territories; the parties agreed in 2015 to terminate development efforts of one product under the Tolmar Agreement that had been pending approval at the FDA. Under the terms of the Tolmar Agreement, Tolmar is responsible for developing and manufacturing the products, and the Company is responsible for marketing and selling of the products. As of March 31, 2018, the Company was currently marketing and selling four approved products. The Company is required to pay a profit share to Tolmar on sales of each product commercialized pursuant to the terms of the Tolmar Agreement.

The Company paid Tolmar a \$21.0 million upfront payment upon signing of the agreement and, pursuant to the terms of the agreement, is also required to make payments to Tolmar up to an aggregate amount of \$25.0 million upon the achievement of certain specified milestone events. As of March 31, 2018, the Company had paid a total of \$20.0 million to Tolmar upon the achievement of certain specified milestone events, including \$12.0 million upon the achievement of a regulatory milestone event and \$5.0 million upon the achievement of a commercialization event, and does not currently expect to make any additional milestone payments under the agreement. The Company is also required to pay a profit share to Tolmar on sales of the topical products, of which it accrued a profit share payable to Tolmar of \$0.6 million and \$0.9 million during the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, with a corresponding charge included in the cost of revenues line in the Company’s consolidated statement of operations.

Strategic Alliance Agreement with Teva

The Company is a party to a Strategic Alliance Agreement dated as of June 27, 2001 with Teva, which was subsequently amended (“Teva Agreement”). The Teva Agreement commits the Company to develop and manufacture, and Teva to distribute, a specified number of controlled release generic pharmaceutical products (“generic products”), each for a 10-year period. As of March 31, 2018, the Company was supplying Teva with oxybutynin extended release tablets (Ditropan XL[®] 5 mg, 10 mg and 15 mg extended release tablets); the other products under the Teva Agreement have either been returned to the Company, are being manufactured by Teva at its election, were voluntarily withdrawn from the market or the Company’s obligations to supply such product had expired or were terminated in accordance with the Teva Agreement.

Distribution, License, Development and Supply Agreement with AstraZeneca UK Limited

In January 2012, the Company entered into an agreement with AstraZeneca UK Limited to distribute branded products under the terms of a Distribution, License, Development and Supply Agreement (“AZ Agreement”). The parties subsequently entered into a First Amendment to the AZ Agreement dated May 31, 2016 (as amended, the “AZ Amendment”). Under the terms of the AZ Agreement, AstraZeneca granted to the Company an exclusive license to commercialize the tablet, orally disintegrating tablet and nasal spray formulations of Zomig[®] (zolmitriptan) products for the treatment of migraine headaches in the United States and in certain U.S. territories, except during an initial transition period when AstraZeneca fulfilled all orders of Zomig[®] products on the Company’s behalf and AstraZeneca paid to the Company the gross profit on such Zomig[®] products. Under the terms of the AZ Amendment, under certain conditions and depending on the nature and terms of the study agreed to with the FDA, the Company agreed to conduct, at its own expense, the juvenile toxicity study and pediatric study required by the FDA under the Pediatric Research Equity Act (“PREA”) for approval of the nasal formulation of Zomig[®] for the acute treatment of migraine in pediatric patients ages six through eleven years old, as further described in the study protocol mutually agreed to by the parties (the “PREA Study”). In consideration for the Company conducting the PREA Study at its own expense, the AZ Amendment provides for the total royalty payments payable by the Company to AstraZeneca on net sales of Zomig[®] products under the AZ Agreement to be reduced by certain specified amounts beginning from the quarter ended June 30, 2016 and through the quarter ended December 31, 2020, with such reduced royalty amounts totaling an aggregate amount of \$30.0 million. In the event the royalty reduction amounts exceed the royalty payments payable by the Company to AstraZeneca pursuant to the AZ Agreement in any given quarter, AstraZeneca will be required to pay the Company an amount equal to the difference between the royalty reduction amount and the royalty payment payable by the Company to AstraZeneca. The Company’s commitment to perform the PREA Study may be terminated, without penalty, under certain circumstances as set forth in the AZ Amendment.

In May 2013, the Company’s exclusivity period for branded Zomig[®] tablets and orally disintegrating tablets expired and the Company launched authorized generic versions of those products in the United States. As discussed above, pursuant to the AZ Amendment, the total royalty payments payable by the Company to AstraZeneca on net sales of Zomig[®] products under the AZ Agreement is reduced by certain specified amounts beginning from the quarter ended June 30, 2016 and through the quarter ended December 31, 2020, with such reduced royalty amounts totaling an aggregate amount of \$30.0 million. The Company accrued a royalty payable to AstraZeneca of \$2.2 million and \$3.3 million during the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, with a corresponding charge included in the cost of revenues line on the consolidated statements of operations.

In August 2013, the Company, through its Amedra Pharmaceuticals subsidiary, entered into a product acquisition agreement (the “Mebendazole Product Acquisition Agreement”) with Teva pursuant to which the Company acquired the assets (including the ANDA and other regulatory materials) and related liabilities related to Teva’s mebendazole tablet product in all dosage forms. Pursuant to the Mebendazole Product Acquisition Agreement, the Company was required to pay certain milestone payments up to an aggregate amount of \$3.5 million upon the approval and launch of the mebendazole tablet product; the Company paid the \$3.5 million to Teva during the quarter ended March 31, 2016 upon the FDA’s approval and the Company’s subsequent launch of Emverm[®] (mebendazole) 100 mg chewable tablets. The Company is also obligated to pay Teva a royalty payment based on net sales of Emverm[®], including a specified annual minimum royalty payment, subject to customary reductions and the other terms and conditions set forth in the Mebendazole Product Acquisition Agreement.

17. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Executive Employment Agreements

The Company is a party to employment and separation agreements with certain members of its executive management team that provide for severance and other payments following termination of their employment for various reasons.

Lease Agreements

The Company leases land, office space, manufacturing, warehouse and research and development facilities, and equipment under non-cancelable operating leases expiring at various dates through December 2027.

Purchase Order Commitments

As of March 31, 2018, the Company had \$103.5 million of open purchase order commitments, primarily for raw materials. The terms of these purchase order commitments are generally less than one year in duration.

18. LEGAL AND REGULATORY MATTERS

Patent Litigation

There is substantial litigation in the pharmaceutical, biological, and biotechnology industries with respect to the manufacture, use, and sale of new products which are the subject of conflicting patent and intellectual property claims. One or more patents often cover the brand name products for which the Company is developing generic versions and the Company typically has patent rights covering the Company’s branded products.

Under federal law, when a drug developer files an ANDA for a generic drug seeking approval before expiration of a patent, which has been listed with the FDA as covering the brand name product, the developer must certify its product will not infringe the listed patent(s) and/or the listed patent is invalid or unenforceable (commonly referred to as a “Paragraph IV” certification). Notices of such certification must be provided to the patent holder, who may file a suit for patent infringement within 45 days of the patent holder’s receipt of such notice. If the patent holder files suit within the 45 days period, the FDA can review and approve the ANDA, but is prevented from granting final marketing approval of the product until a final judgment in the action has been rendered in favor of the generic drug developer, or 30 months from the date the notice was received, whichever is sooner. The Company’s generic products division is typically subject to patent infringement litigation brought by branded pharmaceutical manufacturers in connection with the Company’s Paragraph IV certifications seeking an order

delaying the approval of the Company's ANDA until expiration of the patent(s) at issue in the litigation. Likewise, the Company's branded products division is currently involved in patent infringement litigation against generic drug manufacturers who have filed Paragraph IV certifications to market their generic drugs prior to expiration of the Company's patents at issue in the litigation.

The uncertainties inherent in patent litigation make the outcome of such litigation difficult to predict. For the Company's generic products division, the potential consequences in the event of an unfavorable outcome in such litigation include delaying launch of its generic products until patent expiration. If the Company were to launch its generic product prior to successful resolution of a patent litigation, the Company could be liable for potential damages measured by the profits lost by the branded product manufacturer rather than the profits earned by the Company if it is found to infringe a valid, enforceable patent. For the Company's branded products division, an unfavorable outcome may significantly accelerate generic competition ahead of expiration of the patents covering the Company's branded products. All such litigation typically involves significant expense.

The Company is generally responsible for all of the patent litigation fees and costs associated with current and future products not covered by its alliance and collaboration agreements. The Company has agreed to share legal expenses with respect to third-party and Company products under the terms of certain of the alliance and collaboration agreements. The Company records the costs of patent litigation as expense in the period when incurred for products it has developed, as well as for products which are the subject of an alliance or collaboration agreement with a third-party.

Although the outcome and costs of the asserted and unasserted claims is difficult to predict, based on the information presently known to management, the Company does not currently expect the ultimate liability, if any, for such matters to have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Patent Infringement Litigation

Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc. and Grunenthal GmbH v. Impax Laboratories, Inc. and ThoRx Laboratories, Inc. (Oxymorphone hydrochloride); Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc. and Grunenthal GmbH v. Impax Laboratories, Inc. (Oxymorphone hydrochloride)

In November 2012, Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Grunenthal GmbH filed suit against ThoRx Laboratories, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company ("ThoRx"), and the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York alleging patent infringement based on the filing of ThoRx's ANDA relating to Oxymorphone hydrochloride, Extended Release tablets, 5 mg, 7.5 mg, 10 mg, 15 mg, 20 mg, 30 mg and 40 mg, generic to Opana ER[®]. In January 2013, Endo filed a separate suit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York alleging patent infringement based on the filing of the Company's ANDA relating to the same products. ThoRx and the Company filed an answer and counterclaims to the November 2012 suit and the Company filed an answer and counterclaims with respect to the January 2013 suit. A bench trial was completed in April 2015. In June 2016, the Court entered an amended judgment in both cases that the products described in the Company's and ThoRx's ANDAs would, if marketed, infringe certain claims of the patents asserted by Endo and Grunenthal. The Court also found that the asserted claims of patents owned by Endo were not invalid, but that the asserted claims of patents owned by Grunenthal were invalid. As a result, the Court enjoined the Company and ThoRx from marketing their products until expiration of the Endo patents in 2023. Appeals in these cases are pending. The appeals with respect to the Grunenthal patents are stayed. The Company and ThoRx moved to dismiss the appeals concerning the Endo patents. That motion is pending.

In November 2014, Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc. and Mallinckrodt LLC filed suit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware making additional allegations of patent infringement based on the filing of the Company's Oxymorphone hydrochloride ANDA described above. Also in November 2014, Endo and Mallinckrodt filed a separate suit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware making additional allegations of patent infringement based on the filing of ThoRx's Oxymorphone hydrochloride ANDA described above. ThoRx and the Company filed an answer and counterclaim to those suits in which they are named as a defendant. The cases were dismissed in February 2018.

Impax Laboratories Inc., et al. v. Lannett Holdings, Inc. and Lannett Company (Zomig®)

In July 2014, the Company filed suit against Lannett Holdings, Inc. and Lannett Company (collectively, "Lannett") in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, alleging patent infringement based on the filing of the Lannett ANDA relating to Zolmitriptan Nasal Spray, 5mg, generic to Zomig® Nasal Spray. The case went to trial in September 2016. On March 29, 2017, the District Court issued a Trial Opinion finding the asserted patents valid and infringed. On April 17, 2017, the District Court entered a Final Judgment and Injunction that, *inter alia*, bars FDA approval of Lannett's proposed generic product prior to May 29, 2021. On May 12, 2017, Lannett filed a Notice of Appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Briefing of Lannett's appeal has been completed and oral argument occurred on April 5, 2018.

Impax Laboratories Inc., et al. v. Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. (Zomig®)

On September 23, 2016, the Company filed suit against Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. ("Par") in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, alleging patent infringement based on the filing of the Par ANDA relating to Zolmitriptan Nasal Spray, 2.5 mg and 5 mg, generic to Zomig® Nasal Spray. On October 12, 2016, the parties stipulated to stay the case pending the outcome of the related case, *Impax Laboratories Inc., et al. v. Lannett* matter described above. On April 24, 2017, the parties stipulated that the stay shall remain in effect until the *Impax Laboratories Inc., et al. v. Lannett* matter is fully resolved. As such, Par has not yet filed an answer or counterclaims to the Company's complaint. The 30-month stay of approval for applicable to the Par ANDA has been tolled pending resumption of this case.

Impax Laboratories Inc., et al. v. Actavis Laboratories FL, Inc. and Actavis Pharma Inc. (Rytary®)

In September 2015, the Company filed suit against Actavis Laboratories FL, Inc. and Actavis Pharma Inc. (collectively, "Actavis") in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, alleging patent infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,094,427; 8,377,474; 8,454,998; 8,557,283; 9,089,607; 9,089,608, based on the filing of the Actavis ANDA relating to carbidopa and levodopa extended release capsules, generic to Rytary®. The Company filed related actions alleging infringement of later-issued U.S. Patent No. 9,463,246 in December 2016 and of later-issued U.S. Patent No. 9,533,046 in May 2017. Both related actions were consolidated with the lead action. On December 15, 2017, the Patent and Trademark Office issued an Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate canceling all claims of the '427 patent; the parties subsequently stipulated to dismiss with prejudice all claims and counterclaims relating to the '427 patent. Fact discovery and claim construction briefing have concluded and a claim construction hearing was held on April 26, 2017. On May 9, 2017, the District Court issued a decision interpreting

certain claim terms in dispute in the litigation. Subject to reservation of all rights to appeal the Court's May 9, 2017 decision, the parties stipulated to dismiss without prejudice all claims and counterclaims relating to the '474, '998, and '607 patents, and the Court entered an order recognizing this stipulation on June 8, 2017. The parties have completed expert discovery and Actavis filed a summary judgment motion on October 23, 2017. On March 8, 2018, the Court issued an Opinion and Order, granting in part Actavis's motion for summary judgment. A four day trial is scheduled to begin on May 14, 2018.

Impax Laboratories, Inc. v. Sandoz Inc. (Rytary ®)

On March 31, 2017, the Company filed suit against Sandoz Inc. in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, alleging infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,094,427; 8,377,474; 8,454,998; 8,557,283; 9,089,607; 9,089,608; 9,463,246; and 9,533,046, based on the filing of Sandoz's ANDA relating to carbidopa and levodopa extended release capsules, generic to Rytary ®. Sandoz answered the complaint on March 22, 2018. Fact discovery has not yet commenced.

Impax Laboratories, Inc. v. Zydus Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. and Cadila Healthcare Ltd. (Rytary ®)

On December 21, 2017, Impax filed suit against Zydus Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. and Cadila Healthcare Ltd. (collectively, "Zydus") in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,089,608, based on the filing of Zydus's ANDA relating to carbidopa and levodopa extended release capsules, generic to Rytary ®. Zydus has not yet answered or otherwise responded to the Complaint.

Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, et al. v. Impax Laboratories, Inc. (Apixiban)

On April 10, 2017, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company and Pfizer Inc. filed suit against the Company in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware alleging patent infringement based on the filing of the Company's ANDA related to Apixaban Tablets, 2.5 mg and 5 mg, generic to Eliquis ®. The Company responded to the complaint on June 2, 2017 and Plaintiffs further responded on June 22, 2017. On September 22, 2017, the parties jointly filed a proposed schedule with the Court, proposing that the Company's case and a number of related cases be consolidated. On November 3, 2017, the Court consolidated the related cases and set the case schedule. Fact discovery has commenced. The trial is scheduled for October 15, 2019.

Biogen MA Inc. v. Impax Laboratories, Inc. (Dimethyl Fumarate)

On June 26, 2017, Biogen MA Inc. filed suit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware alleging patent infringement based on the filing of the Company's ANDA relating to Dimethyl Fumarate 120 and 240 mg capsules, generic to Tecfidera ®. The Company answered the complaint on October 16, 2017. On February 2, 2018, the Court consolidated the related cases and set the case schedule. A trial with respect to this complaint by Biogen MA Inc. is scheduled to begin on December 9, 2019.

On March 5, 2018, Biogen International GmbH filed a complaint in the matter *Biogen International GmbH v. Impax Laboratories, Inc.*, based on the same ANDA, alleging infringement of two additional patents. The Company answered that complaint on March 26, 2018. No further schedule has been set with respect to this complaint.

On April 13, 2018, Shire Development LLC, Shire LLC, and Shire US Inc. filed suit against the Company in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware alleging patent infringement based on the filing of the Company's ANDA related to Amphetamine Mixed Salts Extended Release Oral Capsules, 12.5 mg, 25 mg, 37.5 mg, and 50 mg, generic to Mydayis[®]. The Company has not yet responded to the complaint, and no schedule has yet been set for the case.

Other Litigation Related to the Company's Business

Solodyn[®] Antitrust Class Actions

From July 2013 to January 2016, 18 complaints were filed as class actions on behalf of direct and indirect purchasers, as well as by certain direct purchasers, against manufacturers of the brand drug Solodyn[®] and its generic equivalents, including the Company.

On July 22, 2013, Plaintiff United Food and Commercial Workers Local 1776 & Participating Employers Health and Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On July 23, 2013, Plaintiff Rochester Drug Co-Operative, Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On August 1, 2013, Plaintiff International Union of Operating Engineers Local 132 Health and Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on behalf of itself and others similarly situated. On August 29, 2013, this Plaintiff withdrew its complaint from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and on August 30, 2013, re-filed the same complaint in the United States Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On August 9, 2013, Plaintiff Local 274 Health & Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On August 12, 2013, Plaintiff Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 25 Health & Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On August 27, 2013, Plaintiff Fraternal Order of Police, Fort Lauderdale Lodge 31, Insurance Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On August 29, 2013, Plaintiff Heather Morgan, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On August 30, 2013, Plaintiff Plumbers & Pipefitters Local 178 Health & Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On September 9, 2013, Plaintiff Ahold USA, Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On September 24, 2013, Plaintiff City of Providence, Rhode Island, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On October 2, 2013, Plaintiff International Union of Operating Engineers Stationary Engineers Local 39 Health & Welfare Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On October 7, 2013, Painters District Council No. 30 Health and Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On October 25, 2013, Plaintiff Man-U Service Contract Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On March 13, 2014, Plaintiff Allied Services Division Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On March 19, 2014, Plaintiff NECA-IBEW Welfare Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On February 25, 2014, the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ordered the pending actions transferred to the District of Massachusetts for coordinated pretrial proceedings, as In Re Solodyn (Minocycline Hydrochloride) Antitrust Litigation.

On March 26, 2015, Walgreen Co., The Kruger Co., Safeway Inc., HEB Grocery Company L.P., Albertson's LLC, direct purchasers, filed a separate complaint in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania. On April 8, 2015, the Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation ordered the action be transferred to the District of Massachusetts, to be coordinated or consolidated with the coordinated proceedings. The original complaint filed by the plaintiffs asserted claims only against defendant Medicis. On October 5, 2015, the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint asserting claims against the Company and the other generic defendants.

On April 16, 2015, Rite Aid Corporation and Rite Aid Hdqtrs. Corp, direct purchasers, filed a separate complaint in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania. On May 1, 2015, the Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation ordered the action be transferred to the District of Massachusetts, to be coordinated or consolidated with the coordinated proceedings. The original complaint filed by the plaintiffs asserted claims only against defendant Medicis. On October 5, 2015, the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint asserting claims against the Company and the other generic defendants.

On January 25, 2016, CVS Pharmacy, Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a separate complaint in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania. On February 11, 2016, the Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation ordered the action to be transferred to the District of Massachusetts to be coordinated or consolidated with the coordinated proceedings.

The consolidated amended complaints allege that Medicis engaged in anticompetitive schemes by, among other things, filing frivolous patent litigation lawsuits, submitting frivolous Citizen Petitions, and entering into anticompetitive settlement agreements with several generic manufacturers, including the Company, to delay generic competition of Solodyn[®] and in violation of state and federal antitrust laws. Plaintiffs seek, among other things, unspecified monetary damages and equitable relief, including disgorgement and restitution. On August 14, 2015, the District Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to dismiss the consolidated amended complaints. On October 16, 2017, the Court certified the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' and End-Payor Plaintiffs' classes. On October 30, 2017, the Company filed a petition for interlocutor appeal challenging the Court's certification of the End-Payor Plaintiffs' class and motions for Summary Judgment were filed on November 1, 2017. On January 25, 2018, the Court denied Plaintiffs' and Impax's summary judgment motions. Trial began on March 12, 2018. During March 2018, the Company separately settled all claims with the direct purchaser plaintiff class, retailer plaintiffs and the end payor plaintiff class for a total settlement amount of \$84.5 million. The settlements with the class plaintiffs are subject to court approval. The settlement with the direct purchaser plaintiff class was preliminarily approved by the Court on March 12, 2018, and a fairness hearing is scheduled for July 11, 2018. The settlement with the end payor plaintiff class was preliminarily approved by the Court on April 5, 2018.

Opana ER[®] FTC Antitrust Suit

On February 25, 2014, the Company received a Civil Investigative Demand ("CID") from the FTC concerning its investigation into the drug Opana[®] ER and its generic equivalents. On March 30, 2016, the FTC filed a complaint against the Company, Endo, and others in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, alleging that the Company and Endo violated antitrust laws when they entered into a June 2010 co-promotion and development agreement and a June 2010 settlement agreement that resolved patent litigation in connection with the submission of the Company's ANDA for generic original Opana[®] ER. In July 2016, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint, and a motion to sever the claims regarding Opana[®] ER from claims with respect to a separate settlement agreement that was challenged by the FTC. On October 20, 2016, the Court granted the motion to sever, formally terminating the suit against the Company, with an order that the FTC re-file no later than November 3, 2016 and dismissed the motion to dismiss as moot. On October 25, 2016, the FTC filed a notice of voluntary dismissal. On January 19, 2017, the FTC filed a Part 3 Administrative complaint against the Company with similar allegations regarding the Company's June 2010 settlement agreement with Endo that resolved patent litigation in connection with the submission of the Company's ANDA for generic original Opana[®] ER. The Company filed its answer to the Administrative Complaint on February 7, 2017. Trial concluded on November 15, 2017. Post-trial briefing is complete and closing arguments were held on February 15, 2018. A decision is pending.

From June 2014 to April 2015, 14 complaints were filed as class actions on behalf of direct and end-payor (indirect) purchasers, as well as by certain direct purchasers, against the manufacturer of the brand drug Opana ER® and the Company.

On June 4, 2014, Plaintiff Fraternal Order of Police, Miami Lodge 20, Insurance Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On June 4, 2014, Plaintiff Rochester Drug Co-Operative, Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On June 6, 2014, Plaintiff Value Drug Company, a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on behalf of itself and others similarly situated. On June 26, 2014, this Plaintiff withdrew its complaint from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and on July 16, 2014, re-filed the same complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On June 19, 2014, Plaintiff Wisconsin Masons' Health Care Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On July 17, 2014, Plaintiff Massachusetts Bricklayers, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On August 11, 2014, Plaintiff Pennsylvania Employees Benefit Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On September 19, 2014, Plaintiff Meijer Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On October 3, 2014, Plaintiff International Union of Operating Engineers, Local 138 Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On November 17, 2014, Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company d/b/a Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On December 12, 2014, the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ordered the pending actions transferred to the Northern District of Illinois for coordinated pretrial proceedings, as In Re Opana ER Antitrust Litigation.

On December 19, 2014, Plaintiff Kim Mahaffay, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California, Alameda County, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated. On January 27, 2015, the Defendants removed the action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

On January 12, 2015, Plaintiff Plumbers & Pipefitters Local 178 Health & Welfare Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On March 26, 2015 Walgreen Co., The Kruger Co., Safeway Inc., HEB Grocery Company L.P., Albertson's LLC, direct purchasers, filed a separate complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

On April 23, 2015, Rite Aid Corporation and Rite Aid Hdqtrs. Corp, direct purchasers, filed a separate complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

In each case, the complaints allege that Endo engaged in an anticompetitive scheme by, among other things, entering into an anticompetitive settlement agreement with the Company to delay generic competition of Opana ER[®] and in violation of state and federal antitrust laws. Plaintiffs seek, among other things, unspecified monetary damages and equitable relief, including disgorgement and restitution. Consolidated amended complaints were filed on May 4, 2015 by direct purchaser plaintiffs and end-payor (indirect) purchaser plaintiffs.

On July 3, 2015, defendants filed motions to dismiss the consolidated amended complaints, as well as the complaints of the "Opt-Out Plaintiffs" (Walgreen Co., The Kruger Co., Safeway Inc., HEB Grocery Company L.P., Albertson's LLC, Rite Aid Corporation and Rite Aid Hdqtrs. Corp.).

On February 1, 2016, CVS Pharmacy, Inc. filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. The parties agreed that CVS Pharmacy, Inc. would be bound by the Court's ruling on the defendants' motion to dismiss the Opt-Out Plaintiffs' complaints.

On February 10, 2016, the Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to dismiss the end-payor purchaser plaintiffs' consolidated amended complaint, and denied defendants' motion to dismiss the direct purchaser plaintiffs' consolidated amended complaint. The end-payor purchaser plaintiffs have filed a second consolidated amended complaint and the Company has moved to dismiss certain state law claims.

On February 25, 2016, the Court granted defendants' motion to dismiss the Opt-Out Plaintiffs' complaints, with leave to amend. The Opt-Out Plaintiffs and CVS Pharmacy, Inc. have filed amended complaints and the Company has filed its answer.

Discovery is ongoing. No trial date has been scheduled.

Previously on November 6, 2014, the Company disclosed that one of its sales representatives received a grand jury subpoena from the Antitrust Division of the United States Justice Department (the "Justice Department"). In connection with this same investigation, on March 13, 2015, the Company received a grand jury subpoena from the Justice Department requesting the production of information and documents regarding the sales, marketing, and pricing of certain generic prescription medications. In particular, the Justice Department's investigation currently focuses on four generic medications: digoxin tablets, terbutaline sulfate tablets, prilocaine/lidocaine cream, and calcipotriene topical solution. The Company has been cooperating and intends to continue cooperating with the investigation. However, no assurance can be given as to the timing or outcome of the investigation.

Attorney General of the State of Connecticut Interrogatories and Subpoena Duces Tecum

On July 14, 2014, the Company received a subpoena and interrogatories (the "Subpoena") from the State of Connecticut Attorney General ("Connecticut AG") concerning its investigation into sales of the Company's generic product, digoxin. According to the Connecticut AG, the investigation is to determine whether anyone engaged in a contract, combination or conspiracy in restraint of trade or commerce which has the effect of (i) fixing, controlling or maintaining prices or (ii) allocating or dividing customers or territories relating to the sale of digoxin in violation of Connecticut state antitrust law. The Company intends to cooperate with the Connecticut AG in producing documents and information in response to the Subpoena. To the knowledge of the Company, no proceedings by the Connecticut AG have been initiated against the Company at this time; however no assurance can be given as to the timing or outcome of this investigation.

In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation

From March 2016 to April 2017, 22 complaints were filed as class actions on behalf of direct and indirect purchasers against manufacturers of generic digoxin and doxycycline and the Company alleging a conspiracy to fix, maintain and/or stabilize prices of these generic products. From January 2017 to April 2017, three complaints were filed on behalf of indirect purchasers against manufacturers of generic lidocaine/prilocaine and the Company alleging a conspiracy to fix, maintain and/or stabilize prices of these generic products.

On March 2, 2016, Plaintiff International Union of Operating Engineers Local 30 Benefits Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated. The plaintiff filed an amended complaint on June 9, 2016.

On March 25, 2016, Plaintiff Tulsa Firefighters Health and Welfare Trust, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On March 25, 2016, Plaintiff NECA-IBEW Welfare Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On April 4, 2016, Plaintiff Pipe Trade Services MN, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On April 25, 2016, Plaintiff Edward Carpinelli, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On April 27, 2016, Plaintiff Fraternal Order of Police, Miami Lodge 20, Insurance Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On May 2, 2016, Plaintiff Nina Diamond, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On May 5, 2016, Plaintiff UFCW Local 1500 Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On May 6, 2016, Plaintiff Minnesota Laborers Health and Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On May 12, 2016, Plaintiff The City of Providence, Rhode Island, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On May 18, 2016, Plaintiff KPH Healthcare Services, Inc. a/k/a Kinney Drugs, Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On May 19, 2016, Plaintiff Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On June 8, 2016, Plaintiff United Food & Commercial Workers and Employers Arizona Health and Welfare Trust, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On June 17, 2016, Plaintiff Ottis McCrary, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On June 20, 2016, Plaintiff Rochester Drug Co-Operative, Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On June 27, 2016, Plaintiff César Castillo Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On June 29, 2016, Plaintiff Plumbers & Pipefitters Local 33 Health and Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On July 1, 2016, Plaintiff Plumbers & Pipefitters Local 178 Health and Welfare Trust Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On July 15, 2016, Plaintiff Ahold USA, Inc., a direct purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On September 7, 2016, Plaintiff United Here Health, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On September 20, 2016, Plaintiff Valerie Velardi, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated.

On January 13, 2017, Plaintiff International Union of Operating Engineers Local 30 Benefits Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated against manufacturers of generic lidocaine/prilocaine and the Company alleging a conspiracy to fix, maintain and/or stabilize prices of this generic drug.

On April 17, 2017, Plaintiff UFCW Local 1500 Welfare Fund, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated against manufacturers of generic lidocaine/prilocaine and the Company alleging a conspiracy to fix, maintain and/or stabilize prices of this generic drug.

On April 25, 2017, Plaintiff Louisiana Health Service Indemnity Company, an indirect purchaser, filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of itself and others similarly situated against manufacturers of generic lidocaine/prilocaine and the Company alleging a conspiracy to fix, maintain and/or stabilize prices of this generic drug.

On May 19, 2016, several indirect purchaser plaintiffs filed a motion with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation to transfer and consolidate the actions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The Judicial Panel ordered the actions consolidated in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and ordered that the actions be renamed “*In re Generic Digoxin and Doxycycline Antitrust Litigation*.” On January 27, 2017, plaintiffs filed two consolidated class action complaints. With respect to doxycycline, the plaintiffs dropped their allegations against the Company. On March 28, 2017, the Company, separately and along with other defendants, filed motions to dismiss the digoxin class action complaint. On April 6, 2017, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ordered the consolidation of all civil actions involving allegations of antitrust conspiracies in the generic pharmaceutical industry regarding 18 generic drugs to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The consolidated actions have been renamed *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*. On October 6, 2017, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the digoxin complaint. Briefing on the motion to dismiss is complete and a decision is pending. On February 9, 2018, the Court issued an order denying the discovery stay and allowing certain fact discovery to proceed.

On January 19, 2018, Plaintiffs The Kroger Co., Albertsons Companies, LLC, and H.E. Butt Grocery Company L.P., opt-outs, filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania against 35 companies, including Impax, alleging a conspiracy to fix, maintain and/or stabilize prices of 30 drugs and specifically digoxin and lidocaine/prilocaine with respect to Impax. No schedule has been set.

AWP Litigation

On December 30, 2015, Plumbers' Local Union No. 690 Health Plan and others similarly situated filed a class action against several generic drug manufacturers, including the Company, in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, First Judicial District of Pennsylvania, Civil Trial Division, alleging that the Company and others violated the law, including the Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection law, by inflating the Average Wholesale Price ("AWP") of certain generic drugs. The case has since been removed to federal court in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. By virtue of an amended complaint filed on March 29, 2016, the suit has been amended to comprise a nationwide class of third party payors that allegedly reimbursed or purchased certain generic drugs based on AWP and to assert causes of action under the laws of other states in addition to Pennsylvania. On May 17, 2016, this case was stayed. On January 18, 2017, the Company, along with the other defendants, filed a joint motion to dismiss the complaint. On September 15, 2017, the Court dismissed the complaint with prejudice. The time period to file an appeal has lapsed.

On February 5, 2016, Delaware Valley Health Care Coalition filed a lawsuit based on substantially similar allegations in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, First Judicial District of Pennsylvania, Civil Trial Division that seeks declaratory judgment. On May 20, 2016, this case was stayed pending resolution of the federal court action described above.

Impax Laboratories, Inc. v. Turing Pharmaceuticals AG

On May 2, 2016, the Company filed suit against Turing Pharmaceuticals AG ("Turing") in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York alleging breach of the terms of the contract by which Turing purchased from the Company the right to sell the drug Daraprim[®], as well as the right to sell certain Daraprim[®] inventory (the "Purchase Agreement"). Specifically, the Company seeks (i) a declaratory judgment that the Company may revoke Turing's right to sell Daraprim[®] under the Company's labeler code and national drug codes; (ii) specific performance to require Turing to comply with its obligations under the Purchase Agreement for past due reports and for reports going forward; and (iii) money damages to remedy Turing's failure to reimburse the Company for chargebacks and Medicaid rebate liability when due, currently in excess of \$40.9 million and for future amounts that may be due. Turing has filed its answer and a counterclaim against the Company alleging breach of contract and breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing. Discovery is closed. On October 14, 2016, the Company filed a motion for summary judgment. The District Court issued its order on September 29, 2017. The Court found that Turing breached the Purchase Agreement by failing to reimburse the Company for Medicaid rebate liability, however, the Court also found that the Company breached the Purchase Agreement by not filing a restatement with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services at Turing's request. Therefore, the Company was not entitled to damages. On October 13, 2017, the Company filed a Motion for Clarification/Reconsideration of the Summary Judgment Order. Briefing on the motion is complete and a decision is pending.

Telephone Consumer Protection Act Cases

On January 31, 2017, Plaintiff Family Medicine Pharmacy LLC filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Alabama on behalf of itself and others similarly situated against the Company alleging violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, as amended by the Junk Fax Prevention Act of 2005 (the “Telephone Consumer Protection Act”). On March 27, 2017, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the complaint and plaintiff filed an amended complaint on April 10, 2017. On July 18, 2017, the parties reached an agreement in principle regarding the class settlement. On September 29, 2017, the District Court preliminarily approved the proposed class settlement. The Court held a hearing on March 6, 2018 and issued an order with final approval of the proposed class settlement.

On February 14, 2017, Plaintiff Medicine To Go Pharmacies, Inc. filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey on behalf of itself and others similarly situated against the Company alleging violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act. On April 17, 2017, the Company filed a motion to dismiss, transfer, or stay this case in light of the first-filed case described above. This case was transferred to the Southern District of Alabama. On September 15, 2017, the Court stayed this matter pending the final approval of the class settlement described above.

Securities Class Action

On April 17, 2017, Lead Plaintiff New York Hotel Trades Council & Hotel Association of New York City, Inc. Pension Fund filed an amended class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on behalf of itself and others similarly situated against the Company alleging violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5. The Company filed its motion to dismiss the amended complaint on June 1, 2017 and briefing has been completed.

Shareholder Derivative Action

On February 22, 2017, Plaintiff Ed Lippman filed a shareholder derivative complaint in the Superior Court for the State of California in the County of Alameda on behalf of the Company against former executives, a current executive, and certain current members of the board of directors alleging breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, and corporate waste. This matter has been stayed pending the securities class action referenced above.

Securities Class Actions related to the Combination

On December 12, 2017 and December 14, 2017, Plaintiffs Susan Vana and David Stone, respectively, filed class action complaints in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated against the Company alleging violations of Sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 generally alleging that the Registration Statement on Form S-4 related to the proposed business combination with Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC (“Amneal”) contains false and misleading statements

and/or omissions concerning the financial projections of the Company, Amneal, and New Amneal; Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC's valuation analyses and Fairness Opinions relating to the Company and Amneal; potential conflicts of interest associated with one of the Company's financial advisors and the proposed business combination with Amneal; and background information of the proposed business combination, including confidentiality agreements entered into by the Company in connection with the Combination. On April 4, 2018, plaintiffs filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order voluntarily dismissing the actions and on April 5, 2018, the court issued an order to dismiss the actions. By no later than June 1, 2018, plaintiffs shall file any petition and supporting papers for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses.

Teva v. Impax Laboratories, Inc.

On February 15, 2017, Plaintiffs Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. and Teva Pharmaceuticals Curacao N.V. ("Teva") filed a Praecipe to Issue Writ of Summons and Writ of Summons (precursor to a complaint) in the Philadelphia County Court of Common Pleas against the Company alleging that the Company breached the Strategic Alliance Agreement between the parties by not indemnifying Teva in its two litigations with GlaxoSmithKline LLC regarding Wellbutrin® XL. The Company filed a Motion to Disqualify Teva's counsel related to the matter, and on August 23, 2017, the Court denied the Company's motion. Following the Court's order, Teva filed its complaint. The Company has filed its appeal regarding the disqualification order, and oral argument was held on April 10, 2018. The matter is currently stayed.

California Wage and Hour Class Action

On August 3, 2017, Plaintiff Emielou Williams filed a class action complaint in the Superior Court for the State of California in the County of Alameda on behalf of herself and others similarly situated against the Company alleging violation of California Business and Professions Code section 17200 by violating various California wage and hour laws. On October 10, 2017, the Company filed a Demurrer and Motion to Strike Class Allegations. On December 12, 2017, the Court overruled Impax's Demurrer to Plaintiff's individual claims, however, it struck all of Plaintiff's class allegations. On March 13, 2018, Plaintiff filed her First Amended Complaint once again including the same class allegations. The Company filed a Demurrer and Motion to Strike Class Allegations on April 12, 2018 and hearing is scheduled for May 25, 2018. Discovery is ongoing.

American Resources Insurance Company, Inc. Class Action

On March 28, 2018, Plaintiff American Resources Insurance Company, Inc. filed a class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Alabama on behalf of itself and others similarly situated against the Company and several other drug manufacturers and distributors alleging violations of the RICO statute, negligence, fraud, unjust enrichment, and subrogation with respect to the sale and distribution of opioids. No schedule has been set.

19. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company has two reportable segments, Impax Generics and Impax Specialty Pharma. Impax Generics develops, manufactures, sells, and distributes generic pharmaceutical products, primarily through the following sales channels: the Impax Generics sales channel for sales of generic prescription products directly to wholesalers, large retail drug chains, and others; the Private Label Product sales channel for generic over-the-counter and prescription

products sold to unrelated third-party customers who, in turn, sell the products under their own label; the Rx Partner sales channel for generic prescription products sold through unrelated third-party pharmaceutical entities under their own label pursuant to alliance agreements; and the OTC Partner sales channel for over-the-counter products sold through unrelated third-party pharmaceutical entities under their own labels pursuant to alliance and supply agreements. Revenues from generic products are reported under the caption “Impax Generics, net.”

Impax Specialty Pharma is engaged in the development, sale and distribution of proprietary brand pharmaceutical products that the Company believes represent improvements to already-approved pharmaceutical products addressing central nervous system (“CNS”) disorders and other select specialty segments. Impax Specialty Pharma currently has one internally developed branded pharmaceutical product, Rytary[®] (IPX066), an extended release oral capsule formulation of carbidopa-levodopa for the treatment of Parkinson’s disease, post-encephalitic parkinsonism, and parkinsonism that may follow carbon monoxide intoxication and/or manganese intoxication, which was approved by the FDA on January 7, 2015 and which the Company launched in April 2015. In November 2015, the European Commission granted marketing authorization for Numient[®] (IPX066) (referred to as Rytary[®] in the United States). The review of the Numient[®] application was conducted under the centralized licensing procedure as a therapeutic innovation, and authorization is applicable in all 28 member states of the European Union, as well as Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway. Impax Specialty Pharma is also engaged in the sale and distribution of four other branded products including Zomig[®] (zolmitriptan) products, indicated for the treatment of migraine headaches, under the terms of the AZ Agreement with AstraZeneca in the United States and in certain U.S. territories, and Emverm[®] (mebendazole) 100 mg chewable tablets, indicated for the treatment of pinworm, whipworm, common roundworm, common hookworm, and American hookworm in single or mixed infections.

Revenues from branded products are reported under the caption “Impax Specialty Pharma, net.” Impax Specialty Pharma also has a number of product candidates that are in varying stages of development.

The Company’s chief operating decision maker evaluates the financial performance of the Company’s segments based upon segment income (loss) before income taxes. Items below income (loss) from operations are not reported by segment, since they are excluded from the measure of segment profitability reviewed by the Company’s chief operating decision maker. Additionally, general and administrative expenses, certain selling expenses, certain litigation settlements, and non-operating income and expenses are included in “Corporate and Other.” The Company does not report balance sheet information by segment since it is not reviewed by the Company’s chief operating decision maker. The accounting policies for the Company’s segments are the same as those described in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates” and “Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules—Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017.

The tables below present segment information reconciled to total Company financial results, with segment operating income or loss including gross profit less direct research and development expenses and direct selling expenses as well as any litigation settlements, to the extent specifically identified by segment (in thousands):

	Impax Generics	Impax Specialty Pharma	Corporate and Other	Total Company
Three Months Ended March 31, 2018				
Revenues, net	\$ 83,141	\$59,214	\$ —	\$ 142,355
Cost of revenues	95,037	17,038	—	112,075
Selling, general and administrative	7,556	17,620	32,147	57,323
Research and development	9,616	2,680	—	12,296
Litigation, settlements and related charges	84,597	940	—	85,537
(Loss) income before income taxes	\$(113,665)	\$20,936	\$(45,493)	\$(138,222)

	Impax Generics	Impax Specialty Pharma	Corporate and Other	Total Company
Three Months Ended March 31, 2017				
Revenues, net	\$ 134,147	\$50,256	\$ —	\$ 184,403
Cost of revenues	103,335	16,897	—	120,232
Cost of revenues impairment charges	39,280	—	—	39,280
Selling, general and administrative	6,468	16,330	24,257	47,055
Research and development	17,396	5,093	—	22,489
In-process research and development impairment charges	6,079	—	—	6,079
Litigation, settlements and related charges	368	704	—	1,072
(Loss) income before income taxes	\$(38,779)	\$11,232	\$(39,983)	\$(67,530)

Significant Products

The Company generally consolidates net revenue by “product family,” meaning that it consolidates net revenue from products containing the same active ingredient(s) irrespective of dosage strength, delivery method or packaging size. The Company’s significant product families, as determined based on net revenue, and their percentage of the Company’s consolidated net revenue for each of the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 are set forth below (in thousands):

Segment	Product Family	Three Months Ended March 31, 2018	
		\$	%
Impax Specialty Pharma	Rytary® family	\$ 26,508	19%(1)
Impax Generics	Epinephrine Auto-Injector family (generic		
	Adrenacllick®)	\$ 14,783	10%(2)
Impax Specialty Pharma	Albenza family	\$ 13,607	10%(3)
Impax Specialty Pharma	Oxymorphone HCl ER family	\$ 13,387	9%(4)
Impax Specialty Pharma	Zomig® family	\$ 10,478	7%(5)

<u>Segment</u>	<u>Product Family</u>	<u>Three Months Ended</u>	
		<u>March 31, 2017</u>	
		<u>\$</u>	<u>%</u>
Impax Generics	Epinephrine Auto-Injector family (generic Adrenaclick [®])	\$20,318	11%(2)
Impax Generics	Rytary [®] family	\$19,905	11%(1)
Impax Specialty Pharma	Oxymorphone HCl ER family	\$18,970	10%(4)
Impax Specialty Pharma	Budesonide family	\$15,827	9%(6)
Impax Generics	Amphetamine Salts ER (CII) family (generic Adderall [®])	\$12,173	7%(7)

- (1) Rytary[®] product family consists of the capsules product in four different strengths and is indicated for the treatment of Parkinson's disease, post-encephalitic parkinsonism, and parkinsonism that may follow carbon monoxide intoxication or manganese intoxication.
- (2) Epinephrine Auto-Injector (generic Adrenaclick[®]) product family consists of the injector product in two different strengths and is indicated in the emergency treatment of allergic reactions (Type 1) including anaphylaxis.
- (3) Albenza[®] product family consists of one strength and is indicated for the treatment of parenchymal neurocysticercosis due to active lesions caused by larval forms of the pork tapeworm, Taenia solium and the treatment of cystic hydatid disease of the liver, lung and peritoneum, caused by the larval form of the dog tapeworm, Echinococcus granulosus.
- (4) Oxymorphone Hydrochloride Extended Release product family consists of the oxymorphone hydrochloride extended release tablet formulation of the product in seven different strengths and is indicated for the management of pain severe enough to require daily, around-the-clock, long-term opioid treatment and for which alternative treatment options are inadequate.
- (5) Zomig[®] product family consists of products in tablet, orally disintegrating tablet and nasal spray dosage forms, each dosage form in two different strengths, and is indicated for the acute treatment of migraine with or without aura in adults. Zomig[®] (zolmitriptan) Nasal Spray is also indicated in pediatric patients 12 years of age or older.
- (6) Budesonide product family consists of the budesonide inhalation suspension formulation of the product in two different strengths and is indicated for the maintenance treatment of asthma and as prophylactic therapy in children 12 months to eight years of age.
- (7) Amphetamine Salts extended release (ER) capsules, CII (generic Adderall XR[®]) product family consists of the capsules product in six different strengths and is indicated for the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder.

Foreign Operations

During 2017 we announced that we entered into a stock and asset purchase agreement with Bora Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd., pursuant to which we agreed to sell Impax Taiwan, our wholly-owned subsidiary which owns our manufacturing facility in Taiwan, R.O.C. The sale of Impax Taiwan subsequently closed in February 2018. On the Company's consolidated balance sheets at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, Impax Taiwan represents \$0.0 million and \$22.9 million, respectively, of net carrying value of assets, composed principally of a building and manufacturing equipment which are included in assets and liabilities held for sale. See "Note 14. Restructurings" for additional information related to the closure or sale of the Taiwan facility.

20. SUPPLEMENTARY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Selected financial information for the quarterly period noted is as follows:

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)	Quarter Ended March 31, 2018
Revenue:	
Impax Generics, gross	\$ 474,043
Less:	
Chargebacks	240,041
Rebates	104,339
Product Returns	16,174
Other credits	31,329
Impax Generic Product sales, net	82,160
Rx Partner	909
Other Revenues	72
Impax Generic Division revenues, net	83,141
Impax Specialty Pharma, gross	97,215
Less:	
Chargebacks	8,548
Rebates	5,601
Product Returns	3,535
Other credits	20,317
Impax Specialty Pharma, net	59,214

Total revenues	142,355
Gross Profit	30,280
Net Loss	\$ (130,932)
Net loss per common share:	
Basic	\$ (1.81)
Diluted	\$ (1.81)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:	
Basic	72,265,794
Diluted	72,265,794

	Quarter Ended March 31, 2017
(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)	
Revenue:	
Impax Generics, gross	\$ 630,672
Less:	
Chargebacks	298,744
Rebates	164,792
Product Returns	9,733
Other credits	28,481
Impax Generic Product sales, net	128,922
Rx Partner	5,159
Other Revenues	66
Impax Generic Division revenues, net	134,147
Impax Specialty Pharma, gross	84,133
Less:	
Chargebacks	9,828
Rebates	4,483
Product Returns	1,844
Other credits	17,722
Impax Specialty Pharma, net	50,256

Other Revenues	—
Impax Specialty Pharma, net	50,256
Total revenues	<u>184,403</u>
Gross profit	24,891
Net loss	<u>\$ (98,431)</u>
Net loss per common share:	
Basic	<u>\$ (1.37)</u>
Diluted	<u>\$ (1.37)</u>
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:	
Basic	<u>71,594,472</u>
Diluted	<u>71,594,472</u>

21. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

As described in “Note 1. Basis of Presentation” Impax completed its business combination with Amneal on May 4, 2018 pursuant to the BCA. The following events occurred subsequent to the closing (the “Closing”) of the transactions contemplated by the BCA:

- Shares of Impax common stock ceased trading on the NASDAQ Global Select Market (“Nasdaq”) at the close of business on May 4, 2018. On May 4, 2018, Nasdaq filed a notification on Form 25 with the SEC with respect to shares of Impax common stock to request removal of Impax common stock from listing on the NASDAQ and from registration under Section 12(b) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- In accordance with the terms of the BCA, in connection with the Closing on May 4, 2018, (i) each share of Impax common stock was cancelled and automatically converted into the right to receive one fully paid and nonassessable share of Class A common stock of Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Class A Common Stock”); (ii) approximately 1.3 million of Impax common stock issued with respect to unvested restricted stock awards issued and outstanding immediately prior to the Closing were fully vested and exchanged for shares of Class A Common Stock; and (iii) approximately 3.0 million outstanding stock options issued under the Impax equity plans or as inducement grants outstanding immediately prior to the Closing were fully vested and exchanged into for options to acquire a number of shares of Class A Common Stock equal to the number of shares of Impax Common Stock subject to such Impax Option immediately prior to the Closing at a price per share equal to the exercise price per share of Impax common stock otherwise purchasable pursuant to such Impax option.

-
- In connection with the Closing, the Company repaid in full all outstanding amounts under its Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of August 3, 2016 and as amended on March 27, 2017 by and among Impax, Royal Bank of Canada, as administrative agent and collateral agent, and the lenders and other parties from time to time party thereto (the “Credit Agreement”), and terminated the Credit Agreement and all commitments by the lenders to extent further credit thereunder.
 - In connection with the Closing, on May 4, 2018, the Company, Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Wilmington Trust, National Association, as trustee (the “Trustee”), entered into the Second Supplemental Indenture (the “Second Supplemental Indenture”) with respect to the Indenture dated as of June 30, 2015 (the “Indenture”), as amended by the First Supplemental Indenture dated as of November 6, 2017, governing the Company’s 2.00% Convertible Senior Notes due 2022 (the “Notes”). The Second Supplemental Indenture (x) made New Amneal a party to the Indenture and (y) changed the right to convert each \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes into a right to convert such principal amount of Notes into shares of Class A Common Stock, cash or a combination of cash and shares of Class A Common Stock, at the Company’s election, in each case reflecting a conversion rate of 15.7853 shares of Class A Common Stock per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes surrendered for conversion. Further, as described in “Note 10. Debt”, concurrently with the offering of the Notes, the Company had entered into convertible note hedge transactions (the “Convertible Note Hedge Transactions”) with respect to shares of the Company’s common stock with Royal Bank of Canada (the “Counterparty”). On May 7, 2018 Impax and the Counterparty entered into a termination agreement terminating in full the Convertible Note Hedge Transactions and the Warrant Transactions (the “Termination Agreement”).
 - As of May 4, 2018 and subsequent to that date, certain executives separated from their respective positions at Impax. Each of these separations constituted a termination of employment by Impax without cause following a change of control for purposes of the executives’ respective employment agreements. These executives will receive certain termination benefits during the second quarter in accordance with their employment agreements.

The foregoing subsequent events did not impact the Impax Statement of Operations, Balance Sheet or Cash Flow Statement for the quarter ended March 31, 2018.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OF IMPAX

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of Impax for the periods described herein.

Overview

Impax is a specialty pharmaceutical company applying formulation and development expertise, as well as its drug delivery technology, to the development, manufacture and marketing of bioequivalent pharmaceutical products, commonly referred to as “generics,” in addition to the development, manufacture and marketing of branded products. Impax operates in two segments, referred to as “Impax Generics” and “Impax Specialty Pharma.” Impax Generics concentrates its efforts on generic products, which are the pharmaceutical and therapeutic equivalents of brand-name drug products and are usually marketed under their established nonproprietary drug names rather than by a brand name. Impax Specialty Pharma utilizes its specialty sales force to market proprietary branded pharmaceutical products for the treatment of CNS disorders and other select specialty segments. Impax sells its Impax Generics division products within the continental United States and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. Impax has no sales in foreign countries.

Impax plans to continue to expand Impax Generics through targeted ANDAs and a first-to-file and first-to-market strategy and to continue to evaluate and pursue external growth initiatives, including acquisitions and partnerships. Impax focuses its efforts on a broad range of therapeutic areas including products that have technically challenging drug-delivery mechanisms or unique product formulations. Impax employs its technologies and formulation expertise to develop generic products that reproduce brand-name products' physiological characteristics but do not infringe any valid patents relating to such brand-name products. Impax generally focuses its generic product development on brand-name products as to which the patents covering the active pharmaceutical ingredient have expired or are near expiration, and Impax employs its proprietary formulation expertise to develop controlled-release technologies that do not infringe patents covering the brand-name products' controlled-release technologies. Impax also develops, manufactures, sells and distributes specialty generic pharmaceuticals that Impax believes present one or more competitive advantages, such as difficulty in raw materials sourcing, complex formulation or development characteristics or special handling requirements. In addition to its focus on solid oral dosage products, Impax has expanded its generic pharmaceutical products portfolio to include alternative dosage form products, primarily through alliance and collaboration agreements with third parties. As of December 31, 2017, Impax marketed 225 generic pharmaceuticals, which represent dosage variations of 77 different pharmaceutical compounds through its Impax Generics division; another five of its generic pharmaceuticals representing dosage variations of two different pharmaceutical compounds are marketed by its alliance and collaboration agreement partners. As of December 31, 2017, in its Impax Generics Division, Impax had 17 applications pending at the FDA and 20 other products in various stages of development for which applications have not yet been filed.

The Impax Generics division develops, manufactures, sells, and distributes generic pharmaceutical products primarily through the following sales channels:

- the “*Impax Generics sales channel*” for sales of generic prescription products Impax sells directly to wholesalers, large retail drug chains, and others;
- the “*Private Label Product sales channel*” for generic pharmaceutical over-the-counter and prescription products Impax sells to unrelated third-party customers who in-turn sell the product to third parties under their own label;
- the “*Rx Partner sales channel*” for generic prescription products sold through unrelated third-party pharmaceutical entities under their own label pursuant to alliance agreements; and
- the “*OTC Partner sales channel*” for sales of generic pharmaceutical over-the-counter products sold through unrelated third-party pharmaceutical entities under their own label pursuant to alliance agreements.

Revenues from generic products are reported under the caption “Impax Generics, net.”

Impax Specialty Pharma is engaged in the development, sale and distribution of proprietary branded pharmaceutical products that Impax believes represent improvements to already-approved pharmaceutical products addressing CNS disorders, including migraine, multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease and post-herpetic neuralgia, and other select specialty segments. Impax believes that Impax has the research, development and formulation expertise to develop branded products that will deliver significant improvements over existing therapies.

Impax's branded pharmaceutical product portfolio consists of commercial CNS and other select specialty products, as well as development stage projects. In February 2012, Impax licensed from AZ the exclusive U.S. commercial rights to Zomig[®] (zolmitriptan) tablet, orally disintegrating tablet and nasal spray formulations pursuant to the terms of the Distribution, License, Development and Supply Agreement between Impax and AstraZeneca UK, Limited, dated as of January 31, 2012 (the "AZ Agreement"), and began sales of the Zomig[®] products under its label during the year ended December 31, 2012 through its specialty sales force. In May 2013, Impax's exclusivity period for branded Zomig[®] tablets and orally disintegrating tablets expired and Impax launched authorized generic versions of those products in the United States. In June 2015, the FDA approved the Zomig[®] nasal spray for use in pediatric patients 12 years of age or older for the acute treatment of migraine with or without aura. In addition to the Zomig[®] products and Impax's internally developed pharmaceutical product, Rytary[®] for the treatment of Parkinson's disease, post-encephalitic parkinsonism, and parkinsonism that may follow carbon monoxide intoxication and/or manganese intoxication, which was approved by the FDA on January 7, 2015, Impax is currently engaged in the sales and marketing of Emverm[®] (mebendazole) 100 mg chewable tablets, indicated for the treatment of pinworm, whipworm, common roundworm, common hookworm, and two other products, all acquired by Impax in its acquisition of Tower Holdings, Inc. ("Tower") and its subsidiaries on March 9, 2015 (the "**Tower Acquisition**"). In November 2015, the European Commission granted marketing authorization for Numient[®] (referred to as Rytary[®] in the United States). The review of the Numient[®] application was conducted under the centralized licensing procedure as a therapeutic innovation, and the authorization is applicable in all 28 member states of the European Union, as well as Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway.

Results of Operations

Year Ended December 31, 2017 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2016

Overview

The following table sets forth Impax's summarized, consolidated results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		Increase / (Decrease)	
	2017	2016	Dollars	Percentage
Total revenues	\$ 775,787	\$ 824,429	\$ (48,642)	(6)%
Gross profit (loss)	143,799	(151,102)	294,901	*
(Loss) income from operations	(402,692)	(494,182)	91,490	(19)%
(Loss) income before income taxes	(450,961)	(576,325)	125,364	(22)%
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	18,326	(104,294)	122,620	*
Net (loss) income	<u>\$(469,287)</u>	<u>\$(472,031)</u>	<u>\$ 2,744</u>	(1)%

* Percentage exceeds 100%

Consolidated total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2017 decreased by 6%, or \$48.6 million, to \$775.8 million compared to \$824.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease was primarily attributable to lower Impax Generics division product sales. Selling price for existing products decreased consolidated total revenues by 22%, while volumes for existing products increased consolidated total revenues by 14%, in each case compared to the prior year. The decrease in selling price was primarily the result of additional competition during the year ended December 31, 2017 in generic Adderall XR[®], fenofibrate, diclofenac sodium gel, metaxalone and lower prices on epinephrine auto injector, partially offset by volume increases in epinephrine auto injector and Rytary[®]. New product launches increased consolidated total revenues by 2% compared to the prior year. Impax currently expects pricing pressures on generic products to continue in the industry at least in the near term. Impax is closely monitoring these developments as they related to Impax's products, customers and end users.

Revenues from the Impax Generics division for the year ended December 31, 2017 were \$549.1 million, a decrease of \$57.2 million or 9%, over the prior year. The decrease compared to the prior year period was primarily due to increased competition on diclofenac sodium gel, metaxalone, generic Adderall XR[®] and fenofibrate. These decreases were partially offset by increased sales of its epinephrine auto-injector, budesonide and other products Impax acquired as part of Impax's acquisition of a portfolio of products acquired from Teva Pharmaceuticals Industries Ltd. and affiliates of Allergan plc in August 2016 (the "Teva Transaction") compared to the prior year period.

Revenues from Impax's Specialty Pharma division for the year ended December 31, 2017 were \$226.7 million, an increase of \$8.6 million or 4% over the prior year. The increase from the prior year period was primarily due to higher sales of Rytary[®], partially offset by lower sales of Impax's anthelmintic products franchise and Zomig[®].

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2017 was \$469.3 million, a decrease in Impax's loss of \$2.7 million compared to a net loss of \$472.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The net loss for the year ended December 31, 2017 was due to \$289.7 million in intangible asset impairment charges and an approximate \$74.1 million fixed assets impairment charge of Impax's Taiwan manufacturing facility associated with its announced sale of the Taiwan operations. Additionally, during the year ended December 31, 2017, revenue from Impax's generic products decreased due to increased competition and an approximate \$48.4 million increase in cost of revenues caused by under-utilization of its plants associated with its restructuring initiatives. Impax's fiscal year 2016 net loss was driven largely by its \$541.6 million asset impairment charges and a \$40.3 million reserve recorded as a result of the uncertainty of collection of the receivable due from Turing Pharmaceuticals AG ("Turing") for reimbursement of Daraprim[®] chargebacks and Medicaid rebate liabilities pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement between Impax and Turing dated August 7, 2015 (the "Turing APA").

Of the \$289.7 million intangible asset impairment charges Impax incurred during the year ended December 31, 2017, Impax recognized \$96.9 million in cost of revenues impairment charges and \$192.8 million in in-process research and development impairment charges on its consolidated statement of operations. The impairment charge was attributable to eight currently marketed products and four in-process research and development ("IPR&D") product rights, the majority of which were acquired as part of the Teva Transaction. For the currently marketed products, the impairment charge was the result of continued significant price and volume erosion during the year ended December 31, 2017, resulting in significantly lower expected future cash flows. The IPR&D impairment was the result of delays in the anticipated product launch and related competition in the market.

Impax Generics

The following table sets forth results of operations for the Impax Generics division for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 (in thousands):

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		<u>Increase / (Decrease)</u>	
	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>Dollars</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Revenues:				
Impax Generics sales, net	\$ 549,077	\$ 606,320	\$ (57,243)	(9)%
Cost of revenues	454,911	417,316	37,595	9%
Cost of revenues impairment charges	96,865	464,319	(367,454)	(79)%
Gross loss	<u>(2,699)</u>	<u>(275,315)</u>	<u>272,616</u>	<u>(99)%</u>
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative	28,294	20,508	7,786	38%
Research and development	63,245	61,980	1,265	2%
In-process research and development impairment charges	192,809	27,765	165,044	*
Patent litigation expense	827	829	(2)	— %

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		<u>Increase / (Decrease)</u>	
	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>Dollars</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Change in fair value of contingent consideration	(31,048)	—	(31,048)	*
Fixed assets impairment charges	8,380	—	8,380	*
Total operating expenses	<u>262,507</u>	<u>111,082</u>	<u>151,425</u>	<u>*</u>
Loss from operations	<u><u>\$ (265,206)</u></u>	<u><u>\$ (386,397)</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 121,191</u></u>	<u><u>(31)%</u></u>

* *Percentage exceeds 100%*

Revenues

Total revenues for the Impax Generics division for the year ended December 31, 2017 were \$549.1 million, a decrease of \$57.2 million or 9%, compared to the prior year. The decrease compared to the prior year period was primarily due to increased competition on diclofenac sodium gel, metaxalone, generic Adderall XR[®] and fenofibrate. The decreases in revenue related to these products were partially offset by increased sales of Impax's epinephrine auto-injector, budesonide and other products Impax acquired as part of the Teva Transaction compared to the prior year period.

Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues was \$454.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, an increase of \$37.6 million from the prior year. The increase was due to \$22.4 million of higher intangible asset amortization expenses resulting from the Teva Transaction, an increase of \$14.4 million of inventory reserves primarily for bad batches and short-dated product, \$11.6 million of additional restructuring costs incurred in connection with the closure of Impax's Middlesex, New Jersey facility and the reduction-in-force of its technical operations group. The additional costs are offset by lower production costs due to increased absorption primarily as a result of restocking of new product launch inventory.

Cost of Revenues Impairment Charges

Cost of revenues impairment charges was \$96.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, as compared to \$464.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The \$96.9 million of impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2017 were mostly due to continued price and volume erosion on eight currently marketed products, of which six were acquired in the Teva Transaction without an offsetting increase in customer demand, resulting in significantly lower expected future cash flows. The \$464.3 million of impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2016 were primarily due to price reductions taken on certain products acquired as part of the Teva Transaction in order to retain key customers.

Gross Loss

Gross loss for the year ended December 31, 2017 was \$2.7 million as compared to gross loss of \$275.3 million for the prior year. The decrease in gross loss was due primarily to \$367.5 million of lower intangible asset impairment charges offset by an increase of \$22.4 million intangible asset amortization expenses both relating to assets acquired in the Teva Transaction. The gross loss decrease was also partially offset by continued price erosion due to competition and customer mix along with an increase of \$14.4 million of inventory reserves, \$11.6 million of additional restructuring costs incurred with the closure of Impax's Middlesex, New Jersey facility and the reduction-in-force of its technical operations group.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general, and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2017 were \$28.3 million, as compared to \$20.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The \$7.8 million increase from the prior year was primarily due to \$2.9 million of additional freight costs, \$2.8 million of higher supply claims from Impax's wholesale customers and \$2.2 million higher marketing costs.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses for the year ended December 31, 2017 were \$63.2 million, as compared to \$62.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The \$1.2 million increase from the prior year period was primarily due to \$3.3 million of higher internal project costs and \$0.8 million of employee termination benefits from the closure of the Impax Generic Division's research and development site in Middlesex, New Jersey partially offset by \$3.4 million of lower external development.

In-process Research and Development Impairment Charges

In-process research and development impairment charges were \$192.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, as compared to \$27.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The \$192.8 million of impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2017 were due to delays in the anticipated launch of products and marketing rights acquired in the Teva Transaction and associated competition in the market. The \$27.8 million of impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2016 were due to delays in the expected start of commercialization and/or lower pricing amid highly competitive market conditions of certain pipeline products, resulting in lower expected future cash flows.

Change in Fair Value of Contingent Consideration

During the year ended December 31, 2017, Impax recognized \$31.0 million of income on the change in the fair value of contingent consideration, compared to a minimal change in fair value of contingent consideration recognized during the prior year. Impax is required under the Termination Agreement entered into as a part of the Teva Transaction with Teva to make certain milestone payments to Teva associated with its methylphenidate hydrochloride (generic Concerta[®]) product. Impax conducted a review of the underlying inputs and assumptions at December 31, 2017, and based on timing and probability of the product launch, and corresponding number of competitors expected to be in the market at both launch and the one-year anniversary of launch, Impax concluded that the fair value of its contingent consideration was \$0.

Fixed Assets Impairment Charges

The fixed assets impairment charges recognized during the year ended December 31, 2017 were primarily due to the closure of Impax's Middlesex, New Jersey manufacturing facility; Impax sold the entity which held the leases to the site to a third party in early 2018. In addition, Impax recognized fixed impairment charges associated with abandoned software. There was no comparable loss in 2016.

Impax Specialty Pharma

The following table sets forth results of operations for the Impax Specialty Pharma division for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		Increase / (Decrease)	
	2017	2016	Dollars	Percentage
Revenues:				
Rytary [®] , net	\$ 91,637	\$ 73,834	\$ 17,803	24%
Zomig [®] , net	51,115	53,539	(2,424)	(5)%
All other Specialty Pharma Products, net	83,958	90,736	(6,778)	(7)%
Total revenues	226,710	218,109	8,601	4%
Cost of revenues	80,212	69,583	10,629	15%
Cost of revenues impairment charges	—	24,313	(24,313)	(100)%
Gross profit	146,498	124,213	22,285	18%
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative	67,949	61,448	6,501	11%
Research and development	17,602	18,486	(884)	(5)%
In-process research and development impairment charges	—	25,200	(25,200)	(100)%
Fixed assets impairment charges	74,128	—	74,128	*
Patent litigation expense	4,278	6,990	(2,712)	(39)%
Total operating expenses	163,957	112,124	51,833	46
(Loss) income from operations	\$ (17,459)	\$ 12,089	\$ (29,548)	*

* Percentage exceeds 100%

Revenues

Total revenues for the Impax Specialty Pharma division for the year ended December 31, 2017 were \$226.7 million, an increase of \$8.6 million or 4% over the prior year. The increase from the prior year period was primarily due to higher sales of Rytary[®], partially offset by lower sales of Impax's anthelmintic products franchise and Zomig[®].

Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues was \$80.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, a \$10.6 million increase over the prior year. The increase is primarily due to higher sales of Rytary, increase in inventory reserve of \$4.6 million and increase in accelerated depreciation expenses of \$9.1 million related to Impax's manufacturing facility located in Taiwan, which it sold in February of 2018. The cost of revenues increase was partially offset by a reduction in amortization of \$10.6 million due to impairment of Emverm[®] intangible asset in 2016.

Cost of Revenues Impairment Charges

Cost of revenues impairment charges were \$24.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily as a result of lower than expected script volume for Emverm[®]. There were no comparable charges during 2017.

Gross Profit

Gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2017 was \$146.5 million, or 65% of total revenues, as compared to \$124.2 million, or 57% of total revenues, in the prior year. The increase in gross profit was primarily due to higher product sales of Rytary[®] during the year ended December 31, 2017 and a reduction in intangible asset impairment charges compared to 2016.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2017 were \$67.9 million, as compared to \$61.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The \$6.5 million increase compared to the prior year was primarily due to certain employee termination benefits and higher advertising and promotion costs related to Emverm[®] and Zomig[®].

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses for the year ended December 31, 2017 were \$17.6 million, as compared to \$18.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The \$0.9 million decrease compared to the prior year was primarily due to a \$2.6 million AstraZeneca reimbursement to us related to the juvenile toxicity study and pediatric study required by the FDA under the Pediatric Research Equity Act for approval of the nasal formulation of Zomig[®] for the acute treatment of migraine in pediatric patients ages six through eleven years old pursuant to the terms of the AZ Agreement, as well as reduced expenses related to Impax's branded initiatives, partially offset by higher spend for its Drug Safety/Pharmacovigilance group of \$2.5 million.

In-process Research and Development Impairment Charges

In-process research and development impairment charges were \$25.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The impairment charges resulted from management's decision during the fourth quarter of 2016 to cease development on Impax's next generation Albenza[®] product due to continued difficulties in sourcing the active pharmaceutical ingredient for the product. There were no comparable charges during 2017.

Fixed Assets Impairment Charges

The fixed assets impairment charges recorded during the year ended December 31, 2017 were primarily due to a \$74.1 million loss associated with a stock and asset purchase agreement Impax entered into with a third party during the year ended December 31, 2017 pursuant to which Impax agreed to sell Impax Taiwan, including its Taiwan facility.. There was no comparable fixed asset impairment charges recorded in 2016.

Patent Litigation Expenses

Patent litigation expenses for the year ended December 31, 2017 were \$4.3 million, as compared to \$7.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The \$2.7 million higher cost during the prior year was primarily due to patent litigation activity related to Zomig[®] trial during the third quarter of 2016.

Corporate and Other

The following table sets forth corporate general and administrative expenses, as well as other items of income and expense presented below income or loss from operations for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 (in thousands):

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		<u>Increase / (Decrease)</u>	
	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>Dollars</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
General and administrative expenses	\$ 120,027	\$ 119,874	\$ 153	— %
Interest expense, net	(53,412)	(40,419)	(12,993)	32%
Reserve for Turing receivable	(3,999)	(40,312)	36,313	(90)%
Gain on sale of assets	17,236	175	17,061	*
Loss on debt extinguishment	(1,215)	—	(1,215)	*
Other expense, net	(6,879)	(1,587)	(5,292)	*
Loss before income taxes	(168,296)	(202,017)	33,721	(17)%
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	\$ 18,326	\$(104,294)	\$ 122,620	*

* Percentage exceeds 100%

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2017 were \$120.0 million, as compared to \$119.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The \$0.1 million increase during 2017 compared to the prior year was primarily due to \$8.6 million higher legal expenses compared to the prior year period and \$11.7 million integration costs. These higher expenses were largely offset primarily by \$5.4 million of lower executive costs, \$4.8 million lower share-based compensation costs, \$4.3 million of reduction in IT spending, and \$1.5 million lower business development spending. The expenses in 2016 also included \$3.7 million related to the Teva Transaction, of which there were no comparable charges during year ended December 31, 2017.

Interest Expense, net

Interest expense, net was \$53.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, a \$13.0 million increase from the prior year. Interest expense for 2017 reflected interest on Impax's \$600.0 million convertible senior notes issued in 2015, interest on its \$400.0 million Term Loan with Royal Bank of Canada entered into in the third quarter of 2016 to fund the Teva Transaction, and unused line of credit fees on its Revolving Credit Facility with Royal Bank of Canada entered into in 2016. In contrast, prior year interest expense of \$40.4 million reflected interest expense on Impax's Term Loan with Barclays Bank PLC entered into in connection with the financing of the Tower Acquisition, which was repaid in full on June 30, 2016 using proceeds from the issuance of its \$600.0 million convertible senior notes. Refer to "Outstanding Debt Obligations" below for additional information related to Impax's outstanding convertible notes and credit facilities. Interest income was \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, which was relatively consistent with interest income for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Reserve for Turing Receivable

During the year ended December 31, 2016, Impax recorded a reserve of \$40.3 million as a result of the uncertainty of collection of the receivable due from Turing for reimbursement of Daraprim[®] chargebacks and Medicaid rebate liabilities, as compared to a net \$4.0 million of such charges during the year ended December 31, 2017.

Gain on Sale of Assets

During the year ended December 31, 2017, Impax recognized a \$12.5 million gain on the sale of 29 ANDAs and one NDA for non-strategic approved generic products, the vast majority of which products were not marketed, and all acquired as part of the Tower Acquisition, and \$4.7 million gain from the sale of its storage warehouse in Hayward, California.

Loss on Debt Extinguishment

During the year ended December 31, 2017, Impax recognized a \$1.2 million loss on debt extinguishment related to the voluntary prepayment of \$50.0 million on its Term Loan Facility with Royal Bank of Canada. There was no comparable loss in 2016.

Other Expense, Net

Other expense, net was \$6.9 million for year ended December 31, 2017, as compared to \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The expense for the year ended December 31, 2017 was primarily due to legal settlement costs related to its settlement with Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc. on its marketed oxymorphone hydrochloride tablets, which Impax settled in August 2017, and the suit related to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.

Income Taxes

During the year ended December 31, 2017, Impax recorded an aggregate tax provision of \$18.3 million for U.S. domestic income taxes and foreign income taxes, an increase of \$122.6 million compared to an aggregate tax benefit of \$104.3 million Impax recorded during the prior year. The effective tax rate decreased to (4.1)% for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to 18.1% for the year ended December 31, 2016.

The effective income tax rate was (4.1)% for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, and reflected the increase in valuation allowance of \$77.1 million against net deferred tax assets. Based on an evaluation of both the positive and negative evidence available, as discussed below, Impax determined that it was necessary to establish a valuation allowance against all of its net deferred tax assets for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.

A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. When determining the amount of net deferred tax assets that are more likely than not to be realized, Impax assesses all available positive and negative evidence. This evidence includes, but is not limited to, scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, prior earnings history, projected future earnings, carry-back and carry-forward periods and the feasibility of ongoing tax strategies that could potentially enhance the likelihood of the realization of a deferred tax asset. The weight given to the positive and negative evidence is commensurate with the extent the evidence may be objectively verified. As such, it is generally difficult for positive evidence regarding projected future taxable income (exclusive of reversing taxable temporary differences and carryforwards) to outweigh objective negative evidence of a recent financial reporting loss for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Based on these criteria and the relative weighting of both the positive and negative evidence available, and in particular the activity surrounding its prior earnings history, including the intangible impairments charges recognized during 2017, Impax determined that it was necessary to establish a valuation allowance against all of its net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2017. Given the objectively verifiable negative evidence of a three-year cumulative loss which, under the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 740 is a significant element of negative evidence that is difficult to overcome, and the weighting of all available positive evidence, Impax excluded projected taxable income from the assessment of income that could be used as a source of taxable income to realize the deferred tax assets.

Year Ended December 31, 2016 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2015

Overview

The following table sets forth Impax's summarized, consolidated results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		Increase / (Decrease)	
	2016	2015	Dollars	Percentage
Total revenues	\$ 824,429	\$ 860,469	\$ (36,040)	(4)%
Gross (loss) profit	(151,102)	352,404	(503,506)	*
(Loss) income from operations	(494,182)	69,568	(563,750)	*
(Loss) income before income taxes	(576,325)	59,368	(635,693)	*
(Benefit from) provision for income taxes	(104,294)	20,371	(124,665)	*
Net (loss) income	\$ (472,031)	\$ 38,997	\$ (511,028)	*

* *Percentage exceeds 100%*

Consolidated total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2016 decreased by 4%, or \$36.1 million, to \$824.4 million compared to \$860.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The decrease was primarily attributable to lower Impax Generics division product sales, partially offset by higher Impax Specialty Pharma division product sales. Selling price for existing products decreased consolidated total revenues by 16%, while volumes for existing products increased consolidated total revenues by 2%, in each case compared to the prior year. New product launches, including those resulting from acquisitions, increased consolidated total revenues by 9% compared to the prior year.

Revenues from the Impax Generics division decreased by \$104.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2016, as compared to the prior year. This decrease was primarily due to lower selling prices across a majority of the products in the division, partially offset by higher sales volumes, including those resulting from product acquisitions. The products that experienced significant declines in selling price during the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to the prior year included diclofenac sodium gel, metaxalone, generic Adderall XR[®], and fenofibrate family products. In connection with the pricing declines, Impax recorded \$15.0 million in shelf-stock adjustments related to diclofenac sodium gel and metaxalone during 2016. Partially offsetting these pricing declines were price and volume increases of certain products compared to 2015 primarily related to Impax's epinephrine auto-injector and oxymorphone products.

Revenues from the Impax Specialty Pharma division increased by \$68.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2016, as compared to the prior year. The increase was primarily due to higher selling prices and higher sales volumes across a majority of the products in the division including Zomig[®], Ryтары[®], which launched in April 2015, and Impax's anthelmintic products franchise.

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$472.0 million, a decrease of \$511.0 million compared to net income of \$39.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The net loss for the year ended December 31, 2016 was primarily driven by \$541.6 million in intangible asset impairment charges, as compared to \$13.7 million of such charges in the prior year, as well as a \$40.3 million reserve recorded as a result of the uncertainty of collection of the receivable due from Turing for reimbursement of Daraprim[®] chargebacks and Medicaid rebate liabilities. Included in Impax's 2015 results was a \$45.6 million gain related to the sale of Daraprim[®] to Turing, for which there was no comparable gain in 2016.

Of the \$541.6 million in intangible asset impairment charges Impax incurred in 2016, \$308.4 million of such charges related to certain intangible assets acquired as part of the Teva Transaction. Upon closing the Teva Transaction on August 3, 2016, Impax initiated the process of transferring and securing Teva's and Allergan's customers for the acquired products to its account. Impax assumed certain price concessions would occur following the closing. However, Impax elected to take additional price reductions on certain of the acquired products in order to retain key customers. These reductions produced significantly lower than expected operating cash flows from the acquired product lines and triggered an impairment charge of \$251.0 million during the third quarter of 2016. Impax experienced even further price reductions on certain of the products acquired in the Teva Transaction during the fourth quarter of 2016, which resulted in \$57.4 million of additional intangible asset impairment charges. In total, Impax's impairment analyses for the products acquired in the Teva Transaction resulted in the recognition of \$308.4 million of non-cash impairment charges to earnings, comprised of a \$301.7 million charge recorded in cost of revenues impairment charges and a \$6.7 million charge recorded in-process research and development impairment charges in its consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2016.

During 2016, Impax also incurred other non-cash impairment charges on certain of its intangible assets, primarily related to the products acquired from the Tower Acquisition, totaling \$233.2 million. These impairment charges arose primarily due to increased competition, price degradation, product discontinuations and delays in expected product launches. The largest intangible asset impairment charge related to products acquired in the Tower Acquisition was for Impax's epinephrine auto-injector product, which occurred during the fourth quarter of 2016 and accounted for more than half of the \$233.2 million in charges. The impairment charge on the epinephrine auto-injector product was triggered by current and projected price degradation as a result of unexpected changes in the pricing environment and additional competition.

Impax Generics

The following table sets forth results of operations for the Impax Generics division for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands):

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		<u>Increase / (Decrease)</u>	
	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>Dollars</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Revenues:				
Impax Generics sales, net	\$ 591,744	\$ 699,844	\$(108,100)	(15)%
Rx Partner	14,339	9,307	5,032	54%
Other Revenues	237	1,781	(1,544)	(87)%
Total revenues	606,320	710,932	(104,612)	(15)%
Cost of revenues	417,316	442,742	(25,426)	(6)%
Cost of revenues impairment charges	464,319	7,303	457,016	*
Gross (loss) profit	<u>(275,315)</u>	<u>260,887</u>	<u>(536,202)</u>	*
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative	20,508	29,641	(9,133)	(31)%
Research and development	61,980	52,478	9,502	18%
In-process research and development impairment charges	27,765	6,360	21,405	*
Patent litigation expense	829	2,942	(2,113)	(72)%
Total operating expenses	111,082	91,421	19,661	22%
(Loss) income from operations	<u>\$(386,397)</u>	<u>\$ 169,466</u>	<u>\$(555,863)</u>	*

* *Percentage exceeds 100%*

Revenues

Total revenues for the Impax Generics division for the year ended December 31, 2016 were \$606.3 million, a decrease of \$104.6 million or 15%, over the prior year. The decrease was primarily due to increased competition on diclofenac sodium gel, metaxalone, and fenofibrate, coupled with lower market share for generic Adderall XR ® during the first half of 2016, in each case compared to the prior year. These decreases were partially offset by increased sales of oxymorphone, increased sales of epinephrine auto-injector, which was acquired as part of the Tower Acquisition in March 2015, and sales of the products acquired as part of the Teva Transaction in August 2016, in each case compared to the prior year. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2016, Impax recorded a \$15.0 million shelf-stock adjustment related to diclofenac sodium gel and metaxalone as a result of declining prices during 2016, for which there was no comparable charge in the prior year.

Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues was \$417.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$25.4 million from the prior year. The decrease was primarily attributable to lower costs related to decreased product revenue compared to the prior year and the absence of costs related to (i) the step-up to fair value of inventory in connection with the Tower Acquisition, (ii) Hayward remediation activities and (iii) the Philadelphia restructuring, which were all incurred in the prior year but for which Impax did not incur comparable costs in 2016. The reduced costs during 2016 compared to the prior year were partially offset by higher intangible asset amortization expenses resulting from the Teva Transaction and a full year of amortization expense related to products acquired in the Tower Acquisition, along with higher restructuring costs incurred in conjunction with the previously announced closure of the Middlesex, New Jersey facility.

Cost of Revenues Impairment Charges

Cost of revenues impairment charges was \$464.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, a \$457.0 million increase over the prior year. Of this increase, \$301.7 million related to impairments recognized on certain intangible assets acquired as part of the Teva Transaction. As discussed above, Impax assumed certain price concessions would occur following the closing of the Teva Transaction on August 3, 2016. However, Impax elected to take additional price reductions on certain of the acquired products in order to retain key customers. These reductions produced significantly lower than expected operating cash flows from the acquired product lines and triggered an impairment charge of \$248.0 million during the third quarter of 2016. Impax experienced even further price reductions on certain of the products acquired in the Teva Transaction during the fourth quarter of 2016, which resulted in \$53.7 million of additional intangible asset impairment charges recorded in cost of revenues impairment charges.

During 2016, Impax also incurred other non-cash impairment charges recorded to cost of revenues impairment charges on certain of its intangible assets, primarily related to the products acquired from the Tower Acquisition, totaling \$162.6 million. These impairment charges arose primarily due to increased competition, price degradation, and product discontinuations. The largest intangible asset impairment charge related to the products acquired in the Tower Acquisition was on Impax's epinephrine auto-injector product, which occurred during the fourth quarter of 2016. The impairment charge on the epinephrine auto-injector product was triggered by current and projected price degradation as a result of changes in the pricing environment and additional competition.

Gross (Loss) Profit

Gross (loss) for the year ended December 31, 2016 was (\$275.3) million, or 45% of total revenues, as compared to gross profit of \$260.9 million, or 37% of total revenues, for the prior year. The decreases in gross profit and gross margin were primarily due to intangible asset impairment charges, lower product sales, higher shelf-stock adjustments, increased intangibles amortization, and increased restructuring costs, as noted above. These decreases were partially offset by the absence of remediation costs related to the Hayward facility and the absence of restructuring costs related to the Philadelphia facility in 2016, both incurred in 2015.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general, and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2016 were \$20.5 million, as compared to \$29.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The \$9.1 million decrease from the prior year was primarily attributable to a decrease in failure to supply claims during 2016.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses for the year ended December 31, 2016 were \$62.0 million, as compared to \$52.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The \$9.5 million increase from the prior year was primarily due to an increase in external development costs from increased research and development activities and a full year of research and development expenses from the Tower acquired companies.

In-process Research and Development Impairment Charges

In-process research and development impairment charges were \$27.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, an increase of \$21.4 million from the prior year. The 2016 impairment charges included \$21.1 million related to products acquired as part of the Tower Acquisition and caused primarily due to delays in the expected start of commercialization and/or lower anticipated pricing of such products amid highly competitive market conditions, resulting in lower forecasted future cash flows. There were \$6.4 million of similar charges recorded in the prior year. In addition, the 2016 impairment charges included \$6.7 million related to products acquired as part of the Teva Transaction and caused by lower anticipated pricing amid highly competitive market conditions, resulting in lower forecasted future cash flows.

Patent Litigation Expenses

Patent litigation expenses for the year ended December 31, 2016 were \$0.8 million, as compared to \$2.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The \$2.1 million decrease was due to reduced legal activity in 2016 compared to the prior year.

Impax Specialty Pharma

The following table sets forth results of operations for the Impax Specialty Pharma division for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		Increase / (Decrease)	
	2016	2015	Dollars	Percentage
Revenues:				
Rytary [®] , net	\$ 73,834	\$ 42,364	\$31,470	74%
Zomig [®] , net	53,539	49,251	4,288	9%
All other Specialty Pharma Products, net	90,736	57,922	32,814	57%
Total revenues	218,109	149,537	68,572	46%
Cost of revenues	69,583	58,020	11,563	20%
Cost of revenues impairment charges	24,313	—	24,313	*
Gross profit	124,213	91,517	32,696	36%
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative	61,448	52,427	9,021	17%
Research and development	18,486	18,144	342	2%
In-process research and development impairment charges	25,200	—	25,200	*
Patent litigation expense	6,990	1,625	5,365	*
Total operating expenses	112,124	72,196	39,928	55%
Income from operations	\$ 12,089	\$ 19,321	\$ (7,232)	(37)%

* Percentage exceeds 100%

Revenues

Total revenues for the Impax Specialty Pharma division for the year ended December 31, 2016 were \$218.1 million, an increase of \$68.6 million or 46% over the prior year. The increase was primarily due to increased sales from Rytary[®], which Impax launched in April 2015, and increased revenues resulting from the Tower Acquisition, including sales from its anthelmintic products franchise.

Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues was \$69.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, an \$11.6 million increase over the prior year. The increase was primarily due to higher costs related to increased product sales and a full year of amortization expense related to products acquired in the Tower Acquisition. Additionally, cost of revenues for the prior year included a \$5.4 million step-up to fair value of inventory charge in connection with the Tower Acquisition, for which there was no comparable charge in 2016.

Cost of Revenues Impairment Charges

Cost of revenues impairment charges were \$24.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. There were no comparable charges during the prior year. The impairment charge was primarily the result of lower than expected script volume for Emverm[®].

Gross Profit

Gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$124.2 million, or 57% of total revenues, as compared to \$91.5 million, or 61% of total revenues, in the prior year. The increase in gross profit in 2016 compared to the prior year was primarily due to increased product sales and the absence in 2016 of the \$5.4 million step-up to fair value of inventory charge in connection with the Tower Acquisition Impax incurred in 2015, partially offset by higher impairment charges during 2016. The decrease in gross margin during the year ended December 31, 2016 was primarily due to lower selling prices on certain products compared to the prior year.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2016 were \$61.4 million, as compared to \$52.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The \$9.0 million increase during the year ended December 31, 2016 was primarily due to expenses related to the sales force expansion to support sales and marketing activities for Rytary® and increased advertising and promotion expenses to support the launch of Emverm® and the new indication of Zomig® nasal spray for pediatric patients approved by the FDA in June 2015. The increase in expenses during 2016 was partially offset by training expenses incurred during the year ended December 31, 2015 to support the launch of Rytary®.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses for the year ended December 31, 2016 were \$18.5 million, as compared to \$18.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The \$0.4 million increase compared to the prior year was primarily due to increased research and development activities related to Impax's branded initiatives.

In-process Research and Development Impairment Charges

In-process research and development impairment charges were \$25.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. There were no comparable charges during the prior year. The impairment charges resulted from management's decision during the fourth quarter of 2016 to cease development on Impax's next generation Albenza® product due to continued difficulties in sourcing the active pharmaceutical ingredient for the product.

Patent Litigation Expenses

Patent litigation expenses for the year ended December 31, 2016 were \$7.0 million, as compared to \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The \$5.4 million increase during 2016 compared to the prior year was due to increased patent litigation activity in 2016.

Corporate and Other

The following table sets forth corporate general and administrative expenses, as well as other items of income and expense presented below income from operations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands):

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		<u>Increase / (Decrease)</u>	
	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>Dollars</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
General and administrative expenses	\$ 119,874	\$ 119,219	\$ 655	1%
Interest expense, net	(40,419)	(26,226)	(14,193)	54%
Reserve for Turing receivable	(40,312)	—	(40,312)	*
Gain on sale of asset	—	45,574	(45,574)	*
Loss on debt extinguishment	—	(16,903)	16,903	*
Net change in fair value of derivatives	—	(13,000)	13,000	*
Other (expense) income, net	(1,412)	355	(1,767)	*
Loss before income taxes	(202,017)	(129,419)	(72,598)	56%
(Benefit from) provision for income taxes	\$(104,294)	\$ 20,371	\$(124,665)	*

* Percentage exceeds 100%

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2016 were \$119.9 million, as compared to \$119.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The \$0.7 million increase during 2016 compared to the prior year was primarily due to costs recognized in 2016 related to the separation of G. Frederick Wilkinson as Impax's President and Chief Executive Officer in December 2016 and higher legal expenses compared to the prior year, partially offset by lower transaction and integration expenses related to strategic transactions during 2016 as compared to the transaction and integration expenses incurred related to the Tower Acquisition during the prior year.

Interest Expense, net

Interest expense, net was \$40.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, a \$14.2 million increase from the prior year. Interest expense for 2016 reflected interest on Impax's \$600.0 million convertible senior notes issued in 2015, interest on its \$400.0 million Term Loan with Royal Bank of Canada entered into in 2016 to fund the Teva Transaction, and unused line of credit fees on its Revolving Credit Facility with Royal Bank of Canada entered into in 2016. In contrast, prior year interest expense of \$27.3 million reflected interest expense on Impax's Term Loan with Barclays Bank PLC entered into in connection with the financing of the Tower Acquisition, which was repaid in full on June 30, 2016 using proceeds from the issuance of its \$600.0 million senior notes. Refer to "Outstanding Debt Obligations" below for additional information related to Impax's outstanding convertible notes and credit facilities. Interest income was \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, which was relatively consistent with interest income for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Reserve for Turing Receivable

During the year ended December 31, 2016, Impax recorded a reserve of \$40.3 million, representing the amount of the estimated receivable due from Turing for reimbursement of Daraprim[®] chargebacks and Medicaid rebate liabilities. Impax received \$7.7 million in payments from Turing during the fourth quarter of 2016, which reduced the reserve balance of \$48.0 million as of September 30, 2016 to the reserve balance of \$40.3 million as of December 31, 2016.

Gain on Sale of Asset

During the year ended December 31, 2015, Impax recognized a \$45.6 million gain on the sale of its right to Daraprim[®]. There was no comparable gain in 2016.

Loss on Debt Extinguishment

During the year ended December 31, 2015, Impax recognized a \$16.9 million loss on debt extinguishment related to the repayment of its \$435.0 million term loan with Barclays Bank PLC. There was no comparable loss in 2016.

Net Change in Fair Value of Derivatives

During the year ended December 31, 2015, Impax recognized a \$13.0 million expense as the net change in the fair value of its derivative instruments entered into in conjunction with its convertible senior notes due 2022. This expense resulted from the change in its stock price from June 30, 2015 to December 31, 2015. A third party valuation firm with expertise in valuing financial instruments was engaged to determine the fair value of Impax's bond hedge derivative asset and conversion option derivative liability at each reporting period. There was no comparable change in the fair value of derivatives during 2016.

Other (Expense) Income, Net

Other expense, net was \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, a \$1.8 million increase from the prior year. The increase was primarily due to the change in the fair value of the contingent consideration due to Teva pursuant to the Termination Agreement with Teva whereby Teva returned to us Impax's full commercial rights to its then pending ANDA for methylphenidate hydrochloride and due to an increase in fixed asset impairments over the prior year.

Income Taxes

During the year ended December 31, 2016, Impax recorded an aggregate tax benefit of \$104.3 million for U.S. domestic income taxes and for foreign income taxes, a decrease of \$124.7 million compared to an aggregate tax provision of \$20.4 million Impax recorded during the prior year. The decrease in the tax provision during 2016 compared to the prior year resulted from lower income before taxes in the year ended December 31, 2016. The effective tax rate decreased to 18.1% for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to 34.3% for the year ended December 31, 2015.

The effective income tax rate was 18.1% for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016, and reflected the establishment of a valuation allowance of \$108.8 million against net deferred tax assets. Based on an evaluation of both the positive and negative evidence available, as discussed below, Impax determined that it was necessary to establish a valuation allowance against a significant portion of its net deferred tax assets for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016.

A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. When determining the amount of net deferred tax assets that are more likely than not to be realized, Impax assesses all available positive and negative evidence. This evidence includes, but is not limited to, scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, prior earnings history, projected future earnings, carry-back and carry-forward periods and the feasibility of ongoing tax strategies that could potentially enhance the likelihood of the realization of a deferred tax asset. The weight given to the positive and negative evidence is commensurate with the extent the evidence may be objectively verified. As such, it is generally difficult for positive evidence regarding projected future taxable income (exclusive of reversing taxable temporary differences and carryforwards) to outweigh objective negative evidence of a recent financial reporting loss for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Based on these criteria and the relative weighting of both the positive and negative evidence available, and in particular the activity surrounding its prior earnings history, including the intangible impairments charges recognized during 2016, Impax determined that it was necessary to establish a valuation allowance against a significant portion of its net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2016. Given the objectively verifiable negative evidence of a three-year cumulative loss which, under the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 740 is a significant element of negative evidence that is difficult to overcome, and the weighting of all available positive evidence, Impax excluded projected taxable income from the assessment of income that could be used as a source of taxable income to realize the deferred tax assets.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Impax generally funds its operations with cash from operating activities, although Impax has also funded its operations with proceeds from the sale of debt and equity securities. Impax's cash flows from operating activities consist primarily of the proceeds from sales of its products and services.

Impax expects to incur significant operating expenses, including research and development and patent litigation expenses, for the foreseeable future. In addition, Impax is generally required to make cash expenditures to manufacture and/or acquire finished product inventory in advance of selling the finished product to its customers and collecting payment, which may result in a significant use of cash. Impax believes its existing cash and cash equivalents, together with cash expected to be generated from operations and its revolving line of credit facility, will be sufficient to meet its financing requirements through the next 12 months. Impax may, however, seek additional financing through alliance, collaboration, and licensing agreements, as well as from the debt and equity capital markets, to fund capital expenditures, research and development plans, potential acquisitions, and potential revenue shortfalls due to delays in new product introductions or otherwise. Impax cannot be assured that such financing will be available on favorable terms, or at all.

Cash Flows—Year Ended December 31, 2017 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2016

Net cash provided by operations increased by \$0.4 million to \$84.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, from \$83.8 million for year ended December 31, 2016. Impax's cash flows are impacted by its underlying results from operations and related timing of cash receipts and cash disbursements. For the year ended December 31, 2017, while Impax experienced reduced operating results, its working capital management improved in 2017, most notably with inventory and payables.

Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2017 was \$9.7 million, a decrease of \$617.4 million compared to \$627.1 million in the prior year. In 2017, net cash used in investing activities primarily consisted of a \$26.7 million for capital expenditures partially offset by proceeds from the sale of intangible assets and property, plant and equipment of \$21.5 million. In 2016, net cash used in investing activities primarily consisted of a \$585.8 million payment to fund the Teva Transaction. Increased capital expenditures in 2016 were partially offset by proceeds from the repayment by Tolmar of the outstanding \$15.0 million balance due to us under Impax's loan and security agreement with Tolmar pursuant to which provided to Tolmar one or more loans in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$15.0 million (the "Tolmar Loan Agreement").

Net cash used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2017 was \$73.7 million, representing a decrease of \$456.2 million as compared to \$382.5 million net cash provided by financing activities in the prior year. In 2017, \$70.0 million of principal payments were made on the \$400.0 million of proceeds from the term loan entered into with Royal Bank of Canada to finance the Teva Transaction in 2016. In 2016, net cash provided by financing activities primarily consisted of \$400.0 million of proceeds from the term loan entered into with Royal Bank of Canada to finance the Teva Transaction.

Cash Flows—Year Ended December 31, 2016 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2015

Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$83.9 million, a decrease of \$8.6 million as compared to the prior year \$92.5 million net cash provided by operating activities. While the 2016 cash flows from operations were relatively stable compared to 2015, there were some large variations in the line items. Impax's lower net income during 2016 was more than offset by higher non-cash items. Significant changes in non-cash items during 2016 included higher depreciation and amortization resulting from acquisition activity, non-cash interest expense, intangible asset impairment charges, and the reserve related to the receivable from Turing. Working capital items also experienced significant changes in 2016 compared to the prior year as increased cash flow from accounts receivable collections were more than offset by higher cash outflows related to profit sharing payments, higher inventory in support of product launches as well as lower cash inflows from accounts payable and accrued expenses largely related to payments made on behalf of Turing.

Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$627.1 million, an increase of \$159.6 million compared to \$467.5 million in the prior year. In 2016, net cash used in investing activities primarily consisted of a \$585.8 million payment to fund the Teva Transaction. Increased capital expenditures in 2016 were partially offset by proceeds from the repayment by Tolmar of the outstanding \$15.0 million balance due to us under the Tolmar Loan Agreement. Net cash used in investing activities for the prior year included a \$691.3 million payment to fund the Tower Acquisition, partially offset by \$200.1 million from the maturity of investments and \$59.5 million in proceeds from the sale to Turing of Impax's rights to Daraprim[®], both of which had no similar activity during 2016.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$382.5 million, representing a decrease of \$118.4 million as compared to \$500.9 million in the prior year. In 2016, net cash provided by financing activities primarily consisted of \$400.0 million of proceeds from the term loan entered into with Royal Bank of Canada to finance the Teva Transaction. In contrast, prior year net cash provided by financing activities included \$600.0 million from the issuance of convertible notes and \$88.3 million from the sale of warrants, offset by the payment of \$147.0 million to purchase the bond hedge derivative asset, for which similar activity did not occur during 2016.

Commitments and Contractual Obligations

Impax's contractual obligations as of December 31, 2017 were as follows (in thousands):

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More Than 5 Years
Open Purchase Order Commitments	\$ 108,071	\$ 108,071	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Operating Leases (a)	28,142	5,575	6,318	5,136	11,113
Long-term debt obligations	925,000	20,000	305,000	600,000	—
Interest payments on long-term debt obligations (b)	112,852	29,286	77,566	6,000	—
Total (c)	<u>\$1,174,065</u>	<u>\$ 162,932</u>	<u>\$388,884</u>	<u>\$611,136</u>	<u>\$ 11,113</u>

- (a) Impax leases office, warehouse, and laboratory facilities under non-cancelable operating leases with expiration dates through December 2027. Impax also leases certain equipment under various non-cancelable operating leases with various expiration dates through July 2022.
- (b) Interest on existing debt obligations was calculated based on applicable rates at December 31, 2017.
- (c) Liabilities for uncertain tax positions FASB ASC Topic 740, Sub-topic 10, were excluded as Impax is not able to make a reasonably reliable estimate of the amount and period of related future payments. As of December 31, 2017, Impax had a \$3.5 million provision for uncertain tax positions.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Impax did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements as of December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Outstanding Debt Obligations Prior to the Closing

The following section describes Impax's material debt obligations that were terminated upon the Closing in connection with the consummation of the Transactions.

Royal Bank of Canada Credit Facilities

On August 3, 2016, Impax entered into a restatement agreement with Royal Bank of Canada, as administrative agent, and the lenders and guarantors party thereto (the "Restatement Agreement"). The Restatement Agreement amends and restates the existing Revolving Credit Facility Agreement (as amended and restated and amended to date, the "Amended and Restated Credit Agreement") to, among other things, (i) add a term loan feature to allow for the borrowing of up to \$400.0 million of term loans (the "Term Loan Facility") by us in accordance with the terms of the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, (ii) increase the aggregate principal amount of revolving loans permitted under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Revolving Credit Facility," and, together with the Term Loan Facility, the "RBC Credit Facilities"), from \$100.0 million to \$200.0 million and (iii) extend the maturity date of the Revolving Credit Facility from August 4, 2020 to August 3, 2021. On March 27, 2017, Impax entered into Amendment No. 1 by and among us, Royal Bank of Canada, as administrative agent, and the lenders party thereto (the "Amendment") to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.

Borrowings under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement will accrue interest at a rate equal to LIBOR or the base rate, plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin may be increased or reduced by 0.25% based on Impax's total net leverage ratio. Up to \$12.5 million of the Revolving Credit Facility is available for issuance of letters of credit and any such letters of credit will reduce the amount available under the Revolving Credit Facility on a dollar-for-dollar basis. Impax is required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders on the average daily unused portion of the Revolving Credit Facility at 0.50% or 0.375% per annum, depending its total net leverage ratio.

The Amended and Restated Credit Agreement contains certain negative covenants (subject to exceptions, materiality thresholds and other allowances) including, without limitation, negative covenants that limit Impax's and its restricted subsidiaries' ability to incur additional debt, guarantee other obligations, grant liens on assets, make loans, acquisitions or other investments, dispose of assets, make optional payments in connection with or modify certain debt instruments, pay dividends or make other payments on capital stock, engage in mergers or consolidations, enter into arrangements that restrict Impax's and its restricted subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends or grant liens, engage in transactions with affiliates, or change its fiscal year. Prior to the effective date of the Amendment on March 27, 2017 the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement also included a financial maintenance covenant whereby Impax must not permit its total net leverage ratio in any 12-month period to exceed 5.00:1.00, as tested at the end of each fiscal quarter. Effective as of March 27, 2017 and pursuant to Amendment, the total net leverage ratio financial covenant was replaced with a senior secured net leverage ratio financial covenant. Pursuant to the Amendment, Impax must not permit its senior secured net leverage ratio to exceed 2.50:1.00 and its interest coverage ratio to be less than 3.00:1.00, in each case in any 12-month period, as tested at the end of each fiscal quarter. Impax were in compliance with all of its covenants under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement as of December 31, 2017.

The Amended and Restated Credit Agreement contains events of default, including, without limitation (subject to customary grace periods and materiality thresholds), events of default upon (i) the failure to make payments pursuant to the terms of the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, (ii) violation of covenants, (iii) incorrectness of representations and warranties, (iv) cross-default and cross-acceleration to other material indebtedness, (v) bankruptcy events, (vi) material monetary judgments (to the extent not covered by insurance), (vii) certain matters arising under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, that could reasonably be expected to result in a material adverse effect, (viii) the actual or asserted invalidity of the documents governing the RBC Credit Facilities, any material guarantees or the security interests (including priority thereof) required under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement and (ix) the occurrence of a change of control (as defined therein). Upon the occurrence of certain events of default, the obligations under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement may be accelerated and any remaining commitments thereunder may be terminated.

The full amount of the proceeds from the Term Loan Facility of \$400.0 million, along with \$196.4 million of cash were used to finance the Teva Transaction, including transaction fees, on its closing date of August 3, 2016. As of December 31, 2017, the full amount of the \$200.0 million Revolving Credit Facility remains available to us for working capital and other general corporate purposes.

In connection with the Term Loan Facility, Impax incurred \$11.0 million of debt issuance costs for banking, legal and accounting fees and other expenses during the third quarter of 2016. In connection with the Amendment, Impax incurred \$0.8 million of debt issuance costs for banking fees during the first quarter of 2017. These debt issuance costs were recorded on Impax's consolidated balance sheet as a reduction to the current and long-term portions of debt related to the Term Loan Facility. These deferred debt issuance costs will be accreted to interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method. In connection with the increase in the aggregate principal amount of revolving loans permitted under the Revolving Credit Facility, Impax incurred \$0.8 million of debt issuance costs for banking fees which were recorded as an asset with current and long-term portions on its consolidated balance sheet. These deferred debt issuance costs, in addition to the \$0.3 million balance remaining from the initial balance remaining from the initial \$100.0 million revolving credit facility, will be amortized to interest expense over the term of the Revolving Credit Facility using the straight-line method.

For the year ended December 31, 2017, Impax recognized \$17.7 million of interest expense related to the Term Loan Facility, of which \$15.5 million was cash and \$2.2 million was non-cash accretion of the debt discount recorded for deferred debt issuance costs. For the period of August 3, 2016 through December 31, 2016, Impax recognized \$6.9 million of interest expense related to the Term Loan Facility, of which \$6.0 million was cash and \$0.9 million was non-cash accretion of debt discounts recorded for deferred debt issuance costs. As of December 31, 2017, the Term Loan Facility had a carrying value of \$317.5 million, of which \$17.8 million is classified as current debt and \$299.7 million is classified as long-term debt on Impax's consolidated balance sheet. The Term Loan Facility requires us to make quarterly principal payments of \$5.0 million beginning from December 2016 through June 2021, and the remaining principal balance is payable in August 2021. As of December 31, 2017, the outstanding principal amount for the Term Loan Facility was \$325.0 million.

Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt—Voluntary Prepayment of \$50.0 Million of Principal—RBC Term Loan Facility

On February 28, 2017, Impax made a voluntary prepayment in the amount of \$50.3 million under its Term Loan Facility representing \$50.0 million of principal amount and \$0.3 million of accrued interest thereon. As a result of the voluntary prepayment, for the quarter ended March 31, 2017, Impax recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$1.2 million to write-off a pro rated portion of the related unaccreted debt issuance costs.

On June 30, 2015, Impax issued an aggregate principal amount of \$600.0 million of 2.00% Convertible Senior Notes due June 2022 (the “Notes”) in a private placement offering, which are its senior unsecured obligations. The Notes were issued pursuant to an Indenture dated June 30, 2015 (the “Indenture”) between us and Wilmington Trust, N.A., as trustee. The Indenture includes customary covenants and sets forth certain events of default after which the Notes may be due and payable immediately. The Notes will mature on June 15, 2022, unless earlier redeemed, repurchased or converted. The Notes bear interest at a rate of 2.00% per year, and interest is payable semiannually in arrears on June 15 and December 15 of each year, beginning on December 15, 2015.

The conversion rate for the Notes is initially set at 15.7858 shares per \$1,000 of principal amount, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of \$63.35 per share of Impax’s common stock. If a Make-Whole Fundamental Change (as defined in the Indenture) occurs or becomes effective prior to the maturity date and a holder elects to convert its Notes in connection with the Make-Whole Fundamental Change, Impax are obligated to increase the conversion rate for the Notes so surrendered by a number of additional shares of its common stock as prescribed in the Indenture. Additionally, the conversion rate is subject to adjustment in the event of an equity restructuring transaction such as a stock dividend, stock split, spinoff, rights offering, or recapitalization through a large, nonrecurring cash dividend (“standard antidilution provisions,” per FASB ASC 815-40, *Contracts in Entity’s Own Equity* (“ASC 815-40”)).

The Notes are convertible at the option of the holders at any time prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding December 15, 2021 only under the following circumstances:

- (i) If during any calendar quarter commencing after the quarter ending September 30, 2015 (and only during such calendar quarter) the last reported sale price of Impax’s common stock for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter is greater than 130% of the conversion price on each applicable trading day; or
- (ii) If during the five business day period after any 10 consecutive trading day period (the “measurement period”) in which the trading price per \$1,000 of principal amount of Notes for each trading day of the measurement period was less than 98% of the product of the last report sale price of Impax’s common stock and the conversion rate on each such trading day; or
- (iii) Upon the occurrence of corporate events specified in the Indenture.

On or after December 15, 2021 until the close of business on the second scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date, the holders may convert their Notes at any time, regardless of the foregoing circumstances. Impax may satisfy its conversion obligation by paying or delivering, as the case may be, cash, shares of its common stock, or a combination of cash and shares of its common stock, at its election and in the manner and subject to the terms and conditions provided in the Indenture.

Concurrently with the offering of the Notes and using a portion of the proceeds from the sale of the Notes, Impax entered into a series of convertible note hedge and warrant transactions (the “Note Hedge Transactions” and “Warrant Transactions”) which are designed to reduce the potential dilution to its stockholders and/or offset the cash payments Impax are required to make in excess of the principal amount upon conversion of the Notes. The Note Hedge Transactions and Warrant Transactions are separate transactions, in each case, entered into by us with a financial institution and are not part of the terms of the Notes. These transactions will not affect any holder’s rights under the Notes, and the holders of the Notes have no rights with respect to the Note Hedge Transactions and Warrant Transactions. See “Note 10. Debt” and “Note 11. Stockholders’ Equity” for additional information.

For the years ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, Impax recognized \$35.5 million and \$33.8 million, respectively, of interest expense related to the Notes, of which \$12.0 million and \$12.0 million, respectively, was cash and \$23.5 million and \$21.8 million, respectively, was non-cash accretion of the debt discounts recorded. As the Notes mature in 2022, they have been classified as long-term debt on Impax’s consolidated balance sheets, with a carrying value of \$469.9 million and \$446.4 million as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. Accrued interest payable on the Notes of \$0.5 million as of both December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 is included in accrued expenses on Impax’s consolidated balance sheets.

On November 6, 2017, Impax entered into a supplemental indenture (the “First Supplemental Indenture”) to the Indenture. The First Supplemental Indenture was entered into to effectuate certain amendments to the Indenture in connection with the consummation of Impax’s consent solicitation with respect to the Notes on October 30, 2017, seeking consents from holders of the Notes to the proposed amendments as set forth in the First Supplemental Indenture.

The First Supplemental Indenture (a) amends a covenant in the Indenture relating to Impax’s corporate existence, (b) allows Impax to satisfy its reporting requirements by providing reports of any parent entity, (c) adds a provision to the Indenture requiring Impax to make and consummate a tender offer for any outstanding notes under the Indenture, and (d) expressly authorizes Impax to consummate the transactions contemplated by the Business Combination Agreement.

Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates

The preparation of Impax’s consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”) and the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission (“SEC”) require the use of estimates and assumptions, based on complex judgments considered reasonable, and affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and contingent liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The most significant judgments are employed in estimates used in determining values of tangible and intangible assets, contingent consideration, legal contingencies, tax assets and tax liabilities, fair value of share-based compensation related to equity incentive awards issued to employees and directors, and estimates used in applying Impax’s revenue recognition policy including those related to accrued chargebacks, rebates, distribution service fees, product returns, Medicare, Medicaid, and other government rebate programs, shelf-stock adjustments, and the timing and amount of deferred and recognized revenue under its several alliance and collaboration agreements. Actual results may differ from estimated results. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the presentation for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Although Impax believes its estimates and assumptions are reasonable when made, they are based upon information available to us at the time they are made. Impax periodically reviews the factors having an influence on its estimates and, if necessary, adjust such estimates. Due to the risks and uncertainties involved in Impax’s business and evolving market conditions, and given the subjective element of the estimates made, actual results may differ from estimated results. This possibility may be greater than normal during times of pronounced economic volatility.

Impax Generics sales, net, and Impax Specialty Pharma sales, net. Impax recognizes revenue from the sale of products when title and risk of loss of the product is transferred to the customer and the sales price is fixed and determinable. Provisions for discounts, early payments, rebates, sales returns and distributor chargebacks under terms customary in the industry are provided for in the same period the related sales are recorded. Impax record estimated reductions to revenue at the time of the initial sale and these estimates are based on the sales terms, historical experience and trend analysis.

Gross to Net Sales Accruals. Sales returns accruals are based on using a historical lag period, which is the time between when the product is sold and when it is ultimately returned, and estimated return rates which may be adjusted based on various assumptions including: changes to internal policies and procedures, business practices, commercial terms with customers, and the competitive position of each product; the amount of inventory in the wholesale and retail supply chain; the introduction of new products; and changes in market sales information. Impax also consider other factors, including significant market changes which may impact future expected returns, and actual product returns. Impax allow our customers to return product if approved by authorized personnel in writing or by telephone with the lot number and expiration date accompanying any request and if such products are returned within six months prior to or until twelve months following, the product’s expiration date. Impax estimates and recognizes an accrued provision for product returns as a percentage of gross sales based upon historical experience. Any changes from the historical trend rates are considered in determining the current sales return allowance. If the historical data Impax uses to calculate these estimates do not properly reflect future returns, then a change in the allowance would be made in the period in which such a determination is made and revenues in that period could be materially affected.

Cash discount accruals are based on payment terms extended to customers which are generally 2% to 3% of the gross selling price, as an incentive for paying within invoice terms, which generally range from 30 to 90 days. An estimate of cash discounts is recorded in the same period when revenue is recognized.

Government rebate accruals are based on estimated payments due to governmental agencies for purchases made by third parties under various governmental programs. U.S. Medicaid rebate accruals are generally based on historical payment data and estimates of future Medicaid beneficiary utilization applied to the Medicaid unit rebate formula established by the Center for Medicaid and Medicare Services. The accrual of the rebates associated with Medicaid Managed Care Organizations is calculated based on actual billings received from the states. Impax adjusts the rebate accruals as more information becomes available and to reflect actual claims experience. Effective January 1, 2011, manufacturers of pharmaceutical products are responsible for 50% of the patient's cost of branded prescription drugs related to the Medicare Part D Coverage Gap. In order to estimate the cost to us of this coverage gap responsibility, Impax analyzes the historical invoices. This expense is recognized throughout the year as costs are incurred. TRICARE is a health care program of the U.S. Department of Defense Military Health System that provides civilian health benefits for military personnel, military retirees and their dependents. TRICARE rebate accruals are based on estimated Department of Defense eligible sales multiplied by the TRICARE rebate formula.

Rebates and administrative fees are offered to certain customers, group purchasing organizations and pharmacy benefit managers, consistent with pharmaceutical industry practices. Settlement of rebates and fees may generally occur from one to 15 months from the date of sale. Impax provides a provision for rebates and administrative fees at the time of sale based on contracted rates and historical redemption rates. Assumptions used to establish the provision include level of customer inventories, contract sales mix and average contract pricing. Impax regularly reviews the information related to these estimates and adjust the provision accordingly.

Chargeback accruals are based on the differentials between product acquisition prices paid by wholesalers and lower contract pricing paid by eligible customers.

Distribution service fee accruals are based on contractual fees to be paid to the wholesale distributor for services provided.

A significant majority of Impax's gross to net accruals are the result of chargebacks and rebates and administrative fees, with the majority of those programs having an accrual to payment cycle of three months. In addition to this relatively short accrual to payment cycle, Impax receives monthly information from the wholesalers regarding their sales of its products and actual on hand inventory levels of its products. During the year ended December 31, 2017, the three large wholesalers account for 99% of Impax's chargebacks and 66% of its indirect sales rebates. Consistent with the pharmaceutical industry, the accrual to payment cycle for returns is longer and can take several years depending on the expiration of the related products. However, returns represent the smallest gross to net adjustment. Impax has not experienced any significant changes in its estimates as it relates to its chargebacks, rebates or returns in each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2017.

The following tables are rollforwards of the activity in the reserves for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 with an explanation for any significant changes in the accrual percentages (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
<u>Chargeback reserve</u>			
Beginning balance	\$ 151,978	\$ 102,630	\$ 43,125
Acquired balances	—	—	24,532
Provision recorded during the period	1,212,039	1,011,400	833,157
Credits issued during the period	(1,227,126)	(962,052)	(798,184)
Ending balance	<u>\$ 136,891</u>	<u>\$ 151,978</u>	<u>\$ 102,630</u>
Provision as a percent of gross product sales	42%	36%	34%

As noted in the table above, the provision for chargebacks, as a percent of gross product sales, increased to 42% in 2017 from 36% in 2016 primarily due to the change in products sales mix due to the Teva Transaction, which closed in August 2016 and which products carry a higher chargeback rate, a higher chargeback rate on both Fenofibrate and Budesonide product sales due to increase market competition in 2017 and lower product sales of Diclofenac Sodium Gel, which carried a lower chargeback rate.

The aggregate provision for chargebacks, as a percent of gross product sales, increased to 36% in 2016 from 34% in 2015 primarily as a result of product sales mix and inclusion of product sales from the Tower Acquisition and Teva Transaction.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
<u>Rebate reserve</u>			
Beginning balance	\$ 300,647	\$ 265,229	\$ 88,812
Acquired balances	—	—	75,447
Provision recorded during the period	663,724	768,629	571,642
Credits issued during the period	(769,104)	(733,211)	(470,672)
Ending balance	<u>\$ 195,267</u>	<u>\$ 300,647</u>	<u>\$ 265,229</u>
Provision as a percent of gross product sales	23%	27%	23%

As noted in the table above, the provision for rebates, as a percent of gross product sales, decreased from 27% during the year ended December 31, 2016 to 23% during the year ended December 31, 2017 as a result of lower product sales of Diclofenac Sodium Gel, which carried a higher rebate rate, and the discontinuation of the Amphetamine Salts IR products in May 2017, which carried a higher rebate rate.

The provision for rebates, as a percent of gross product sales, increased from 23% during the year ended December 31, 2015 to 27% during the year ended December 31, 2016 as a result of product sales mix, the formation of alliances between certain major wholesalers and major retailers and the inclusion of product sales from the Tower Acquisition, which carry a higher rebate rate.

The table above represents rebates in both the Impax Generics and Impax Specialty Pharma divisions. The payment mechanisms for rebates in the Impax Generics and Impax Specialty Pharma divisions are different, which impacts the location on its balance sheet. Only rebates in the Impax Generics division are shown, as Impax Specialty Pharma rebates are classified as Accrued Expenses on Impax's consolidated balance sheets.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Returns reserve			
Beginning balance	\$ 72,888	\$ 48,950	\$ 27,174
Acquired balances	—	—	11,364
Provision related to sales recorded in the period	47,709	52,383	43,967
Credits issued during the period	(44,304)	(28,445)	(33,555)
Ending balance	<u>\$ 76,293</u>	<u>\$ 72,888</u>	<u>\$ 48,950</u>
Provision as a percent of gross product sales	1.7%	1.9%	2.0%

As noted in the table above, the provision for returns as a percent of gross product sales decreased to 1.7% in 2017 compared to 1.9% in 2016 as a result of slightly lower historical returns experience.

The provision for returns as a percent of gross product sales decreased to 1.9% in 2016 compared to 2.0% in 2015 as a result of slightly lower historical returns experience.

Medicaid and Other Government Pricing Programs. As required by law, Impax provides a rebate payment on drugs dispensed under the Medicaid, Medicare Part D, TRICARE, and other U.S. government pricing programs. Impax determines its estimate of the accrued rebate reserve for government programs primarily based on historical experience of claims submitted by the various states, and other jurisdictions, as well as any new information regarding changes in the pricing programs that may impact its estimate of rebates. In determining the appropriate accrual amount, Impax considers historical payment rates and processing lag for outstanding claims and payments. Impax records estimates for government rebate payments as a deduction from gross sales, with corresponding adjustments to accrued liabilities. The accrual for payments under government pricing programs totaled \$60.3 million, \$72.1 million, and \$91.7 million as of December 31, 2017, December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Shelf-Stock Adjustments. Based upon competitive market conditions, Impax may reduce the selling price of some of its products to customers for certain future product shipments. Impax may issue a credit against the sales amount to a customer based upon its remaining inventory of the product in question, provided the customer agrees to continue to make future purchases of product from us. This type of customer credit is referred to as a shelf-stock adjustment, which is the difference between the sales price and the revised lower sales price, multiplied by an estimate of the number of product units on hand at a given date. Decreases in selling prices are discretionary decisions made by us in response to market conditions, including estimated launch dates of competing products and estimated declines in market price. The accrued reserve for shelf-stock adjustments totaled \$7.5 million, \$7.0 million, and \$6.6 million as of December 31, 2017, December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Rx Partner and OTC Partner. Each of Impax's Rx Partner and OTC Partner agreements contain multiple deliverables in the form of products, services and/or licenses over extended periods. FASB ASC Topic 605-25 supplemented SAB 104 and provides guidance for accounting for such multiple-element revenue arrangements. With respect to its multiple-element revenue arrangements that are material to its financial results, Impax determines whether any or all of the elements of the arrangement should be separated into individual units of accounting under FASB ASC Topic 605-25. If separation into individual units of accounting is appropriate, Impax recognizes revenue for each deliverable when the revenue recognition criteria specified by SAB 104 are achieved for the deliverable. If

separation is not appropriate, Impax recognizes revenue and related direct manufacturing costs over the estimated life of the agreement or its estimated expected period of performance using either the straight-line method or a modified proportional performance method.

The Rx Partners and OTC Partners agreements obligate us to deliver multiple goods and/or services over extended periods. Such deliverables include manufactured pharmaceutical products, exclusive and semi-exclusive marketing rights, distribution licenses, and research and development services. In exchange for these deliverables, Impax receives payments from its agreement partners for product shipments and research and development services, and may also receive other payments including royalty, profit sharing, upfront payments, and periodic milestone payments. Revenue received from Impax's partners for product shipments under these agreements is generally not subject to deductions for chargebacks, rebates, product returns, and other pricing adjustments. Royalty and profit sharing amounts Impax receives under these agreements are calculated by the respective agreement partner, with such royalty and profit share amounts generally based upon estimates of net product sales or gross profit which include estimates of deductions for chargebacks, rebates, product returns, and other adjustments the alliance agreement partners may negotiate with their customers. Impax records the agreement partner's adjustments to such estimated amounts in the period the agreement partner reports the amounts to us.

OTC Partner revenue was previously related to Impax's alliance and collaboration agreement with Pfizer, Inc., formerly Wyeth LLC ("Pfizer") and its supply agreement with L. Perrigo Company ("Perrigo") with respect to the supply of over-the-counter pharmaceutical product Loratadine and Pseudoephedrine Sulfate 5 mg/120 mg 12-hour Extended Release Tablets (the "D12 Product"). Following the expiration of its obligation to supply the D12 Product to Pfizer and Perrigo as described below, Impax does not currently sell any over-the-counter pharmaceutical products through this sales channel. Impax previously recognized profit share revenue in the period earned.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2016, Impax sold the ANDAs for both the D12 Product and Loratadine and Pseudoephedrine Sulfate 10 mg/240 mg 24-hour Extended Release Tablets, in addition to other specified assets, to Perrigo pursuant to an asset purchase agreement with Perrigo dated as of March 31, 2016 (the "Perrigo APA"). Under the terms of the Perrigo APA, Impax was required to continue to supply the D-12 Product to Pfizer and Perrigo until the date that was the earliest of (i) the date that Perrigo's manufacturing facility was approved to manufacture the D-12 Product and (ii) December 31, 2017. On November 30, 2017, Impax transferred manufacturing of the D12 Product to Perrigo and assigned and transferred its supply agreement with Pfizer in its entirety to Perrigo in accordance with the Perrigo APA.

Research Partner. Impax has entered into development agreements with unrelated third-party pharmaceutical companies under which Impax is collaborating in the development of five dermatological products, including four generic products and one branded dermatological product. Impax is not currently in the process of developing the branded dermatological product. Under each of the development agreements, Impax received an upfront fee with the potential to receive additional milestone payments upon completion of contractually specified clinical and regulatory milestones. Impax defers and recognizes revenue received from the achievement of contingent research and development milestones in the period such payment is earned. Impax will recognize royalty fee income, if any, as current period revenue when earned.

Estimated Lives of Alliance and Collaboration Agreements. Because Impax may defer revenue Impax receives under its alliance agreements, and recognize it over the estimated life of the related agreement, or its expected period of performance, Impax is required to estimate the recognition period under each such agreement in order to determine the amount of revenue to be recognized in each period. Sometimes this estimate is based on the fixed term of the particular alliance agreement. In other cases the estimate may be based on more subjective factors as noted in the following paragraphs. While changes to the estimated recognition periods have been infrequent, such changes, should they occur, may have a significant impact on Impax's consolidated financial statements.

Third-Party Research Agreements. In addition to its own research and development resources, Impax may use unrelated third-party vendors, including universities and independent research companies, to assist in its research and development activities. These vendors provide a range of research and development services to us, including clinical and bio-equivalency studies. Impax generally signs agreements with these vendors which establish the terms of each study performed by them, including, among other things, the technical specifications of the study, the payment schedule, and timing of work to be performed. Third-party researchers generally earn payments either upon

the achievement of a milestone, or on a pre-determined date, as specified in each study agreement. Impax accounts for third-party research and development expenses as they are incurred according to the terms and conditions of the respective agreement for each study performed, with an accrued expense at each balance sheet date for estimated fees and charges incurred by us, but not yet billed to us. Impax monitors aggregate actual payments and compare them to the estimated provisions to assess the reasonableness of the accrued expense balance at each quarterly balance sheet date.

Share-Based Compensation. Impax recognizes the grant date fair value of each option and restricted share over its vesting period. Stock options and restricted stock awards granted under the 2002 Plan generally vest over a four year period and, in the case of stock options, have a term of ten years. Impax estimates the fair value of each stock option award on the grant date using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model, wherein expected volatility is based on historical volatility of its common stock. Impax bases the expected term calculation on the “simplified” method described in SAB No. 107, Share-Based Payment and SAB No. 110, Share-Based Payment, because it provides a reasonable estimate in comparison to its actual experience. Impax bases the risk-free interest rate on the U.S. Treasury yield in effect at the time of grant for an instrument with a maturity that is commensurate with the expected term of the stock options. The dividend yield is zero as Impax has never paid cash dividends on its common stock, and have no present intention to pay cash dividends.

Income Taxes. Impax is subject to U.S. federal, state and local income taxes, Netherlands income tax, Republic of Ireland income tax and Taiwan R.O.C. income taxes. In accordance with U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 118 (“SAB 118”) the amounts recorded in the fourth quarter of 2017 related to the 2017 Tax Reform Act represent reasonable estimates based on Impax’s analysis to date and are considered to be provisional and subject to revision during 2018. Provisional amounts were recorded for the Transition Tax, and the re-measurement of its 2017 U.S. net deferred tax liabilities. These amounts are considered to be provisional as Impax continues to assess available tax methods and elections and refine its computations. In addition, further regulatory guidance related to the 2017 Tax Reform Act is expected to be issued in 2018 which may result in changes to Impax’s current estimates. Any revisions to the estimated impacts of the 2017 Tax Reform Act will be recorded quarterly until the computations are complete which is expected no later than the fourth quarter of 2018.

Significant management judgment is required in determining the provision for income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities, and any valuation allowance recorded against net deferred tax assets. The process involves summarizing temporary differences between the financial statement carrying values (in accordance with U.S. GAAP) and the tax bases of Impax’s assets and liabilities. These differences result in a net deferred tax asset or liability, which is included within the consolidated balance sheet. In addition, Impax is required to assess whether valuation allowances should be established against its deferred tax assets based on consideration of all available evidence using a “more likely than not” standard. To the extent a valuation allowance is established in a period, an expense must generally be recorded within the income tax provision in the statement of operations.

In assessing the realizability of its deferred tax assets, Impax considers whether it is more likely than not that its deferred tax assets will be realized based upon all available evidence, including, but not limited to, scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, prior earnings history, projected future earnings, carryback and carryforward periods and the feasibility of ongoing tax strategies that could potentially enhance the likelihood of the realization of a deferred tax asset. The weight Impax affords the evidence is commensurate with the extent the evidence may be objectively verified. As such, Impax did not rely on or project future taxable income (exclusive of reversing taxable temporary differences and carryforwards) to outweigh objective negative evidence of a recent financial reporting loss for the years ended December 31, 2017 or December 31, 2016.

In relying on the objectively verifiable negative evidence of the three-year cumulative loss, and in not considering or projecting taxable income under the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 740, “Income Taxes,” Impax confined its sources of income to realize the deferred tax assets to (1) carryback to recover taxes paid in the current year or prior years and (2) offsetting taxable amounts related to taxable temporary differences within the carryback or carryforward period for which deferred tax liabilities are more likely than not to be realized. The deferred tax liabilities consist of indefinite-lived acquired in-process research and development (“IPR&D”) product rights.

Impax's consolidated net deferred tax asset valuation allowance totaled \$184.6 million as of December 31, 2017, such that Impax realizes on a more likely than not basis, a tax-effected net deferred tax liability of \$3.2 million. If actual results differ from these estimates or these estimates are adjusted in future periods, the valuation allowance may need to be adjusted, which could materially impact Impax's financial position and results of operations. If sufficient positive evidence arises in the future indicating that all or a portion of the deferred tax assets meet the more likely than not standard for realization, the valuation allowance would be reduced accordingly in the period that such a conclusion is reached.

Impax recognizes the effect of income tax positions only if those positions are more likely than not of being sustained. Recognized income tax positions are measured at the largest amount that is greater than 50% likely of being realized. Impax reevaluates the effect of uncertain income tax positions on a quarterly basis, and any changes in recognition or measurement are reflected in the period in which the change in judgment occurs. This evaluation is based on factors including, but not limited to, changes in facts and circumstances, changes in tax law, effectively settled issues, and new audit activity. Any changes in these factors could result in changes to a tax benefit or tax provision.

Contingencies. In the normal course of business, Impax is subject to loss contingencies, such as legal proceedings and claims arising out of its business, covering a wide range of matters, including, among others, patent litigation, stockholder lawsuits, and product and clinical trial liability. In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 450, "Contingencies," Impax records accrued loss contingencies when it is probable a liability will be incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. Impax do not recognize gain contingencies until they have been realized.

Intangible Assets. Impax's intangible assets include both finite lived and indefinite lived assets. Finite lived intangible assets, consisting of marketed product rights and royalties received from product sales by Impax's third party partners, are amortized over the estimated useful life of the asset based on the pattern in which the economic benefits are expected to be consumed or otherwise used up or, if that pattern is not readily determinable, on a straight-line basis. Indefinite-lived intangible assets consist of acquired IPR&D product rights and acquired future royalty rights to be paid based on other companies' net sales of products not yet approved. IPR&D assets acquired in a business combination are considered indefinite-lived until the completion or abandonment of the associated research and development efforts. Amortization over the estimated useful life will commence at the time of the respective product's launch. If FDA approval to market the product is not obtained, Impax will immediately expense the related capitalized cost.

Finite lived intangible assets are tested for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the asset may not be recoverable. All of Impax's indefinite-lived intangible assets are tested for impairment at least annually during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year, or more often if indicators of impairment are present. Impairment testing requires management to estimate the future undiscounted cash flows of an intangible asset using assumptions believed to be reasonable, but which are unpredictable and inherently uncertain. Actual future cash flows may differ from the estimates used in the impairment testing. Impax recognizes an impairment loss when and to the extent that the estimated fair value of an intangible asset is less than its carrying value.

Goodwill . In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 350, "Goodwill and Other Intangibles," rather than recording periodic amortization of goodwill, goodwill is subject to an annual assessment for impairment. Under FASB ASC Topic 350, if the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the reporting unit's carrying value, including goodwill, then goodwill is considered not impaired, making further analysis not required. Impax considers each of its Impax Generics division and Impax Specialty Pharma division operating segments to be a reporting unit, as this is the lowest level for each of which discrete financial information is available. Impax attributes \$59.7 million of goodwill to the Impax Specialty Pharma division and \$147.6 million of goodwill to the Impax Generics division.

Impax concluded the carrying value of goodwill was not impaired as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, as the fair value of the Impax Specialty Pharma division and the Impax Generics division exceeded their respective carrying values at each date. In the fourth quarter of 2017, Impax determined that it was not more likely than not that the fair value of goodwill was less than its carrying value. As a result Impax did not perform a quantitative analysis. In the fourth quarter of 2016, Impax performed a quantitative analysis and estimated the fair value of the Impax Specialty Pharma division and the Impax Generics division using a discounted cash flow model for both the reporting unit and the enterprise, as well as earnings and revenue multiples per common share outstanding for enterprise fair value. In addition, on a quarterly basis, Impax performs a review of its business operations to determine whether events or changes in circumstances have occurred that could have a material adverse effect on the estimated fair value of each reporting unit, and thus indicate a potential impairment of the goodwill carrying value. If such events or changes in circumstances were deemed to have occurred, Impax would perform an interim impairment analysis, which may include the preparation of a discounted cash flow model, or consultation with one or more valuation specialists, to analyze the impact, if any, on its assessment of the reporting unit's fair value.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Recently issued accounting standards are discussed in Note 5 of the consolidated financial statements of Impax included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Impax's cash is held on deposit in demand accounts at large financial institutions in amounts in excess of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance coverage limit of \$250,000 per depositor, per FDIC-insured bank, per ownership category. Impax's cash equivalents are comprised of highly-rated money market funds. Impax had no short-term investments as of December 31, 2017 or December 31, 2016.

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash equivalents and accounts receivable. Impax limits its credit risk associated with cash equivalents by placing investments with high credit quality securities, including U.S. government securities, treasury bills, corporate debt, short-term commercial paper and highly-rated money market funds. Impax is party to a Term Loan facility of \$400.0 million (of which \$325.0 million is outstanding as of December 31, 2017) and a Revolving Credit Facility, of up to \$200.0 million pursuant to the RBC Credit Facilities. The amount under Impax's Revolving Credit Facility is available for working capital and other general corporate purposes. Impax also issued the Notes in a private placement offering on June 30, 2015, which are its senior unsecured obligations, as described above under "Outstanding Debt Obligations."

Impax limits its credit risk with respect to accounts receivable by performing credit evaluations when deemed necessary. Impax does not require collateral to secure amounts owed to us by its customers. Impax recorded a reserve in the amount of \$48.0 million on its consolidated statement of operations for the period ended March 31, 2016, representing the full amount of the estimated receivable due from Turing for reimbursement of Daraprim®

chargebacks and Medicaid rebate liabilities as of March 31, 2016. During the fourth quarter of 2016, Impax received \$7.7 million in payments from Turing. During the year ended December 31, 2017, Impax increased the reserve balance by a net \$4.0 million, consisting of a \$5.0 million increase in the reserve resulting from additional Medicaid rebate claims received during the period and a \$1.0 million reduction in the reserve resulting from payments received from Turing during the period. As of December 31, 2017, the \$44.3 million estimated receivable due from Turing was fully reserved.

Prior to June 30, 2015, Impax had no derivative assets or liabilities and did not engage in any hedging activities. As a result of its June 30, 2015 issuance of the Notes described, Impax entered into a series of convertible note hedge and warrant transactions (the “Note Hedge Transactions” and “Warrant Transactions”) which are designed to reduce the potential dilution to its stockholders and/or offset the cash payments Impax is required to make in excess of the principal amount upon conversion of the Notes.

Impax does not use derivative financial instruments or engage in hedging activities in its ordinary course of business and have no material foreign currency exchange exposure or commodity price risks.

Impax does not believe that inflation has had a significant impact on its revenues or operations to date.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of Impax for the period described herein and related notes to the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of Impax for the period included elsewhere herein.

Overview

Impax is a specialty pharmaceutical company applying formulation and development expertise, as well as our drug delivery technology, to the development, manufacture and marketing of bioequivalent pharmaceutical products, commonly referred to as "generics," in addition to the development, manufacture and marketing of branded products. We operate in two segments, referred to as "Impax Generics" and "Impax Specialty Pharma." Impax Generics concentrates its efforts on generic products, which are the pharmaceutical and therapeutic equivalents of brand-name drug products and are usually marketed under their established nonproprietary drug names rather than by a brand name. Impax Specialty Pharma utilizes its specialty sales force to market proprietary branded pharmaceutical products for the treatment of CNS disorders and other select specialty segments. We sell our Impax Generics division products within the continental United States and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. We have no sales in foreign countries.

Effective on May 4, 2018, we completed the previously announced business combination with Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC ("Amneal") pursuant to the Business Combination Agreement dated October 17, 2017, as amended on November 21, 2017 and December 16, 2017 (the "BCA") with Atlas Holdings, Inc. (now Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc., as described below), a Delaware corporation and a then wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company ("Holdco"), K2Merger Sub Corporation, a Delaware corporation and a then wholly-owned subsidiary of Holdco ("Merger Sub"), and Amneal. The Business Combination Agreement was unanimously approved by our board of directors on October 16, 2017 and approved by the Company's shareholders on March 27, 2018.

At the closing of the transactions contemplated by the BCA, (i) Merger Sub merged with and into our company (the "Impax Merger"), with our company surviving the Impax Merger as a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Holdco, (ii) each share of our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share ("Company Common Stock"), issued and outstanding immediately prior to the Impax Merger, other than our Common Stock held by us in treasury, by Amneal or by any of their respective subsidiaries, was converted into the right to receive one fully paid and nonassessable share of Class A common stock of Holdco, par value \$0.01 per share ("Holdco Class A Common Stock"), (iii) we converted to a limited liability company pursuant to the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware and the Delaware Limited Liability Company Act, (iv) Holdco contributed to Amneal all of Holdco's equity interests in our company to Amneal, in exchange for common units of Amneal (the "Contribution"), (v) Holdco issued an aggregate number of shares of Class B common stock of Holdco, par value \$0.01 per share ("Holdco Class B Common Stock", and together with Holdco Class A Common Stock, "Holdco Common Stock") to the existing members of Amneal (the "Amneal Members") and (vi) Holdco became the managing member of Amneal. In connection with the Closing, Holdco was renamed Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("New Amneal").

Immediately following the Closing, (i) the Amneal Members held 100% of Holdco Class B Common Stock, which, together with their common units of Amneal, represented approximately 75% of the voting power and economic interests in New Amneal, and (ii) our stockholders immediately prior to the Closing held 100% of the Holdco Class A Common Stock, which represented approximately 25% of the voting power and economic interests in New Amneal.

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended March 31, 2018 Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2017

Overview

The following table sets forth our summarized, consolidated results of operations for the three month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Increase / (Decrease)	
	2018	2017	Dollars	Percentage
Total revenues	\$ 142,355	\$ 184,403	\$ (42,048)	(23)%
Gross profit	30,280	24,891	5,389	22%
Loss from operations	(124,876)	(51,804)	(73,072)	*
Loss before income taxes	(138,222)	(67,530)	(70,692)	*
(Benefit from) provision for income taxes	(7,290)	30,901	(38,191)	*
Net loss	\$ (130,932)	\$ (98,431)	\$ (32,501)	(33)%

* Percentage exceeds 100%

Consolidated total revenues for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 decreased by 23%, or \$42.0 million, to \$142.4 million compared to \$184.4 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2017. The decrease was attributable to lower Impax Generics division product sales, partially offset by higher Impax Specialty Pharma division product sales. Selling price for existing products decreased consolidated total revenues by 13.1%, while volumes for existing products decreased consolidated total revenues by 11.7%, in each case compared to the same period of 2017. The decrease in selling price was primarily the result of additional competition during the three month period ended March 31, 2018 in fenofibrate, budesonide, diclofenac gel and generic Adderall XR[®]. The volume decrease was primarily due to discontinuation of certain product productions and increased competition. New product launches increased consolidated total revenues by 2.0% compared to the same period of 2017.

Revenues from our Impax Generics division decreased by \$51.0 million during the three month period ended March 31, 2018, as compared to the prior year period. The decrease was primarily due to lower sales of budesonide, generic Adderall XR[®], oxymorphone ER, epinephrine auto-injector, fenofibrate, diclofenac gel and metaxalone in each case compared to the prior year period.

Revenues from our Impax Specialty Pharma division increased by \$9.0 million during the three month period ended March 31, 2018, as compared to the prior year period. The increase was primarily due to higher sales of Rytary[®] and of our anthelmintic products franchise, in each case compared to the prior year period.

Net loss for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 was \$130.9 million, an increase of \$32.5 million compared to a net loss of \$98.4 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2017. The increase in net loss for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 as compared to the prior year period was primarily due to a \$84.5 million litigation charge related to our settlement of claims with the plaintiffs in the class action antitrust suits related to Solodyn[®] during the period. See "Note 18. Legal and Regulatory Matters" for a description of the claims and settlement. The litigation settlement charge was partially offset by a \$38.2 million reduction in tax expense and an approximate \$45.4 million reduction in intangible asset impairment charges for which there were no comparable charges during the current year period.

Impax Generics

The following table sets forth results of operations for Impax Generics for the three month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands):

	<u>Three Months Ended March 31,</u>		<u>Increase / (Decrease)</u>	
	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>Dollars</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Revenues:				
Impax Generics, net	\$ 83,141	\$ 134,147	\$(51,006)	(38)%
Cost of revenues	95,037	103,335	(8,298)	(8)%
Cost of revenues impairment charges	—	39,280	(39,280)	*
Gross loss	<u>(11,896)</u>	<u>(8,468)</u>	<u>(3,428)</u>	<u>(40)%</u>
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative	7,556	6,468	1,088	17%
Research and development	9,616	17,396	(7,780)	(45)%
In-process research and development impairment charges	—	6,079	(6,079)	*
Litigation, settlements and related charges	84,597	368	84,229	*
Total operating expenses	<u>101,769</u>	<u>30,311</u>	<u>71,458</u>	<u>*</u>
Loss from operations	<u>\$ (113,665)</u>	<u>\$ (38,779)</u>	<u>\$(74,886)</u>	<u>*</u>

* Percentage exceeds 100%

Revenues

Total revenues for the Impax Generics division for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 were \$83.1 million, a decrease of \$51.0 million, or 38%, over the prior year period. The decrease compared to the prior year period was primarily due to lower sales of budesonide, amphetamine IR, gAdderall XR[®], oxymorphone ER, epinephrine auto-injector, fenofibrate, and diclofenac sodium gel, partially offset by higher sales of oxycodone ER and the launch of ezetimibe/simvastatin and minocycline ER.

Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 was \$95.0 million, a decrease of \$8.3 million compared to the prior year period. The decrease was primarily attributable to lower product sales, \$5.2 million lower costs related to the closure of our Middlesex, New Jersey facility and a decrease of \$3.5 million associated with intangible asset amortization expenses. These reductions in cost of revenues were offset by a \$6.9 million charge related to a supplier take-pay agreement and increases in inventory reserves. See "Item 1. Financial Information - Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements - Note 14. Restructurings" for additional information related the reduction-in-force of our technical operations group at the closure of our Middlesex facility.

Cost of Revenues Impairment Charges

There were no cost of revenues impairment charges in the three month period ended March 31, 2018. Cost of revenues impairment charges were \$39.3 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2017. The \$39.3 million of first quarter 2017 impairment charges were due to continued significant price and volume erosion during the quarter on two currently marketed products acquired on August 3, 2016 as part of our acquisition of certain assets from Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., and Allergan plc, the (“Teva Transaction”), without an offsetting increase in customer demand, resulting in significantly lower expected future cash flows.

Gross Loss

Gross loss for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 was \$11.9 million, or 14% of total revenues, as compared to gross loss of \$8.5 million, or 6% of total revenues, for the prior year period. The increase in gross loss compared to the prior year period were primarily due to lower product revenue as a result of significant product price erosion and manufacturing inefficiencies partially offset by the lower impairment charges during the current year period as noted above.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general, and administrative expenses for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 were \$7.6 million, as compared to \$6.5 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2017. The \$1.1 million increase was primarily due to failure to supply charges and higher marketing costs.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 were \$9.6 million, as compared to \$17.4 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2017. The \$7.8 million decrease from the prior year period was primarily due to lower internal development costs and lower personnel costs resulting from the closure of our Generic Division’s research and development site in Middlesex, New Jersey.

In-Process Research and Development Impairment Charges

There were no in-process research and development impairment charges during the three month period ended March 31, 2018. In-process research and development impairment charges were \$6.1 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2017. The \$6.1 million of first quarter 2017 impairment charges were due to increased estimated research and development expenses and a delay in the anticipated product launch on a product candidate acquired in the Teva Transaction due to a change in the regulatory strategy to secure FDA approval of such product.

Litigation, Settlements and Related Charges

During the three months ended March 31, 2018, we recorded a litigation settlement charge of \$84.5 million related to our settlement of claims with the plaintiffs in the class action antitrust suits related to Solodyn[®]. See “Item 1. Financial Information—Notes to interim Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 18. Legal and Regulatory Matters” for a description of the claims and settlement.

Impax Specialty Pharma

The following table sets forth results of operations for Impax Specialty Pharma for the three month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands):

	<u>Three Months Ended March 31,</u>		<u>Increase / (Decrease)</u>	
	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>Dollars</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Revenues:				
Rytary [®] , net	\$ 26,508	\$ 19,905	\$ 6,603	33%
Zomig [®] , net	10,478	9,857	621	6%
All other Specialty Pharma Products, net	22,228	20,494	1,734	8%
Total revenues	59,214	50,256	8,958	18%
Cost of revenues	17,038	16,897	141	1%
Gross profit	42,176	33,359	8,817	26%
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative	17,620	16,330	1,290	8%
Research and development	2,680	5,093	(2,413)	(47)%
Litigation, settlements and related charges	940	704	236	34%
Total operating expenses	21,240	22,127	(887)	(4)%
Income from operations	<u>\$ 20,936</u>	<u>\$ 11,232</u>	<u>\$ 9,704</u>	86%

* *Percentage exceeds 100%*

Revenues

Total revenues for the Impax Specialty Pharma division for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 were \$59.2 million, an increase of \$9.0 million, or 18%, over the prior year period. The increase from the prior year period was primarily due to higher sales of Rytary[®] and of our anthelmintic products franchise.

Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 was \$17.0 million, an increase of \$0.1 million compared to the prior year period. The increase was primarily attributable to higher sales and intangibles amortization, partially offset by reduced short dated inventory reserves, in each case compared to the prior year period.

Gross Profit

Gross profit for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 was \$42.2 million, or 71% of total revenues, as compared to gross profit of \$33.4 million, or 66% of total revenues, for the prior year period. The increases in gross profit and gross margin were primarily due to higher revenues, and lower short dated inventory reserves, as noted above, in each case compared to the prior year period, partially offset by higher intangibles amortization.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general, and administrative expenses for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 were \$17.6 million, as compared to \$16.3 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2017. The \$1.3 million increase from the prior year period was primarily due higher payroll and benefits, higher advertising and promotion costs related to Emverm[®] and higher costs related to the expanded sales force.

Research and Development

Research and development expenses for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 were \$2.7 million, as compared to \$5.1 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2017. The \$2.4 million decrease from the prior year period was primarily due to a \$1.7 million increase in the amount of reimbursement from AstraZeneca to us related to the juvenile toxicity study and pediatric study required by the FDA under the Pediatric Research Equity Act for approval of the nasal formulation of Zomig[®].

Litigation, Settlements and Related Charges

Expenses for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 were \$0.9 million, as compared to \$0.7 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2017. The \$0.2 million increase from the prior year period was primarily due to increased legal activity related to Rytary[®].

Corporate and Other

The following table sets forth corporate general and administrative expenses, as well as other items of income and expense presented below income or loss from operations for the three month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands):

	<u>Three Months Ended March 31,</u>		<u>Increase / (Decrease)</u>	
	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>Dollars</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
General and administrative expenses	\$ 32,147	\$ 24,257	\$ 7,890	33%
Unallocated corporate expenses	(32,147)	(24,257)	(7,890)	(33)%
Interest expense, net	(13,692)	(13,226)	(466)	(4)%
Loss on sale of assets	(385)	—	(385)	*
Loss on debt extinguishment	—	(1,215)	1,215	*
Other income (expense), net	731	(1,285)	2,016	*
Loss before income taxes	(45,493)	(39,983)	(5,510)	(14)%
(Benefit from) provision for income taxes	\$ (7,290)	\$ 30,901	\$ (38,191)	*

* *Percentage exceeds 100%*

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 were \$32.1 million, as compared to \$24.3 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2017. The \$7.9 million increase compared to the prior year period was primarily due to higher legal expenses of \$5.0 million and higher business development spending of \$4.8 million. These higher expenses were partially offset by lower employee-related costs compared to the prior year period.

Interest Expense, net

Interest expense, net was \$13.7 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2018, a \$0.5 million increase from the three month period ended March 31, 2017. Interest income was \$0.4 million for the the three months ended March 31, 2018, compared to \$0.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2017. The increase in interest expense was primarily due to an increase in amortization of debt issuance costs and accretion of debt discount on our \$600.0 million convertible senior notes issued in 2015, and an increase in cash interest on our \$400.0 million Term Loan with Royal Bank of Canada. Refer to “Outstanding Debt Obligations” below for additional information related to our outstanding convertible notes and credit facilities.

Loss of sale of assets

The loss on sale of assets recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2018 related to the sale of our Taiwan facility and legal entity. There was no comparable loss in the prior year period.

Other Income (Expense), net

Other income, net was \$0.7 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2018, as compared to other expense, net of \$1.3 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2017. The \$2.0 million increase in other income, net from the prior year period was primarily due to foreign exchange gains.

Income Taxes

During the three month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, we recognized aggregate consolidated tax benefit of (\$7.3) million and a consolidated tax expense of \$30.9 million, respectively, for U.S. domestic and foreign income taxes. The effective tax rate for the three month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 was 5.3% and (45.8)%, respectively. The amount of tax benefit recorded for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 and the tax expense recorded for the three month period ended March 31, 2017 were both calculated using the discrete effective tax rate method.

Management assesses the available positive and negative evidence to estimate whether sufficient future taxable income will be generated to permit use of the existing deferred tax assets. A significant piece of objective evidence that management evaluated was the cumulative loss incurred over the three year period ended December 31, 2017. Such objective evidence limits our ability to consider other subjective evidence, such as projected taxable income.

On the basis of this evaluation, as of December 31, 2017, we had a valuation allowance of \$185.9 million. During the three month period ended March 31, 2018, we considered new evidence, both positive and negative, that could impact management's assessment with regard to future realization of deferred tax assets. Based on the cumulative loss over the three year period ended March 31, 2018, an additional valuation allowance in the amount of \$22.6 million was recorded against the gross deferred tax asset balance for a total valuation allowance of \$208.5 million as of March 31, 2018.

Although the Company continued to be in a three year cumulative loss as of the first quarter 2018 and incurred a loss in the first quarter of 2018, the Company recorded a tax benefit of (\$7.3) million due to its ability to fully utilize the carryback of the \$20.7 million capital loss on the sale of its Taiwan subsidiary in February 2018. Under the Internal Revenue Code's ordering of losses rules, the capital loss amount displaced the Net Operating Loss (NOL) previously utilized and the amount is essentially converted into an NOL before being carried back three years. This \$20.7 million capital loss carryback loss was able to be benefited at the 35% rate in the 2015 carryback year.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We generally fund our operations with cash flows from operating activities, although we have also funded our operations with proceeds from the sale of debt and equity securities. Our cash flows from operating activities consist primarily of the proceeds from sales of our products and services.

We expect to incur significant operating expenses, including research and development and patent litigation expenses, for the foreseeable future. In addition, we are generally required to make cash expenditures to manufacture and/or acquire finished product inventory in advance of selling the finished product to our customers and collecting payment, which may result in a significant use of cash. We believe our existing cash and cash equivalents, together with cash expected to be generated from operations and our revolving line of credit facility, will be sufficient to meet our financing requirements through the next 12 months. We may, however, seek additional financing through alliance, collaboration, and licensing agreements, as well as from the debt and equity capital markets, to fund capital expenditures, research and development plans, potential acquisitions, and potential revenue shortfalls due to delays in new product introductions or otherwise. We cannot be assured that such financing will be available on favorable terms, or at all.

Cash Flows - Three Months Ended March 31, 2018 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2017

Net cash used in operating activities for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 was \$85.5 million, compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$39.8 million for the same period of the prior year. Our cash flows are impacted by our underlying results from operations and related timing of cash receipts and cash disbursements. The lower net cash flow from operating activities was primarily due to a decrease in total revenues and payments associated with the settlement of claims with the plaintiffs in the class action antitrust suits related to Solodyn[®] during the period, for which there were no comparable charges during the prior year period, offset by favorable working capital changes due to working capital management improvements.

Net cash provided by investing activities for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 was \$13.8 million, an increase of \$22.0 million compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$8.2 million for the same period of the prior year. The period over period increase in net cash provided by investing activities was primarily due to cash receipts totaling \$17.8 million from the sale of Impax Laboratories (Taiwan), Inc. and assets located at the Company's Middlesex, New Jersey facilities received during the quarter and a \$4.7 million decrease in purchases of property, plant and equipment, compared to the prior year period.

Net cash used in financing activities for the three month period ended March 31, 2018 was \$5.5 million, a decrease of \$50.6 million compared to \$56.1 million net cash used in financing activities for the same period of the prior year. During the three months ended March 31, 2018, \$5.0 million of principal payments were made on the \$400.0 million of proceeds from the term loan entered into with Royal Bank of Canada to finance the Teva Transaction in 2016, compared to \$55.0 million for the same period of the prior year. Refer to "Outstanding Debt Obligations" below for additional information regarding our outstanding term loan, convertible notes and credit facilities.

Outstanding Debt Obligations

Royal Bank of Canada Credit Facilities

On August 3, 2016, we entered into a restatement agreement with Royal Bank of Canada, as administrative agent, and the lenders and guarantors party thereto (the "Restatement Agreement"). The Restatement Agreement amends and restates the existing Revolving Credit Facility Agreement (as amended and restated and amended to date, the "Amended and Restated Credit Agreement") to, among other things, (i) add a term loan feature to allow for the borrowing of up to \$400.0 million of term loans (the "Term Loan Facility") by us in accordance with the terms of the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, (ii) increase the aggregate principal amount of revolving loans permitted under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Revolving Credit Facility," and, together with the Term Loan Facility, the "RBC Credit Facilities"), from \$100.0 million to \$200.0 million; and (iii) extend the maturity date of the Revolving Credit Facility from August 4, 2020 to August 3, 2021. On March 27, 2017, we entered into Amendment No. 1 by and among us, Royal Bank of Canada, as administrative agent, and the lenders party thereto (the "Amendment") to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.

Borrowings under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement will accrue interest at a rate equal to LIBOR or the base rate, plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin may be increased or reduced by 0.25% based on our total net leverage ratio. Up to \$12.5 million of the Revolving Credit Facility is available for issuance of letters of credit and any such letters of credit will reduce the amount available under the Revolving Credit Facility on a dollar-for-dollar basis. We are required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders on the average daily unused portion of the Revolving Credit Facility at 0.50% or 0.375% per annum, depending on our total net leverage ratio.

The Amended and Restated Credit Agreement contains certain negative covenants (subject to exceptions, materiality thresholds and other allowances) including, without limitation, negative covenants that limit our and our restricted subsidiaries' ability to incur additional debt, guarantee other obligations, grant liens on assets, make loans, acquisitions or other investments, dispose of assets, make optional payments in connection with or modify certain debt instruments, pay dividends or make other payments on capital stock, engage in mergers or consolidations, enter into arrangements that restrict our and our restricted subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends or grant liens, engage in transactions with affiliates, or change our fiscal year. Prior to the effective date of the Amendment on March 27, 2017, the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement also included a financial maintenance covenant whereby we must not

permit our total net leverage ratio in any 12-month period to exceed 5.00:1.00, as tested at the end of each fiscal quarter. Effective as of March 27, 2017 and pursuant to the Amendment, the total net leverage ratio financial covenant was replaced with a new senior secured net leverage ratio financial covenant. Pursuant to the Amendment, we must not permit our senior secured net leverage ratio to exceed 2.50:1.00 and the interest coverage ratio to be less than 3.00:1.00, in each case in any 12-month period, as tested at the end of each fiscal quarter. We were in compliance with all of our covenants under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement as of March 31, 2018.

The Amended and Restated Credit Agreement contains events of default, including, without limitation (subject to customary grace periods and materiality thresholds), events of default upon (i) the failure to make payments pursuant to the terms of the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, (ii) violation of covenants, (iii) incorrectness of representations and warranties, (iv) cross-default and cross-acceleration to other material indebtedness, (v) bankruptcy events, (vi) material monetary judgments (to the extent not covered by insurance), (vii) certain matters arising under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, that could reasonably be expected to result in a material adverse effect, (viii) the actual or asserted invalidity of the documents governing the RBC Credit Facilities, any material guarantees or the security interests (including priority thereof) required under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement and (ix) the occurrence of a change of control (as defined therein). Upon the occurrence of certain events of default, the obligations under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement may be accelerated and any remaining commitments thereunder may be terminated.

The full amount of proceeds from the Term Loan Facility of \$400.0 million, along with \$196.4 million of cash were used to finance the Teva Transaction (including transaction costs) at closing on August 3, 2016. As of March 31, 2018, \$199.7 million Revolving Credit Facility remained available to us for working capital and other general corporate purposes.

In connection with the Term Loan Facility, we incurred \$11.0 million of debt issuance costs for banking, legal and accounting fees and other expenses during the third quarter of 2016. In connection with the Amendment, we incurred \$0.8 million of debt issuance costs for banking fees during the first quarter of 2017. These debt issuance costs were recorded on our consolidated balance sheet as a reduction to the current and long-term portions of debt related to the Term Loan Facility. These deferred debt issuance costs will be accreted to interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method. In connection with the increase in the aggregate principal amount of revolving loans permitted under the Revolving Credit Facility, we incurred \$0.8 million of debt issuance costs for banking fees which were recorded as an asset with current and long-term portions on our consolidated balance sheet. These deferred debt issuance costs, in addition to the \$0.3 million balance remaining from the initial \$100.0 million revolving credit facility, are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the Revolving Credit Facility using the straight-line method.

For the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, we recognized \$4.7 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, of interest expense related to the Term Loan Facility, of which \$4.2 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, was cash and \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, was non-cash accretion of the debt discount recorded for deferred debt issuance costs. As of March 31, 2018, the Term Loan Facility had a carrying value of \$313.0 million, of which \$17.9 million is classified as current debt and \$295.1 million is classified as long-term debt on our consolidated balance sheets. The Term Loan Facility requires us to make quarterly principal payments of \$5.0 million beginning from December 2016 through June 2021, and the remaining principal balance is due and payable in August 2021. As of March 31, 2018, the outstanding principal amount for the Term Loan Facility was \$320.0 million.

Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt - Voluntary Prepayment of \$50.0 Million of Principal - RBC Term Loan Facility

On February 28, 2017, we made a voluntary prepayment in the amount of \$50.3 million under our Term Loan Facility, representing \$50.0 million of principal amount and \$0.3 million of accrued interest thereon. As a result of this voluntary prepayment, for the quarter ended March 31, 2017, we recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$1.2 million to write-off a pro rated portion of the related unaccrued debt issuance costs.

Term Loan Repayment

In accordance with the terms of the BCA, in connection with the Closing on May 4, 2018, the Company repaid in full all outstanding amounts under its Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of August 3, 2016 and as amended on March 27, 2017 by and among Impax, Royal Bank of Canada, as administrative agent and collateral agent, and the lenders and other parties from time to time party thereto (the “Credit Agreement”), and terminated the Credit Agreement and all commitments by the lenders to extent further credit thereunder. Refer to “Item 1. Financial Information—Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 21. Subsequent Events” for additional information related to the Closing.

2% Convertible Senior Notes due June 2022

On June 30, 2015, we issued an aggregate principal amount of \$600.0 million of 2.00% Convertible Senior Notes due June 2022 (the “Notes”) in a private placement offering, which are our senior unsecured obligations. The Notes were issued pursuant to an Indenture dated June 30, 2015 (the “Indenture”) between us and Wilmington Trust, N.A. as trustee. The Indenture includes customary covenants and sets forth certain events of default after which the Notes may be due and payable immediately. The Notes will mature on June 15, 2022, unless earlier redeemed, repurchased or converted. The Notes bear interest at a rate of 2.00% per year, and interest is payable semiannually in arrears on June 15 and December 15 of each year, beginning from December 15, 2015.

The conversion rate for the Notes is initially set at 15.7858 shares per \$1,000 of principal amount, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of \$63.35 per share of our common stock. If a Make-Whole Fundamental Change (as defined in the Indenture) occurs or becomes effective prior to the maturity date and a holder elects to convert its Notes in connection with the Make-Whole Fundamental Change, we are obligated to increase the conversion rate for the Notes so surrendered by a number of additional shares of our common stock as prescribed in the Indenture. Additionally, the conversion rate is subject to adjustment in the event of an equity restructuring transaction such as a stock dividend, stock split, spinoff, rights offering, or recapitalization through a large, nonrecurring cash dividend (“standard antidilution provisions,” per FASB ASC 815-40, *Contracts in Entity’s Own Equity* (“ASC 815-40”)).

The Notes are convertible at the option of the holders at any time prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding December 15, 2021 only under the following circumstances:

- (i) If during any calendar quarter commencing after the quarter ending September 30, 2015 (and only during such calendar quarter) the last reported sale price of our common stock for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter is greater than 130% of the conversion price on each applicable trading day; or

-
- (ii) If during the five business day period after any 10 consecutive trading day period (the “measurement period”) in which the trading price per \$1,000 of principal amount of Notes for each trading day of the measurement period was less than 98% of the product of the last report sale price of our common stock and the conversion rate on each such trading day; or
 - (iii) Upon the occurrence of corporate events specified in the Indenture.

On or after December 15, 2021 until the close of business on the second scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date, the holders may convert their Notes at any time, regardless of the foregoing circumstances. We may satisfy our conversion obligation by paying or delivering, as the case may be, cash, shares of our common stock, or a combination of cash and shares of our common stock, at our election and in the manner and subject to the terms and conditions provided in the Indenture.

Concurrently with the offering of the Notes and using a portion of the proceeds from the sale of the Notes, we entered into a series of convertible note hedge and warrant transactions (the “Note Hedge Transactions” and “Warrant Transactions”) which are designed to reduce the potential dilution to our stockholders and/or offset the cash payments we are required to make in excess of the principal amount upon conversion of the Notes. The Note Hedge Transactions and Warrant Transactions are separate transactions, in each case, entered into by us with a financial institution and are not part of the terms of the Notes. These transactions will not affect any holder’s rights under the Notes, and the holders of the Notes have no rights with respect to the Note Hedge Transactions and Warrant Transactions. See “Note 11. Stockholders’ Equity” for additional information.

For the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, we recognized \$9.2 million and \$8.7 million, respectively, of interest expense related to the Notes, of which \$3.0 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, was cash and \$6.2 million and \$5.7 million, respectively, was non-cash accretion of the debt discounts recorded. As the Notes mature in 2022, they have been classified as long-term debt on our consolidated balance sheets, with a carrying value of \$476.0 million and \$469.9 million as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. Accrued interest payable on the Notes of \$3.5 million as of March 31, 2018 and \$0.5 million as of December 31, 2017 is included in accrued expenses on our consolidated balance sheets.

We may elect to purchase or otherwise retire all or a portion of our Notes with cash, stock or other assets from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, either directly or through intermediaries, or by tender offer when we believe the market conditions are favorable to do so.

Supplemental Indenture related to 2% Convertible Senior Notes Due 2022

On November 6, 2017, we entered into a supplemental indenture (the “First Supplemental Indenture”) to the Indenture. The First Supplemental Indenture was entered into to effectuate certain amendments to the Indenture in connection with the consummation of our consent solicitation with respect to the Notes on October 30, 2017, seeking consents from holders of the Notes to the proposed amendments as set forth in the First Supplemental Indenture. The First Supplemental Indenture (a) amends a covenant in the Indenture relating to our corporate existence, (b) allows us to satisfy our reporting requirements by providing reports of any parent entity, (c) adds a provision to the Indenture requiring us to make and consummate a tender offer for any outstanding notes under the Indenture, and (d) expressly authorizes us to consummate the transactions contemplated by the BCA with Amneal. See “Overview” above for a description of the BCA and the proposed transaction with Amneal.

In connection with the Closing, on May 4, 2018, the Company, Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Wilmington Trust, National Association, as trustee (the “Trustee”), entered into the Second Supplemental Indenture (the “Second Supplemental Indenture”) with respect to the Indenture dated as of June 30, 2015 (the “Indenture”), as amended by the First Supplemental Indenture dated as of November 6, 2017, governing the Company’s 2.00% Convertible Senior Notes due 2022 (the “Notes”). The Second Supplemental Indenture (x) made New Amneal a party to the Indenture and (y) changed the right to convert each \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes into a right to convert such principal amount of Notes into shares of Class A Common Stock, cash or a combination of cash and shares of Class A Common Stock, at the Company’s election, in each case reflecting a conversion rate of 15.7853 shares of Class A Common Stock per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes surrendered for conversion.

Further, as described in “Item 1. Financial Information—Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 10. Debt”, concurrently with the offering of the Notes, the Company had entered into convertible note hedge transactions (the “Convertible Note Hedge Transactions”) with respect to shares of the Company’s common stock with Royal Bank of Canada (the “Counterparty”). On May 7, 2018 Impax and the Counterparty entered into a termination agreement terminating in full the Convertible Note Hedge Transactions and the Warrant Transactions (the “Termination Agreement”). Refer to “Item 1. Financial Information—Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 21. Subsequent Events” for additional information related to the Closing.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements as of March 31, 2018.

Commitments and Contractual Obligations

As of March 31, 2018, there were no significant changes to our contractual obligations as set forth in Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our significant accounting policies are described in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates” and “Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules - Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017. There have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Recently issued accounting standards are discussed in “Item 1. Financial Information - Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements - Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” above.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2018 of Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (the “Company”) has been prepared as if the Transactions, PIPE Investment and Closing Date Redemption (all as defined below) and the assumptions and adjustments described in the accompanying notes herein had occurred on January 1, 2018.

On May 4, 2018 (the “Closing Date”), pursuant to the Business Combination Agreement (the “BCA”), dated as of October 17, 2017, as amended on November 21, 2017 and December 16, 2017, by and among the Company, Impax Laboratories, LLC (formerly, Impax Laboratories, Inc.) (“Impax”), K2 Merger Sub Corporation (“Merger Sub”), and Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC (“Amneal”), Impax and Amneal combined the generics and specialty pharmaceutical business of Impax with the generic drug development and manufacturing business of Amneal in a transaction that represented an opportunity to create a new generics company, the Company through the following transactions (together the “Combination”): (i) Merger Sub merged with and into Impax, with Impax surviving as a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, (ii) each share of Impax’s common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (“Impax Common Stock”), issued and outstanding immediately prior to the Impax merger, other than Impax Common Stock held by Impax in treasury, by Amneal or by any of their respective subsidiaries, was converted into the right to receive one fully paid and nonassessable share of Class A Common Stock, (iii) Impax converted to a Delaware limited liability company, (iv) the Company contributed to Amneal all of the Company’s equity interests in Impax, in exchange for Amneal Common Units, (v) the Company issued an aggregate number of shares of Class B common stock of the Company, par value \$0.01 per share (“Class B Common Stock” and together with Class A Common Stock and Class B-1 Common Stock, “Company Common Stock”) to Holdings, and (vi) the Company became the managing member of Amneal. As a result of the Combination, holders of Impax Common Stock immediately prior to closing (“Impax Stockholders”) collectively held approximately 25%, and Holdings held approximately 75%, of the voting and economic interests in the combined businesses of Impax and Amneal under the Company.

In order to finance the Combination, Amneal consummated the following transactions (collectively, the “Financing”, and together with the Combination, the “Transactions”): (i) borrowed \$2,700.0 million in aggregate principal amount of new senior secured term loans (the “New Term Facility,”), and (ii) entered into a new senior secured asset based revolving credit facility with borrowing capacity of up to \$500.0 million (the “New ABL Facility”) under which no amounts were drawn and outstanding upon closing. The net proceeds from the New Term Facility were used to finance in part the Combination, to pay off certain existing indebtedness of Amneal and Impax and to pay fees and expenses related to the foregoing.

Immediately upon the consummation of the Transactions, Holdings held a majority interest in the Company with an effective voting interest of approximately 75% on a fully diluted and as converted basis through their ownership of Class B Common Stock. Holdings also held a corresponding number of Amneal Common Units, which entitle it to approximately 75% of the economic interests in the combined businesses of Impax and Amneal. The Company owned an interest in Amneal of approximately 25% and is its managing member. As a result, the Company consolidates the financial results of Amneal and reports a non-controlling interest related to the Amneal Common Units held by Holdings in the consolidated financial statements. Upon the consummation of the Transactions, the Amneal Common Units became redeemable at the option of the holder for shares of Class A Common Stock or Class B-1 Common Stock on a one-for-one basis or, at the Company’s election, their per-share cash equivalent.

In connection with the Transactions, Holdings entered into a definitive purchase agreement which provided for a private placement of certain shares of Class A Common Stock and Class B-1 Common Stock (the “PIPE Investment”) with select institutional investors (the “PIPE Investors”). Pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement, upon the closing of the Combination, Holdings exercised its right to cause Amneal to redeem approximately 15% of their ownership interests in Amneal in exchange for a corresponding number of unregistered shares of Class A Common Stock or Class B-1 Common Stock (the “Redemption”). The shares of Class A Common Stock and Class B-1 Common Stock received in the

Redemption were sold immediately following the closing by Holdings to the PIPE Investors at a per share purchase price of \$18.25 for gross proceeds of \$855.0 million. Following the PIPE Investment, the PIPE Investors owned collectively approximately 15% of Company Common Stock on a fully diluted and as converted basis. On May 4, 2018, Holdings caused Amneal to redeem (the “Closing Date Redemption”) 6.9 million of the Amneal Common Units held by Holdings for a like number of shares of Class A Common Stock, for future distribution to certain direct and indirect members of Holdings who were or are employees of Amneal and to whom were previously issued (prior to the Closing Date) profit participation units in Amneal. As a result of the PIPE Investment and Closing Date Redemption, the voting and economic interest of approximately 75% held by Holdings immediately upon closing, was reduced by approximately 18%. As such, the overall interest percentage held by non-controlling interest holders upon the consummation of the Combination, PIPE Investment and Closing Date Redemption (following the distribution of such shares) is approximately 57%.

The accompanying unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations of the Company has been prepared in accordance with Article 11 of U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) Regulation S-X. The Combination was considered a business combination and has been accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board’s Accounting Standards Codification Topic 805 *Business Combinations*. The Combination was accounted for as a business combination, with Amneal treated as the “acquirer” and Impax treated as the “acquired” company for financial reporting purposes. Under the acquisition method of accounting for purposes of this unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations, management of the Company has determined a purchase price, calculated as described in “Note 3. Purchase Price and Preliminary Purchase Price Allocation” herein. The Impax assets acquired and liabilities assumed in connection with the Combination are recorded at their estimated acquisition date fair values. A final determination of the fair value for certain assets and liabilities will be completed as soon as the information necessary to complete the analysis is obtained, but no later than one year from the acquisition date. Differences between these preliminary estimates and the final acquisition accounting may occur and these differences could be material.

The unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations is based on (i) the historical consolidated statement of operations of the Company and its subsidiaries, including the results of Amneal and the results of Impax following the date of acquisition; and (ii) the historical consolidated results of operations of Impax prior to being acquired on May 4, 2018. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2018 is presented as if the Transactions, PIPE Investment and Closing Date Redemption occurred on January 1, 2018. Unaudited pro forma adjustments, and the assumptions on where they are based, are described in the accompanying notes to the unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations.

Acquisition-related costs include transaction costs such as legal, accounting, valuation and other professional services, as well as integration costs such as severance and retention. Total costs incurred by the Company, Amneal and Impax for the Transactions were approximately \$336 million through December 31, 2018. The costs associated with these non-recurring activities do not represent ongoing costs of the fully integrated combined organization and are therefore not included in the unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations. These charges were expensed and primarily reflected in Selling, general and administrative expenses, Acquisition, transaction-related and integration expenses, and Restructuring and asset-related charges

The unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations is presented for informational purposes only and does not purport to represent what the results of operations would have been had the Transactions, PIPE Investment and Closing Date Redemption actually occurred on the dates indicated, nor does it purport to project the results of operations for any future period or as of any future date.

The historical combined financial information has been adjusted to give effect to pro forma events that are (1) directly attributable to the Transactions, PIPE Investment and Closing Date Redemption, (2) factually supportable, and (3) with respect to the statements of operations, expected to have a continuing impact on the combined results. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements should be

read in conjunction with the accompanying notes to the unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations and the publicly-available historical consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes of the Company included in its Form 10-K.

	Historical Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Historical Impax (Note 1)	Combination Adjustments (Note 3)	Financing & Other Adjustments (Note 4)	NCI Adjustments (Note 5)	PIPE Investment Adjustments (Note 6)	Pro-Forma 2018
Net revenue	1,662,991	\$ 187,072					1,850,063
Cost of goods sold	946,588	151,262	7,619 (a),(b)				1,105,469
Gross profit	716,403	35,810	(7,619)	—	—	—	744,594
Selling, general and administrative	230,435	103,434	(26,517) (c)				307,352
Research and development	194,190	24,910	(6,958) (c)				212,142
In-process research and development impairment charges	39,259	—					39,259
Acquisition, transaction-related and integration expenses	221,818	24,339	(234,152) (c)				12,005
Restructuring and asset-related charges	56,413	11,594	(68,007) (c)				—
Legal settlement gains	(22,300)	—					(22,300)
Gain on sale of assets	—	—					—
Legal contract settlement	—	85,537					85,537
Intellectual property legal development expenses	16,261	—					16,261
Operating (loss) income	(19,673)	(214,004)	328,015	—	—	—	94,338
Other (expense) income:							
Interest expense, net	(143,571)	(18,231)	14,102 (d)	(27,013) (a)			(174,713)
Foreign exchange (loss) gain	(19,701)	921					(18,780)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(19,667)	(7,564)	7,564 (e)	19,667 (b)			—
Loss on sale of certain international businesses	(2,958)	—					(2,958)
Other income (expense)	2,848	(589)					2,259
Total other expense, net	(183,049)	(25,463)	21,666	(7,346)	—	—	(194,192)
(Loss) income before income taxes	(202,722)	(239,467)	349,681	(7,346)	—	—	(99,854)
(Benefit from) provision for income taxes	(1,419)	(6,273)	24,493 (f)	(5,527) (c)		9,306 (b)	20,580
Net (loss) income	(201,303)	(233,194)	325,188	(1,819)	—	(9,306)	(120,434)
Less: Net loss (income) attributable to Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC pre-Combination	148,806	—	—	—	(148,806)		—
Less: Net loss (income) attributable to non-controlling interests	32,753	—	—	—	34,651	(8,276) (a)	59,128
Net loss attributable to Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc. before accretion of redeemable non-controlling interest	(19,744)	(233,194)	325,188	(1,819)	(114,155)	(17,582)	(61,306)
Accretion of redeemable non-controlling interest	(1,176)	—	—	—	—	—	(1,176)
Net loss attributable to Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	(20,920)	(233,194)	325,188	(1,819)	(114,155)	(17,582)	(62,482)
Net loss per common share (Note 7)							
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.16)						\$ (0.49)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding (Note 7):							
Basic and diluted	127,252						127,252

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations is provided for illustrative purposes only and does not purport to represent what the actual consolidated results of operations of the Company would have been had the Transactions, PIPE Investment and Closing Date Redemption occurred on January 1, 2018, nor is it necessarily indicative of future consolidated results of operations.

The unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations does not reflect cost savings or operating synergies anticipated to result from the Combination, the costs to integrate the operations of the Company and Impax (other than costs incurred in the historical results of the Company through December 31, 2018) or the costs necessary to achieve these cost savings or operating synergies. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2018 is based on (i) the historical consolidated results of operations of the Company and its subsidiaries, including the results of Amneal and Impax following the date of acquisition; and (ii) the historical consolidated results of operations of Impax prior to being acquired on May 4, 2018. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations should be read in conjunction with the separate publicly-available historical consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes of Impax and of the Company.

The following unaudited pro forma adjustments have been reflected in the unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations. These adjustments give effect to pro forma events that are (i) directly attributable to the Transactions, PIPE Investment and Closing Date Redemption, (ii) factually supportable and (iii) expected to have a continuing impact on the combined company. All adjustments are based on current assumptions, and as described above, are subject to change upon the final determination that may be significantly different from the preliminary allocation. The determination of the final purchase price allocations can be highly subjective and it is possible that other professionals applying reasonable judgment to the same facts and circumstances could develop and support a range of alternative estimated amounts. There can be no assurance that the finalization of the Company's review will not result in material changes.

2. PURCHASE PRICE AND PRELIMINARY PURCHASE PRICE ALLOCATION

The pro forma adjustments include a preliminary allocation of the purchase price of Impax to the estimated fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date.

Purchase Price

The measurement of the consideration transferred by Amneal for its interest in Impax is based on the fair value of the equity interest that Amneal would have had to issue to give the Impax shareholders the same percentage equity interest in the Company, which is equal to approximately 25% of Amneal, on May 4, 2018. However, the fair value of Impax's common stock was used to calculate the consideration for the Combination because Impax's common stock had a quoted market price and the Combination involved only the exchange of equity.

The purchase price, net of cash acquired, is calculated as follows (in thousands, except share amount and price per share):

Fully diluted Impax share number (1)	73,288,792
Closing quoted market price of an Impax common share on May 4, 2018	\$ 18.30
Equity consideration - subtotal	\$ 1,341,185
Add: Fair value of Impax stock options as of May 4, 2018 (2)	22,610
Total equity consideration	1,363,795
Add: Extinguishment of certain Impax obligations, including accrued and unpaid interest	320,290
Less: Cash acquired	(37,907)
Purchase price, net of cash acquired	\$ 1,646,178

(1) Represents shares of Impax Common Stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the Combination

(2) Represents the fair value of 3.0 million fully vested Impax stock options valued using the Black-Scholes options pricing model.

Preliminary purchase price allocation

The following is a summary of the preliminary purchase price allocation for the Impax acquisition (in thousands):

	Preliminary Fair Values As of December 31, 2018
Trade accounts receivable, net	\$ 211,762
Inventories	183,088
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	91,430
Property, plant and equipment	87,472
Goodwill	399,988
Intangible assets	1,574,929
Other	55,790
Total assets acquired	2,604,459
Accounts payable	47,912
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	277,176
Long-term debt	599,400
Other long-term liabilities	33,793
Total liabilities assumed	958,281
Net assets acquired	\$ 1,646,178

3. COMBINATION RELATED PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS

The unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations reflects the following adjustments related to the Combination:

- (a) Adjustment to increase amortization expense for acquired identifiable intangible assets, consisting of tradenames, acquired in-process research and development product rights and marketed product rights. The following table presents information about the intangible assets (in thousands):

	Fair Value	Amortization Expense Period ended May 4, 2018
Total acquired indefinite lived intangible assets	\$ 529,312	\$ —
Total acquired finite lived intangible assets (1)	1,045,617	27,890
Total acquired intangible assets	1,574,929	27,890
Less: Impax's historical intangible assets		(19,868)
Pro forma adjustment		8,022

- (1) The adjustment to amortization expense was determined using the straight line method over a weighted-average estimated useful life of 12.9 years.

- (b) Adjustment to depreciation expense for acquired property, plant and equipment. The estimated useful lives of property, plant and equipment range from 1 to 16 years. The following table presents information about the acquired property, plant and equipment (in thousands):

	Fair Value	Depreciation Expense Period ended May 4, 2018
Land	\$ 13,840	\$ —
Other real property ⁽¹⁾	10,538	548
Personal property ⁽¹⁾	55,465	4,015
Construction in progress	7,620	—
Total acquired property, plant and equipment	87,463	4,563
Less: Impax's historical property, plant and equipment		(4,966)
Pro forma adjustment		(403)

- (1) The adjustment to depreciation expense was determined using the straight line method over a weighted-average estimated useful life of 13.8 years for other real property and 5.0 years for personal property.
- (c) Represents the elimination of one-time nonrecurring transaction costs directly attributable to the Combination from the unaudited condensed combined statements of operations. These costs include \$33 million of stock-based compensation expense recorded by Impax as a result of the accelerated vesting triggered by the Combination, \$159 million of Profit Participation Units expense related to the accelerated vesting of certain of Amneal's profit participation units that occurred prior to the Closing of the Combination for current and former employees of Amneal for service prior to the Combination, \$28 million of expense for a transaction-related bonus that was funded by AE Holdings for employees of Amneal for service prior to the closing of the Combination, \$48 million of costs incurred relating to the Combination prior to the closing and \$68 million of restructuring charges as a result of the Combination.
- (d) Adjustment to eliminate the historical interest expense associated with Impax's historical term loan obligations that were repaid on the Closing Date and not assumed by Amneal as part of the Combination.
- (e) Adjustment to eliminate the historical loss on extinguishment of debt associated with Impax's historical term loan obligations that were repaid on the Closing Date and not assumed by Amneal as part of the Combination.
- (f) The Company is subject to U.S. federal and state income taxes and will file consolidated income tax returns for U.S. federal and certain state jurisdictions. All tax attributes and related tax adjustments are recorded based on the Company's tax basis in its Amneal partnership interest in comparison to the Company's proportionate share of the GAAP basis in assets held directly by Amneal (a tax-transparent entity). Adjustment to record the income tax impacts of (i) the pro forma adjustments, and (ii) the 75% share of Impax unadjusted income tax expense which is allocable to each of Amneal, Holdings, AP Class D Member, LLC, AP Class E Member, LLC and AH PPU Management, LLC (collectively, the "Existing Amneal Members"). The incremental tax impacts were calculated using an estimated statutory tax rate of 23.0% for the period ended May 4, 2018. This rate does not reflect the Company's effective tax rate, which includes other items and may be significantly different than the rates assumed for purposes of preparing these statements.

4. FINANCING AND OTHER RELATED PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS

The unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations reflects the following adjustments related to the Financing, the proceeds of which were used in part to fund the Combination:

- (a) Adjustment to interest expense consists of the following (in thousands):

	Period ended May 4, 2018
Eliminate historical Amneal interest expense	\$ (27,403)
Interest expense related to new borrowings (1)	52,229
Amortization of deferred financing fees	2,187
Pro forma adjustment to interest expense	27,013

- (1) Comprised of interest expense related to the New Term Facility and New ABL Facility. The weighted average cash interest rate, calculated including the effects of quarterly principal payments under the New Term Facility, is approximately 5.68%. A 0.125% change in the estimated interest rates on the variable rate indebtedness of \$2,700.0 million at the closing of the Transactions, comprised of the New Term Facility, would result in an increase or decrease in the pro forma annual interest expense of approximately \$3.4 million annually.
- (b) Adjustment to eliminate the historical loss on extinguishment of debt associated with Amneal's historical debt obligations.
- (c) The Company is subject to U.S. federal and state income taxes and will file consolidated income tax returns for U.S. federal and certain state jurisdictions. All tax attributes and related tax adjustments are recorded based on the Company's tax basis in its Amneal partnership interest in comparison to the Company's proportionate share of the GAAP basis in assets held directly by Amneal (a tax-transparent entity). Represents an adjustment of \$5.5 million for the period ended May 4, 2018. The adjustment records the historical taxes associated with the tax basis of assets held directly by Impax and contributed by the Company to Amneal in comparison to the Company's proportionate 25% ownership interests of the GAAP basis of all assets held directly by Amneal using an estimated statutory tax rate of 23.0% for the period ended May 4, 2018. This rate does not reflect the Company's effective tax rate, which includes other items and may be significantly different than the rates assumed for purposes of preparing these statements.

5. NON-CONTROLLING INTEREST ADJUSTMENTS

Immediately upon the consummation of the Transactions, the Existing Amneal Members owned a majority interest in the Company with an effective voting interest of approximately 75% on a fully diluted and as converted basis through their ownership of Class B Common Stock. The Existing Amneal Members also held a corresponding number of Amneal Common Units which will entitle them to approximately 75% of the economic interests in the combined businesses of Impax and Amneal. The Company owned an interest in Amneal of approximately 25% and is its managing member. As a result, the Company consolidates the financial results of Amneal and reports a non-controlling interest related to the Amneal Common Units held by the Existing Amneal Members in the consolidated financial statements. Upon closing, the Amneal Common Units became redeemable at the option of the holder for shares of Class A Common Stock or, in the case of the PIPE Investment, Class B-1 Common Stock on a one-for-one basis or, at the Company's election, their per-share cash equivalent.

The non-controlling interest adjustments to the unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations reflects the impact to net loss attributable to non-controlling interests as a result of non-controlling interests holding approximately 75% of the ownership rights of Amneal. As a result of the PIPE Investment and Closing Date Redemption (following the distribution of such shares), the voting and

economic interest of approximately 75% held by Existing Amneal Members immediately upon closing, were reduced by approximately 18%. As such, the overall interest percentage held by non-controlling interest holders upon the consummation of the Combination and PIPE Investment and Closing Date Redemption (following the distribution of such shares) was approximately 57%. Refer to “Note 6. PIPE Investment & Closing Date Redemption Related Pro Forma Adjustments” for information regarding the impact of the PIPE Investment and Closing Date Redemption on non-controlling interests. All non-controlling interest adjustments have been calculated on a pre-tax basis due to the fact that all tax attributes reflected in the unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations represents the Company’s proportionate ownership share in assets held directly by Amneal. Accordingly, income taxes associated with assets held directly by Amneal are only reflected in the consolidated financial statements of the Company to the extent of its 25% ownership interest in Amneal.

6. PIPE INVESTMENT & CLOSING DATE REDEMPTION RELATED PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS

The unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations reflects the following adjustments related to the PIPE Investment and Closing Date Redemption:

- (a) Represents the impact of the PIPE Investment and Closing Date Redemption on non-controlling interest and net loss allocable to non-controlling interest. The percentage of non-controlling interest decreased from 75% to approximately 57% as a result of the redemptions. All non-controlling interest adjustments, with the exception of the re-allocation of the pre-combination net loss attributable to Amneal, have been calculated on a pre-tax basis due to the fact that all tax attributes reflected in the unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations represent the Company’s proportionate ownership share of Amneal. Accordingly, income taxes associated with assets held directly by Amneal are only reflected in the financial statements of the Company to the extent of its 43% membership interest in Amneal.
- (b) Represents a \$9.3 million adjustment to the unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations for the period ended May 4, 2018. The adjustment records taxes associated with the additional 18% net loss allocated to the Company due to the increase in their ownership interest of Amneal to approximately 43% as a result of the PIPE Investment and Closing Date Redemption.

7. EARNINGS PER SHARE INFORMATION

The unaudited pro forma combined basic and diluted earnings per share (“EPS”) for the year ended December 31, 2018 are based on pro forma net loss reflecting the adjustments discussed above divided by the basic and diluted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. The Company has three classes of issued and outstanding common stock; Class A Common Stock, Class B-1 Common Stock and Class B Common Stock. Holders of Class A Common Stock and holders of Class B-1 Common Stock have substantially identical economic rights, including rights with respect to any declared dividends or distributions of cash or property, and the right to receive proceeds on liquidation or dissolution of the Company after payment of outstanding indebtedness. The two classes have different voting rights for matters submitted to a vote of stockholders, with each holder of Class A Common Stock entitled to one vote per share while holders of Class B-1 Common Stock are not entitled to any voting rights. Holders of Class B Common Stock are not entitled to receive any dividends or distributions, but are entitled to one vote per share. At any time at the option of the holder, the shares of Class B-1 Common Stock are convertible into, and the Amneal Common Units issued in connection with the issuance of Class B Common Stock are redeemable (with the accompanying cancellation of such shares of Class B Common Stock) for shares of Class A Common Stock on a share-for-share basis. The Company has the option to require the holders of Class B-1 Common Stock to convert their shares into Class A Common Stock upon the earlier of one year from the Closing Date or when the holders of Class B-1 Common Stock appoint a director to the board of directors. In addition, shares of Class B-1 Common Stock will be automatically converted into a like number of shares of Class A Common Stock upon transfer to any person or entity who is not a permitted transferee.

The two class method has been utilized for calculating unaudited pro forma basic EPS due to the fact that both the Class A Common Stock and Class B-1 Common Stock are considered participating securities under ASC 260 - *Earnings Per Share* . The shares of Class B Common Stock have no rights to dividends or distributions, whether in cash or stock, and therefore are not deemed to be participating securities and are excluded from the unaudited pro forma basic EPS calculation. As the economic rights of both the Class A Common Stock and the Class B-1 Common Stock are identical, the unaudited pro forma combined basic EPS for Class A Common Stock and Class B-1 Common Stock is the same and is calculated based on the number of shares of Class A Common Stock and Class B-1 Common Stock that were issued and outstanding following during the period from the close of the Transactions, PIPE Investment and Closing Date Redemption through December 31, 2018.